



Comment

| | |
|------------------------------|--|
| Consultee | mr david ashley (668915) |
| Email Address |  |
| Address | |
| Event Name | |
| Event Name | South Worcestershire Development Plan Proposed Submission Consultation |
| Comment by | mr david ashley |
| Comment ID | 213 |
| Response Date | 2/20/13 10:50 PM |
| Status | Submitted |
| Submission Type | Web |
| Version | 0.1 |
| Title | Mr |
| First Name | David |
| Last Name | Ashley |
| Address Line 1 |  |
| Address Line 2 | |
| Address Line 3 | |
| Postcode | |
| Telephone Number | |
| Email Address | |
| Policy | SWDP 50: Evesham Allocations |
| SWDP 50: Evesham Allocations | |

4. Do you consider the SWDP is legally compliant? No

Please give details of why you consider the SWDP is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.

Insufficient publication of roadshows by the District Council and Evesham Town Council particularly around the Significant Ammendments that were introduced at a late stage of the consultation period. There was also no ability for the democratic will of the Councillors to be effected on individual Significant Ammendments as the SWDP had to be voted for on an all or nothing basis.

5. Please set out what change/s you consider necessary to make the SWDP legally compliant, having regard to the issue/s you have identified above. You will need to say why this change will make the SWDP legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

there should be a further period of consultation, adequately advertised to the local community and then each Significant Ammendment should be voted on individually.

6. Do you consider the SWDP to be sound? No

. 3. Consistent with national policy

. 4. Positively prepared

7. Please give details of why you consider the DPD is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the soundness of the DPD, please also use this box to set out your comments.

SWDP50/7 contradicts SWDP 50/E as the area is a high flood risk and is an area of Protected Open Space. The Abbey Gardens are also a site of historical importance including the Scheduled Ancient Monument "Abbot Chyryton's Wall" which should be protected from developers. The area is also Grade 1 agricultural land and should only be built on as a last resort according to the Environment Agency's requirements.

8. Please set out what change/s you consider necessary to make the DPD sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 6 above, where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the DPD sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

SWDP 50/7 should be removed from the SWDP completely.

9. If your representation is seeking change, do you wish to be called to participate at the oral part of the Examination? YES, I wish to participate at the oral examination

10. If you wish to participate at the oral examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.

To put accross my views in person and understand the Inspectors's thinking.