# HOW TO USE THESE MATERIALS

This unit is in the format of a Compressed File. Files are organized so you can easily browse through the materials and find everything you need to print or e-mail for each day. The Materials are organized into folders:

## RESEARCH UNIT PLAN

- The Research Unit Plan (outlines the instructional activities of this unit and provides instructional notes)
- Teacher Research Unit Guide (lists the sequence of unit activities and related materials)
- Student Research Plan (guides students through the main steps of the research process)

## HANDOUTS

This folder contains all of the supporting handouts that guide students through the research process, explaining key processes for students and teachers.

It includes Research Criteria Matrix that aids teacher and student evaluation of student proficiency.

**TOOLS** and **CHECKLISTS** have been created as *editable PDF forms.* With the free version of Adobe Reader, students and teachers are able to type in them and save their work for recording and e-mailing. This allows students and teachers to work either with paper and pencil or electronically according to their strengths and needs. It also allows teachers to collect and organize student work for evaluation and formative assessment.

## TOOLS

This folder contains all of the supporting tools that help students build their Research Portfolios, aiding student thinking, habits, and analysis of researched information. Annotated Tools are provided to aid teacher instruction.

## CHECKLISTS

This folder contains all of the checklists that guide students and teachers in the process of evaluating their work based on specific qualitative criteria.

## TOPIC RESOURCE REPOSITORIES

Teachers can elect to use Topic Resource Repositories to support the instruction of the Research Unit Plan. The repositories contain information and sources for stimulating and supporting student research within a broad topic. The repositories contain:

- Information for framing the topic
- Possible Areas of Investigation
- Model Inquiry Questions
- Common source texts for instruction
- Models of Unit Tools

Activities where repository texts should be used are specifically referenced in the Unit Plan.

# RESEARCHING
## TO DEEPEN UNDERSTANDING

### DEVELOPING CORE PROFICIENCIES
### ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS / LITERACY UNIT

### GRADE 8

## RESEARCH FRAMEWORK

**ODELL EDUCATION**
www.odelleducation.com

# ⊟ DEVELOPING CORE PROFICIENCIES SERIES

This unit is part of the Odell Education Literacy Instruction: Developing Core Proficiencies program, an integrated set of ELA units spanning grades 6-12. Funded by USNY Regents Research Fund, the program is comprised of a series of four 3-week units at each grade level that provide direct instruction on a set of literacy proficiencies at the heart of the CCSS.

Unit 1: Reading Closely for Textual Details
Unit 2: Making Evidence-Based Claims
Unit 3: Researching to Deepen Understanding
Unit 4: Building Evidence-Based Arguments

The Core Proficiencies units have been designed to be used in a variety of ways. They can be taught as short stand-alone units to introduce or develop key student proficiencies. Teachers can also integrate them into larger modules that build up to and around these proficiencies. Teachers can also apply the activity sequences and unit materials to different texts and topics. The materials have been intentionally designed for easy adaptation to new texts and topics.

Unit materials are available at
www.odelleducation.com

# ⊟ RESEARCHING TO DEEPEN ⊟ UNDERSTANDING

Literacy is the ability to explore and express meaning in a given medium. There are certain core proficiencies one develops to gain fluency and expressiveness in that medium. One develops attunement to the intricacies of expression and the way meaning is created and constructed in it. One also develops the ability to express understanding and explain that understanding given the evidence at hand. These proficiencies of attention and explanation serve one of literacy's purposes, which is to explore what that medium holds—the aspects of life it illuminates.

Exploration, itself, is a proficiency. There are skills, methods, and habits of mind that we can develop to lead us ever deeper into the experiences accessed in that medium. These skills involve being open to new knowledge, asking questions and finding better and new answers. They involve listening to those around us, building on what they know and have experienced, and incorporating that knowledge into our own exploration. They also involve making

connections and organizing what we find, then returning to and refining those questions. As we explore, we also develop our ability to explain what we've come to think and show why we think it. Eventually this exploration—the process of research—leads us to a growing perspective rooted in deep knowledge and understanding.

This unit develops that explorative proficiency: researching to deepen understanding. It lays out a process through which students learn to explore topics with their learning community, posing and refining questions and listening to experiences, and discovering areas they wish to investigate. It develops their ability to determine what they don't know or understand, and where and how to find that information. The unit also develops and supports student ability to archive and organize information in order to see and analyze connections in ways that aid comprehension, deepen their understanding and prepare them to express their evolving perspective.

# HOW THIS UNIT IS STRUCTURED

Instruction in this unit is built around three components: a process for conducting research, a Research Portfolio developed by students throughout the process, and choosing a topic to research. The unit activities integrate these components in a learning progression that develops and supports proficiency in the entire research process.

### Research Portfolio

The Research Portfolio is a structured collection of the research and analysis that students compile in their investigation. The components of the portfolio guide and archive the student's work in a way that teaches them key critical thinking, academic habits and organizational skills. By the end of the unit, students will have  an organized, structured set of sources, annotations, notes, and analysis from which they can successfully accomplish any purpose they may have for their newly developed evidence-based perspective, whether that be an academic research paper or the construction of a product or process plan.

### Instructional Sequence

The process for conducting research outlined in this unit is introduced and developed over a series of activities.  As students work through these activities they create and compile the various parts of their Research Portfolios. While each part of the unit introduces skills associated with the various steps in the research process, it should be understood that the process itself is recursive and that these steps will be repeated and integrated as students conduct inquiry.

**Part 1** introduces students to the idea of researching to deepen understanding and immerses students in a collaborative process for exploring a topic, choosing an Area of Investigation, and developing a detailed frame for their research plan.

**Part 2** addresses essential skills for conducting searches for information based on Inquiry Questions. Skills such as searching for, annotating and making notes on sources needed to answer Inquiry Questions. Introduced here, these skills will be developed throughout the remainder of the unit.

**Part 3** focuses students on the strategic close reading and evidence-based claim-making skills for analyzing key sources in order to develop a deeper and comprehensive understanding of their Areas of Investigation.

In **Part 4**, they review and evaluate their materials and analysis, refining their Inquiry Questions and extending their research where necessary— returning to the skills introduced in Parts 2 and 3.

**Part 5** supports students in organizing their research and synthesizing their analysis in order to develop an evidence-based perspective of their Areas of Investigation.  Students can use this perspective and Research Portfolio for creating a range of final products.

# HOW THIS UNIT MIGHT BE EMBEDDED IN CONTENT-BASED CURRICULUM

Along with the research process and the Research Portfolio, the topics students explore and investigate make up the third component of the unit. This unit has been intentionally designed to support student research in a variety of curricular contexts. The activities introducing the research process and the materials that guide and construct the Research Portfolio can be used regardless of the subject matter students choose or need to investigate, or their purposes for that investigation.

Depending on their needs and goals, teachers can connect the instruction of this unit to texts and topics they are covering in their English classroom, as well as those that students are learning in other academic and technical disciplines.

Similarly, this unit outlines, develops, and supports a research process leading to an evidence-based perspective and a Research Portfolio that students can use for a variety of purposes, from a thesis-driven academic paper or presentation, to a design plan for constructing a house or industrial menu, to informing personal or community decision making.

The unit is also designed to support the simultaneous research of students into different Areas of Investigation and even topics. It is recommended, however, for coherence and mutual support and enrichment, that students all explore a general topic, choosing different, but related, Areas of Investigation within it. Again, this general topic can be connected to a variety of larger curricular contexts, from a novel to interdisciplinary subjects.

To support teachers and students in choosing, connecting and exploring topics, this unit can be connected with any of the OE Topic Resource Repositories.

# OE TOPIC RESOURCE REPOSITORIES

Teachers can choose among many approaches for integrating the instructional framework and materials of this unit into the topical context of their class. The unit is designed for adaption to the various contexts in which teachers want to develop their students' research proficiencies. Teachers can also choose whether to have their entire class investigate different areas within the same general topic, or allow students to explore any topic they want. Choosing among these various options depends on the purposes teachers have for their students' research, the literacy proficiency and interest of their students, and the goals teachers have for wider curricular context.

Perhaps the richest class experience would be one in which all students explore the same topic, each determining separate areas or aspects of that topic to investigate. This will provide a coherent learning experience and allow students to explore and build on each other's knowledge.

Approaching the development of research proficiencies in this way is modeled and supported by the OE Topic Resource Repositories. These repositories model how topics can be presented to students. They provide narrative introductions and possible Inquiry Questions to stimulate student interest and thinking. They articulate various directions students could explore within the topic and provide some pre-selected sources and model tools to support instruction of the research process. Teachers may choose to use these Topic Resource Repositories to support their instruction or could take a similar approach with another topic. Places where the repository resources can be used are indicated in the unit plan. Regardless of approach to topic selection, it is important for teachers to review and evaluate the sources students find and analyze to make sure they are of appropriate complexity and richness.

# HOW THIS UNIT TEACHES VOCABULARY

This unit draws on a variety of strategies for teaching academic and disciplinary vocabulary. The primary strategy is the way critical disciplinary vocabulary and concepts are built into the instruction. Students are taught words like "analyze," "perspective," "questioning," and "criteria" through their explicit use in the activities. Students come to understand and use these words as they think about and evaluate their research and analysis and those of their peers. The handouts and worksheets play a key role in

this process. By the end of the unit, students will have developed deep conceptual knowledge of key vocabulary that they can transfer to a variety of academic and public contexts. The activities also provide many opportunities for academic vocabulary instruction. Many of the activities focus directly on analyzing the way authors use language and key words to develop ideas and achieve specific purposes.

# HOW THIS UNIT ALIGNS WITH CCSS FOR ELA/LITERACY

The instructional focus of this unit is on building student proficiency in a process for conducting research: developing and refining Inquiry Questions; finding, assessing, analyzing, and synthesizing multiple sources to answer those questions; and organizing and using evidence from those sources to explain understanding in ways that avoid plagiarism. As such, the unit primarily aligns with:

**W.7** (*Conduct research projects based on focused questions, demonstrating understanding of the subject under investigation*);
**W.8** (*Gather relevant information from multiple print and digital sources, assess the credibility and accuracy of each source, and integrate the information while avoiding plagiarism*), and
**W.9** (*Draw evidence from literary or informational texts to support analysis, reflection, and research*).

This process involves key moments of both collaboration and independence. As the unit leads students through structured collaborative processes for initiating and refining inquiry, it develops their ability in **SL.1** (*Prepare for and participate effectively in a range of conversations and collaborations with diverse partners, building on others' ideas and expressing their own clearly and persuasively*). At other moments, students are alone in their search for and analysis of sources, building their proficiency for **RI/RL.10** (*Read and comprehend complex texts independently and proficiently*).

The task of writing from researched sources is an important part of larger writing processes. Thus, the unit develops student ability in key aspects of the production of writing expressed in the expectations of **W.4** (*Produce clear and coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style are appropriate to task, purpose, and audience*) and **W.5** (*Develop and strengthen writing as needed by planning, revising, editing, rewriting, or trying a new approach*). And as they strategically write

organized analysis, eventually building to a written evidence-based perspective, students develop their ability for **W.2** (*Write informative/explanatory texts to examine and convey complex ideas and information clearly and accurately through the effective selection, organization, and analysis of content*).

Students develop these skills throughout the unit through direct instruction and guided practice, and they are assessed continuously through activities, graphic organizers, and written products.

As students develop these primary targeted CCSS skill sets, they also practice and use related reading skills from supporting CCSS. Throughout the research process, they read key sources closely and analyze textual detail to answer their Inquiry Questions, particularly building their growing proficiency for:

**RI/RL.1** (*Read closely to determine what the text says explicitly and to make logical inferences from it; cite specific textual evidence when writing or speaking to support conclusions drawn from the text*);
**RI/RL.2** (*Determine central ideas or themes of a text and analyze their development; summarize the key supporting details and ideas*);
**RI/RL.4** (*Interpret words and phrases as they are used in a text, including determining technical, connotative, and figurative meanings, and analyze how specific word choices shape meaning or tone*);
**RI/RL.6** (*Assess how point of view or purpose shapes the content and style of a text*); and
**RI/RL.9** (*Analyze how two or more texts address similar themes or topics in order to build knowledge or to compare the approaches the authors take*).

# SOURCES OF THIS UNIT INSTRUCTION

The instructional ideas contained in this unit are presented as our contribution to the national effort to prepare all US secondary students for college and career readiness. We intend that these principles, activities, tools, and strategies will be taken up, adapted, and improved upon by the educators who use them. While we take full responsibility for the content of the unit, and recognize that mentioning our key sources in no way implies their endorsement of that content, we would like to acknowledge some important influences and reference points for this work: the students and colleagues who contributed to the classroom experiences of our development team; the Common Core Standards; the PARCC ELA Curriculum Frameworks; the EQuIP Quality Review ELA Rubric; and the research on text complexity and text-dependent questioning from Student Achievement Partners.

# DEFINITION OF TERMS USED IN THIS UNIT

**Area of Investigation**: a particular theme, question, problem, or more focused sub-topic within the general topic that warrants investigation.

**Inquiry Question**: questions posed by researchers about their Areas of Investigation to be answered through inquiry.

**Inquiry Path**: groups of Inquiry Questions developed to guide investigation. Each Inquiry Path has a name or title that is the theme of the group of questions. It can also be a more general question that summarizes the specific questions within the group.

**Research Frame**: a written document comprised of the topic, the Area of Investigation, the Inquiry Paths and all the Inquiry Questions within each Inquiry Path. It is the tool that will guide the student throughout the research process.

**Research Portfolio**: the binder or electronic folder where students physically or electronically store and organize all the material related to their personal research.

**Research Plan**: a document presenting the strategic process students follow to guide them through the various stages of inquiry.

**Topic**: the general topic chosen for class exploration.

**Topic Resource Repository**: a repository of information on a topic including a general description, possible Areas of Investigation, source locations and model sources provided by OE to facilitate and support teaching and learning of the research process.

# HOW TO USE THESE MATERIALS

This unit is in the format of a Compressed File. Files are organized so you can easily browse through the materials and find everything you need to print or e-mail for each day. The materials are organized into folders:

## RESEARCH UNIT PLAN

- The Research Unit Plan (outlines the instructional activities of this unit and provides instructional notes)
- Teacher Research Unit Guide (lists the sequence of unit activities and related materials)
- Student Research Plan (guides students through the main steps of the research process)

## HANDOUTS

This folder contains all of the supporting handouts that guide students through the research process, explaining key processes for students and teachers.

It includes the Research Criteria Matrix that aids teacher and student evaluation of student proficiency.

## TOOLS

This folder contains all of the supporting tools that help students build their Research Portfolios, aiding student thinking, habits, and analysis of researched information. Annotated Tools are provided to aid teacher instruction.

## CHECKLISTS

This folder contains all of the checklists that guide students and teachers in the process of evaluating their work based on specific qualitative criteria.

**TOOLS** and **CHECKLISTS** have been created as *editable PDF forms.* With the free version of Adobe Reader, students and teachers are able to type in them and save their work for recording and e-mailing. This allows students and teachers to work either with paper and pencil or electronically according to their strengths and needs. It also allows teachers to collect and organize student work for evaluation and formative assessment.

## TOPIC RESOURCE REPOSITORIES

Teachers can elect to use Topic Resource Repositories to support the instruction of the Research Unit Plan. The repositories contain information and sources for stimulating and supporting student research within a broad topic. The repositories contain:

- Information for framing the topic
- Possible Areas of Investigation
- Model Inquiry Questions
- Common source texts for instruction
- Models of Unit Tools

Activities where repository texts should be used are specifically referenced in the Unit Plan.

# INTRO
# INTRODUCTION TO UNIT

| OBJECTIVE: | The teacher explains how critical readers use inquiry and research to deepen their understanding and develop an evidence-based perspective on a topic. Students are introduced to the purposes, the process, and the materials of the unit. |
|---|---|

**MATERIALS:**
Teacher Research Unit Guide
Student Research Plan

## TEACHER RESEARCH UNIT GUIDE

| | |
|---|---|
| ***INTRODUCTION*** | **Introduction to Unit** |
| **I. INITIATING INQUIRY** | **1. Exploring a Topic** |
| *Students determine what they want to know about a topic and develop inquiry questions that they will investigate.* | **2. Conducting Pre-searches** |
| | **3. Vetting Areas of Investigation** |
| | **4. Generating Inquiry Questions** |
| **II. GATHERING INFORMATION** | **1. Planning for Searches** |
| *Students find and take notes on sources that will help them answer their inquiry questions and define the scope of their investigation.* | **2. Assessing Sources** |
| | **3. Making and Recording Notes** |
| | **4. Building an Initial Research Frame** |
| | **5. Conducting Searches Independently** |
| **III. DEEPENING UNDERSTANDING** | **1. Selecting Key Sources** |
| *Students analyze key sources to deepen their understanding and answer their inquiry questions.* | **2. Reading Sources Closely** |
| | **3. Discussing Types of Claims** |
| | **4. Writing Evidence-Based Claims about Sources** |
| **IV. FINALIZING INQUIRY** | **1. Addressing Inquiry Paths** |
| *Students synthesize their information to determine what they have learned and what more they need to know about their area of investigation. They gather and analyze more information to complete their inquiry.* | **2. Organizing Evidence** |
| | **3. Evaluating Research** |
| | **4. Refining and Extending Inquiry** |
| **V. DEVELOPING AND COMMUNICATING AN EVIDENCE-BASED PERSPECTIVE** | **1. Reviewing Research Portfolios** |
| *Students review and synthesize their research to develop and communicate an evidence-based perspective on their area of investigation.* | **2. Expressing an Evidence-Based Perspective** |
| | **3. Writing a Bibliography** |
| | **4. Communicating an Evidence-Based Perspective** |

# ACTIVITY 1: INTRODUCTION TO UNIT

The teacher explains how critical readers use inquiry and research to deepen their understanding and develop an evidence-based perspective on a topic. Students are introduced to the purposes, the process, and the materials of the unit.

## INSTRUCTIONAL NOTES

Introduce the purposes of the unit: 1) to develop the skills and habits used in conducting independent research to deepen understanding; and 2) to use those skills and habits in developing and communicating an evidence-based perspective on a topic by the end of the research process (in Part 5).

### INTRODUCTORY DISCUSSION OF RESEARCH

Begin the unit with a discussion of the nature, process, and tools of research. This unit approaches research as something literate people do to deepen their understanding of topics and develop a perspective that evolves as new evidence is found, analyzed and incorporated. Discuss with students:

◊   how this differs from having an opinion and setting out trying to find support for it

◊   how successful researchers follow a general iterative process and use tools and strategies to find, analyze, and organize information

◊   how this process leads researchers to adopt different points of view and to explore different paths as a consequence of their findings

◊   how a researched understanding and perspective serves many purposes, among them:
   ⇒ Writing an article, essay, or academic paper on a topic or text
   ⇒ Developing a position on a controversial issue
   ⇒ Developing business plans
   ⇒ Designing and building objects
   ⇒ Informing personal and community decision-making
   ⇒ Developing processes and plans
   ⇒ Writing fictional or historical narratives
   ⇒ Giving presentations

### OVERVIEW RESEARCH PROCESS AND PORTFOLIO

Overview the two related instructional focuses: 1) a strategic research *process* and 2) an *organizational* system for annotating and archiving sources and making and recording notes and analysis.  It's important that students have an initial understanding of the process so they can allow themselves to explore the topic and sources before feeling like they need to develop a final position or thesis. Likewise it's important that students use the portfolio to organize and store their research and analysis so they have a strong record from which to draw upon to develop their evidence-based perspectives into the various products they will create in order to communicate that new perspective.

***Process***

This unit introduces students to a research process. Stress that while students will follow the process sequentially, they will also return to many of the steps and repeat them as their research develops.

Use the Student Research Plan to give students an overview of the process, briefly explaining the elements and importance of each stage. Its purpose is to highlight the general research process, showing the steps students will take and the tools they will use. Students can use it as a guide or checklist while working. They can also use it as a reference for future research projects in ELA or other disciplines.

**INSTRUCTIONAL NOTES**

*Portfolio*

Throughout the research process, students are expected to use a structured organizational system for annotating and analyzing sources and recording and storing information. As they work through the steps, they build Research Portfolios consisting of various tools that guide, store, and organize their research and analysis. The portfolio may be either electronic or on paper.

Use the Portfolio Description to introduce and explain the purpose and structure of each section.

The Research Portfolio is not filled sequentially. Its purpose is to organize information and analysis **throughout the research process**, as opposed to compiling and organizing information **at the end of the process**. Organizing information along the way helps focus research and supports comprehension and successful writing.

Inquiry Questions are at the heart of the process and guide students every step of the way. Students are constantly asking and answering questions, and the Research Portfolio is a reflection of the process that they follow. The Research Frame will then help students organize the search and the information even further, grouping Inquiry Questions into coherent Inquiry Paths in a meaningful way.

Student research thus remains organized at all times, allowing them to browse within their materials, to establish connections easily, and to decide what inquiry steps to take next based on the analysis of their current findings.

| PORTFOLIO SECTIONS | CONTENT |
|---|---|
| **SECTION 1: DEFINING AN AREA OF INVESTIGATION**<br>*This section stores all the work you do exploring the topic and choosing an Area of Investigation.* | Exploring a Topic<br>Area Evaluation Checklist<br>Potential Sources (from pre-searches) |
| **SECTION 2: GATHERING AND ANALYZING INFORMATION**<br>*This section stores all the information you gather throughout your investigation. It also stores your notes and analysis of sources. All the tools should be grouped by source.* | Potential Sources<br>Annotated Sources<br>Personal Drafts<br>Taking Notes (about sources)<br>Forming EBC |
| **SECTION 3: DRAWING CONCLUSIONS**<br>*This section stores your Notes and EBCs about Inquiry Paths, your research evaluations, and the personal perspective that you come to at the end of your inquiry. Group the Taking Notes, Forming EBC or Organizing EBC by Inquiry Path.* | Taking Notes (about Inquiry Paths)<br>Forming EBC<br>Organizing EBC<br>Synthesizing EBC<br>Research Evaluation<br>Evidence-Based Perspective |
| **SECTION 4: DISCARDED MATERIAL**<br>*This section stores all the sources and analysis that you have discarded throughout your investigation. The purpose of this section is to keep a record of discarded materials until the end of the research process in case you change your mind and want to use them.* | |

# INITIATING INQUIRY

**OBJECTIVE:** Students learn the purposes and processes of using inquiry and research to deepen understanding. Students initiate inquiry on a topic through collaboratively generating questions to direct and frame research. By the end of Part 1, students will have chosen an Area of Investigation and developed Inquiry Questions.

## ACTIVITIES

**MATERIALS:**
Texts #1-3
Student Research Plan
TCD Checklist
Exploring a Topic
Potential Sources
Area Evaluation Checklist
Posing Inquiry Questions
Research Criteria Matrix

**1- EXPLORING A TOPIC**
The teacher leads a class exploration of a topic. Students independently explore the research topic.

**2- CONDUCTING PRE-SEARCHES**
Students conduct pre-searches for sources around one or two Areas of Investigation to validate availability of information.

**3- VETTING AREAS OF INVESTIGATION**
Students vet their potential Areas of Investigation and develop a research question or problem.

**4- GENERATING INQUIRY QUESTIONS**
Students generate Inquiry Questions to guide their searches for information regarding their Areas of Investigation.

## ALIGNMENT TO CCSS

**TARGETED STANDARD(S):**
**W.8.7:** Conduct short research projects to answer a question (including a self-generated question), drawing on several sources and generating additional related, focused questions that allow for multiple avenues of exploration.
**W.8.8:** Gather relevant information from multiple print and digital sources, using search terms effectively; assess the credibility and accuracy of each source; and quote or paraphrase the data and conclusions of others while avoiding plagiarism and following a standard format for citation.
**W.8.9:** Draw evidence from literary or informational texts to support analysis, reflection, and research.

**SUPPORTING STANDARD(S):**
**W.8.4:** Produce clear and coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style are appropriate to task, purpose, and audience. **RI.8.1:** Cite the textual evidence that most strongly supports an analysis of what the text says explicitly as well as inferences drawn from the text. **RI.8.2:** Determine a central idea of a text and analyze its development over the course of the text, including its relationship to supporting ideas; provide an objective summary of the text. **SL.8.1:** Engage effectively in a range of collaborative discussions (one-on-one, in groups, and teacher-led) with diverse partners on grade 8 topics, texts, and issues, building on others' ideas and expressing their own clearly.

# ACTIVITY 1: EXPLORING A TOPIC

The teacher leads a class exploration of a topic. Students independently explore the research topic.

## EXPLORING A TOPIC TOOL

The **EXPLORING A TOPIC** tool helps students explore potential Areas of Investigation within a topic before choosing the one they will focus on. The tool prompts them to describe potential Areas of Investigation and why it presents an interest to them. They are then prompted to express the area succinctly in the form of a problem or question. It will also guide them in parts of the collaborative exploration process. An annotated version is provided for teachers.

## INSTRUCTIONAL NOTES

### NOTE ON UNIT TOPICS

Teachers can use the materials of this unit in multiple ways, depending on their students and curricular context. The entire class can focus on one topic with each student eventually investigating separate Areas of Investigation within this topic. The class could also focus on two or three topics. Limiting topics allows students to learn about other aspects of a topic from each other, and allows for deeper class discussions, helping students evaluate their plan, their strategic approach to the inquiry, and their findings. It also allows the teacher to model skills using common texts related to student's research and a wider curricular context. Regardless of the chosen approach, teachers can use topics and model common texts provided in the Topic Resource Repositories or choose their own topics and texts of similar richness and suitability. The Text Suitability Form (available for free at www.odelleducation.com) can help guide their research and selection of sources.

It is important for students to explore the topic for a few days to build an initial knowledge base and to discover various aspects of the topic that are of real interest to them. This exploration should take place in and outside of class—supported by interaction with a few common texts, as well as general discussion of the topic with their peers, teachers, and wider learning community.

By the end of these several days, each student should be able to summarize generally the growing conversation and to articulate a few areas that she or he would like to investigate. The Exploring a Topic Tool supports that work and captures it for evaluation by their teacher.

### DISCUSSING TOPICS AROUND COMMON TEXT

- Begin the research process with the idea of exploring a topic.
- Introduce the general topic.
- Make connections to curricular contexts if relevant.
- Use **Text #1 from a Resource Repository** (or a topical text of similar characteristics) to help introduce the topic and to stimulate thinking and interest in the topic.
- Have students read the text in groups of three using Guiding Questions:
  - ◊ What do I find interesting?
  - ◊ What do I want to learn more about?
- Have students share their current knowledge of the topic based on the common text as well as personal previous knowledge: What do they already know about this topic?
- Model posing of questions and have students pose their own about the topic based on the common text and their personal interests: What (more) do they want to know about this topic?

**Sections I-III (Topic Description, Possible Areas of Investigation, and Guiding Questions) of the Topic Resource Repository can be used to help pose questions and generate discussion.**

ODELL EDUCATION

CC BY-NC

## INSTRUCTIONAL NOTES

- After the class discusses their findings, students complete the following sections on page one of the Exploring a Topic tool:
    - ◊ Name
    - ◊ Topic
    - ◊ Brief account of class conversation.
- Work with the class to model filling in the Area of Investigation 1 section based on the class discussion:
    - ◊ They write a sentence describing the area that they would like to know more about. Ideally, this area should be described as a question or problem within the general topic.
    - ◊ Then in a sentence they explain why they are interested in this area of the topic.
    - ◊ Finally, in a sentence they explain how they came to this question or problem.


**EXPLORING A TOPIC INDEPENDENTLY**

- Students spend time outside class exploring the topic. Direct them to talk with peers, other teachers, librarians, or other members of their learning community, asking them what they know about the topic and what about it interests them. They should also informally search the Internet, libraries and other places to begin exploring various dimensions of the topic.

- Ideally, orient the students to a media specialist in the school, or organize a session in collaboration with a media specialist to help them identify the tools they can use to perform searches, and learn how to use them efficiently.

Make it clear to students that they are not yet searching for definitive sources or knowledge on the topic, but rather exploring various aspects of it through accessing the knowledge, questions, and perspectives of their learning community.

- Students should bring back to class 2 or 3 new potential Areas of Investigation, using the second page of the Exploring a Topic tool to record their thinking. They follow the same process as for Area of Investigation 1 explored in class, and write sentences to describe the potential Areas of Investigation, why they are interested in these areas and how they came to these questions or problems.

- Instruct the students to work on their sentences and complete the tool in class. They should be:

    - ◊ **Clear**: The meaning of the sentence must be understood immediately by the reader. An easy way for students to check for clarity is to read each sentence to a parent of peer, without giving them any clarification, and ask them to explain what they understood.
    - ◊ **Concise**: They must provide a direct answer to each of the prompts and contain no unnecessary words.
    - ◊ **Correct**: They should present no grammatical or spelling errors.

- Collect the tools to confirm completion and to evaluate for initial coherence.

- Instruct students to store their material in SECTION 1of their Research Portfolios: Defining an Area of Investigation.

# ☰ ACTIVITY 3: CONDUCTING
# ☰ PRE-SEARCHES

Students conduct pre-searches for sources around one or two Areas of Investigation to validate availability of information.

## INSTRUCTIONAL NOTES

Introduce the process of performing searches using Inquiry Questions, and the importance of recording the potential sources found.

### POSING INQUIRY QUESTIONS

Using Inquiry Questions is absolutely essential to the research process articulated in this unit. Developing student proficiency for posing general and specific questions to direct inquiry and deepen understanding is a central instructional focus. This questioning process, itself, is iterative and serves specific functions at different stages throughout the process. At this point, the goal of questioning is still explorative. Students have identified general areas of interest and now explore those areas to confirm their interest and the viability of the area to support research. At this stage, the Inquiry Questions are general. By the time these pre-searches (and eventual vetting) are done, students should be able to express their area of interest in a clear and coherent question or problem to guide their research. Once a research direction has been established, the role and nature of the Inquiry Question changes. Now the questions become more specific and serve to guide investigation in a way to gain a coherent and comprehensive perspective on their research question. These more specific Inquiry Questions will eventually make up a "frame" for ensuring sufficient research. At this stage, however, students should be simply introduced to the idea and importance of questioning and use more general questions to explore their potential Areas of Investigation.

- Explain the basic principles of using Inquiry Questions to guide initial searches. Inquiry questions can be simply defined as: questions that identify things you need to know about a topic and that will help guide your research and analysis.

- Brainstorm with the class possible Inquiry Questions that will help students conduct pre-searches on an Area of Investigation. **You may use the models in Section III of the Topic Repository.**

- Remind the students that at this stage of research they are looking for general information that will help them gain background knowledge and understanding of their potential Areas of Investigation.

To guide students in the brainstorming process, you might use the following basic Inquiry Questions from the Posing Inquiry Questions handout:

  ◊  How is it defined?
  ◊  Where did it originate?
  ◊  What is its history?
  ◊  What are its major aspects?
  ◊  What are its causes and implications?
  ◊  What other things is it connected to or associated with?
  ◊  What are its important places, things, people, and experts?

# ≡ ACTIVITY 3: CONDUCTING
# ≡ PRE-SEARCHES (CONT'D)

## POTENTIAL SOURCES TOOL

**POTENTIAL SOURCES** is a tool where students record general information about potential sources that they find while conducting research. They can also write a brief description of the content, key ideas / information and write personal comments. An annotated version is provided for teachers.

## INSTRUCTIONAL NOTES

### RECORDING SOURCES

- Introduce the structure and purposes of the Potential Sources tool. The annotated version of the tool can be used as a guide.

- Model its use with information from a few texts **(Texts #1-3 from a Topic Resource Repository, or other books, internet-based sources, etc. of similar complexity and richness)**, connecting the source to one of the general Inquiry Questions from class discussion.

- When modeling, spend some time explaining different ways that notes for the section on "General Content / Key Ideas / Personal Comments" can be made: quotes, facts and numbers, brief description of the content, personal impressions and evaluation of the quality of the content, etc. Explain how this information will be used in the next activity to validate the direction of the research and the availability of sources of information.

- Have students practice using the Potential Sources tool with common Texts #2 and #3 from a Topic Resource Repository (or text(s) provided by the teacher).

### CONDUCTING PRE-SEARCHES INDEPENDENTLY

- Students select two of their potential Areas of Investigation based on their previous assessment of relevance and interest.

- They conduct pre-searches and gather initial basic information, guided by some of their general Inquiry Questions.

- The goal of the pre-searches is to validate the availability of information, confirm further the student's level of interest in the potential Areas of Investigation, and refine the question or problem, and the scope of the area if necessary.

- For the purpose of this activity, students only use part of the tool:

  ◊ Name; Topic; Source (#  - Title - Author - Location - Publication Date);
    General content/ key ideas / personal comments

  Later on, they will record more information – related to their evaluation of the source's credibility, richness, and interest – when they use the Assessing Sources handout.

- Remind students that at this point, their notes must serve two main purposes: recording general information about a source, and providing relevant information about its content.

# ACTIVITY 4: VETTING AREAS OF INVESTIGATION

Students vet their potential Areas of Investigation and develop a research question or problem.

## AREA EVALUATION CHECKLIST

The **AREA EVALUATION CHECKLIST** guides students in the process of evaluating their potential Areas of Investigation. The checklist is used collaboratively with the teacher to determine if an area warrants investigation.

## INSTRUCTIONAL NOTES

- Students hand in their Exploring a Topic and Potential Sources tools and any initial notes they have from their pre-searches.

- Review the material in preparation for student-teacher conferences.

- Schedule an in-class conference with each student individually.

- The other students can be given time to work on their pre-searches or read additional sources while you are conferencing.

- Begin each conference by introducing the Area Evaluation Checklist. Show students how this tool will guide the conversation. Explain the different criteria.

- Work through the checklist with the student, probing and discussing the area based on the criteria.

- The goal of the conference is for the student to arrive at a written research question or problem.

- At the end of the conference, students file their Exploring a Topic tool, notes, and Area Evaluation Checklists in SECTION 1of their Research Portfolios: Defining an Area of Investigation.

# ACTIVITY 5: GENERATING INQUIRY QUESTIONS

Students generate Inquiry Questions to guide their searches for information regarding their Areas of Investigation.

## INSTRUCTIONAL NOTES

Students should now have decided on an Area of Investigation based on their exploration, pre-searches, and vetting discussion. They will have expressed their area in the form of a problem or overarching question. They now brainstorm **more specific questions** about their Area of Investigation that will guide their research.

Use the Posing Inquiry Questions handout to guide students in the brainstorming process and to help students generate, select, and refine their emerging Inquiry Questions.

- Model posing various types of questions about an Area of Investigation, building from students' reading of common texts **(Model Texts and questions from a Topic Resource Repository can be used).**

- Work through modeling and discussion to help students frame fruitful questions that require and will sustain research.

- Questioning should begin collaboratively, either as an entire class or in small groups.

- Students should help each other pose questions exploring as many possible aspects of the topic areas as possible. As with any brainstorming activity, volume should be the initial goal, allowing students to build off each other's ideas.

- One method could be to rotate each student to the head of the class. The student presents his or her Area of Investigation. The class then brainstorms questions while a scribe (student or teacher) records the questions on the board. When each student's brainstorm session is over, s/he records the questions on a sheet of paper. Students could also each write their questions on notecards as they contribute them to discussion. At the end of the brainstorming, the presenting student collects all the notecards from her peers.

- If technology permits, the collaborative questioning can be done with a Google doc or Smartboard, allowing all the students to share and record their questions electronically.

- Encourage students to build on and borrow questions posed by the group for other students' Areas of Investigation that may be related. (Note: this is a benefit of limiting the class to one or two general topics. If student topic areas are related, brainstormed questions can be left on the board.)

- Once the brainstorming process is over, use the checklist provided in the Posing Inquiry Questions handout to help students vet and refine their Inquiry Questions. Model the process with a student volunteer's list of questions then have students work independently on their personal lists.

- Set a quantitative goal: at the end of the process, each student should have a list of 5-10 good Inquiry Questions.

# ASSESSMENT OPPORTUNITIES

In this part of the unit students will have produced:

    ◊   Exploring a Topic tools

    ◊   Potential Sources tools

    ◊   Area Evaluation Checklist

    ◊   Inquiry Questions

Evaluate these products, as well as their participation and discussion using the Research Criteria Matrix.

The **Research Criteria Matrix** articulates the key proficiencies and habits of the research process that students need to build. The Matrix breaks up the skills into categories, articulating the various criteria within each by which to evaluate student performance. These skills and habits span the entire research process and should be tracked to ensure appropriate development. For each criterion, grade-level performance descriptors are provided to support evaluation.

For Part 1, examine student products and performance for initial ability in the following criteria:

- Setting direction for research

- Posing Inquiry Questions

Structured and purposeful collaboration plays an important role in this initial part.  Developing an understanding that research involves a combination of collaborative and independent skills is an essential objective of the unit. Many of the activities are designed for building collaborative literacy skills. The Text-Centered Discussion Checklist can be a resource for supporting this instruction and evaluation. One strategy for using the TCD checklist in this context is to identify one to three of the criteria for the class as a whole to focus on throughout this unit. As students make their way through the unit, teachers can continually return to this focus. For example, the three "Questioning" criteria (Posing Questions, Responding to Questions, and Making Connections) might be a good natural focus for collaboration skills.

When students choose their potential Areas of Investigation, they are asked to produce coherent thinking and writing describing them. They also are expected to articulate each of their refined and vetted potential areas as a coherent problem or overarching Inquiry Questions. Students should receive feedback on the quality of their sentence construction so that they can begin to think about how to more clearly articulate their thinking and research findings.

# GATHERING INFORMATION

**OBJECTIVE:** Students learn how to conduct searches, assess and annotate sources, and keep an organized record of their findings. By the end of Part 2, students will have framed their inquiry and gathered their main body of research material.

## ACTIVITIES

**MATERIALS:**
Texts # 2-6
Potential Sources
Assessing Sources Handout
Taking Notes
Posing Inquiry Questions
Research Frame
Research Criteria Matrix

**1- PLANNING FOR SEARCHES**
The teacher works with students to determine organizing strategies, and types and locations of sources in order to plan for searches.

**2- ASSESSING SOURCES**
The teacher explains and models how to assess sources to determine their credibility and relevance to Inquiry Questions.

**3- MAKING AND RECORDING NOTES**
The teacher explains how to annotate sources and record key information, personal impressions and ideas for further exploration of the Area of Investigation.

**4- BUILDING AN INITIAL RESEARCH FRAME**
Students reflect on their research strategy based on their findings and build a Research Frame that will guide their further investigation.

**5- CONUCTING SEARCHES INDEPENDENTLY**
Students use their Inquiry Questions and Paths to conduct strategic searches for potential sources annotating, making, and recording notes.

## ALIGNMENT TO CCSS

**TARGETED STANDARD(S):**
**W.8.7:** Conduct short research projects to answer a question (including a self-generated question), drawing on several sources and generating additional related, focused questions that allow for multiple avenues of exploration. **W.8.8:** Gather relevant information from multiple print and digital sources, using search terms effectively; assess the credibility and accuracy of each source; and quote or paraphrase the data and conclusions of others while avoiding plagiarism and following a standard format for citation.
**W.8.9:** Draw evidence from literary or informational texts to support analysis, reflection, and research.

**SUPPORTING STANDARD(S):**
**W.8.4:** Produce clear and coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style are appropriate to task, purpose, and audience. **RI.8.1:** Cite the textual evidence that most strongly supports an analysis of what the text says explicitly as well as inferences drawn from the text. **RI.8.2:** Determine a central idea of a text and analyze its development over the course of the text, including its relationship to supporting ideas; provide an objective summary of the text. **RI.8.4:** Determine the meaning of words and phrases as they are used in a text, including figurative, connotative, and technical meanings; analyze the impact of specific word choices on meaning and tone, including analogies or allusions to other texts. **RI.8.6:** Determine an author's point of view or purpose in a text and analyze how the author acknowledges and responds to conflicting evidence or viewpoints. **RI.8.10:** By the end of the year, read and comprehend literary nonfiction at the high end of the grades 6–8 text complexity band independently and proficiently.

## ODELL EDUCATION

Activities 1-3 introduce and explain key research proficiencies that students will use with various degrees of independence when searching for sources. While the skills of finding, assessing, and annotating sources are introduced here, support and instruction on their development should continue as students progress through their research. The activities use common texts and student-found material to model searching for and assessing sources as well as a method for annotating texts and making notes. Instruction on these critical proficiencies should be integrated and sustained. A cyclical approach of introductory discussion, modeling, independent practice, and group reflection on experience, taking place over several days, is suggested. Discussion is key for students to process new information and ideas and learn successful practices from their peers. Teachers will need to determine which activities need more time and support based on the proficiency of students. The Research Criteria Matrix can be used to help evaluate these proficiencies.

# ACTIVITY 1: PLANNING FOR SEARCHES

The teacher works with students to determine organizing strategies, and types and locations of sources in order to plan for searches.

## INSTRUCTIONAL NOTES

Search processes in any type of research involve strategic planning and skills. Students should understand that while the research process relates to informal searching they may have done, one of the purposes of this unit is to develop those informal habits into productive literacy proficiencies. Students will have likely performed online searches based on personal curiosities. The goal here is to encourage that curiosity and make it more productive by teaching them ways to approach searching in a research context. Discuss three aspects of planning for searches: selecting Inquiry Questions, determining where to look for sources and choosing key words or phrases for online searches.

### USING INQUIRY QUESTIONS

It's impossible to look for answers to all the questions at once. Effective and efficient searches for information begin with a focus—which is not to say that they don't lead to new and unforeseen directions. An initial focus however, guides searching in productive directions. In addition to the simple use of questions to guide inquiry, it is also helpful to consider how one might sequence those searches, building a base of knowledge that will help inform and direct subsequent inquiry. Students should not feel constrained by a rigid and static system, but should rather build a sense that strategic planning can make research more productive, efficient and successful—especially when dealing with deadlines.

- Introduce students to a few guidelines for planning Inquiry Question-based searches:
    - ◊ Focus searches on specific Inquiry Questions.
    - ◊ Move from general Inquiry Questions to specific.
    - ◊ Move from ones more easily answered to more complex questions.
    - ◊ Group questions around themes. These thematic groups of Inquiry Questions can be referred to as Inquiry Paths. Later in the process when student have more information and understanding about their Area of Investigation, they will return to this idea and spend more time building Inquiry Paths. At this stage, it is enough to introduce the concept the of grouping questions thematically.
    - ◊ Emphasize that the plan is not static, but can evolve as knowledge and understanding of the area deepens.

- Model organizing brainstormed Inquiry Questions into an initial plan using student work or **Questions and Areas of Investigation from a Topic Resource Repository.**

## INSTRUCTIONAL NOTES

### WHERE TO LOOK FOR SOURCES

A crucial aspect of planning for searches is determining where to look for information depending on the questions asked. Typically, you would not go to a natural history museum to look for a pancake recipe!

- Open with a class discussion on various kinds of sources students found in their pre-searches (articles, fiction, interview, images, field research, primary and secondary sources, interviews, expert opinions, etc.), highlighting those that might be especially relevant to the class topic(s).

- Discuss various places where sources can be found and the associated search methods. You can direct the class discussion by asking these questions:

    ◊ Which locations would you consider reliable to look for specialized information?

        ∗ If I am looking for answers to questions relating to specific domains like medicine, biology, history, art, law, or architecture, I should be looking for specialized libraries / library sections or websites.

        ∗ If I don't know where to look for specialized information, I might want to ask a librarian for guidance.

    ◊ What sorts of sources should I look for depending on the kinds of information I want?

        ∗ If I am looking for facts and numbers, I might want to search for reports.

        ∗ If I am looking for an explanation of an historical or political event, I might look into articles in specialized magazines or books on the subject.

        ∗ If I am looking for information on a public figure's opinion on a subject, I might look for speeches delivered or articles written by this person, or interviews with this person on the topic.

        ∗ If I am investigating agricultural practices, I might consider visiting a farm.

- Use the list of Inquiry Questions of a student volunteer, choosing one or two questions and model planning places to look for sources.

- Then have students work in pairs to discuss where they would look for sources to answer their own Inquiry Questions.

- They can keep their notes in SECTION 2 of their Research Portfolios: Gathering and Analyzing Information.

# ACTIVITY 1: PLANNING FOR SEARCHES (CONT'D)

## INSTRUCTIONAL NOTES

### CHOOSING KEY WORDS OR PHRASES

Successful online searches can only be performed by using appropriate words and phrases. The search engine will provide a list of sites based on a request. So the more focused, clear, precise and domain-specific requests are, the more accurate and relevant the search results will be.

Modeling Internet and database searches presents a great opportunity for **vocabulary development** focusing on key domain-specific words, as well as exercises in variations of words, word families, and key distinctions among "synonyms."

- One activity might involve doing a search with two particular words associated with a student's Area of Investigation:
    - ◊ After briefly examining the list of resulting titles, change one of the words for a "synonym."
    - ◊ Discuss the differences in the resulting titles based on differences between the two words.
    - ◊ Explain the notion of domain-specific vocabulary.

- Using a short common text, show how the use of adequate terms is essential to investigating a specific domain and to write or speak about it.

- Using their Inquiry Questions and the sources found during their pre-searches, students prepare an initial set of key words or phrases.

- Instruct students to mark and record domain-specific terms that are relevant to their research in order to use them in their work.

Partnering with the public or school librarian/media center specialist (perhaps actually holding class in the library/center) may help facilitate modeling of appropriate searches.

If technology permits, modeling of online repository and search engine searches should be done live for the class.

# ACTIVITY 2: ASSESSING SOURCES

The teacher explains and models how to assess sources to determine their credibility and relevance to Inquiry Questions.

## INSTRUCTIONAL NOTES

### EVALUATION FACTORS

- Explain why the assessment of a source's credibility, richness and interest is fundamental to the selection of sources for the research:
    - ◊ to reflect on and evaluate the source of the information
    - ◊ to purge one's research during the process (eliminating the least credible and relevant)
    - ◊ to identify the most important sources to analyze more deeply through close reading

- Introduce the Assessing Sources Handout, and use it as a guide to lead a class discussion about credibility, accessibility and interest, relevance and richness.

# ACTIVITY 2: ASSESSING SOURCES (CONT'D)

## INSTRUCTIONAL NOTES

**DISCUSSING CREDIBILITY AND RELEVANCE OF MODEL SOURCES**

- Using the Assessing Sources handout, model for students how to do a quick analysis of **Text #2 from the Resource Repository** (or a similar background text provided by the teacher).

- Have students read the text themselves with guiding questions to help them look for specific details about the texts. Ask them to annotate the texts and take notes on a draft about details that stood out to them and answer their guiding questions.

- Have students consider and discuss whether it is accessible and potentially interesting to them, making sure they support the answers with elements from the text.

- Then walk students through the handout's process and questions for assessing credibility and relevance.

- Show how the resulting assessment will be recorded on the Potential Sources tool (High, Medium, Low).

- Model and discuss assessing sources of uncertain credibility or suitability for specific Inquiry Questions. **(Texts #5 and #6 in a Topic Resource Repository might present possible models.)**

- Before students move on to assessing their own background sources, they can practice the use of the Assessing Sources process with **Text #3 from the Resource Repository**, working in pairs to talk through their preliminary analysis of the text's *credibility, accessibility, interest,* and *relevance.*


**INDEPENDENT ASSESSMENT OF SOURCES**

- Students go back to the sources they have recorded in their Potential Sources tool.

- Using the Assessing Sources Handout, students assess their sources for credibility, accessibility and interest, relevance and richness.

- Students may take this opportunity to purge their sources based on their assessment and make an extra personal note in the "comments" box to record the general outcome of the assessment when relevant.

- The class discusses the outcome of their independent assessment of their sources.

- Students comment about their strategies for purging sources and the difficulties encountered, if any.


**ORGANIZING THE RESEARCH PORTFOLIO**

- Instruct students to store all their tools, notes and handouts in SECTION 2 of their Research Portfolios: Gathering and Analyzing Information.

# ACTIVITY 3: MAKING AND RECORDING NOTES

The teacher explains how to annotate sources and record key information, personal impressions and ideas for further exploration of the Area of Investigation.

## INSTRUCTIONAL NOTES

### ANNOTATING SOURCES

The first step in recording important information about a source is annotating a printed version of the source with pencil, highlighter or markers, or an electronic version of the source using electronic highlighting and commenting tools. **(Texts #1-5 from a Topic Resource Repository can used for modeling and student work on annotation.)**

- The annotation process includes:
  - ◊ marking key information, words, and concepts
  - ◊ recording initial impressions,
  - ◊ identifying areas for possible further exploration,
  - ◊ making connections to other sources,
  - ◊ coding details to the Inquiry Paths of the Research Frame.
- The teacher models the process with part of a common text and provides guides for annotating a text when reading for specific purposes.
- Then students practice annotating the rest of the text individually.
- Student volunteers share their annotations and the class discusses their relevance.
- Explain that annotated texts are valuable sources of information and should always be stored and organized in SECTION 2 of the Research Portfolio.

## TAKING NOTES TOOL

The **TAKING NOTES** tool helps students make and organize notes on sources with respect to their Research Frame. It is based on the principle of "two column notes" (also known as the Cornell system), providing spaces for both note "taking" (recording information) and note "making" (commenting on that information). It sets up detail-based textual and cross-textual analysis and claim making. The sheet is divided into three sections: source reference, details and comments. An annotated version is provided for teachers.

## INSTRUCTIONAL NOTES

### TAKING NOTES

- Introduce the Taking Notes tool.
- Using the same common text, and the Inquiry Questions developed for modeling the process, model taking notes on the tool.
- Then, go back to the notes and add personal comments about the details recorded.
- Students read a new common text. In small groups, they annotate it, and take / make notes on a Taking Notes tool.
- Students will initially use a Taking Notes tool for each source, as it is the most natural and simple way of organizing notes when reading a specific source.

## INSTRUCTIONAL NOTES

### USING VARIOUS SOURCES TO ANSWER AN INQUIRY QUESTION

- Go back to the two model Taking Notes, from the common texts read in class, and use colored pencils or markers to mark notes addressing the same Inquiry Questions across both sources.

- Explain another way of taking notes based on this observation: Organizing notes by Inquiry Question or Path rather than by source. This allows students to develop a series of key details and comments addressing the same Inquiry Question or Path. Connections can be made and related information can thus be analyzed throughout the research process instead of at the end. This will help students:

  ◊ see repeated information from multiple sources

  ◊ identify gaps, as they assess information per each Inquiry Question or Path

  ◊ make connections between the details collected and draw conclusions

  ◊ identify new investigation paths based on their analysis of the information collected to that point

  ◊ determine the need to make adjustments to the Research Frame (adding, eliminating, re-grouping Inquiry Questions, reorganizing Inquiry Paths, etc.)

  ◊ analyze the information collected for each Inquiry Path easily when they will need to develop their evidence-based perspectives

- This alternate organization of notes can be achieved by coding notes made on sources with colors across multiple Taking Notes tools (if notes are made on paper), or by copy-pasting electronic notes from different sources onto a new Taking Notes tool addressing one Inquiry Question or Path.

### TAKING NOTES INDEPENDENTLY

- Students go back to their sources and select the ones that rated higher during the assessing sources process.

- They use their notes in the General Content box in the Potential Sources tool to connect sources to specific Inquiry Questions.

- They read these sources closely using their Inquiry Questions as guiding questions and take notes on a Taking Notes tool.

- They can also use their annotations on paper or on file to identify important details that can be noted on Taking Notes.

This process will encourage them to think about the details drawn from their sources, analyze and connect them. At that point, they will have information that will allow them to plan for the next step: building a Research Frame.

- Instruct students to store their material in SECTION 2 of their Research Portfolios: Gathering and Analyzing Information.

# ACTIVITY 4: BUILDING AN INITIAL RESEARCH FRAME

Students reflect on their research strategy based on their findings and build a Research Frame that will guide their further investigation.

## INSTRUCTIONAL NOTES

**GROUPING QUESTIONS THEMATICALLY**

- Introduce the concept of Inquiry Paths. An Inquiry Path is a broad problem or question that defines a crucial aspect of the Area of Investigation that is necessary to explore for developing an evidence-based perspective.

- Explain the importance of organizing Inquiry Questions thematically, and defining Inquiry Paths within an Area of Investigation

- Model grouping questions thematically and creating Inquiry Paths. The teacher gives each Path a title expressed in the form of a question or problem. **(The Research Frame from a Topic Resource Repository can be used to model forming Inquiry Paths.)**

- Students review their list of Inquiry Questions about their Area of Investigation and determine themes and patterns. Encourage students to refine, combine, elaborate and add questions as they review them for themes.

- They group their questions based on these themes and patterns. Each group becomes an Inquiry Path. They give each Path a title.

- Students can also determine new Inquiry Paths based on their findings at this point, and then develop a series of Inquiry Questions that will help them address that Path.

Depending on ability, students could develop their Paths independently and then review them with a partner or reverse the process, working first with a partner and then completing them independently.

After the work is completed, ask students to reflect on their Area of Investigation, and review all the titles of their Inquiry Paths to make sure that:

◊ they cover a wide range of aspects and questions about the Area of Investigation,

◊ they are clearly distinct from one another, and

◊ they seem to be equally important.

Students may be able to regroup Paths covering similar themes, or create new Paths to cover missing questions about the Area of Investigation.

# ACTIVITY 4: BUILDING AN INITIAL RESEARCH FRAME (CONT'D)

## RESEARCH FRAME TOOL

The **RESEARCH FRAME** is the result of the students' exploration of the research topic and the chosen Area of Investigation. It guides students throughout the research process and helps them organize their findings. It contains a brief description of the topic, the Area of Investigation, and several Inquiry Paths containing a list of questions to guide the research strategically.

## INSTRUCTIONAL NOTES

- Introduce the Research Frame tool and model building a Research Frame using the work performed grouping the Inquiry Questions:

    ◊ Each Inquiry Path becomes a high-level direction for their inquiry.

    ◊ The questions within the Paths become Inquiry Questions to be answered through research.

- Have students work independently to develop a detailed, organized Research Frame based on their grouping of Inquiry Questions.

Framing inquiry through Inquiry Paths allows students to have a plan for comprehensively exploring a topic. At every step of the investigation, students should go back to their Research Frame and ask themselves what they've learned, what questions they have answered, and what questions they should investigate next based on the results of their investigation at that point.

It is important to insist on the fact that the Research Frame is not meant to be "static". It will evolve as the student progresses. Questions within the Inquiry Paths may change, become obsolete, or new questions may be added. Entire Inquiry Paths may need to be abandoned or added as well. Even the framing of the Area of Investigation may evolve, as students may refine their angle of investigation. The Research Frame will also be revised in class as part of the process in Part 4.

Having a plan also frames inquiry as ideas to be explored and questions to be answered rather than beliefs to be proven. At this point in the process, it should be clearly stressed to students that they do not need to know what they think about their Area of Investigation or have a definitive opinion or perspective on it BEFORE they go through the next steps in the investigation. It is important to be explicit with students that they will come to an understanding from which they can develop an evidence-based perspective as a result of the research process, meaning AFTER they investigate.

The Research Frame is one way for students to frame their inquiry. The teacher may compare it to a detective's investigation plan.

- Instruct students to store their Research Frame in SECTION 2 of their Research Portfolios: Gathering and Analyzing Information.

# ☰ ACTIVITY 5: CONDUCTING SEARCHES INDEPENDENTLY

Students use their Inquiry Questions and Paths to conduct strategic searches for potential sources annotating, making, and recording notes.

## INSTRUCTIONAL NOTES

Students conduct the actual research, reproducing the 3 steps outlined in activities 1-3. They can use the Student Research Plan to guide them in the sequence of steps to follow and the supporting materials to use (tools and handouts).

Teachers should expect students to conduct some searches and find sources outside of class. Teachers should also work with students on their research in class. It is important for students to understand that developing their research proficiencies is central to their literacy education. It is not something they do outside of class, while in class instruction continues on something else (another book, unit, topic, etc.).

Class time during this process can be given to support student development of their searching, source assessing, and note-taking, as well as their ability to manage and monitor their progress through the research process. Teacher can choose to have students work independently, while he or she moves around the room monitoring and supporting, using issues and questions from individual students to instruct the entire class. Students can also work in groups on texts that are relevant to multiple students allowing for peer support.

Throughout all these activities, it is important that students build and maintain an organized Research Portfolio. They should be storing all their sources, tools and notes, coding and organizing them with respect to their Research Frames.

# ☰ ASSESSMENT OPPORTUNITIES

In this part of the unit students will have produced:
◊ Research Frame
◊ Potential Sources tools
◊ Annotated common texts
◊ Annotated sources
◊ Taking Notes tools

Evaluate these products, as well as their participation and discussion using the Research Criteria Matrix.

For Part 2, examine student products and performance for initial ability in the following criteria:
• Setting direction for research
• Posing Inquiry Questions
• Framing Inquiry Paths
• Developing research strategies
• Monitoring and evaluating progress
• Conducting inquiry-driven searches
• Assessing sources for credibility and relevance
• Organizing researched information
• Paraphrasing, quoting and referencing sources
• Annotating sources and noting connections and observations
• Reorganizing information based on deepening understanding.

ODELL EDUCATION

# DEEPENING UNDERSTANDING

**OBJECTIVE:** Students analyze key sources through close reading to deepen their understanding and draw personal conclusions about their Area of Investigation. By the end of Part 3, students will have a series of evidence-based claims addressing each Inquiry Path of their Research Frame.

## ACTIVITIES

**1- SELECTING KEY SOURCES**
The teacher discusses how to identify the most relevant sources and helps students select key sources to analyze through close reading.

**2- READING SOURCES CLOSELY**
Students use their Inquiry Questions to read key sources closely, analyzing them for content, perspective, and relevance.

**3- DISCUSSING TYPES OF CLAIMS**
The teacher explains, models and works with students on making various types of evidence-based claims using student research.

**4- WRITING EVIDENCE-BASED CLAIMS ABOUT SOURCES**
Students develop evidence-based summaries and evaluations/interpretations/criticisms of relevant sources using their notes and annotations.

**MATERIALS:**
Texts # 7-10
Research Frame
Assessing Sources Handout
Forming EBC
Forming EBC Handout
EBC Criteria Checklist
Writing EBC Handout
Connecting Ideas Handout
Research Criteria Matrix

## ALIGNMENT TO CCSS

**TARGETED STANDARD(S):**

**W.8.7:** Conduct short research projects to answer a question (including a self-generated question), drawing on several sources and generating additional related, focused questions that allow for multiple avenues of exploration. **W.8.8:** Gather relevant information from multiple print and digital sources, using search terms effectively; assess the credibility and accuracy of each source; and quote or paraphrase the data and conclusions of others while avoiding plagiarism and following a standard format for citation. **W.8.9:** Draw evidence from literary or informational texts to support analysis, reflection, and research. **RI.8.7:** Evaluate the advantages and disadvantages of using different mediums (e.g., print or digital text, video, multimedia) to present a particular topic or idea. **RI.8.9:** Analyze a case in which two or more texts provide conflicting information on the same topic and identify where the texts disagree on matters of fact or interpretation. **RI.8.10:** By the end of the year, read and comprehend literary nonfiction at the high end of the grades 6–8 text complexity band independently and proficiently.

**SUPPORTING STANDARD(S):**

**W.8.4:** Produce clear and coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style are appropriate to task, purpose, and audience. **W.8.5:** With some guidance and support from peers and adults, develop and strengthen writing as needed by planning, revising, editing, rewriting, or trying a new approach, focusing on how well purpose and audience have been addressed. **RI.8.1:** Cite the textual evidence that most strongly supports an analysis of what the text says explicitly as well as inferences drawn from the text. **RI.8.2:** Determine a central idea of a text and analyze its development over the course of the text, including its relationship to supporting ideas; provide an objective summary of the text. **RI.8.4:** Determine the meaning of words and phrases as they are used in a text, including figurative, connotative, and technical meanings; analyze the impact of specific word choices on meaning and tone, including analogies or allusions to other texts. **RI.8.6:** Determine an author's point of view or purpose in a text and analyze how the author acknowledges and responds to conflicting evidence or viewpoints.

# ACTIVITY 1: SELECTING KEY SOURCES

The teacher discusses how to identify the most relevant sources and helps students select key sources to analyze through close reading.

## INSTRUCTIONAL NOTES

**CONNECTING SOURCES TO INQUIRY PATHS**

- Using the Research Frame, the general comments on content in the Potential Sources tools, and the notes on Taking Notes, model connecting sources to Inquiry Paths.
- The connection is recorded in the "Connection to Inquiry Paths" box using the reference number assigned to each Inquiry Path.
- Students connect their sources to their Inquiry Paths.

**SELECTING KEY SOURCES**

- Introduce the idea that some key sources require close reading in order to extract important details and to analyze more deeply their ideas and perspectives.
- The selection should be made based on the assessment of credibility, accessibility/interest, and relevance/richness performed in the Potential Sources tool. Personal notes recorded on the same tool may also help select key sources.
- Model using the information recorded in a Potential Sources tool to select key sources (the Assessing Sources handout can also be used).
- Then have students review their notes on Potential Sources and Taking Notes, and their annotation on the sources to determine which sources need close reading.
- Students select at least one key source per Inquiry Path to analyze through close reading.

# ACTIVITY 2: READING SOURCES CLOSELY

Students use their Inquiry Questions to read key sources closely, analyzing them for content, perspective, and relevance.

## INSTRUCTIONAL NOTES

In this activity, students employ skills developed in the Reading Closely for Textual Details and Making Evidence-Based Claims units to analyze selected sources for content and perspective. The approach to close reading developed in those units and incorporated here involves strategically questioning texts to access deep meaning associated with key textual details. In the Reading Closely unit, students develop this proficiency using a general Guiding Question framework. Now, in the context of their research, students use their Inquiry Questions to guide their analysis. If their students need further work on developing independence in close reading, teachers are encouraged to use the additional materials and approaches contained in the Reading Closely Unit.

# ACTIVITY 2: READING SOURCES CLOSELY (CONT'D)

## FORMING EBC TOOL

The **FORMING EVIDENCE-BASED CLAIMS** tool incorporates skills students develop in the Reading Closely and Making Evidence-Based Claims units. Students use an Inquiry Question to guide their reading, marking details that help them answer this question. Then, they select details that seem most relevant, record their thoughts and connections, and make a claim they have come to from their analysis that answers their Inquiry Question.

## INSTRUCTIONAL NOTES

- Model close reading to answer Inquiry Questions with the students using a common text. **(Text #7 from a Topic Resource Repository presenting rich argumentation and a perspective or a rich student-found source can be used).**

- Orient students to the Forming EBC tool.

- Work through the tool as a class, guiding your reading with an Inquiry Question, marking the text for relevant details, selecting key ones, recording what you think about them and connections you make among them, and (possibly) developing a claim that answers your Inquiry Question from your thinking and the textual evidence.

### INDEPENDENT CLOSE READING OF SOURCES

- Students close read the sources they have selected in Activity 1 using the Forming EBC tool.

- Support students as they work, helping them select details that relate to their Inquiry Questions and make connections among them.

Teachers can choose to have students work across several days in class, reading closely and analyzing a number of their key sources.

- At the end of this activity, instruct students to store their Forming EBC tools in SECTION 2 of their Research Portfolios: Gathering and Analyzing Information.

# ACTIVITY 3: DISCUSSING TYPES OF CLAIMS

The teacher explains, models and works with students on making various types of evidence-based claims using student research.

## INSTRUCTIONAL NOTES

The ability to make claims based on evidence gleaned from a close strategic reading of sources is essential to the research process. Activities 1 and 2 stressed the importance of analyzing sources guided by Inquiry Questions. Now instruction moves to developing an understanding of the different types of claims that may emerge in response to different types of Inquiry Questions.

# ACTIVITY 3: DISCUSSING TYPES OF CLAIMS (CONT'D)

## INSTRUCTIONAL NOTES

Some Inquiry Paths are satisfied with **paraphrasing** claims. Some aspects of research require the collection of information. For example, it might be essential for my research to know, "What are the various ways water can be made potable?" I need to look for sources that contain this information and summarize it in my analysis. Within the same area, another Inquiry Question might be, "What are the most sustainable ways to develop potable water?" Answering this Inquiry Question might involve evaluation on behalf of the researcher and require an **evaluative** evidence-based claim. If my Inquiry Question was, "Why do people buy bottled water?", I might need to make an **interpretive** claim based on my assessment of the evidence. I will also need to make **synthesizing** claims that connect multiple claims associated with several of my Inquiry Questions or paths as I develop my evidence-based perspective.

- As a class, discuss a variety of Inquiry Questions and determine what types of claims and evidence would be necessary to address them. **(Model Inquiry Questions from a Text Resource Repository or student questions can be used as a basis for class discussion.)**

- Explain and model for students making different types of claims to address various Inquiry Questions.

- Select at least one Inquiry Question to model each type of EBC. The EBC Criteria Checklist can be used.

- Use notes on Taking Notes tools to find important related details, and work from Forming EBC tool to develop different types of claims to answer each Inquiry Question.

- At the end of the activity, instruct students to store their material in SECTION 2 of their Research Portfolios: Gathering and Analyzing Information.

# ≡ ACTIVITY 4: WRITING EVIDENCE-BASED ≡ CLAIMS ABOUT SOURCES

Students develop evidence-based summaries and evaluations/interpretations/criticisms of relevant sources using their notes and annotations.

## INSTRUCTIONAL NOTES

At this point, students will have analyzed several key sources and discussed the various types of evidence-based claims employed in answering Inquiry Questions. They now develop a few written evidence-based claims addressing some of their Inquiry Questions, based on their analyzed sources.

- Have students pick one of the Forming EBC tools that contains their analysis of a source based on an Inquiry Question.
- Students determine what type of claim is needed to address that particular Inquiry Question.
- Students review the Forming EBC tool and assess whether they have made an appropriately supported claim. They should revise it if needed.
- Based on their Forming EBC tool, students develop the claim into a written paragraph.
    - ◊ The paragraph should state and explain the claim, and incorporate evidence through direct quote and paraphrase to support it.
    - ◊ Proper transitional phrases and citations should be included.
    - ◊ The EBC Criteria Checklist, Writing Evidence-Based Claims and Connecting Ideas handouts can be used to support instruction on writing evidence-based claims.
- Have students determine and write at least two different types of claims that appropriately address different Inquiry Questions. They should then file them in SECTION 2 of their Research Portfolios.

# ≡ ASSESSMENT OPPORTUNITIES

In this part of the unit students will have produced:
   - ◊ Forming EBC tools
   - ◊ Annotated common texts
   - ◊ Annotated sources
   - ◊ Written Evidence-Based Claims

Evaluate these products, as well as their participation and discussion using the Research Criteria Matrix.

For Part 3, examine student products and performance for ability in the following criteria:
- Posing Inquiry Questions
- Framing Inquiry Paths
- Monitoring and evaluating progress
- Assessing sources for credibility and relevance
- Organizing researched information
- Paraphrasing, quoting and referencing sources
- Annotating sources and noting connections and observations
- Reorganizing information based on deepening understanding
- Analyzing sources for inquiry purposes
- Evaluating sources for evidence, claims, and arguments
- Identifying fallacious or unsupported reasoning
- Demonstrating understanding
- Supporting claims

# FINALIZING INQUIRY

| | |
|---|---|
| **OBJECTIVE:** | Students analyze and evaluate their material with respect to their Research Frame and refine and extend their inquiry as necessary. By the end of Part 4, students will have an analyzed body of research addressing their Research Frame from which to develop and communicate an evidence-based perspective on their Area of Investigation. |

## ACTIVITIES

**MATERIALS:**
Research Frame
Forming EBC
Organizing EBC
Synthesizing EBC
Connecting Ideas Handout
Research Evaluation
Research Criteria Matrix

**1- ADDRESSING INQUIRY PATHS**
Students review their notes and analysis across the sources to address one of their Inquiry Paths.

**2- ORGANIZING EVIDENCE**
Students review and organize their research and analysis, establishing connections to address all the Inquiry Paths of their Research Frame.

**3- EVALUATING RESEARCH**
Students review and discuss their Research Frames and researched materials to determine relevance, coherence, and sufficiency.

**4- REFINING AND EXTENDING INQUIRY**
Students refine and extend their scope of inquiry based on teacher and peer feedback.

## ALIGNMENT TO CCSS

**TARGETED STANDARD(S):**
**W.8.2:** Write informative/explanatory texts to examine a topic and convey ideas, concepts, and information through the selection, organization, and analysis of relevant content. **W.8.7:** Conduct short research projects to answer a question (including a self-generated question), drawing on several sources and generating additional related, focused questions that allow for multiple avenues of exploration. **W.8.8:** Gather relevant information from multiple print and digital sources, using search terms effectively; assess the credibility and accuracy of each source; and quote or paraphrase the data and conclusions of others while avoiding plagiarism and following a standard format for citation. **W.8.9:** Draw evidence from literary or informational texts to support analysis, reflection, and research.
**RI.8.7:** Evaluate the advantages and disadvantages of using different mediums to present a particular topic or idea.
**RI.8.9:** Analyze a case in which two or more texts provide conflicting information on the same topic and identify where the texts disagree on matters of fact or interpretation. **RI.8.10:** By the end of the year, read and comprehend literary nonfiction at the high end of the grades 6–8 text complexity band independently and proficiently.

**SUPPORTING STANDARD(S):**
**W.8.4:** Produce clear and coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style are appropriate to task, purpose, and audience. **W.8.5:** With some guidance and support from peers and adults, develop and strengthen writing as needed by planning, revising, editing, rewriting, or trying a new approach, focusing on how well purpose and audience have been addressed. **RI.8.1:** Cite the textual evidence that most strongly supports an analysis of what the text says explicitly as well as inferences drawn from the text. **RI.8.2:** Determine a central idea of a text and analyze its development over the course of the text, including its relationship to supporting ideas; provide an objective summary of the text. **RI.8.4:** Determine the meaning of words and phrases as they are used in a text, including figurative, connotative, and technical meanings; analyze the impact of specific word choices on meaning and tone, including analogies or allusions to other texts.
**RI.8.6:** Determine an author's point of view or purpose in a text and analyze how the author acknowledges and responds to conflicting evidence or viewpoints. **SL.8.1:** Engage effectively in a range of collaborative discussions (one-on-one, in groups, and teacher-led) with diverse partners on grade 8 topics, texts, and issues, building on others' ideas and expressing their own clearly.

# ACTIVITY 1: ADDRESSING INQUIRY PATHS

Students review their notes and analysis across the sources to address one of their Inquiry Paths.

### ORGANIZING EBC TOOL

The **ORGANIZING EVIDENCE-BASED CLAIMS** tool has been introduced in the Making Evidence-Based Claims unit. It helps students organize results of their findings, including their personal claims and the key ideas and information they have identified in the sources, into a more general claim that synthesizes their findings. Using one or more ORGANIZING EBC tools, students will be able to organize the necessary information to help them in the process of writing synthesizing claims for their Inquiry Paths.

### INSTRUCTIONAL NOTES

At this point, students will have analyzed several key sources, and then used evidence from those sources to develop and write various types of claims to answer Inquiry Questions. They now should begin to review their notes and analysis across the sources to address the Inquiry Paths that have framed their research. Teachers may choose to model this process for the class.

- Have students pick one of their Inquiry Paths.
- Students should compile all their notes, annotated sources, and Forming EBC tools that have been coded to that Inquiry Path.
- Then, using an Organizing EBC tool to organize the most relevant information, they develop a synthesizing EBC that addresses that Inquiry Path. The EBC Criteria Checklist can be used for support.

To tie multi-source analysis around Inquiry Paths more tightly to the close reading process, students can use a Forming EBC tool to build multi-source claims.

- Based on their Organizing EBC or Forming EBC tool, students develop an appropriate claim that addresses one of their Inquiry Paths into a written paragraph.

The paragraph should state and explain the claim, and incorporate evidence through direct quote and paraphrase to support it. Proper transitional phrases and citations should be included.

- Students write their claims in the Synthesizing EBC tool.
- At the end of the activity, they file their material in SECTION 3 of the Research Portfolios: Drawing Conclusions.

# ACTIVITY 2: ORGANIZING EVIDENCE

Students review and organize their research and analysis, establishing connections to address all the Inquiry Paths of their Research Frame.

### INSTRUCTIONAL NOTES

- Once students have had the experience of organizing and writing evidence-based claims to address an Inquiry Path, they should review and organize their research to address the others.
- Have students develop Organizing EBC tools to address each of their Inquiry Paths.
- Depending on organization of evidence, students may develop multiple claims to address some of their Inquiry Paths.

Emphasis here is on forming claims and organizing evidence; it is not necessary for them to write out paragraphs for each one. Remind students to file all their work in SECTION 3 of their Portfolios.

# ACTIVITY 3: EVALUATING RESEARCH

Students review and discuss their Research Frames and researched materials to determine relevance, coherence, and sufficiency.

## RESEARCH EVALUATION TOOL

The **RESEARCH EVALUATION** tool guides students in a process for evaluating their research. The tool consists of three parts to structure collaboration with teachers and peers to determine whether findings are credible, relevant and sufficient. The first part, the Research Evaluation Checklist, is used by teachers in teacher-student conferences. The second part, the Peer Evaluation of Research, presents a protocol for peer reviews. The third part, Revising Research, is used by students to respond to feedback from their teachers and peers. Based on this structured process, students consider alternative approaches to their investigation, which may result in the modification of their Inquiry Paths and the revision of their Research Frames.

## INSTRUCTIONAL NOTES

Peer and teacher reviews of research are an essential step in completing a successful research cycle. Students should have opportunity to present their findings for evaluation and respond to feedback by re-directing and extending their research. Teachers can structure this process through a simultaneous series of teacher-student conferences and peer group discussions.

- Schedule in-class teacher-student conferences for each student.

- Simultaneously, while individual students meet with you, have the other students form groups of three to conduct peer reviews. This two-part process allows students to build presentation and peer review skills and gain multiple perspectives on their research, while assuring a deep evaluation of the research by the teacher.

- Have students prepare for class by organizing their Research Portfolios and reviewing their claims addressing each Inquiry Path.

- Break students into groups of three, each taking turns presenting while the other two review and provide feedback.

- Instruct students to use the questioning protocol in Part 2 of the Research Evaluation Tool: Peer Evaluation of Research to guide their discussion and assessment.

- Peer reviewers use the protocol and tool to evaluate the presenter's research, rotating roles.

- While peer groups are discussing, meet with each student to evaluate their research yourself, using Part 1 of the Research Evaluation Tool: Research Evaluation Checklist.

- Students complete Part 3: Revising Research to plan for responding to peer and teacher feedback.

- The Research Evaluation should be kept in SECTION 3 of the Research Portfolio: Drawing Conclusions.

# ACTIVITY 4: REFINING AND EXTENDING INQUIRY

Students refine and extend their scope of inquiry based on teacher and peer feedback.

## INSTRUCTIONAL NOTES

Based on teacher and peer feedback, students identify how they will refine their scope of inquiry. Responding to feedback will include a combination of the following three activities:

**Refining Investigation**: Students refine and extend their Research Frames.

**Extending Research**: Students search for additional sources based on their revised Research Frames.

**Reading and Analyzing New Sources**: Students read new sources closely to develop relevant evidence -based claims.

### REFINING INVESTIGATION

- Based on their teacher and peer review discussions, students reconsider the scope of their initial Research Frame.
- Students use the Research Evaluation Tool to help structure their revised Research Frame.
- Students may need to pose new questions within existing paths or add a new Inquiry Path. They may need to reorganize questions in their existing Inquiry Paths.
- Students submit a revised Research Frame that addresses peer feedback for the teacher to review.

### EXTENDING RESEARCH

- Feedback may have pointed out gaps in information or perspectives. Information deemed untrustworthy as well.
- Students return to their sources and search for new ones to address these gaps.

### READING AND ANALYZING NEW SOURCES

- Using approaches and materials outlined in Parts 2 and 3, students find and analyze new sources to address their revised Research Frame.
- Students revise EBCs that were deemed unsupported and develop new ones that address additional Inquiry Paths.

### ORGANIZING THE RESEARCH PORTFOLIO

- Instruct students to store all their notes and tools in SECTION 2 of their Research Portfolios: Gathering and Analyzing Information.

# ASSESSMENT OPPORTUNITIES

In this part of the unit students will have produced:

- ◊ Forming EBC tools
- ◊ Annotated common texts
- ◊ Annotated sources
- ◊ Written Evidence-Based Claims
- ◊ Organizing EBC tools
- ◊ Revised Research Frame
- ◊ Potential Sources tools
- ◊ Taking Notes tools

Evaluate these products, as well as their participation and discussion using the Research Criteria Matrix.

For Part 4, examine student products and performance for ability in the following criteria:

- Posing Inquiry Questions
- Framing Inquiry Paths
- Monitoring and evaluating progress
- Assessing sources for credibility and relevance
- Assessing/comparing perspectives and bias
- Redirecting searches
- Paraphrasing, quoting and referencing sources
- Organizing researched information
- Annotating sources and noting connections and observations
- Reorganizing information based on deepening understanding
- Analyzing sources for inquiry purposes
- Evaluating sources for evidence, claims, and arguments
- Identifying fallacious or unsupported reasoning
- Integrating information across sources
- Demonstrating understanding
- Supporting claims
- Collaborating and responding to feedback
- Refocusing inquiry
- Student performance in the peer review discussion can be evaluated using the · Text-Centered Discussion Checklist

# PART 5

# DEVELOPING AND COMMUNICATING
# AN EVIDENCE-BASED PERSPECTIVE

**OBJECTIVE:** Students draw from their research and personal analysis to develop and communicate an evidence-based perspective. By the end of Part 5, students will have an organized body of research and have written an evidence-based perspective on their Area of Investigation to serve as a basis for a variety of purposes.

## ACTIVITIES

**MATERIALS:**
Research Frame
Organizing EBC
Synthesizing EBC
Evidence-Based Perspective
EBC Criteria Checklist
Connecting Ideas Handout
Research Criteria Matrix

**1- REVIEWING RESEARCH PORTFOLIOS**
Students review their Research Portfolios based on their revised Research Frames in preparation for final analysis.

**2- EXPRESSING AN EVIDENCE-BASED PERSPECTIVE**
Based on their claims for each Inquiry Path, students write a final EBC explaining their perspective on the Area of Investigation.

**3- WRITING A BIBLIOGRAPHY**
Students use their Potential Sources tool to write bibliographies listing all their sources.

**4- COMMUNICATING AN EVIDENCE-BASED PERSPECTIVE**
Students organize their evidence and research-based claims into a communication plan or product that addresses their purposes for research.

## ALIGNMENT TO CCSS

**TARGETED STANDARD(S):**
**W.8.2:** Write informative/explanatory texts to examine a topic and convey ideas, concepts, and information through the selection, organization, and analysis of relevant content. **W.8.4:** Produce clear and coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style are appropriate to task, purpose, and audience. **W.8.5:** With some guidance and support from peers and adults, develop and strengthen writing as needed by planning, revising, editing, rewriting, or trying a new approach, focusing on how well purpose and audience have been addressed. **W.8.7:** Conduct short research projects to answer a question (including a self-generated question), drawing on several sources and generating additional related, focused questions that allow for multiple avenues of exploration. **W.8.8:** Gather relevant information from multiple print and digital sources, using search terms effectively; assess the credibility and accuracy of each source; and quote or paraphrase the data and conclusions of others while avoiding plagiarism and following a standard format for citation. **W.8.9:** Draw evidence from literary or informational texts to support analysis, reflection, and research.
**RI.8.7:** Evaluate the advantages and disadvantages of using different mediums (e.g., print or digital text, video, multimedia) to present a particular topic or idea. **RI.8.9:** Analyze a case in which two or more texts provide conflicting information on the same topic and identify where the texts disagree on matters of fact or interpretation. **RI.8.10:** By the end of the year, read and comprehend literary nonfiction at the high end of the grades 6–8 text complexity band independently and proficiently.

**SUPPORTING STANDARD(S):**
**RI.8.1:** Cite the textual evidence that most strongly supports an analysis of what the text says explicitly as well as inferences drawn from the text. **RI.8.2:** Determine a central idea of a text and analyze its development over the course of the text, including its relationship to supporting ideas; provide an objective summary of the text. **RI.8.4:** Determine the meaning of words and phrases as they are used in a text, including figurative, connotative, and technical meanings; analyze the impact of specific word choices on meaning and tone, including analogies or allusions to other texts. **RI.8.6:** Determine an author's point of view or purpose in a text and analyze how the author acknowledges and responds to conflicting evidence or viewpoints.

ODELL EDUCATION

# ACTIVITY 1: REVIEWING RESEARCH PORTFOLIOS

Students review their Research Portfolios based on their revised Research Frames in preparation for final analysis.

## INSTRUCTIONAL NOTES

After extending and refining their research, students organize their Research Portfolios in preparation for crafting their evidence-based perspective. Section 2 should be complete, containing all the sources, annotated copies, notes and EBCs made by the students during Parts 2-4. The portfolios should also contain Organizing EBC tools for each Inquiry Path that synthesize information across its Inquiry Questions. The claims addressing at least one of the Inquiry Paths should be written out. The claims addressing these Inquiry Paths become the first part of Section 3 of their portfolios and form the basis of their evidence-based perspective on their Area of Investigation.

# ACTIVITY 2: EXPRESSING AN EVIDENCE-BASED PERSPECTIVE

Based on their claims for each Inquiry Path, students write a final EBC explaining their perspective on the Area of Investigation.

## EVIDENCE-BASED PERSPECTIVE TOOL

The **EVIDENCE-BASED PERSPECTIVE** is a written expression of the personal conclusions and perspectives drawn by the students from their research. It results from the analysis of the outcomes of the research, organized and supported by the claims they have developed for each of their Inquiry Paths. Drawing from their Organizing EBCs, students write a synthesizing account of their findings, expressing their perspective and supporting it with evidence and reasoning.

## INSTRUCTIONAL NOTES

- Have students draw from their Research Portfolios to write roughly a one-page synthesis expressing and supporting their perspective on their Areas of Investigation.

- The Writing EBC and the Connecting Ideas handouts can be used.

- These written perspectives should clearly and logically express their perspective, but do not need to fully summarize all of their research. The purpose of this writing is to develop their perspective based on their research. This perspective can then support the development of larger products by incorporating and explaining their entire body of research.

- Students should paraphrase and quote with proper citation the evidence they do use in crafting their perspectives.

- Students can write their perspectives as an in-class writing assignment for which they have prepared by organizing and finalizing their research portfolios.

- After teacher review, students can revise their writing inside or outside of class.

- Students can store their tools in SECTION 3 of their Research Portfolios: Drawing Conclusions.

# ACTIVITY 3: WRITING A BIBLIOGRAPHY

Students use their Potential Sources tool to write bibliographies listing all their sources.

### INSTRUCTIONAL NOTES

As part of their evidence-based perspective and to complete their Research Portfolios, students write a one or two page bibliography of all their sources. Students can work from their Potential Sources tools, transferring the relevant information. Teachers should use the bibliographic format they prefer and provide direct instruction for students on formatting their information accordingly.

# ACTIVITY 4: COMMUNICATING AN EVIDENCE-BASED PERSPECTIVE

Students organize their evidence and research-based claims into a communication plan or product that addresses their purposes for research.

### INSTRUCTIONAL NOTES

The focus of the *Researching to Deepen Understanding* activity sequence has been on just that, deepening each student's understanding of a chosen area of investigation, while also developing and applying the skills of effective inquiry and research: questioning, searching, reading closely, analyzing and recording information, organizing thinking, and generating relevant, research-based claims. At this point, students should have developed a well-supported perspective on their focused topic – a particular way of seeing that topic that has emerged through their inquiry. This perspective, and the understanding it represents, is an important outcome of any good research process. However, research is less meaningful (and potentially less rigorous) if there is no tangible result or product that communicates a student's understanding and perspective. Students and teachers, therefore, should emphasize an intended purpose and an anticipated result or product from the start of their investigation. At this final point in the unit, class activities should then focus on fulfilling students' purposes and generating those anticipated results and products.

Based on the context for the research and its primary purpose(s), any of the following results and products might be generated and evaluated at the end of the unit. For each possible result, the list of options below briefly describes the purpose and result, product, and instructional sequence that a teacher and students might consider. Whichever option is selected, or if another product is intended, teachers are encouraged to use their own best practices for delivering instruction and supporting their students.

## INSTRUCTIONAL NOTES

**A thesis-driven academic <u>argument</u>, research-based essay, or op/ed piece**

<u>Purpose and Result:</u> If the primary purpose for research is to find, organize, and use evidence to build an argument (whether more formal and academic or less formal and utilitarian) then students' research should result in a set of claims that can be seen as premises from which to construct an argument for the research-based position or perspective they have developed.

<u>Product(s):</u> Students will link the claims they have developed and organize the evidence they have gathered into a logical sequence of premises that make a case for their position on their topic. If the product is to be a written argument, then students may move on to *Core Proficiency Unit IV: Building Evidence-Based Arguments*. To prepare for the writing instruction in that unit, students can produce a well-developed plan for their argument, either in outline or diagrammatic form or in product forms that resemble a legal brief or a précis. Students might also engage in a class symposium, in which they outline their argument, and the evidence behind it, in a peer or jury-type review before other students in the class.

<u>Instructional Sequence:</u> Within the unit, students should read and analyze multiple examples of argumentation in their chosen topic area, both to build their understanding of various perspectives and to study how arguments are constructed (or misconstructed). As they complete their research, students will need to study the relationships among their perspective, claims, and evidence to determine a reasoned plan for argumentation. The instructional focus should be on the logical progression of claims, the adequacy of evidence, and the effectiveness of the case they can make for their position. Peer reviews might play a major role in preparing them to write a final argument.

**A research-based <u>explanation</u> of a phenomenon, issue, event, process, or device**

<u>Purpose and Result:</u> If the primary purpose for research is to deepen students' understanding of how something works, has occurred, is done by experts, or affects our lives, with the intent that that they can explain it in detail, then students should aim their research and thinking at developing a technical, scientific, social, or historical explanation that uses research to help others understand a particular phenomenon, issue, event, process, or device.

<u>Product(s):</u> Students will link the claims they have developed and organize the evidence they have gathered into an explanatory sequence that moves from background information to increasingly sophisticated details and analysis. The result might be a technical paper or manual, or something less formal intended for a general audience (a good model for this kind of writing might be Discovery Learning's *How It Works* web-based explanations), or a historical/social science analysis. In most cases, this will result in a piece of explanatory writing that may be accompanied by visual support, but it could also result in a multi-media presentation or speech.

<u>Instructional Sequence:</u> Within the unit, students should focus on informational sources that will build their understanding of the topic they are investigating and will ultimately need to explain in detail. They should read texts that exemplify how things are analyzed and explained in a particular field (science, social science, technical, the arts, consumer-related, etc.). As they complete their research, they should organize their claims and evidence into an explanatory sequence aimed at a particular audience and purpose. Peer reviews might play a major role in helping them develop explanations that are clear, coherent, and effective.

## INSTRUCTIONAL NOTES

**An <u>informational presentation</u> incorporating text, graphics and multi-media**

<u>Purpose and Result:</u> If the primary purpose for research is to build the student's own understanding (to inform a decision or support personal development), with an eye to sharing that understanding, then students might aim their research at producing an informational presentation that recaptures and presents what they have learned. This could be about a consumer product, a career option, or a topic of personal or community interest.

<u>Product(s):</u> Students will link the claims they have developed and organize the evidence they have gathered into an informational presentation, most likely one that involves the use of multi-media (e.g., a PowerPoint presentation or website). Students should think about how text, graphics, audio and video can be combined to communicate what they have learned, potentially using links to and content from websites they have searched.

<u>Instructional Sequence:</u> Within the unit, students should focus their research on gaining as much information about their topic as possible, think about how others might use that information, and identify good websites, videos, or graphics that they might use to convey what they have learned to others. As they conclude their research, they should learn how to use presentation or web-design tools to organize and communicate what they want to present, and focus on how text can be used sparingly but effectively in conjunction with other ways of communicating information. Peers can be seen as both practice and real audiences for student products and presentations.

**A <u>reflective narrative</u> of the process by which they have arrived at deepened understanding**

<u>Purpose and Result:</u> If the primary purposes for research are more open-ended, to follow an inquiry path to wherever it may lead, and to learn about the processes of effective research along the way, then students will want to document the "story" of their search and build in reflective points as they progress. The result should be a deepened understanding of both their topic and the experience of inquiry, and an emerging personal heuristic for conducting research that they can apply in future situations.

<u>Product(s):</u> Students will see the claims they have developed and the evidence they have gathered as the products of their search processes, and will focus their thinking and writing on recounting the steps that led to these outcomes and the story of their experiences in the search. This kind of reflective narrative as a research product we first championed by Ken Macrorie, who referred to the product as an "I-search paper," the purpose of which is to document the search as much as to present its results. Students typically use a chronological structure to organize and present their thinking, moving from "What I wanted to learn" to "How I searched and what I found" to "What I ultimately learned," discussing search processes, close readings, evidence gathered, and emerging understandings along the way. For this sort of communication to be most valuable, students should be expected to be reflective about what worked and what didn't, what they would do again and how they would improve their research processes in the future.

# ACTIVITY 4: COMMUNICATING AN EVIDENCE-BASED PERSPECTIVE (CONT'D)

## INSTRUCTIONAL NOTES

Instructional Sequence: Within the unit, students should concentrate on recording not only what they find but also what they did to find it, tracking their inquiry paths as they bend, branch, and are re-routed. Their claims should be seen as results of their search, and their perspective should be seen as the end point of the research "journey" they have been on. Students can be more open-ended in their search processes, following leads as much as trying to accumulate purposeful information. It is a good idea for them to maintain reflective journals in conjunction with their research journals (where information is recorded), and to also be reflective about their thinking and discoveries as they "make" notes. Peers can be used to help them reflect along the way and as an audience for their developing narrations.

# ASSESSMENT OPPORTUNITIES

After students have completed Part 5, teachers are able to assess if students have been able to successfully conclude a cycle of independent research. Many aspects of the proficiency can and should be assessed. The Research Portfolio can be used as evidence for the development of the full range of criteria expressed in the Research Criteria Matrix for all central areas of proficiency:

1. Setting direction for Inquiry and Research

2. Managing and evaluating research processes

3. Gathering and Assessing Sources

4. Analyzing/integrating/synthesizing Information

5. Recording and Organizing Information

6. Developing and Communicating an Evidence-Based Perspective

| STUDENT RESEARCH PLAN | | TOOLS AND HANDOUTS |
|---|---|---|
| **I. INITIATING INQUIRY**<br><br>*I determine what I want to know about a topic and develop inquiry questions that I will investigate.* | **1. Exploring a Topic**<br><br>**2. Choosing an Area of Investigation**<br><br>**3. Generating Inquiry Questions** | Exploring a Topic<br>TCD Checklist<br>Potential Sources<br>Area Evaluation Checklist<br>Posing Inquiry Questions Handout |
| **II. GATHERING INFORMATION**<br><br>*I find and take notes on sources that will help me answer my inquiry questions and define the scope of my investigation.* | **1. Finding and Assessing Sources**<br><br>**2. Making and Recording Notes**<br><br>**3. Framing Inquiry** | Potential Sources<br>Assessing Sources Handout<br>Taking Notes<br>Research Frame<br>Posing Inquiry Questions Handout |
| **III. DEEPENING UNDERSTANDING**<br><br>*I analyze key sources to deepen my understanding and answer my inquiry questions.* | **1. Selecting Key Sources**<br><br>**2. Analyzing Researched Information**<br><br>**3. Writing Evidence-Based Claims** | Potential Sources<br>Assessing Sources Handout<br>Taking Notes<br>Forming EBC<br>EBC Criteria Checklist<br>Connecting Ideas Handout |
| **IV. FINALIZING INQUIRY**<br><br>*I synthesize my information to determine what I have learned and what more I need to know about my area of investigation. I gather and analyze more information to complete my inquiry.* | **1. Organizing Evidence**<br><br>**2. Evaluating Research**<br><br>**3. Refining and Extending Inquiry** | Research Frame<br>Forming EBC<br>Organizing EBC<br>Research Evaluation Checklist<br><br>*Repeat Parts II and III* |
| **V. DEVELOPING AND COMMUNICATING AN EVIDENCE-BASED PERSPECTIVE**<br><br>*I review and synthesize my research to develop and communicate an evidence-based perspective on my area of investigation.* | **1. Reviewing Research**<br><br>**2. Expressing an Evidence-Based Perspective**<br><br>**3. Preparing to Meet Research Purposes** | Research Frame<br>Organizing EBC<br>Synthesizing EBC<br>EBC Criteria Checklist<br>Connecting Ideas Handout<br>Evidence-Based Perspective |

ODELL
EDUCATION

# RESEARCH PORTFOLIO DESCRIPTION

The Research Portfolio helps you store and organize your findings and analysis throughout every step of the research process. Various tools help you develop a research strategy and record, analyze and annotate your sources. Every time you complete a tool or annotate a source, file it in the corresponding section of your portfolio. Keeping an organized portfolio helps you make connections, see what you already have, and determine what you still have left to investigate. It will also provide everything you need to write your conclusions when you finish your research. The portfolio may be in either electronic or paper format.

| PORTFOLIO SECTIONS | CONTENT |
|---|---|
| **SECTION 1: DEFINING AN AREA OF INVESTIGATION**<br><br>*This section stores all the work you do exploring the topic and choosing an Area of Investigation.* | Exploring a Topic<br>Area Evaluation Checklist<br>Potential Sources (from pre-searches) |
| **SECTION 2: GATHERING AND ANALYZING INFORMATION**<br><br>*This section stores all the information you gather throughout your investigation.*<br>*It also stores your notes and analysis of sources.*<br>*All the tools should be grouped by source.* | Potential Sources<br>Annotated Sources<br>Personal Drafts<br>Taking Notes (about sources)<br>Forming EBC |
| **SECTION 3: DRAWING CONCLUSIONS**<br><br>*This section stores your Notes and EBCs about Inquiry Paths, your research evaluations,*<br>*and the personal perspective that you come to at the end of your inquiry.*<br>*Group the Taking Notes, Forming EBC or Organizing EBC by Inquiry Path.* | Taking Notes (about Inquiry Paths)<br>Forming EBC<br>Organizing EBC<br>Synthesizing EBC<br>Research Evaluation<br>Evidence-Based Perspective |
| **SECTION 4: DISCARDED MATERIAL**<br><br>*This section stores all the sources and analysis that you have discarded throughout your investigation.*<br>*The purpose of this section is to keep a record of discarded materials until the end of the research process in case you change your mind and want to use them.* | |

ODELL
EDUCATION

| TEACHER RESEARCH UNIT GUIDE | | STUDENT MATERIAL | TEACHER MATERIAL |
|---|---|---|---|
| **I. INITIATING INQUIRY**<br><br>*Students determine what they want to know about a topic and develop inquiry questions that they will investigate.* | **1. Introduction to Unit** | Student Research Plan | Teacher Research Unit Guide |
| | **2. Exploring a Topic** | Exploring a Topic<br>TCD Checklist | Exploring a Topic (Annotated) |
| | **3. Conducting Pre-searches** | Potential Sources | Potential Sources (Annotated) |
| | **4. Vetting Areas of Investigation** | Area Evaluation Checklist | Area Evaluation Checklist |
| | **5. Generating Inquiry Questions** | Posing Inquiry Questions | Research Criteria Matrix |
| **II. GATHERING INFORMATION**<br><br>*Students find and take notes on sources that will help them answer their inquiry questions and define the scope of their investigation.* | **1. Planning for Searches** | | |
| | **2. Assessing Sources** | Potential Sources<br>Assessing Sources Handout | Assessing Sources Handout |
| | **3. Making and Recording Notes** | Taking Notes | Taking Notes (Annotated) |
| | **4. Building an Initial Research Frame** | Posing Inquiry Questions<br>Research Frame | |
| | **5. Conducting Searches Independently** | *Students repeat steps 1-3* | Research Criteria Matrix |
| **III. DEEPENING UNDERSTANDING**<br><br>*Students analyze key sources to deepen their understanding and answer their inquiry questions.* | **1. Selecting Key Sources** | Assessing Sources Handout | |
| | **2. Reading Sources Closely** | Forming EBC (tool and handout)<br>EBC Criteria Checklist | |
| | **3. Discussing Types of Claims** | | |
| | **4. Writing Evidence-Based Claims about Sources** | Writing EBC Handout<br>Connecting Ideas Handout | Research Criteria Matrix |
| **IV. FINALIZING INQUIRY**<br><br>*Students synthesize their information to determine what they have learned and what more they need to know about their area of investigation. They gather and analyze more information to complete their inquiry.* | **1. Addressing Inquiry Paths** | Forming EBC<br>Organizing EBC<br>Synthesizing EBC | |
| | **2. Organizing Evidence** | | |
| | **3. Evaluating Research** | Research Evaluation | Research Evaluation Checklist |
| | **4. Refining and Extending Inquiry** | *Students repeat Parts II and III* | Research Criteria Matrix |
| **V. DEVELOPING AND COMMUNICATING AN EVIDENCE-BASED PERSPECTIVE**<br><br>*Students review and synthesize their research to develop and communicate an evidence-based perspective on their area of investigation.* | **1. Reviewing Research Portfolios** | Organizing EBC<br>Synthesizing EBC | Research Criteria Matrix |
| | **2. Expressing an Evidence-Based Perspective** | Evidence-Based Perspective<br>EBC Criteria Checklist<br>Connecting Ideas Handout | Research Criteria Matrix |
| | **3. Writing a Bibliography** | | |
| | **4. Preparing to Meet Research Purposes** | | |

ODELL EDUCATION

**Name** ............................................. **Topic** .............................................

**Area of Investigation** .............................................................................

In a few paragraphs, write your Evidence-Based Perspective on your Area of Investigation. Your perspective should reflect the understanding and knowledge you have built through your research. Base your writing on your Organizing EBC tools and notes, referencing the evidence that you quote and paraphrase. Use connecting words and phrases to help you develop and express your ideas.

**EVIDENCE-BASED PERSPECTIVE**

**Name** ................................................................. **Topic** ....................................................................................

Write a brief account of the class conversation about the topic, describing what you know at this point about some of its aspects:

............................................................................................
............................................................................................
............................................................................................
............................................................................................
............................................................................................
............................................................................................
............................................................................................
............................................................................................
............................................................................................
............................................................................................
............................................................................................
............................................................................................
............................................................................................
............................................................................................
............................................................................................
............................................................................................

In a few words, describe an area within the topic that you would like to know more about:

............................................................................................
............................................................................................
............................................................................................
............................................................................................
............................................................................................

Explain why you are interested in this area of the topic:

............................................................................................
............................................................................................
............................................................................................
............................................................................................
............................................................................................
............................................................................................

Express your potential area of investigation as a question or problem:

............................................................................................
............................................................................................
............................................................................................

**ODELL EDUCATION**

(CC) BY-NC

# EXPLORING A TOPIC

**Name** .......................................................... **Topic** ..........................................................

| POTENTIAL AREA OF INVESTIGATION 2 | POTENTIAL AREA OF INVESTIGATION 3 | POTENTIAL AREA OF INVESTIGATION 4 |
|---|---|---|
| **In a few words, describe what you would like to know more about within the topic:** | **In a few words, describe what you would like to know more about within the topic:** | **In a few words, describe what you would like to know more about within the topic:** |
| **Explain why you are interested in this:** | **Explain why you are interested in this:** | **Explain why you are interested in this:** |
| **Express your potential area of investigation as a question or problem:** | **Express your potential area of investigation as a question or problem:** | **Express your potential area of investigation as a question or problem:** |

**EXPLORING A TOPIC**

**Name** ................................................................ **Topic** ......................................................................

**Write a brief account of the class conversation about the topic, describing what you know at this point about some of its aspects:**

After discussing the topic in class, students document the ideas that arose during the conversation that could lead to the framing of a series of potential areas of investigation. Student responses should include a sentence that introduces the topic. 1-2 complete sentences that explain key ideas from the discussion.

This brief account will help the teacher assess what previous knowledge the student has on the topic, what the student and/or class has understood as key dimensions for exploring the topic and how they formulate them, and aid students to develop an awareness of the learning process in general.

## POTENTIAL AREA OF INVESTIGATION

**In a few words, describe an area within the topic that you would like to know more about:**

Based on the topic exploration, students perform pre-searches and discuss the topic with members of their learning community (peers, teachers, librarians…). From these discussions, they will draw more focused ideas about specific questions or themes they would like to investigate further within the framework of the general topic. Student responses in each box should include a complete statement or question that introduces a focused area of investigation that is related to the overall topic.

The teacher can assess the student's understanding of the source and its connection to the overall topic by determining the student's clarity, precision and complexity in phrasing what he or she would like to know more about the topic.

**Explain why you are interested in this area of the topic:**

The research process must encourage productive curiosity. Therefore, it is important that the students are afforded the opportunity to genuinely relate to the areas of investigation they choose to explore. Student responses should include a complete sentence that clearly explains why they are interested in the area of investigation.

The teacher will gain important information about the student's background knowledge on the topic, and be able to facilitate/enrich the research process by encouraging the student to relate the topic to his or her life.

**Express your potential area of investigation as a question or problem:**

Students review what they would like to know more about, how the topic relates to something they already know or have experiences, and then write what they want to know more about the topic as a question or problem.

The student's question or statement will help the teacher assess the student's ability to link what they have understood to an overall problem, and how creative and proactive the student is in furthering the investigation.

## EXPLORING A TOPIC

**Name** ............................................................ **Source(s) #** ............................................................

Inquiry Question:

| SEARCHING FOR DETAILS | I read the sources closely and mark words and phrases that help me answer my question. |

**SELECTING DETAILS**

I select words or phrases from my search that I think are the **most important** for answering my question. I write the **reference** next to each detail.

| Detail 1  (Ref.:          ) | Detail 2  (Ref.:          ) | Detail 3  (Ref.:          ) |
|---|---|---|
| | | |

**ANALYZING AND CONNECTING DETAILS**

I re-read parts of the texts and **think about the meaning of the details** and what they tell me about my question. Then I compare the details and explain **the connections** I see among them.

What I think about the details and how I connect them:

**MAKING A CLAIM**

I state a conclusion I have come to and can support with **evidence** from the texts after reading them closely.

My claim that answers my inquiry question:

# FORMING EVIDENCE-BASED CLAIMS

**Name** .................................................... **Inquiry Path** ................................................................................

**CLAIM:**

**A**     Supporting Evidence

(Reference:               )

**B**     Supporting Evidence

(Reference:               )

**C**     Supporting Evidence

(Reference:               )

**D**     Supporting Evidence

(Reference:               )

**E**     Supporting Evidence

(Reference:               )

**F**     Supporting Evidence

(Reference:               )

# ORGANIZING EVIDENCE-BASED CLAIMS

**Name** .................................................... **Inquiry Path** ....................................................

**CLAIM:** ....................................................

| Point 1 | | Point 2 | |
|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| **A** Supporting Evidence | **B** Supporting Evidence | **A** Supporting Evidence | **B** Supporting Evidence |
| (Reference: ) | (Reference: ) | (Reference: ) | (Reference: ) |
| **C** Supporting Evidence | **D** Supporting Evidence | **C** Supporting Evidence | **D** Supporting Evidence |
| (Reference: ) | (Reference: ) | (Reference: ) | (Reference: ) |

# ORGANIZING EVIDENCE-BASED CLAIMS

**Name** .................................................... **Inquiry Path** ....................................................

**CLAIM:** ....................................................

| Point 1 ▶ | Point 2 ▶ | Point 3 ▶ |
|---|---|---|
| **A**      Supporting Evidence | **A**      Supporting Evidence | **A**      Supporting Evidence |
| (Reference:                     ) | (Reference:                     ) | (Reference:                     ) |
| **B**      Supporting Evidence | **B**      Supporting Evidence | **B**      Supporting Evidence |
| (Reference:                     ) | (Reference:                     ) | (Reference:                     ) |
| **C**      Supporting Evidence | **C**      Supporting Evidence | **C**      Supporting Evidence |
| (Reference:                     ) | (Reference:                     ) | (Reference:                     ) |

**ORGANIZING EVIDENCE-BASED CLAIMS**

**Name** ......................................................... **Topic** ...................................................................

**Area of Investigation** ...............................................................................................................

| **SOURCE** | Title: | | Location: | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **#** | Author: | | Text Type: | Publication Date: |

**General Content / Key Ideas / Personal Comments:**                     **Connection to Inquiry Paths:**

Credibility: [ ] High  [ ] Medium  [ ] Low | Relevance/Richness: [ ] High  [ ] Medium  [ ] Low | Accessibility/Interest: [ ] High  [ ] Medium  [ ] Low

| **SOURCE** | Title: | | Location: | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **#** | Author: | | Text Type: | Publication Date: |

**General Content / Key Ideas / Personal Comments:**                     **Connection to Inquiry Paths:**

Credibility: [ ] High  [ ] Medium  [ ] Low | Relevance/Richness: [ ] High  [ ] Medium  [ ] Low | Accessibility/Interest: [ ] High  [ ] Medium  [ ] Low

| **SOURCE** | Title: | | Location: | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **#** | Author: | | Text Type: | Publication Date: |

**General Content / Key Ideas / Personal Comments:**                     **Connection to Inquiry Paths:**

Credibility: [ ] High  [ ] Medium  [ ] Low | Relevance/Richness: [ ] High  [ ] Medium  [ ] Low | Accessibility/Interest: [ ] High  [ ] Medium  [ ] Low

**POTENTIAL SOURCES**

**Name** .................................................. **Topic** ....................................

**Area of Investigation** ..........................................................................

| SOURCE | Title: | Location: [ The information recorded here should help locate the source. It can be a URL, the title of a magazine or newspaper, the name of a library, etc. ] | |
|---|---|---|---|
| **#** [ This unique number will be used for referencing] | Author: | Text Type: | Publication Date: |

**STEP 1:** Students look for and record basic information about the source. This information will be used for referencing purposes, as well as a first step in approaching and understanding the text. <u>The teacher will be able to check that all the information is recorded.</u>

**General Content / Key Ideas / Personal Comments:**

**STEP 2:** Students take personal notes to keep a record of general information about the source (e.g. first impressions, key content and ideas, relevance to research). Then students make connections between the content of the source and their Inquiry Questions, and note the reference of the Inquiry Themes that are addressed by this source. <u>The teacher will be able to assess how the students capture essential information in a few words about a source, and how they connect them to their Inquiry Questions.</u>

**Connection to Inquiry Paths**

[ Use this box to record references to Inquiry Paths that are addressed in this source ]

| Credibility: [ ] High [ ] Medium [ ] Low | Relevance/Richness: [ ] High [ ] Medium [ ] Low | Accessibility/Interest: [ ] High [ ] Medium [ ] Low |
|---|---|---|

**STEP 3:** Students use the Assessing Sources handout to think more deeply about the source and assess its credibility, richness and interest. Based on this assessment, students rate the source for future reference. These ratings as well as their personal comments will help students select the most suitable sources and decide which ones they will close read and make EBCs about. <u>The teacher will be able to verify that students have assessed the source.</u>

**POTENTIAL SOURCES**

Name.................................. Area of Inv............................................... Date ................

| RESEARCH EVALUATION CRITERIA CHECKLIST | √ | COMMENTS |
|---|---|---|
| **I. ADEQUACY AND SUFFICIENCY OF RESEARCH**<br><br>*The researcher's investigation follows the Research Frame and the information gathered is sufficient.* | **Adequacy of the research:** The researcher's investigation is based on the Research Frame and the claims and information presented link directly to the Inquiry Paths. | | |
| | **Sufficiency of the answers:** The answers formulated by the researcher based on his/her investigation are sufficient to cover the scope of each Inquiry Path. | | |
| | **Adequacy of the scope and focus of the research:** No Inquiry Questions or Paths of the research seem irrelevant or useless with respect to the Research Frame. | | |
| **II. CREDIBILITY AND RICHNESS OF SOURCES**<br><br>*The sources gathered by the researcher are credible and rich.* | **Credibility of sources :** The sources gathered by the researcher are credible. | | |
| | **Richness of sources:** The researcher found a reasonable amount of rich sources that provide important information that is relevant to the inquiry. | | |
| **III. RANGE OF PERSPECTIVES**<br><br>*The researcher has considered a wide range of perspectives.* | **Richness of perspectives:** The researcher has considered and explored multiple perspectives. | | |
| | **Sufficiency of perspectives:** No important perspective has been ignored. | | |
| | **Balance among perspectives:** There is no over reliance in any one source or perspective. | | |
| **IV. ACCURACY OF THE PERSPECTIVE**<br><br>*The EBCs drawn from the analysis of the sources are coherent, sound and supported.* | **Coherence of EBCs:** The evidence-based claims drawn from the analysis of the sources are coherent with respect to the Research Frame. | | |
| | **Soundness of EBCs:** The evidence-based claim demonstrates knowledge of and sound thinking about the Area of Investigation. | | |
| | **Support for EBCs :** The evidence-based claims are supported by quotations and examples from the texts. | | |

ODELL EDUCATION

**Presenter:** ...........................................................  **Reviewer:** ...........................................................

Work in small groups to evaluate each other's research. Rotate roles in your group.

**AS A PRESENTER:**

- **Present your Area of Investigation and Research Frame.** Describe the general scope of your research and explain why you are interested in this area.
- **Summarize from your written claims** for each of your answers to the Inquiry Paths. Make sure you reference evidence from sources to support your claims.
- **Present 2 key sources.** Explain why you think they are key, summarize their content and explain your analysis of these sources to your peers. Show your peers and comment on your annotations, notes, and EBCs about these sources.
- Make sure you **give your peers the opportunity to ask you questions** during the entire presentation.
- **Take notes** on a Revising Research tool to determine actions you may take to revise your research based on your peers review.

**AS A REVIEWER:**

- **Listen** carefully to the presentation. **Ask clarifying questions** to the presenter when necessary.
- Using the table below, **make comments and suggestions** about the presentation answering the guiding questions.

| GUIDING QUESTIONS | COMMENTS AND SUGGESTIONS |
|---|---|
| *What have you learned about the presenter's area of investigation?* | |
| *What was interesting to you in the presentation?* | |
| *What new information does the presenter need to find to more fully address existing or new Inquiry Paths?* | |
| *What was not clear to you in the presentation?* | |
| *What would you like to know more about the presenter's area of investigation?* | |
| *Do you have any other comment or suggestions that you think would help the presenter improve his/her work?* | |

# PEER EVALUATION OF RESEARCH

**Presenter:** ........................................................     **Reviewer:** ........................................................

Review the feedback on your Research and think about ways you should revise your work.
For each action you choose, explain what specific steps you are planning to take.

| GUIDING QUESTIONS | MY NOTES, COMMENTS AND FUTURE STEPS |
|---|---|
| *What adjustments and additions do I need to make to my Research Frame?* | |
| *Are there sources lacking in credibility that I need to replace?* | |
| *What new information do I need to find to more fully address existing or new Inquiry Paths?* | |
| *What missing perspectives do I need to research?* | |
| *Are there any parts of my research I should discard?* | |
| *Other:* | |

**REVISING RESEARCH**

**Name** ........................................................ **Topic** ........................................................

**Area of Investigation** ....................................................................................................

| INQUIRY PATH | INQUIRY PATH | INQUIRY PATH |
|---|---|---|
| Reference: IP # | Reference: IP # | Reference: IP # |
| **Name this Inquiry Path in the form of a brief description or question:** | **Name this Inquiry Path in the form of a brief description or question:** | **Name this Inquiry Path in the form of a brief description or question:** |
| **List all the questions in this Inquiry Path:** | **List all the questions in this Inquiry Path:** | **List all the questions in this Inquiry Path:** |

**RESEARCH FRAME**

**Name** .......................... **Topic** ..........................

**Area of Investigation** ....................................................................

**Inquiry Path** ....................................................................

In a few sentences, write a synthesis of what you have learned from your research about this Inquiry Path. This synthesis should provide an answer to your Inquiry Path, referencing your sources. At this point, you are NOT yet expected to provide your personal perspective. You simply give an account of your findings and analysis of sources. Draw from the Forming and Organizing Evidence-Based Claims tools you have developed for this Inquiry Path and use connecting words to help express the logic of your ideas.

**SYNTHESIZING EVIDENCE-BASED CLAIMS**

**Name** ................................................................

**Inquiry Question/Path** ................................................................................................................

| REF. | DETAILS | COMMENTS |
|------|---------|----------|
| Source # and location in the source: | I record details, ideas, or information that I find in my sources that help me answer my inquiry questions: | I explain the reason why I think they are important, and write personal comments: |
| | | |

**TAKING NOTES**

**Name** ...................................... 

**Inquiry Question/Path** ...................................................................................

| REF. | DETAILS | COMMENTS |
|---|---|---|
| Source # and location in the source: | I record details, ideas, or information that I find in my sources that help me answer my inquiry questions: | I explain the reason why I think they are important, and write personal comments: |

In this column, students write a series of details drawn from their sources. These details can be citations, facts and numbers, or ideas that they reformulate in their own words. These details can NOT be personal comments of views on the source.
The teacher can assess the students' ability to select important and relevant details in a source, and how they chose to express these details in the scope of using them for their research purpose.

In this column, students explain why they think each detail that they chose is important. This will encourage students to make relevant choices as opposed to writing random details. It will also push them to think about their sources and the information they contain with respect to their Research Frame, and help them establish connections as they process the information.
The teacher can assess the students' ability to explain their choices, to follow their Research Frame, and to establish connections.

Students must provide the Source number as well as the page/ line/ paragraph/ or other reference in order to locate the detail within the source.
The teacher will make sure that every detail is referenced and therefor know how and where the student found them.

**TAKING NOTES**

# ASSESSING SOURCES

## ASSESSING A SOURCE TEXT'S CREDIBILITY

Look at the information you can find about the text in the areas below, and consider the following questions to assess a source text's credibility:

| PUBLISHER | DATE | AUTHOR | TYPE |
|---|---|---|---|
| • What is the publisher's relationship to the topic area?<br><br>• What economic stake might the publisher have in the topic area?<br><br>• What political stake might the publisher have in the topic area? | • When was the text first published?<br><br>• How current is the information on the topic?<br><br>• How does the publishing date relate to the history of the topic? | • What are the author's qualifications/credentials relative to the topic area?<br><br>• What is the author's personal relationship to the topic area?<br><br>• What economic/political stakes might the author have in the topic area? | • What type of text is it: explanation, informational article, feature, research study, op/ed, essay, argument, other?<br><br>• What is the purpose of the text with respect to the topic area? |

## ASSESSING A SOURCE TEXT'S ACCESSIBILITY AND INTEREST LEVEL

Consider your initial experience in reading the text, how well you understand it, and whether it seems interesting to you:

| ACCESSIBILITY TO YOU AS A READER | INTEREST AND MEANING FOR YOU AS A READER |
|---|---|
| • Am I able to read and comprehend the text easily?<br><br>• How do the text's structure and formatting either help or hinder me in reading it?<br><br>• Do I have adequate background knowledge to understand the terminology, information, and ideas in the text? | • Does the text present ideas or information that I find interesting?<br><br>• Which of my Inquiry Paths will the text provide information for?<br><br>• Which inquiry questions does the text help me answer? How? |

## ASSESSING A SOURCE TEXT'S RELEVANCE AND RICHNESS

Using your Research Frame as a reference, answer the following questions:

| RELEVANCE TO TOPIC & PURPOSE | RELEVANCE TO AREA OF INVESTIGATION | SCOPE AND RICHNESS |
|---|---|---|
| • What information does the text provide on the topic?<br><br>• How might the text help me accomplish the purpose for my research?<br><br>• Does the text provide accurate information? | • How is the text related to the specific area I am investigating?<br><br>• Which of my paths of inquiry might the text provide information for?<br><br>• Which inquiry questions might the text help me address? How? | • How long is the text and what is the scope of the topic areas it addresses?<br><br>• How extensive and supported is the information it provides?<br><br>• How does the information in the text relate to other texts? |

# CONNECTING IDEAS
## USING TRANSITIONAL WORDS AND PHRASES

Transitional words and phrases create links between your ideas when you are speaking and writing. They help your audience understand the logic of your thoughts. When using transitional words, make sure that it is the right match for what you want to express. And remember, transition words work best when they are connecting two or more strong ideas that are clearly stated. Here is a list of transitional words and phrases that you can use for different purposes:

| ADD RELATED INFORMATION | GIVE AN EXAMPLE OR ILLUSTRATE AN IDEA | MAKE SURE YOUR THINKING IS CLEARLY UNDERSTOOD | COMPARE IDEAS OR SHOW HOW IDEAS ARE SIMILAR | CONTRAST IDEAS OR SHOW HOW THEY ARE DIFFERENT |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| • furthermore<br>• moreover<br>• too<br>• also<br>• again<br>• in addition<br>• next<br>• further<br>• finally<br>• and, or, nor | • to illustrate<br>• to demonstrate<br>• specifically<br>• for instance<br>• as an illustration<br>• for example | • that is to say<br>• in other words<br>• to explain<br>• i.e., (that is)<br>• to clarify<br>• to rephrase it<br>• to put it another way | • in the same way<br>• by the same token<br>• similarly<br>• in like manner<br>• likewise<br>• in similar fashion | • nevertheless<br>• but<br>• however<br>• otherwise<br>• on the contrary<br>• in contrast<br>• on the other hand |
| **EXPLAIN HOW ONE THING CAUSES ANOTHER** | **EXPLAIN THE EFFECT OR RESULT OF SOMETHING** | **EXPLAIN YOUR PURPOSE** | **LIST RELATED INFORMATION** | **QUALIFY SOMETHING** |
| • because<br>• since<br>• on account of<br>• for that reason | • therefore<br>• consequently<br>• accordingly<br>• thus<br>• hence<br>• as a result | • in order that<br>• so that<br>• to that end, to this end<br>• for this purpose<br>• for this reason | • First, second, third…<br>• First, then, also, finally | • almost<br>• nearly<br>• probably<br>• never<br>• always<br>• frequently<br>• perhaps<br>• maybe<br>• although |

ODELL EDUCATION

# FORMING EVIDENCE-BASED CLAIMS

**Inquiry Question:**     I use my inquiry question to guide my reading and focus my attention on details for answering it.

## SEARCHING FOR DETAILS

As I read, I notice authors use a lot of details and strategies to develop their points and arguments.
Below are examples of types of details authors often use in important ways.

### SELECTING DETAILS

I select words or phrases from my search that I think are the **most important** for answering my question. I write the **reference** next to each detail.

**Author's Facts and Ideas**
- Statistics
- Examples
- Vivid description
- Characters/actors
- Events

**Author's Words and Organization**
- Repeated words
- Strong language
- Figurative language
- Tone
- Organizational structure/phrases

**Opinions and Point of View**
- Interpretations
- Explanation of ideas or events
- Narration
- Personal reflection
- Beliefs

## ANALYZING AND CONNECTING DETAILS

By reading closely and thinking about the details, I can make connections among them.
Below are some ways details can be connected.

I re-read parts of the texts and **think about the meaning of the details** and what they tell me about my question. Then I compare the details and explain **the connections** I see among them.

**Facts and Ideas**
- Authors use hard facts to illustrate or define an idea.
- Authors use examples to express a belief or point of view.
- Authors use vivid description to compare or oppose different ideas.
- Authors describe different actors or characters to illustrate a comparison or contrast.
- Authors use a sequence of events to arrive at a conclusion.

**Words and Organization**
- Authors repeat specific words or structures to emphasize meaning or tone.
- Authors use language or tone to establish a mood.
- Authors use figurative language to infer emotion or embellish meaning.
- Authors use a specific organization to enhance a point or add meaning.

**Opinions and Point of View**
- Authors compare or contrast evidence to help define their point of view.
- Authors offer their explanation of ideas or events to support their beliefs.
- Authors tell their own story to develop their point of view.
- Authors use language to reveal an opinion or feeling about a topic.

## MAKING A CLAIM

I state a conclusion I have come to and can support with **evidence** from the texts after reading them closely.

As I analyze and connect the details, I can answer my inquiry question based on evidence from the texts.

# POSING INQUIRY QUESTIONS

Successful research results from posing good inquiry questions. When you have to solve a difficult problem or want to investigate a complex idea or issue, **developing questions about things you need to know helps guide your research and analysis**. But not all questions are created equal. Some lead to dead ends, while others open up vistas of knowledge and understanding…or best of all: *more questions!*

## GENERATING QUESTIONS

Generating questions is most fun and effective with friends—the more minds the merrier. And **starting with lots of questions** helps you find the best ones. When brainstorming questions, consider many things about your area of investigation, for instance:

- **How is it defined?**
- **Where did it originate?**
- **What is its history?**
- **What are its important places, things, people, and experts?**
- **What are its major aspects?**
- **What are its causes and implications?**
- **What other things is it connected to or associated with?**

## SELECTING AND REFINING QUESTIONS

Once you have a huge list of possible questions, select and refine them by asking yourself a few things about them:

### Are you genuinely interested in answering your question?
Research requires hard work and endurance. If you don't care about your questions you won't do the work to answer them. The best questions are about things you actually want and need to know.

### Can your question truly be answered through your research?
Some questions are unanswerable (How many walnuts are there in the world?) or take years to answer (What is the meaning of life?) Your inquiry questions must put you on a reachable path.

### Is your question clear?
Can you pose your question in a way that you and others understand what you are asking? If it's confusing, then perhaps you are asking more than one thing. That's great: just break it into two questions. The more good inquiry questions you have the better.

### What sort of answers does your question require?
Interesting, meaningful research comes from interesting questions. Good inquiry questions are rich enough to support lots of investigation that may even lead to multiple answers, and more questions. Questions that can be answered with a simple YES or NO generally do not make good inquiry questions.

### Do you already know what the answer is?
Good inquiry questions are actually questions. If you already have answered the questions for yourself, then you won't really be inquiring through your research. If you already know what you think, then you won't get the true reward of research: a deeper knowledge and understanding of things you want to know about.

# RESEARCH CRITERIA MATRIX GRADES 6-12

| CRITERIA | G 6 INDICATORS | G 7-8 INDICATORS | G 9-10 INDICATORS | G11-12 INDICATORS |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **I. SETTING DIRECTION FOR INQUIRY AND RESEARCH** | | | | |
| **Setting direction for research:** Identifies a general research problem or area. [W7] | States what he/she wants to know based on a provided research problem or area of investigation. | States what he/she wants to know based on a provided or self-generated research problem or area of investigation. | States what he/she wants to know, identifies a research problem, and/or frames or responds to broad direction setting questions. | States what he/she wants to know, identifies a concise and feasible research problem, and/or frames or responds to broad direction setting questions. |
| **Posing inquiry questions:** Poses a variety of relevant questions of appropriate focus, scope, and utility. [W7] | Brainstorms questions relevant to research problem or area investigation in a group. | Asks a variety of questions of appropriate scope and utility to direct inquiry. | Identifies themes and patterns after brainstorming several questions and categorizes them into inquiry paths. | After brainstorming, creates concrete inquiry paths, and writes focused questions for each inquiry path. |
| **Framing inquiry paths:** Identifies possible paths for research and frames inquiry questions related to each path. [W7] | Uses a variety of questions of appropriate scope and utility to address inquiry. | Identifies themes and patterns after brainstorming several questions and categorizes them into broad inquiry paths. | Asks a variety of questions of appropriate scope and utility to address inquiry paths. | Poses increasingly focused questions of appropriate scope and utility to address inquiry paths. |
| **II. MANAGING AND EVALUATING RESEARCH PROCESSES** | | | | |
| **Developing research strategies:** Develops, records and communicates a strategic research plan to address inquiry questions. [W7] | Follows a strategic plan for research to address inquiry questions. | Develops and records a strategic plan for research to address inquiry questions. | Develops and records a coherent plan for research based on inquiry question or problem. | Develops and records a coherent plan for research that reflects a purposeful and clear understanding of the inquiry question or problem. |
| **Monitoring and evaluating progress:** Monitors direction and content of research to assess its progress and sufficiency. [W7] | Evaluates research to determine if information is sufficient to address inquiry questions. | Evaluates research progress and determines if information is sufficient to address inquiry paths and questions. | Periodically checks on research progress and determines if information is sufficient to address inquiry paths and questions. | Continuously monitors direction and content of research, assessing the quality and sufficiency of information to address inquiry paths and questions. |
| **Collaborating and responding to feedback:** Participates in discussions and peer reviews; considers peer feedback when setting/refocusing direction for research. [W7; SL1,4] | Discusses a viewpoint of the topic with peers. Uses feedback to guide further research. | Shares in discussion his/her viewpoint of the research topic. Uses feedback to guide planning and decision making. | Expresses a comprehensive viewpoint of his/her topic in discussion . Uses peer feedback to guide planning and decision making. | Expresses a comprehensive viewpoint of his/her topic in discussion, citing specific strengths and deficiencies in answering their inquiry questions. Responds to feedback from peer reviews thoughtfully and strategically. |
| **Refocusing inquiry:** Analyzes and revises questions and inquiry paths in light of emerging research and feedback; narrows, broadens, or extends research based on revised research plan. [W7] | Reviews and refines research material in response to evaluation and feedback. | Expands inquiry based on reviews of annotations, notes and feedback. | Makes decisions on research direction based on reviews of annotations and notes and relevance to inquiry questions. | Makes strategic decisions and changes in inquiry paths based on reviews of annotations and notes, and assessments of sources. |

| CRITERIA | G 6 INDICATORS | G 7-8 INDICATORS | G 9-10 INDICATORS | G 11-12 INDICATORS |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **III. GATHERING AND ASSESSING SOURCES** | | | | |
| **Conducting inquiry- driven searches:** Conducts searches for sources of information that directly relate to inquiry questions. [W8] | Understands that texts can be sources of information for addressing inquiry questions. | Uses inquiry questions to drive research and identify sources. | Uses inquiry questions and strategic searches to drive research and identify sources. | Uses inquiry questions for all inquiry paths and strategic searches to identify relevant sources. |
| **Assessing sources for credibility and relevance:** Assesses sources for credibility of information and utility for research purposes. [W8] | Assesses whether a source is credible and identifies relevant information to the inquiry question. | Assesses whether a source is credible and distinguishes between information that helps or does not help advance the inquiry question. | Assesses sources for credibility; identifies the utility of a source and explains why a particular source does or does not help respond to an inquiry question. | Assesses sources for credibility; identifies and accurately rates the utility of several sources; explains why sources do or do not help advance an inquiry path. |
| **Assessing/comparing perspectives and bias:** Assesses sources for their perspective and potential bias on the research topic; compares perspectives across multiple sources. [W8] | Identifies differences in perspectives in various sources. | Identifies the perspectives and/or biases in various sources as related to the topic and inquiry path. | Identifies the perspectives and/or biases in various sources as related to the topic and inquiry path. | Identifies the perspective and/or bias of a potential source, accurately describes its utility, and purges source if necessary. Compares and balances perspectives across multiple sources. |
| **Redirecting searches:** Redirects searches in response to new knowledge and inquiry questions. | Identifies new information relevant to the inquiry question and how it impacts the inquiry question. | Detects necessary changes to inquiry path questions and adjusts the search accordingly. | Detects necessary changes to inquiry path questions and adjusts the search accordingly. | Critically compares and contrasts inquiry questions with new information in potential sources and adjusts the search accordingly. |
| **IV. ANALYZING / INTEGRATING / SYNTHESIZING INFORMATION** | | | | |
| **Analyzing sources for inquiry purposes:** Reads sources closely and analyzes their details, ideas, language, and perspective in relationship to inquiry questions. [W8] | Identifies and takes notes of relevant details, ideas, language, and perspectives. | Identifies and takes notes of relevant details, ideas, language, and perspectives. | Analyzes details, ideas, language, and perspectives, and takes notes for each source to determine how it addresses inquiry questions. | Analyzes details, ideas, language, and perspective and takes detailed notes for each source to determine how it addresses inquiry questions. |
| **Evaluating sources for evidence, claims, and arguments:** Delineates and analyzes sources' claims, supporting evidence, and argumentation; evaluates sufficiency of evidence and validity of reasoning. [W9] | Identifies the source's main claims and whether they are supported with evidence. | Identifies the source's main claims and supporting evidence; evaluates argument's validity based on evidence and reasoning. | Identifies the source's main claims and supporting evidence; evaluates argument's validity based on evidence and reasoning. | Delineates and analyzes a source's claims and arguments; evaluates sufficiency of evidence and validity of reasoning. |
| **Identifying fallacious or unsupported reasoning:** Challenges and/or rejects sources that present unsupported claims, fallacious reasoning, and/or overly biased perspectives. [W9] | Identifies claims or arguments that are not supported by evidence. | Explains how a source does not support its claims and arguments with valid or substantial evidence. | Explains how a source does not support its claims and arguments with valid or substantial evidence. | Challenges and/or rejects sources that present unsupported claims, fallacious reasoning, and/or overly biased perspectives. |
| **Integrating information across sources:** Integrates and synthesizes relevant ideas and information from multiple sources to develop evidence-based claims that are aligned with inquiry questions. [W7,8] | Gathers details and ideas from multiple sources to address the inquiry question; develops an evidence-based claim that responds to an inquiry question(s). | Synthesizes details and ideas from multiple sources to address an inquiry path; develops an evidence-based claim that responds to an inquiry question(s). | Synthesizes details and ideas from multiple sources to address an inquiry path; organizes details into distinct paths/questions; develops an evidence-based claim that responds to an inquiry question(s). | Synthesizes details and ideas from multiple sources that address inquiry paths and recognizes paths/questions with insufficient support; develops concise evidence-based claims that are aligned with supported inquiry questions. |
| **Demonstrating understanding:** Produces a set of evidence-based claims that demonstrate accurate and deepening understanding of the research topic. [W7,8,9] | Produces claims reflecting grade appropriate complexity that are supported by researched evidence and that indicate an understanding of the research topic. | Produces claims reflecting grade appropriate complexity that are supported by researched evidence and that indicate increased understanding of the research topic. | Produces claims reflecting grade appropriate complexity that are supported by researched evidence and that indicate accurate understanding of the research topic. | Produces a comprehensive and integrated set of evidence-based claims that demonstrates accurate and deepening understanding of the research topic. |

ODELL EDUCATION

## V. RECORDING AND ORGANIZING INFORMATION

| CRITERIA | G 6 INDICATORS | G 7-8 INDICATORS | G 9-10 INDICATORS | G 11-12 INDICATORS |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Annotating sources and noting connections and observations:** Makes notes that identify key information and express insightful, supported observations and connections. [W8] | Marks key information in sources, takes notes on initial impressions and connections across multiple sources. | Marks key information in sources, takes notes of initial impressions, identifies additional research needs, and inserts codes to link information to inquiry paths. | Marks key information in sources, takes notes of initial impressions, identifies additional research needs, and inserts codes to link information to inquiry paths. | Marks key information in sources, takes notes on initial impressions, connections,; identifies additional research routes; inserts codes to link information to inquiry paths. |
| **Paraphrasing, quoting and referencing sources:** Records relevant and important information by quoting or accurately paraphrasing; accurately cites location of noted information. [W7,8] | Accurately paraphrases the source and provides a citation when directly quoting from the source. | Accurately paraphrases the source and provides accurately citation according to a standard format (i.e. MLA, APA, etc.) when directly quoting from the source. | Accurately paraphrases the source and provides accurately citation according to a standard format (i.e. MLA, APA, etc.) when directly quoting from the source.. | Accurately and concisely paraphrases relevant information from sources and uses proper citation according to a standard format (i.e. MLA, APA, etc.) when directly quoting from the source.. |
| **Reorganizing information based on deepening understanding:** Re-organizes information based on deepened understanding of topic and refines inquiry questions. [W7] | Reviews information in notes for patterns, ideas, and evidence related to research questions. | Reviews information in notes for patterns, ideas, and evidence related to research questions; refines inquiry questions accordingly. | Purposefully reviews information in notes for patterns, ideas, and evidence related to research questions; refines inquiry questions accordingly. | Critically reviews information in notes for patterns, ideas, and evidence related to research questions; re-organizes notes and information to best address evolving inquiry paths; refines inquiry questions and/or paths accordingly. |
| **Organizing researched information:** Organizes researched information into logical categories that address inquiry paths and provide structure for communication and writing. [W7, 8] | Organizes annotations and notes from multiple sources to set a clear and useable structure and sequence for writing and discussion. | Organizes annotations and notes from multiple resources into relevant inquiry paths to set a clear and useable structure and sequence for writing and discussion. | Organizes annotations and notes from multiple resources into relevant inquiry paths to set a clear and useable structure and sequence for writing and discussion. | Organizes annotations and notes from multiple resources into relevant inquiry paths and makes connections within the research framework to set a coherent and unified structure for research-based arguments and/or other communications. |

## VI. DEVELOPING AND COMMUNICATING AN EVIDENCE-BASED PERSPECTIVE

| CRITERIA | G 6 INDICATORS | G 7-8 INDICATORS | G 9-10 INDICATORS | G 11-12 INDICATORS |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Forming a position:** Forms a position that accounts for various paths of inquiry and is supported by researched evidence. [W9] | States an understanding of the topic that has resulted from and is supported by researched information. | States an understanding, position or perspective that has resulted from and is supported by researched information. | States a concise understanding, position or perspective that has resulted from and is supported by researched information. | Forms, develops, and communicates a precise position or perspective that is directly and strongly supported by evidence from research. |
| **Supporting claims:** Identifies and organizes evidence to support analysis and claims derived from sources. [W9] | Compiles and organizes evidence from research to support claims and explain an understanding of the topic. | Compiles and organizes evidence from research to support claims and develop an understanding, position, or perspective. | Compiles and organizes evidence from research to support claims and develop a clear position or perspective. | Strategically analyzes and organizes valid and sufficient researched evidence to develop and support a clear position or perspective. |
| **Synthesizing information to meet research purposes:** Connects claims to form a coherent and supported perspective; organizes researched analysis to support desired purposes. [W9] | Links evidence- based claims into a logical sequence to explain a coherent understanding of the topic. | Analyzes relationships among evidence-based claims and links them into a logical sequence to develop clear a, position or perspective. | Analyzes relationships among evidence-based claims and links them into a logical sequence to develop a position or perspective. | Analyzes relationships among evidence-based claims and links them into a coherent, logical sequence as premises in an evidence-based argument or components of a supported explanation of a position or perspective. |
| **Communicating a position:** Communicates a position for specific audiences by using a logical sequence of analysis. [W9] | Based on identified purpose, produces a plan, explanation, argument, or reflective narrative that communicates a defensible research-based perspective and its component claims. | Based on identified purpose, produces a plan, explanation, argument, or reflective narrative that communicates a defensible research-based perspective and its component claims. | Based on identified purpose, produces a plan, explanation, argument, or reflective narrative that communicates a defensible research-based perspective and its component claims. | Based on identified purpose, produces a plan, explanation, argument, or reflective narrative that communicates an insightful and defensible research-based perspective and its component claims. |

ODELL EDUCATION

# WRITING EVIDENCE-BASED CLAIMS

Writing evidence-based claims is a little different from writing stories or just writing about something. You need to **follow a few steps** as you write.

## 1. ESTABLISH THE CONTEXT

Your readers must know **where your claim is coming from** and **why it's important.**

Depending on the scope of your piece and the claim, the context differs. If your whole piece is one claim or if you're introducing the first major claim of your piece, the entire context must be given:

> In his speech to Stanford graduates in 2005, Steve Jobs tells a story…

**Purposes** of evidence-based writing vary. In some cases, naming the article and author is enough to show why your claim is important. In other cases, you might want to give more information:

> Steve Jobs led an inspirational life. In his speech to Stanford graduates in 2005, Steve Jobs tells a story…

If your claim is part of a larger piece with multiple claims, then the context might be simpler:

> According to Jobs,…          *or*          In paragraph 5, Jobs claims…

## 2. STATE YOUR CLAIM CLEARLY

How you state your claim is important; it must **clearly and fully express your ideas**.

Figuring out how to state claims is a **process**. Writers revise them continually as they write their supporting evidence.  Here's a claim about Jobs' speech:

> In his speech to Stanford graduates in 2005, Steve Jobs tells a story "about death" because he wants the graduates to realize something he has learned from having cancer: that death is a necessary part of life, which should influence how people live.

Remember, you should continually return and re-phrase your claim as you write the supporting evidence to make sure you are capturing exactly what you want to say. Writing out the evidence always helps you figure out what you really think.

## 3. ORGANIZE YOUR SUPPORTING EVIDENCE

Most claims contain multiple parts that require different evidence and should be expressed in separate paragraphs. This claim can be **broken down into two parts**:

A description of how **HAVING CANCER CAUSED JOBS TO FACE DEATH**
and
how **JOBS THINKS DEATH SHOULD SHAPE HOW PEOPLE LIVE.**

## 3. ORGANIZE YOUR SUPPORTING EVIDENCE (CONT'D)

Here are two paragraphs that support the claim with evidence organized into these two parts.

A description of how HAVING CANCER CAUSED JOBS TO FACE DEATH:

> In his speech to Stanford graduates in 2005, Steve Jobs tells a story "about death" because he wants the graduates to realize something he has learned from having cancer: that death is a necessary part of life, which should influence how people live. When Jobs was first diagnosed with pancreatic cancer, he was told that it was incurable and that he would not live long (107-108). Knowing he might die from cancer caused him to remember something he had thought since he was 17, that he should live every day as if it were his last (lines 95-7).

A description of the JOBS THINKS DEATH SHOULD SHAPE HOW PEOPLE LIVE:

> In lines 120-1, Jobs introduces his message and tells the graduates that he can state his ideas "with a bit more certainty than when death was a useful but purely intellectual concept." In paragraph 21, he states several claims that explain how he now views death. He describes Death as "the single best invention of life" and "life's change agent" because it "clears out the old to make way for the new" (124-125). Jobs' story about his cancer explains something he has said earlier in paragraph 17: "Remembering that I'll be dead soon is the most important tool I've ever encountered to help me make the big choices in life." Steve Jobs is telling the graduates that they should live their lives in a meaningful way, because, like him, they never know when life might end.

Notice the phrase, "In lines 120-1, Jobs introduces his message" starting the second paragraph. **Transitional phrases** like this one aid the organization by showing how the ideas relate to each other.

## 4. PARAPHRASE AND QUOTE

Written evidence from texts can be paraphrased or quoted. It's up to the writer to decide which works better for each piece of evidence. Paraphrasing is **putting the author's words into your own.** This works well when the author originally expresses the idea you want to include across many sentences. You might write it more briefly. The second line from the first paragraph paraphrases the evidence from Jobs' text. The ideas are his, but the exact way of writing is not.

> When Jobs was first diagnosed with pancreatic cancer, he was told that it was incurable and that he would not live long (107-108).

Some evidence is better quoted than paraphrased. If an author has found the quickest way to phrase the idea or the words are especially strong, you might want to **use the author's words**. The third line from paragraph 2 quotes Jobs exactly, incorporating his powerful phrases.

> He describes Death as "the single best invention of life" and "life's change agent" because it "clears out the old to make way for the new" (124-125).

## 5. REFERENCE YOUR EVIDENCE

Whether you paraphrase or quote the author's words, you must include **the exact location where the ideas come from**. Direct quotes are written in quotation marks. How writers include the reference can vary depending on the piece and the original text. Here the writer puts the line numbers from the original text in parentheses at the end of the sentence.

**Name** ................................. **Area of Inv.** .............................................. **Date** ..................

| AREA EVALUATION CHECKLIST | ✓ | COMMENTS |
|---|---|---|
| **I. COHERENCE OF AREA**<br><br>*What is the area of investigation?* | The researcher can speak and write about the Area of Investigation in a way that makes sense to others and is clearly understood. | ☐ | .................................................................... |
| **II. SCOPE OF AREA**<br><br>*What do I need to know to gain an understanding of the area of investigation?* | The questions necessary to investigate for gaining an understanding require more than a quick review of easily accessed sources. The questions are reasonable enough so that the researcher is likely to find credible sources that address the issue in the time allotted for research. | ☐ | .................................................................... |
| **III. RELEVANCE OF AREA**<br><br>*How is this Area of Investigation related to a larger topic?* | The Area of Investigation is relevant to the larger topic. | ☐ | .................................................................... |
| **IV. INTEREST IN AREA**<br><br>*Why are you interested in this Area of Investigation?* | The researcher is able to communicate genuine interest in the Area of Investigation. Gaining an understanding of the area would be valuable for the student. | ☐ | .................................................................... |

In one or two sentences express the potential area of investigation in the form of a problem or overarching question:

....................................................................................................................................

....................................................................................................................................

....................................................................................................................................

**ODELL EDUCATION**

| EVIDENCE-BASED CLAIMS CRITERIA CHECKLIST | ✓ | COMMENTS |
|---|---|---|
| **I. CONTENT AND ANALYSIS**<br><br>*An EBC is a clearly stated inference that arises from reading texts closely.* | **Clarity of the Claim:** States a conclusion that you have come to after reading and that you want others to think about. | ☐ | |
| | **Conformity to the Text:** Is based upon and linked to the ideas and details you have read. | ☐ | |
| | **Understanding of the Topic:** Demonstrates knowledge of and sound thinking about a text or topic that matters to you and others. | ☐ | |
| **II. COMMAND OF EVIDENCE**<br><br>*An EBC is supported by specific textual evidence and developed through valid reasoning.* | **Reasoning :** All parts of the claim are supported by specific evidence you can point to in the text(s). | ☐ | |
| | **Use and Integration of Evidence:** Uses direct quotations and examples from the text(s) to explain and prove its conclusion. | ☐ | |
| | **Thoroughness and Objectivity:** Is explained thoroughly and distinguishes your claim from other possible positions. | ☐ | |
| **III. COHERENCE AND ORGANIZATION**<br><br>*An EBC and its support are coherently organized into a unified explanation.* | **Relationship to Context:** States where your claim is coming from and why you think it is important. | ☐ | |
| | **Relationships among Parts:** Groups and presents supporting evidence in a clear way that helps others understand your claim. | ☐ | |
| | **Relationship to Other Claims:** Can be linked with other claims to make an argument. | ☐ | |
| **IV. CONTROL OF LANGUAGE AND CONVENTIONS**<br><br>*An EBC is communicated clearly and precisely, with responsible use/ citation of supporting evidence.* | **Clarity of Communication:** Is clearly and precisely stated, so that others understand your thinking. | ☐ | |
| | **Responsible Use of Evidence:** Quotes from the text accurately. | ☐ | |

Name...................................... Area of Inv........................................................ Date .................

| RESEARCH EVALUATION CRITERIA CHECKLIST | √ | COMMENTS |
|---|---|---|
| **I. ADEQUACY AND SUFFICIENCY OF RESEARCH** <br><br> *The researcher's investigation follows the Research Frame and the information gathered is sufficient.* | **Adequacy of the research:** The researcher's investigation is based on the Research Frame and the claims and information presented link directly to the Inquiry Paths. | ☐ | |
| | **Sufficiency of the answers:** The answers formulated by the researcher based on his/her investigation are sufficient to cover the scope of each Inquiry Path. | ☐ | |
| | **Adequacy of the scope and focus of the research:** No Inquiry Questions or Paths of the research seem irrelevant with respect to the Research Frame. | ☐ | |
| **II. CREDIBILITY AND RICHNESS OF SOURCES** <br><br> *The sources gathered by the researcher are credible and rich.* | **Credibility of sources :** The sources gathered by the researcher are credible. | ☐ | |
| | **Richness of sources:** The researcher found a reasonable amount of rich sources that provide important information that is relevant to the inquiry. | ☐ | |
| **III. RANGE OF PERSPECTIVES** <br><br> *The researcher has considered a wide range of perspectives.* | **Richness of perspectives:** The researcher has considered and explored multiple perspectives. | ☐ | |
| | **Sufficiency of perspectives:** No important perspective has been ignored. | ☐ | |
| | **Balance among perspectives:** There is no over reliance on any one source or perspective. | ☐ | |
| **IV. ACCURACY OF THE PERSPECTIVE** <br><br> *The EBCs drawn from the analysis of the sources are coherent, sound and supported.* | **Coherence of EBCs:** The evidence-based claims drawn from the analysis of the sources are coherent with respect to the Research Frame. | ☐ | |
| | **Soundness of EBCs:** The evidence-based claim demonstrates knowledge of and sound thinking about the Area of Investigation. | ☐ | |
| | **Support for EBCs :** The evidence-based claims are supported by quotations and examples from the texts. | ☐ | |

ODELL
EDUCATION

| TEXT-CENTERED DISCUSSIONS CHECKLIST | ✔ | COMMENTS |
|---|---|---|
| **I. PREPARING** | **Reading & Research**: I come to the discussion prepared, having read the text and/or researched the topic we are studying. | ☐ | |
| **II. ENGAGING AND PARTICIPATING** | **Engaging Actively**: I pay attention to, respect, and work with all other participants in the discussion. | ☐ | |
| | **Participating Responsibly**: I take a variety of roles in the discussion, and I follow the guidelines or agreements we have set for the conversation. | ☐ | |
| | **Recognizing Purpose & Goals**: I understand the purpose and goals of our discussion or work, and I contribute to our progress. | ☐ | |
| **III. COMMUNICATING IDEAS, CLAIMS AND EVIDENCE** | **Presenting Ideas Coherently**: I present my ideas and claims clearly, using relevant evidence and well-chosen details from the text. | ☐ | |
| | **Communicating Clearly**: When I talk with others, I make eye contact and speak in a clear, respectful voice so they can understand me. | ☐ | |
| **IV. QUESTIONING** | **Posing Questions**: I pose good questions that are centered on the text or topic and that help us think more deeply. | ☐ | |
| | **Responding to Questions**: I respond to others' questions or comments by citing specific, relevant evidence and ideas. | ☐ | |
| | **Making Connections**: I make valid and thoughtful connections and comparisons among my ideas and those of others. | ☐ | |
| **V. LISTENING RESPECTFULLY** | **Acknowledging Others**: I pay attention to, acknowledge, and consider thoughtfully new information and ideas from others. | ☐ | |
| | **Qualifying or Justifying Views**: I modify or further justify my ideas in response to evidence and ideas I have heard from others. | ☐ | |

*Note: This checklist supports instruction of CCSS SL.1.*

# RESEARCHING
## TO DEEPEN UNDERSTANDING

### DEVELOPING CORE PROFICIENCIES
### ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS / LITERACY UNIT

### GRADE 8

### RESEARCH TOPIC REPOSITORY

## HUMAN – ANIMAL INTERACTION

ODELL
EDUCATION
www.odelleducation.com

# PURPOSES AND USES OF A RESEARCH TOPIC REPOSITORY

A Research Topic Repository provides a starting point and a set of common source texts in a given topical arena for student inquiry while developing the research proficiencies that are the instructional focus of the Research for Deepening Understanding Units. The Repository suggests issues to consider in narrowing and focusing a class or student research, provides examples of possible areas of investigation that might be pursued, lists broad inquiry questions that can lead to investigative paths, and includes a set of source texts for one possible area.

The common text set models a range of text types, perspectives, and provides both background and extension texts.  Background texts should be accessible to the student (relatively straightforward in approach, syntax, and language), require little background knowledge to interpret, and be comprehendible, given the student's reading skill and level. Extension texts should be rich, complex, and challenging (at the upper end of the text complexity band). They should be characteristic of texts in the field being investigated, present sophisticated arguments and/or research studies, and demand that students read closely to unpack vocabulary, syntax, and meaning.

The Repository supports and informs teacher and student decisions that are made during the research process, as described in the Researching for Deeper Understanding unit plan. The first decision is which Repository to use as a context for the unit and student research, or whether to develop a new, parallel Repository. Teachers and students should base this decision on the instructional level, curriculum context, student interests, and common text levels.

The Lexiles of the model common sources contained in this repository range from 810L to 1500L

# HUMAN – ANIMAL INTERACTION

Human – animal interactions have affected society in major ways, and provide innumerable topics for student research in every curriculum. For as long as humans and animals have co-existed on planet earth, they have interacted with each other.  The earliest humans lived by pretty much the same guiding principle as the animals: "Eat or be eaten."  Humans learned they could eat animals and also use their parts for things such as tools or clothing.  As animal populations dwindled in certain areas, humans needed to travel or move to find more.  They soon discovered that certain animals, particularly the herbivores, could be captured and kept in captivity until, after several generations, they lost their natural fear of their captors. These "domestic animals" provided humans a more dependable supply of food, clothing and even transportation.

Some domestic animals were soon recognized as valuable companions (e.g. dogs), while others were strong enough to become "beasts of burden," capable of hauling wagons, pulling plows or being ridden.  Meanwhile, large wild carnivores such as wolves and mountain lions were seen as competitors for food, and thus were hunted and killed by humans.

As civilization progressed, humans needed more and more resources, such as trees, as building material for their homes, barns, wagons and ships. Trees were also converted to coke, which fueled the factories and allowed ore to be converted into metal.  Soon, many of the forests were nearly gone, and the animals which depended on them began to disappear. Laws to protect animals and their habitats were eventually passed, but not before many species were lost forever.

Today, animals are still highly valued by humans.  People in some countries use them much as their ancestors did. Animals such as serpents, sheep, goats, wolves, lions, eagles, doves and even cows have all been utilized as symbols or subjects in art, literature and religion.  The images and stories of these animals evoke reactions deep within our psyches, and play significant roles in shaping our feelings toward and interaction with certain species.   Animal pets number in the hundreds of millions.  Sport hunting adds billions to the economy of the USA.  Medical research laboratories use dozens of different species to test new products.  Parts of animals are used to replace diseased or damaged human organs.  Zoos are present in nearly every large city. Seeing-eye dogs guide our blind.  Fast food restaurants serve up hamburgers by the billions.  Humans could hardly exist without animals!

# II. POSSIBLE AREAS OF INVESTIGATION

1.  Early human's dependence upon animals (e.g., early signs of hunting and eating of animals)

2.  The domestication of animals (e.g., successful and failed domestication efforts specific species)

3.  Agriculture and animal husbandry (e.g., breeding horses to better suite farming needs)

4.  Use of animals in medical research and testing (e.g., use of laboratory mice to develop medications to treat illnesses)

5.  Destruction of habitat and its effect on animal populations (e.g., clearing of rainforests to produce soy and sugarcane)

6.  Hunting in modern times (e.g., subsistence hunting, market hunting and sport hunting)

7.  Animals as pets and companions (e.g., domestic vs. wild pets)

8.  Human-made vs. natural causes of animal species extinction (e.g., dinosaurs, passenger pigeon, ivory-billed woodpecker)

9.  Commercial fishing's impact on fish populations (e.g. tuna fishing in the Mediterranean)

10.  Animals in literature, art, and the entertainment industry  (e.g., *Never Cry Wolf, Rhyme of the Ancient Mariner, The Artist*)

11.  Animals as objects of worship (e.g., the sacred cow of India)

12.  Working animals (e.g., police and military dogs, seeing-eye dogs)

# ≡ III. POSSIBLE GUIDING QUESTIONS ≡ FOR INQUIRY AND RESEARCH

The following questions can be used to initiate inquiry and to guide students in identifying paths for investigation.  Some questions are generic, with the idea that a particular animal species may be identified by the teacher and/or student as the focus of inquiry.  Others delve into subject areas that deal with multiple species, or identify an over-arching topic such as ethical treatment of animals.

1.    What is the history of (a selected animal's) interaction with humans?

2.    What are the general characteristics of the animal species that have been domesticated by humans?  Are they always the same? Are there exceptions?

3.    How are ethical standards applied in the use of animals for agriculture and medical research?

4.    What species of animals are currently being affected by destruction of the rain forests of South America? How is that destruction affecting animals (birds) that spend a portion of their lives in North America?

5.    What animals were worshipped by the ancient Egyptians? Are there religions today that worship or hold certain animals sacred? What are the reasons animals were/are worshipped?

6.    What are subsistence, market and sport hunting? What are the ethical ramifications of each type?

7.    Why do wild animals and domestic animals in the same family behave so differently toward humans?

8.    How can we protect dwindling species that migrate to different parts of the world where they are subject to unregulated commercial hunting or fishing?

9.    Who pays for wildlife conservation and what are the financial responsibilities of local authorities vs. federal authorities?  (e.g. Federal Aid in Wildlife Restoration Act).

10.   What legal protections do animals have and in what areas of animal use? (e.g., hunting seasons, medical experiments, etc.)

11.   What international treaties have been signed, and by what countries, that protect endangered or other wildlife? (e.g. CITES, Migratory Bird Treaty Act)

12.   How have various artist or authors depicted animals in their work? Why are animals such popular subjects of art and literature?

13.   Who are the leading researchers of (selected animal or group of animals)?

14.   What careers involve the use or treatment of animals? How does the use or treatment differ from career to career?

ODELL
EDUCATION

# IV. SOURCE LOCATIONS

In conducting research, students should be encouraged to conduct searches for sources in a variety of areas such as the school library, visits to and observations of sites and places related to the topic, search engines like Google and Bing, and on-line databases like EBSCO Host and Gale. In expanding the circle of potential resources for research, and in realigning their strategic searches, students should utilize the expertise of library-media specialists in their school or community, and learn from them how to access additional search vehicles that may be available to them.

Many state and school district library systems provide free public access to research portals that allow teachers and students to access various informational databases. Many of these have been organized so that articles can be searched for by text difficulty level (Lexile measure) as well as topic, allowing both teachers and students to find information at a variety of text complexity levels. Some national content aggregators that provide searches by Lexile level are: EBSCO, Gale, Grolier Online, Net Trekker, News Bank, Pro Quest, and Questia. Contact a library-media specialist for information on how to connect students to and navigate the state's database access.

# V. COMMON SOURCE TEXT SET

The common text set for this Repository presents a model text sequence focused on a particular area of investigation; the common text set can be used in various ways by a teacher and students, depending on the degree to which they want to focus inquiry and research on the areas of investigation suggested by the texts in the set. Each common text is linked to a specific reading activity in the unit plan, and each includes a short set of text notes and a set of text-based questions to initiate students' close reading. The model sources in this repository can be used in a variety of ways including:

1. **Provide background and direction for inquiry focused on the area of investigation:** In this case, students will read and analyze the common texts either as main sources or as a research base as they embark on inquiry and investigation directly related to the area(s) of investigation presented in the texts. They will develop the close reading skills required for effective research through text-based discussions and analysis of the common texts, as explained in the unit plan. Students may then extend their individual research into closely related areas and new texts.

2. **Provide skills practice and a starting point for students' research:** In this case, students will work with the text set to learn about and practice the close reading skills required for effective research, but will then conduct research into a related, but new area of investigation identified by the teacher or students, applying those same skills with new texts.

3. **Serve as models for the teacher:** In this case, the teacher may identify other, similar texts in a chosen area of investigation and build a new or expanded common text set, which parallels the model set in terms of breadth, richness, and complexity. Students will develop the close reading skills required for effective research using the teacher's new common text set and will launch either teacher- or student-directed inquiry in a new area of investigation area suggested by the texts in the set.

The general text characteristics and their *sequential use in the unit's activities* are outlined below:

### Text #1 - *Stimulus*:

Rich, high interest text that can stimulate student thinking and discussion in the general topic area and lead the class or a student to consider various areas of investigation. Might be a literary text.

*Students will use this text as a jumping off point for inquiry in* **Part 1, Activity 2.**

### Text #2 - *Background information*:

Accessible informational text providing accurate background information on an identified area. Characteristics – rich, quality, credibility, connection to the inquiry. Should be a quality source of rich information on central aspects of topic. Should frame an area in a way that can lead to many paths of exploration, rather than a single perspective or focus.

*Students will use this text to build background and practice skills of close reading and initial text analysis (for credibility, accessibility, and relevance) in* **Part 1, Activity 3, and Part 2, Activities 2-3.**

### Text #3 - *Background information*:

Accessible informational text providing additional and complementary accurate background information related to an identified area of investigation.

*Students will use this text to build background and practice skills of close reading and initial text analysis (for credibility, accessibility, and relevance) in* **Part 1, Activity 3, and Part 2 Activities 2-3.**

### Text #4 - *Perspective on the Topic:*

Short, but potentially more challenging informational text that presents or suggests a particular perspective on an identified area of investigation. Should come from a credible source.

*Students will use this text to identify one of multiple ways of viewing the identified area of investigation, to practice close reading skills of analyzing perspective and bias, and to compare with other perspectives in* **Part 2, Activities 2-3.**

**Text #5 - *Perspective on the Topic:***

Short, but more challenging informational text that presents or suggests a second or contrasting perspective on an identified area of investigation. Might come from a less known source with uncertain credibility.

*Students will use this text to identify one of multiple ways of viewing the identified area of investigation, to practice close reading skills of analyzing perspective and bias, and to compare with other perspectives in* **Part 2, Activities 2-3.**

**Text #6 - *Perspective on* the *Topic:***

Short informational text related to an identified area of investigation that presents or suggests an additional or contrasting perspective. Might come from an unusual source with uncertain credibility.

*Students will use this text to identify one of multiple ways of viewing the identified area of investigation, to practice close reading skills of analyzing perspective and bias, and to compare with other perspectives in* **Part 2, Activity 2-3.**

**Texts #7 - #10 - *Arguments and Perspectives related to the Topic:***

Longer and more complex informational texts related to an identified area of investigation with rich content, a clear perspective, and effective, well-developed argumentation.

*Students will use this text to deepen their understanding of the identified area of investigation and the issues, debates, and controversies that surround it, and to practice the close reading skills of analyzing arguments, their reasoning, and their supporting evidence in* **Part 3, Activity 2.**

NOTE: the teacher or students may supplement this text set with additional examples of academic writing from fields related to the area of investigation.

# VI. COMMON TEXTS

## HUMAN – ANIMAL INTERACTION:
## THE LIMITS AND NECESSITIES OF MODERN DAY ANIMAL USE

Text Complexity Range:  810L to 1500L

| AUTHOR | DATE | LEXILE |
|---|---|---|
| **Text #1:  *Cruelty or Necessity*** | | |
| River Deep | 2001 | NA |
| **Text #2:  *Animals: Moral Issues That Divide Us*** | | |
| Dr. James Fieser | 2001 | 1320 L |
| **Text #3:  American Dog: *Search and Rescue Dogs*** | | |
| Victoria Stilwell | 2012 | NA |
| **Text #4:  *New York City Carriage Horse Falls Near Central Park, Reigniting Old Debate*** | | |
| Edward Lovett | 2010 | 1080L |
| **Text #5:  Monkey Business: Animal Testing Sparks International Debate** | | |
| NA | 2003 | 810L |
| **Text #6:  *Animal-Rights Activists Wreak Havoc in Milan Laboratory*** | | |
| Allison Abbott | April 22, 2013 | 1300L |
| **Text #7: *Italian Researchers Reply to Animal Facility Occupation*** | | |
| CNR Institute of  Neuroscience | April 23, 2013 | 1500L |
| **Text #8: *Animal Experimentation is Justified*** | | |
| Stuart Derbyshire | 2004 | 1000L |
| **Text #9:  *History of the Cosmetic Directive*** | | |
| Current Events Weekly Reader | 2013 | NA |
| **Text #10:  *Are Apes Rights The Next Frontier*** | | |
| Pamela Turner | April 2008 | 1300L |

# TEXT #1

## *Cruelty or Necessity*

### **By River Deep**

**Date:** 2001  /  **Complexity Level:** NA

| TEXT NOTES |
|---|

This video describes the controversy of vivisection-the practice of experimenting on live animals.  It sets the stage for the hotly contested debate on whether animals should be used for medical research and provides students with a broad entry point for researching the process used on animals by medical research companies as well as some of the arguments presented on both sides of the issue.

Sample Text-Dependent Questions:

1.  What evidence from the video supports the claim that, "The use of animals in research has been and continues to be a an issue of great emotional debate?"

2.  What does Dr. Douglas Cromeens state the impact on new drugs, new vaccines, surgical techniques, and surgical devices would be if animals were not used to test them?

# TEXT #2

## *Animals: Moral Issues That Divide Us*

### **By Dr. James Fieser**

**Date:** 2011  /  **Complexity Level:** Measures at 1320L

| TEXT NOTES |
|---|

 While this article has a high complexity level, students do not need to read the entire article. Students should focus their reading on the first three subsections: Animal Consciousness and Pain, Factory Farming, and Animal Research. These sections use vivid language that some students might find somewhat disturbing but most will find engaging and lead to them to unique inquiry paths. Frieser's research provides good background information concerning the controversy of animal use. His academic language paints a vivid description of current animal-use practices and raise interesting questions around the concept of pain. After these three sections student could continue to read to find survey results conducted by Friesen on people's beliefs about this ethical topic but it is not necessary.

Students might also access the following sites for historical background information:

1.  What evidence suggests that dolphins and chimpanzees experience human-like pain?

2.  What phrases are used by Friesen to describe the conditions of "factory farms?"

3.  What evidence supports the claim, "Inhumane treatment of laboratory animals occurs at every stage of their lives?"

# TEXT #3

## Search and Rescue Dogs
### American Dog with Victoria Stilwell

**Date:** 2012  /  **Complexity Level:** NA

### TEXT NOTES

This video is over twenty minutes long and teachers may choose for students to view it in its entirety. Teachers may also choose to only show the first 5:35 which show how dogs are being used positively to help save lives. This part also describes the bonds that are formed between handler and dog.

Sample Text-Dependent Questions:

1.What evidence from the video proves that firefighter Eric Darling and Ben the dog are a team? What words does Darling use to describe this partnership?

2. What benefits do dogs provide during search and rescue operations? What characteristics do dogs have that allow them to provide those benefits?

# TEXT #4

## *New York City Carriage Horse Falls Near Central Park, Reigniting Old Debate*
### By Edward Lovett

**Date:** 2010  /  **Complexity Level:** Measures at 1080L

### TEXT NOTES

This article can be used to contrast with Text #3. While both search and rescue dogs and carriage horses are used to provide services to humans, their treatment appears quite different. The comparisons made in their treatment can provide an opportunity for students to think about service animals, their role in society, and how they are treated while carrying out their activity.

Sample Text-Dependent Questions (to drive initial close reading and discussion):

1.      In what ways are the working conditions and jobs similar and different for carriage horses in Central Park and the search and rescue dogs described in the video used for Text #3?

2.      In what way does the purpose of the animal's activity change the way we view or consider the risks it takes while carrying out the activity? Which textual details support your answer?

# TEXT #5

## *Monkey Business:*
## *Animal Testing Sparks International Debate*

**Date:** 2003  /  **Complexity Level:** Measures at 810L
(This source can be found by using EBSCO)

| TEXT NOTES |
| --- |

This article focuses on the debate surrounding vivisection: experimentation on animals for medical purposes. This short article provides more background on the opinions of whether animals should be hurt and even killed in the name of research that benefits humans.

Sample Text-Dependent Questions:

1.    According to researchers that conduct medical research on animals, what benefits are there to treating the animals well?

2.    What evidence is used to support the claim that "researchers mistreat monkeys before subjecting them to painful experiments that are often painful?"

# TEXT #6

## *Animal-Rights Activists Wreak Havoc in Milan Laboratory*
### **By Allison Abbott**

**Date:** April 22, 2013  /  **Complexity Level:** Measures at 1300L

| TEXT NOTES |
| --- |

While the lexile level of this text is high, the vocabulary and language used in this journal is very accessible for middle school students. The article describes a how five animal rights activists broke into a medical research lab and ruined years of research in just a few hours of time.

Sample Text-Dependent Questions:

1.    The author describes a demonstration by scientists in response to the "ignorance" of the activists. What does this imply would be the impact of the activists' break-in?

2.    In what ways did the activists ruin the research being conducted?

# TEXT #7

## *Italian Researchers Reply to Animal Facility Occupation*
### By CNR Institute of Neuroscience

**Date:** April 23, 2013  /  **Complexity Level:** Measures at 1500L

| TEXT NOTES |
|---|
| In this letter by Italian researchers in response to the Milan break-in described in Text #6, the scientists explain the research they were conducting and their horror in it being destroyed. The high complexity level is due to long sentences so this text provides an opportunity to practice reading closely skills of rereading, annotating, and even using a guiding question, like "What information/ideas are presented in detail?" |

Sample Text-Dependent Questions (to drive initial close reading and discussion):

1.  Besides the "hundreds of thousands of Euros" lost in research, what do the authors describe as the greater loss?

2.  What did the authors of this reply hope to clarify for readers?

# TEXT #8

## *Animal Experimentation is Justified*
### By Stuart Derbyshire

**Date:** 2004  /  **Complexity Level:** Measures at 1000L
(This source can be found by using the Gale Virtual Reference Library)

| TEXT NOTES |
|---|
| Derbyshire presents a powerful argument urging scientists to defend the work that they do which helps save human lives. This text is extremely long and goes into different subsections covering history, primate testing, philosophy behind testing, and uncertainty of the future. You may choose for students to read closely only the first two subsection "Scientists on the Defensive" and "Losing Nerve" which adequately capture Derbyshire's argument. |

Sample Text-Dependent Questions:

1.  What "disastrous tactical errors" have scientists made in "dealing with the animal rights movement?"

2.  Why does Derbyshire call the three Rs patronizing?

# TEXT #9

## *History of the Cosmetic Directive*
## By Fightinganimaltesting.com

**Date:** 2013  /  **Complexity Level:** NA

| TEXT NOTES |
| --- |

This website is a timeline of events highlighting the history of the cosmetics directive in the European Union which aims to eliminate testing of cosmetics on animals. The timeline can help students understand how complicated a topic as it involves animals rights, business and human health interests. While this site is in favor of passing legislation banning testing on animals, students may be encouraged to find sources that support the use of testing on animals and explain the benefits of it.

Sample Text-Dependent Questions:

1.    How do the authors show their displeasure for the governments of the European Union throughout this timeline?

2.    How do cosmetic companies continue to get extensions on complying with the original ban?

# TEXT #10

## *Are Apes Rights The Next Frontier*
## By Pamela Turner

**Date:** April 2008  /  **Complexity Level:** Measures at 1300L
(This source can be found by using the Gale Virtual Reference Library)

| TEXT NOTES |
| --- |

In this point/counterpoint article, Pamela Turner describes the necessity for apes being used for medical research versus the argument by Jane Goodall and others that these amazing creatures experience pain and emotion and should be given person status. This text is a strong text to summarize the differing opinions explored in this repository.

Sample Text-Dependent Questions:

1.    What arguments are given in support of certain animals being given "person status?"

2.    How do scientists justify using animals for medical research?

**Battle over animal rights: scientists and animal-rights activists clash over the use of animals for medical research**
Article that gives rich background information on the debate of using animals for research
Available through Gale: Gale, Opposing Views in Context, Current Events, a Weekly Reader publication, December 9, 1996
Lexile Measure: 1160L

**Monitoring the Health of Canine Heroes**
Article by the American Kennel Club Canine Health Foundation that reports on a study published in the 8/2010 issue of the Journal of Environmental Health, which deals with the current health of SAR dogs involved in the search at Ground Zero after the 9/11 attack
Lexile Measure:  1140L

**Wild Pets**
Natalie Smith examines the issues, both legal and ethical, of owning wild animals as pets.
*Scholastic News* , Edition 5/6. 10/1/2012, Vol. 81 Issue 4, p4-5. 2p.
Lexile Measure: 950L

***Your Favorite Animal***
Article by Peg Lopata on the history of the bond between humans and dogs.
*Faces* (07491387) Jul/Aug2012, Vol 28, Issue 9, p. 6-48.
Lexile Measure: 1200L

***The Ethics of Using Animals in Research***
More challenging piece on the issue of ethics in animal testing
Online Ethics Center for Engineering 8/17/2006. National Academy of Engineering.
Lexile Measure: 151

***Dog Tale: Gray Wolf***
Weir describes the domestication of dogs.
**(**EBSCOhost) Current Science Vol. 96 Issue 5, p.10-11
Lexile Measure: 1010L

***More Than Just A Family Pet***
Article from the Toronto Star describes our emotional ties to pets.
Available at EBSCOhost
Lexile Measure: 860L

***Animal Allies***
Article by Michael Hauser in *Boys' Quest* on the uses of animals during military conflicts.
Available at Gale
Lexile Measure: 1020L

***Report Spots Risks in Animal Farm Practices***
Article by Elizabeth Weise in *USA Today* explaining farming practices and their risks to humans.
Available at Gale
Lexile Measure: 1480L

**Working like a dog: sheepherders, detectives, household helpers, and more-dogs do all sorts of jobs. And they're doggone good workers!**
Terrell Smith in National Geographic Explorer explains the many uses of dogs.
National Geographic Explorer, Jan-Feb 2003 v2 i4 p18(6).
Lexile Measure:  1140L

**Factory Farm Animals are Treated Humanely**
Argument defending farming practices in the U.S.
Available at Gale
Lexile Measure:  1130L

**Write a brief account of the class conversation about the topic, describing what you know at this point about some of its aspects:**

Today we read and discussed the article, "Animal Experimentation Is Justified" by Stuart Derbyshire. He is a professor at a university hospital, so we thought that what he said in the article was pretty important to understand.

We talked about how he thinks that we need to use animals to develop medications for humans, and that scientists shouldn't be afraid to say so. We also discussed how he thinks that there is no real middle ground between the scientists who experiment with animals, and the animal rights activists. This is because the scientists need the animals, while the activists will never be okay with animal experimentation. Derbyshire only seemed to be talking about experimentation for use in medicine, and not for things like cosmetics. Some of us thought that using animals is okay, because they are used to find treatments for terrible illnesses, but many thought it was selfish to use animals.

## POTENTIAL AREA OF INVESTIGATION 1

**In a few words, describe an area within the topic that you would like to know more about:**

Derbyshire says that animal experimentation is really important to develop medicine for humans.

**Explain why you are interested in this area of the topic:**

I use medicine. My parents and family use medicine. I wonder if the medicine we use needed animals to make it.

**Express your potential area of investigation as a question or problem:**

Why are animals needed to help made human medicine and what kind of testing needs to be done on them?

# EXPLORING A TOPIC

| POTENTIAL AREA OF INVESTIGATION 2 | POTENTIAL AREA OF INVESTIGATION 3 | POTENTIAL AREA OF INVESTIGATION 4 |
|---|---|---|
| **In a few words, describe what you would like to know more about within the topic:** <br> The article talks a lot about the history of the use of animals to develop human medicine. Before, scientists seemed to be more free to do these experiments. | **In a few words, describe what you would like to know more about within the topic:** <br> In class we have been reading and talking about a lot of ways we use animals--especially dogs. The video showed dogs used for search and rescue. I wonder what characteristics make dogs especially attuned to humans and their needs. I wonder when this started and how it developed over the years. | **In a few words, describe what you would like to know more about within the topic:** <br> Hunting has been one of the main ways humans have "interacted" with animals throughout history. Some of the reading we did in class talked about the way hunting has affected different populations of animals. I wonder what animal populations we have both helped and hurt over the years. What practices contribute to both. |
| **Explain why you are interested in this:** <br> It seems like doctors now have a harder time to do experiments on animals. I wonder if this is because people are more aware of what doctors and scientists do, or because people are more sensitive to how we treat animals. | **Explain why you are interested in this:** <br> My family has always had dogs as pets. He seems like part of the family--like he knows each of us personally. I know that sounds crazy. I've always wanted to learn more about the special bond between dogs and humans. | **Explain why you are interested in this:** <br> My dad and uncles hunt deer every year. We always eat the meat--which is delicious. My dad says that hunting deer actually helps maintain the deer population--as long as everyone obeys the laws. I'd like to know how that works. |
| **Express your potential area of investigation as a question or problem:** <br> How have our perceptions of animal testing changed over history? Are we more sensitive now, or just more informed? | **Express your potential area of investigation as a question or problem:** <br> What characteristics in dogs and humans give them their special bond? At what point in history did this begin and how did it develop throughout the years? | **Express your potential area of investigation as a question or problem:** <br> How has human hunting affected various populations of animals throughout history? What laws around hunting help maintain populations and how do they work? |

**Name** Model     **Source(s) #** 5, 7, 8

**Inquiry Question:** Should we use animals for medical research?

## SEARCHING FOR DETAILS
I read the sources closely and mark words and phrases that help me answer my question.

## SELECTING DETAILS

I select words or phrases from my search that I think are the **most important** for answering my question. I write the **reference** next to each detail.

**Detail 1** (Ref.: Source 7 )

"it is equally undeniable that it is only by using laboratory animals that we have been able to make the medical advances and therapeutic progress of the past, and that this will also be necessary for further developments."

**Detail 2** (Ref.: Source 5 )

"There is a lot of incentive to treat the animals well, researchers argue. They say sick or frightened monkeys would affect an experiment's results."

**Detail 3** (Ref.: 8 )

"Animal research has been an integral part of the development of modern medicine, has saved an incalculable number of lives, and prevents tremendous human suffering."

## ANALYZING AND CONNECTING DETAILS

I re-read parts of the texts and **think about the meaning of the details** and what they tell me about my question. Then I compare the details and explain **the connections** I see among them.

**What I think about the details and how I connect them:**

These details make me believe that animals are important in medical research and for saving lives. While there may be opportunities to using alternative means to conduct research like human skin cells, it does not appear that there is conclusive evidence that this works in all circumstances. There also have been many improvements to the way that animals are treated in the labs prior to and during their testing. I also wonder what would have happened if animals were never used to find cures to illnesses.

## MAKING A CLAIM

I state a conclusion I have come to and can support with **evidence** from the texts after reading them closely.

**My claim that answers my inquiry question:**

Using animals for medical research is the best current option available for continuing high-quality medical research and for finding cures and treatments for human illnesses.

**FORMING EVIDENCE-BASED CLAIMS**

**Name** Model

**Inquiry Path** 2-Should animals be used for medical research?

**CLAIM:** Using animals for medical research is the best current option available for continuing high-quality medical research and for finding cures and treatments for human illnesses.

**Point 1** ▷ Using animals in research saves lives

**Point 2** ▷ Animals are used as a last resort

| **A** Supporting Evidence | **B** Supporting Evidence | **A** Supporting Evidence | **B** Supporting Evidence |
|---|---|---|---|
| "Vaccines such as polio, tetanus, diphtheria, Hepatitis B, and small pox were all developed using animals." | "…experimenting on the human brain is difficult because scans of live brains are imprecise. Scientists say monkeys are ideal for the research because their brains are similar to human brains and are affected by many of the same diseases." | "Most medical research does not use animals." | "Wherever possible, alternatives such as cell cultures, tissues, computers, bacteria, and plants are used instead." |
| (Reference: Source #10 ) | (Reference: Source #5 ) | (Reference: Source #5 ) | (Reference: Source #5 ) |
| **C** Supporting Evidence | **D** Supporting Evidence | **C** Supporting Evidence | **D** Supporting Evidence |
| "Animal research has been responsible for nearly every medical health advancement we have today." | "…it is equally undeniable that it is only by using laboratory animals that we have been able to make the medical advances and therapeutic progress of the past, and that this will also be necessary for further developments." | For now at least, as a society, we have decided that it is reasonable and ethical to do a certain amount of basic biomedical research using animal models," says Gordon. "But that's if, and only if, we do it in a very, very humane fashion." | "Ironically, one of the purposes of animal use in research is to try to find ways to reduce or eliminate such use in future studies. For example, many toxicity studies today no longer require the use of animals. "As we learn more, we are better able to mimic conditions outside the body for many studies that once required animals," |
| (Reference: Source #10 ) | (Reference: Source #7 ) | (Reference: Source #10 ) | (Reference: Source #10 ) |

**ORGANIZING EVIDENCE-BASED CLAIMS**

**Name** Model

**Topic** Human-Animal Interaction

**Area of Investigation** Animal Usage in Society

---

| SOURCE | Title: Monkey Business: Animal Testing Sparks Debate | Location: Weekly Reader | |
|---|---|---|---|
| #5 | Author: Weekly Reader | Text Type: Magazine | Publication Date: Jan 31, 2003 |

**General Content / Key Ideas / Personal Comments:**

This article focuses on the debate surrounding vivisection: experimentation on animals for medical purposes. It asks the important question of whether it is worth risking the lives of animals to save those of humans. Researchers say they try not to hurt the monkeys because that affects the results negatively. However, animal rights activists think that there are better and cheaper ways to do the same research.

**Connection to Inquiry Paths:** 1, 2 & 3

Credibility: [✓] High  [ ] Medium  [ ] Low     Relevance/Richness: [✓] High  [ ] Medium  [ ] Low     Accessibility/Interest: [✓] High  [ ] Medium  [ ] Low

---

| SOURCE | Title: Animal Experimentation is Justified | Location: GALE online repository | |
|---|---|---|---|
| #8 | Author: Stuart Derbyshire | Text Type: Essay | Publication Date: 2004 |

**General Content / Key Ideas / Personal Comments:**

Derbyshire presents a powerful argument urging scientists to defend the work that they do which helps save human lives. He asserts that the three "Rs" are more like a confession that using animals to better humans' lives is a "necessary evil." Derbyshire shows the importance of animal research and that researchers should not be concerned with the welfare of animals - this is the price to help humanity.

**Connection to Inquiry Paths:** 1,2,3

Credibility: [✓] High  [ ] Medium  [ ] Low     Relevance/Richness: [✓] High  [ ] Medium  [ ] Low     Accessibility/Interest: [✓] High  [ ] Medium  [ ] Low

---

| SOURCE | Title: Title: Italian Researchers Reply to Animal Facility Occupation | Location: http://www.prometeusmagazine.org/wordpress/2013/04/23/italian-researchers-reply-to-animal-facility-occupation/ | |
|---|---|---|---|
| #7 | Author: Researchers and associates of the Milan section of the CNR Institute of Neuroscience | Text Type: Opinion letter | Publication Date: April 23, 2013 |

**General Content / Key Ideas / Personal Comments:**

This is a letter by Italian researchers condemning the occupation of their research facility. They use the letter to argue that their research is for the benefit of humans and to find cures to diseases like Alzheimer's. They also point out that they have followed strict national and international rules to conduct their experiments.

**Connection to Inquiry Paths:** 1,2,3

Credibility: [✓] High  [ ] Medium  [ ] Low     Relevance/Richness: [✓] High  [ ] Medium  [ ] Low     Accessibility/Interest: [ ] High  [✓] Medium  [ ] Low

**POTENTIAL SOURCES**

**Name** Model                **Topic** Human-Animal Interaction

**Area of Investigation** Animal Usage in Society

| INQUIRY PATH | INQUIRY PATH | INQUIRY PATH |
|---|---|---|
| Reference: IP # 1 | Reference: IP # 2 | Reference: IP # 3 |
| **Name this Inquiry Path in the form of a brief description or question:**<br>In what ways do we use animals? | **Name this Inquiry Path in the form of a brief description or question:**<br>Should we use animals for medical research? | **Name this Inquiry Path in the form of a brief description or question:**<br>What protections should there be for animals? |
| **List all the questions in this Inquiry Path:**<br>What types of jobs to animals have?<br><br>Are animals meant to help humans/are humans meant to help animals?<br><br>Why do humans use animals to help themselves?<br><br>In what ways do humans help animals?<br><br>What characteristics of animals make them most helpful for helping humans?<br><br>What animals are most often used to help humans?<br><br>What purposes to different animals hold on Earth? | **List all the questions in this Inquiry Path:**<br>Why and how are animals used in medical research?<br>How do animals help medical research?<br><br>Why are some people against using animals for medical research?<br><br>What alternatives are there to using animals in research and are they effective?<br><br>What is the difference between using animals for medical research and for other purposes like cosmetics?<br><br>What would be the impact if scientists quit using animals altogether for medical research? | **List all the questions in this Inquiry Path:**<br>What has led to the debate surrounding legal protections being provided to animals?<br><br>Do animals need or have rights?<br><br>In what instances have animals been mistreated?<br><br>Why are groups like PETA and others clamoring to provide rights to animals?<br><br>If an animal dying could save a life of a human, is the death a just one?<br><br>If certain animals are not used to help humans, are they fair game for medical research purposes? |

RESEARCH FRAME

**Name** Model    **Topic** Human-Animal Interaction

**Area of Investigation** Animal Usage in Society

**Inquiry Path** Should animals be used for medical research?

**In a few sentences, write a synthesis of what you have learned from your research about this Inquiry Path. This synthesis should provide an answer to your Inquiry Path, referencing your sources. At this point, you are NOT yet expected to provide your personal perspective. You simply give an account of your findings and analysis of sources. Draw from the Forming and Organizing Evidence-Based Claims tools you have developed for this Inquiry Path and use connecting words to help express the logic of your ideas.**

It is clear that while there is a growing movement to find alternatives to medical research that do not involve using animals, currently there are no alternatives that allow researchers to continue developing cures to life- threatening diseases and illnesses.

First, it is important to note that "Most medical research does not use animals." "Wherever possible, alternatives such as cell cultures, tissues, computers, bacteria, and plants are used instead." For example, many toxicity studies today no longer require the use of animals. "As we learn more, we are better able to mimic conditions outside the body for many studies that once required animals."

Stuart Derbyshire defends animal research stating that "it is equally undeniable that it is only by using laboratory animals that we have been able to make the medical advances and therapeutic progress of the past, and that this will also be necessary for further developments."

For instance, "Vaccines such as polio, tetanus, diphtheria, Hepatitis B, and small pox were all developed using animals," and "...experimenting on the human brain is difficult because scans of live brains are imprecise. Scientists say monkeys are ideal for the research because their brains are similar to human brains and are affected by many of the same diseases." Even though many researchers do not always need to use animals to do research, they will at times need to use animals for the benefit of human society.

**SYNTHESIZING EVIDENCE-BASED CLAIMS**

**Inquiry Question/Path** Should animals be used for medical research?

| REF. | DETAILS | COMMENTS |
|---|---|---|
| Source # and location in the source: | I record details, ideas, or information that I find in my sources that help me answer my inquiry questions: | I explain the reason why I think they are important, and write personal comments: |
| Source #8, Page 1 | "Animal research has been an integral part of the development of modern medicine, has saved an incalculable number of lives, and prevents tremendous human suffering." | This is very important because I think its important to find ways to save people's lives. I wonder in what ways specifically animals have helped prevent human suffering? |
| Source #5, Page 1 | "Scientists should spend more time developing tests that don't involve animals, activists say. Virtual research and experiments on human cells or tissue samples are more humane and less expensive, they argue." | I keep reading that there are alternatives to using animals for research but I'm wondering whether these types of test are less effective? |
| Source #5, Page 1 | "There is a lot of incentive to treat the animals well, researchers argue. They say sick or frightened monkeys would affect an experiment's results." | It seems that the animals are treated well during the process but ultimately they are harmed. Is it better to treat them well before experimenting on them? This explanation doesn't seem to be considering the monkey's feelings or rights. These scientists are just worried about not messing up their research. |
| Source #7 | "Our research is mainly related to currently incurable nervous system diseases for which we desperately need to develop treatments (autism, Parkinson's disease, Alzheimer's disease, multiple sclerosis, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, Prader-Willi syndrome and nicotine addiction)" | The research scientists conduct seems to be for really important purposes, like Alzheimer's disease. But are there any other ways other than testing on animals to do this? |

**TAKING NOTES**