Plan Na	mePlan No			
Submitti	ng FirmContact Engineer			
Review	Date ESI Team			
	ENGINEERS AND SURVEYORS INSTITUTE PEER REVIEW CHECKLIST CITY OF ALEXANDRIA  EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL CHECKLIST (E&S) VIRGINIA EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL HANDBOOK (1 of 2)			
Item #	Description	OK	NO	N/A
1	Limits of clearing and grading match on all appropriate sheets			
2	Construction entrance provided with source of water and silt trap indicated			
3	Show the total disturbed area on all phases of E&S plans and grading plan			
4	Silt fence used where maximum drainage area is 1 acre or less and size of drain area is no more than 0.25 acre per 100 feet of silt fence			
5	Inlet protection provided where drain area is no greater than 1 acre			
6	Culvert inlet protection (silt fence) provided where maximum drainage area is 1 acre			
7	Culvert inlet protection (sediment trap) provided where maximum drainage area is 3 acres			
8	Maximum drainage area for diversion dike is 5 acres			
9	Silt trap computations shown with storage of 134 cubic feet per acre			
10	Pipe outlet required on silt trap of 1 to 3 acres			
11	The use of diversion dikes to break up drainage divides to support the use of silt traps shall			
40	only be allowed when maintenance of dike can be accomplished			
12	Computations provided for sediment basin – VESCH standard format			
13	Rock check dams shall be used in small open channels draining 10 acres or less			
14	Tree save areas with drip lines within 25' of limits of disturbance delineated and tree protection limits shown on the plan			
15	Show all soil stockpiling areas staging areas and temporary parking areas with adequate erosion controls and adequate sequencing. This applies to both on-site and off-site areas.			
16	Drainage areas to silt fence conform to the VESCH			
17	Outlet protection conforms to the VESCH			
18	Special instructions for the handling of any contaminated soils are included			
19	Two phased narrative description. Narrative organized to the order as outlined in the checklist of the VESCH			
20	Phase one controls complete and correct			
21	Phase two controls complete and correct			
Commer	nts:			

Plan Na	mePlan No			
Submitti	ing FirmContact Engineer			_
Review Date ESI Team				
	<del></del>			
	ENGINEERS AND SURVEYORS INSTITUTE PEER REVIEW CHECKLIST CITY OF ALEXANDRIA			
	ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES CHECKLIST (EI) (1 of 1)			
ltem #	Description	OK	NO	N/A
1	Major trees and shrubs depicted			
2	Natural and artificial watercourses, bodies of water and wetlands shown			
3	Limits of floodplains delineated			
<u>4</u> 5	Significant geological features depicted  Areas of contaminated soils or materials identified	+		
6	Underground storage tanks located	+		
7	Areas located within 1000 feet of a former sanitary landfill, dump or disposal area identified			
8	Areas with the potential of generating combustible gases or other noxious gases identified	1		
9	Show RPA buffers			
10	A water quality impact assessment (WQIA) (major/minor) is required for any proposed development or redevelopment in the RPA or adjacent to any RPA			
11	Verification showing the issuance of all environmental permits required by law			
12	Standard City environmental notes included			
13	Tabulations of any RPA encroachments are included			
14	Tabulations of any wetland encroachments are included			
15	Mitigation described and tabulated for any RPA encroachment			
Comme	nts:			
	<del></del>			
	<del></del>			

Plan Na	mePlan No			
Submitti	ng FirmContact Engineer			_
Review	Date ESI Team			_
	<del></del>			
	ENGINEERS AND SURVEYORS INSTITUTE PEER REVIEW CHECKLIST CITY OF ALEXANDRIA			
	STORM DRAINAGE DESIGN CHECKLIST (SD) (1 of 2)			
Item #	Description	ОК	NO	N/ A
1	Adequate storm drainage outfall with computations. Provide cross-section of off-site channel or show connection to existing storm sewer			
3	Storm drainage design honors natural drainage divides. Drainage areas denoted on divides  Appropriate coefficients of runoff shown			
4	Minimum size storm sewer main is 18"			
5	Minimum size storm sewer catch basin lead is 15"	<u> </u>		
6 7	All storm sewers in the public right of way shall be concrete. Minimum class IV  Minimum pipe slope shall be 0.50%			
8	Minimum velocity in pipe shall be 2 fps, Maximum velocity in pipe shall be 20 fps			
9	Minimum cover for storm sewer pipe is 2 feet			
10	Concrete pipe under 36", use "n" = 0.015, Concrete pipe 36" and larger, use "n" = 0.013			
11	Design computations for closed and open systems provided			
12	All construction information (i.e. inverts, pipe size, pipe class, length and slope shall be shown on plan and/or profile)			
13	Inlet design computations provided			
14	Culvert pipe size shall be determined by hydraulic computations (provide the standard headwater/tailwater computations)			
15	The ends of any storm drainage pipe shall be provided with an appropriate appurtenance			
16	Inlet/outlet protection (Rip-rap) shall be provided. Length calculations shall be shown based on VESCH, Chapter III charts			
Comme	· ·			

Plan Name	<del></del>	Plan No			
Submitting Firm	<del></del>	Contact Engineer _	<del></del>		
Review Date	ESI Team				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	<del></del>				
	<del></del>				

## **ENGINEERS AND SURVEYORS INSTITUTE** PEER REVIEW CHECKLIST **CITY OF ALEXANDRIA**

## STORM DRAINAGE DESIGN CHECKLIST (SD) (2 of 2)

17	All open channels will be in a minimum drainage easement of 15 feet	
18	Minimum grade on open channels shall be 1.0% with grass lining and 0.50% w/ armored lining	
19	The appropriate size storm sewer easement shall be provided on all storm sewers where necessary	
20	Concrete anchors must be provided on storm sewer lines with slopes of 20% or greater. A detail shall be provided on the plan with spacing requirements	
21	Wherever possible, storm sewer appurtenances should conform to design and construction standards of the Department of T&ES. Provide details of all non-standard storm sewer appurtenances sufficient for construction	
22	No quantity of design surface runoff across lots shall be erosive	
23	Quantities of runoff greater than 2cfs flowing through lots shall be collected and conveyed in a closed storm drainage system except that the Director may approve an open channel where the preservation of a natural drainage way is desirable or the use of open channel will not interfere with use of the property	
24	Lots generally shall be graded in such a manner that surface runoff does not cross more than 3 lots before it is collected in a storm sewer system. The system may be a closed conduit, open channel (swale) or a combination of both. The appropriate drainage easement shall be provide with said system	
25	Over-lot grading shall be provided. Areas shall be graded in such a manner that if a complete failure of the storm sewer system occurs, no residential or commercial building will be flooded by the 100 year storm	
26	All roof drains (down spouts) shall be connected to an existing or proposed storm sewer. All existing down spouts connected to an existing combined or sanitary sewer shall be	

Plan Na	mePlan No			
	ing FirmContact Engineer			
				_
Review	Date ESI Team			_
	disconnected and directed to a storm sewer			
27	Show all utility crossing on profiles			! !
28	Maximum length of storm sewer between structures is 300 ft or a waiver required			ļ
29	HGL provided where applicable. 2' free board from top of manhole and/or gutter flow line as		. [	 
20	applicable.			<u> </u>
30	HGL computations certified by a Virginia P.E.			
Comme	nts·			
	<del>-</del>			—
	ENGINEERS AND SURVEYORS INSTITUTE			
	PEER REVIEW CHECKLIST			
	CITY OF ALEXANDRIA			
	STORMWATER MANAGEMENT (SWM) CHECKLIST			
	(1 of 2)			
Item #	Description	OK	NO	N/
	A standard to a second along address in a the group title of atomorphism when the continuation of the second secon			Α
1	A stormwater management plan addressing the quantity of stormwater runoff and meeting the			i
	requirements of article 13-117 of the zoning code, unless exempted by the provisions therein, must be developed			l
2	In watersheds with significant potential for flooding or existing drainage problems, or where			
	the existing capacity of the storm sewer system is insufficient for the flow, the existing runoff			i
	must be reduced by 10 percent. Additional detention to the satisfaction of the Director of			i
	T&ES may be required to accomplish necessary reduction			i
3	The SWM plan shows the location and design of all planned stormwater control devices			
4	Pre and post development peak runoff rates shown for both a 2 year and 10 year storm,			 
	considered individually, with supporting documentation of all utilized coefficients and			i
	calculations		1	ł

Comments:

The SWM plan shall establish a long term schedule for inspection and maintenance that includes all maintenance requirements and persons responsible for performing maintenance

Plan Nam	ePlan No
Submittin	FirmContact Engineer
Review D	ateESI Team
	ENGINEERS AND SURVEYORS INSTITUTE PEER REVIEW CHECKLIST
	CITY OF ALEXANDRIA
	BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES (BMP) CHECKLIST
	(1 of 1)
	BMP plans and calculations shall be designed in accordance with the Northern Virginia and City of Alexandria BMP Handbook requirements
2	The BMP plan has the pre and post development non-point source pollutant loadings with
:	supporting documentation of all utilized coefficients and calculations
	BMP phosphorus removal efficiency calculations provided for the development site  Calculations provided for Water Quality Volume (Calculations on worksheet A or B and
	vorksheet C) for the development site
	Calculations provided for the BMP device using the appropriate worksheet in the Northern

8 9

10

11

12

Virginia Handbook or the City of Alexandria Supplement to the Handbook

A master plan provided for the overall BMP coverage if applicable

surfaces (1 foot contour map required for flat sites)
BMP maintenance and operation schedule provided

Watershed and receiving body of water identified

Existing and proposed total impervious site areas listed

Total area of development site (parcels) listed

Standard BMP notes included

BMP drainage divide map provided with 2 foot contour delineating pervious and impervious

Plan Na	Plan Name						
Submitting FirmContact Engineer							
Review	Date ESI Team						
13	Total are contributing to the water quality volume listed						
14	% Treated of the area contributing to water quality volume included						
15	List area treated by each BMP as:						
	1. On site impervious						
	2. On site pervious						
	3. Off site impervious						
	4. Off site pervious						
	5. Total						
16	Construction details included for all BMP structure and appurtenance						
17	The BMP plan shall establish a long term schedule for inspection and maintenance that						
	includes all maintenance requirements and persons responsible for performing maintenance						
Comme	Comments:						
	<del></del>						
_							

## ENGINEERS AND SURVEYORS INSTITUTE PEER REVIEW CHECKLIST CITY OF ALEXANDRIA

## SANITARY SEWER CHECKLIST (SS)

(1 of 1)

Item #	Description	OK	NO	N/
1	Sanitary sewer design computations provided			Α
2	Plan and profile have been provided for each run of sanitary sewer			
3	Length of pipe, pipe size, slope and pipe material provided for each pipe run			
4	Use of PVC SDR-35 pipe			
5	Inverts in and out provided at each manhole			
6	Minimum sanitary sewer velocity is 2.5 fps. Maximum sanitary sewer velocity is 10 fps			
7	Minimum cover on sanitary sewer without encasement is 3.5 feet			
8	Maximum cover on sanitary sewer without cast iron is 20 feet			
9	Minimum sanitary sewer size is 10 inches			
10	Sanitary sewer material "n" factors			
	Concrete pipe under 36 in. n = 0.015			
	Concrete pipe 36" and over n = 0.013			1

Plan Name				
Submittir				_
Review [	DateESI Team			_
Item #	Description	OK	NO	N/
	Clay pipe n = 0.013 (existing condition only) Asbestos cement pipe n = 0.015 Corrugated metal pipe fully paved n = 0.015 Smooth wall plastic pipe n = 0.010 Ductile Iron Pipe n = 0.013			
11	Minimum slope on sanitary sewer terminal run is 1.00%			
12	Minimum slope on sanitary sewer is 0.5%			
13	Use of City's standard structure			
14	Details of non-City standard structure provided			
15	Show all utility crossing on profiles			
	Comments:			
	<del></del>			

Plan NamePlan No				
Submitt	ing FirmContact Engineer			_
Review	DateESI Team			_
	ENGINEERS AND SURVEYORS INSTITUTE PEER REVIEW CHECKLIST CITY OF ALEXANDRIA			
	STREET LIGHT/SITE LIGHTING CHECKLIST (SL) (1 of 1)			
Item #	Description	ОК	NO	N/ A
1	A street lighting plan is required showing all existing and proposed lights			
2	Site lighting plan provided for on-site development including parking lot lighting			
3	A photometric lighting calculation plan shall be provided			
4	Provide the type of fixture, strength of light in lumens and the mounting height of all existing and proposed lights			
5	Manufacture's specifications provided for private site lighting fixtures showing type of fixture, strength of light in lumens, and mounting height			
	<del></del>			
	<del></del>			
	<del></del>			

Plan Name		Pian No	 
Submitting Firm		Contact Engineer _	 <del></del>
Review Date	ESI Team		 

Plan Na	mePlan No			
Submitt	ing FirmContact Engineer			_
Review	DateESI Team			_
	ENGINEERS AND SURVEYORS INSTITUTE PEER REVIEW CHECKLIST CITY OF ALEXANDRIA			
	STREET DESIGN CHECKLIST (S) (1 of 2)			
Item #	Description	OK	NO	N/ A
1	Design speed limit provided for each new road per AASHTO			
2	Posted speed limit provided for each existing road			
3	Width of each existing right of way provided			
4	Width of each proposed right of way provided, minimum 66 feet			
5	When a development abuts one side of any street which has been included in the City system of streets the developer shall be required to dedicate one-half of the right of way necessary to make such street comply with the minimum width established for same			
6	A typical street cross-section has been provided for each proposed street			
7	A typical street cross-section has been provided for each existing street being widened			
8	Existing and modified lane widths and uses			
9	EVE and non EVE pavement design thickness provided with the thickness and materials description of each layer			
10	The standard CBR note provided on the plan with supporting geotechnical analysis			
11	The standard "smooth grade" note provided			
12	A plan and profile have been provided for each new street			
13	The centerline curve radius has been provided for each street			
14	Curve data provided for each horizontal curve			
15	Stations at 100 feet maximum on the centerline, P.C., P.T., street intersections, etc. show on street plan and/or profile			
16	BRL profile or 25 feet from the right of way			
Comme	nts:			

Plan Na	mePlan No			
Submitt	ing FirmContact Engineer	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Review	Date ESI Team		_	
-				
-				
_	ENGINEERS AND SURVEYORS INSTITUTE PEER REVIEW CHECKLIST CITY OF ALEXANDRIA			
	STREET DESIGN CHECKLIST (S) (2 of 2)			
17	Percent of grade for proposed streets			
18	Elevations at beginning and end of all vertical curves			
19	Length of vertical curves and PVI elevations			
20	Elevations computed every 50 feet on tangents and 25 feet on vertical curves			
21	Elevations at the centerline street intersections			
22	Elevations at curb returns			
23	Culvert and storm sewer crossings shown in street profile			
24	Top elevations shown for all manholes and curb inlets			
25	Profile shown for all street landings at intersections			
26	Pavement striping plan provided			
27	Traffic control signage and structures, street name and stop signs			
28	Road intersections designed as nearly as possible to 90 degrees.			
29	Geometry for cul-de-sac, 45 foot radius at face of curb or edge of pavement, 55 foot radius for clear zone			
30	For local subdivision and inner city streets, the travel way inside radius at an intersection shall be a minimum 25 foot radius except for alleys			
31	Direction of traffic and average daily vehicle count (VPD) shall be shown at all legs of a street intersection and at each proposed entrance to a street			
32	Driveways, entrances, exits, parking areas and sidewalks shown			
33	Show emergency vehicle easements (minimum width = 22 feet)			
34	Sight distance plan and profile for all entrances and intersections			
35	Traffic maintenance plan provided			
Comme	nts:			

Plan Na	mePlan No			
Submitti	ng FirmContact Engineer			_
Review	DateESI Team_			
				_
	-			
	ENGINEERS AND SURVEYORS INSTITUTE PEER REVIEW CHECKLIST CITY OF ALEXANDRIA  TRAFFIC SIGNALIZATION CHECKLIST (TS) (1 of 1)			
ltem #	Description	ОК	NO	N/
1	Specification for poles, mast arms and pole foundations provided			Α
2	City standard traffic control cabinets provided			
3	Signal head configuration provided for traffic signal and pedestrian crossing signals			
4	Signal phasing and sequences charts provided in compliance with Traffic Impact Study			
5	Timing charts provided			
6	Cable and conduit runs provided			
7	Interconnect cable details provided			
8	Wiring size and type specification provided			
9	Conduit size and type specification provided			
Comme	nts:			

Plan Name		Plan No	
Submitting Firm		Contact Engineer	<del>-</del>
Review Date	ESI Team		
	<del></del>		

Plan NamePlan No				
Submitti	ing FirmContact Engineer			_
Review	Date ESI Team			_
	ENGINEERS AND SURVEYORS INSTITUTE PEER REVIEW CHECKLIST CITY OF ALEXANDRIA STAGE II GENERAL REQUIREMENTS CHECKLIST (GR)			
14 #	(1 of 1)	01/	NO	<b></b>
Item #	Description	OK	NO	N/
1	All sanitary sewer easements must be recorded prior to the plan approval			
2	Provide two benchmark locations on the plan with elevations on USC&GS datum and descriptions			
3	North arrow provided with reference to source of meridian			
4	24" X 36" sheet size			
5	All plan sheets sealed and signed by a professional engineer or licensed surveyor			
6	Name and address of the developer			
7	Scale and date of plan – no less than 1"=40'			
8	Index to plan sheets provided			
9	Key to plan sheets if more than one sheet is required to show the entire site			
10	Property lines with course and distance for each			
11	Total land area			
12	Present zoning of site and all abutting properties			
13	All easements, reservations, rights-of-way and conservation easements			
14	Deed Book and Page Nos. for all existing easements			
15	Topography with 2 foot contour interval except for the BMP drainage divide map			
16	Provide signature approval block in the lower right quadrant (see standard notes for the			
47	recommended format)			
17	A location map			
18	A complete narrative description of the proposed development			
19 20	List of SUP conditions provided on plans with response letter under separate cover  Plan is in compliance with SUP conditions			
21	<u>'</u>			
22	A list of all modifications and waivers of the applicable zoning regulations on cover sheet  Water mains with their size and fire hydrants identified			
23	Building restriction lines, highway setback lines, zone transition lines			
23 24	Dimensions of front, side and rear yards			
25	Recreation areas and swimming pools depicted			
26	Standard construction notes included			
Comme	Completed and signed ESI Checklist included  nts:			

Plan Name	Plan No
Submitting Firm	Contact Engineer
Review DateESI Team	