UNIVERSITY OF MAINE SYSTEM SOLE SOURCE JUSTIFICATION

Pursuant to the policies of the University of Maine System, the University must procure all material, equipment, supplies and services via competitive means whenever practicable. However, the University may waive the competitive process and approve sole source procurement provided the requestor can adequately justify its use. In cases where an alternate supplier for a similar product or service cannot be identified, the requestor must document that a good faith effort has been made in seeking other sources. A listing of the unique technical specifications required of the product and the companies that were contacted in the search for alternate sources is necessary. Purchasing Agents may use this information in conducting their own market search. Sole source justification cannot be based on quality or price.

University employees may not have a financial interest in any contract entered into by the University except in circumstances approved in advance, in writing, by the appropriate University official as further described in APL VII-B, *Procurement Standards of Conduct*.

All sole source justifications are subject to public review; other suppliers may have the opportunity to review the justification and protest the purchase if they feel the justification is not adequate or based on valid grounds. Therefore, the justification must contain clear, in depth and accurate information in order to avoid the possibility of delaying the procurement.

INSTRUCTIONS

- 1) Please type or print legibly.
- 2) Complete all categories and sections that apply.
- 3) Provide full explanation, complete descriptions, and/or list all relevant reasons where space has been provided. Sole Source Justification forms lacking sufficient detail cannot be approved.
- 4) Sign and date the form at the end and forward to your Campus Purchasing Authority for approval.

Authority for approval:

	Δ. These canabilities are:		
	A. These capabilities are:		
	B. In addition to the product requested, I have contacted other suppliers identified below and considered their products or similar capabilities. These products are not acceptable because the are lacking one or more of the technical specifications described in A above:		
	1. Vendor:		
	Product Description:		
	Vendor Contact/Phone Number:		
	Technical Deficiency:		
	2. Vendor:		
	Product Description:		
	Vendor Contact/Phone Number:		
	Technical Deficiency:		
2.	The requested product is an integral repair part or accessory compatible with existing equipment.		
	A. Describe existing equipment:		
	Manufacturer/Model Number: Age/Current Value:		
	Estimated remaining life span:		
	B. Requested Equipment/Accessory/Part:		
	Manufacturer/Model Number:		
	Explain relationship between current equipment and requested equipment:		
3.	The requested product or service is essential in maintaining experimental or administrative contin Provide a thorough explanation in "Explain in detail" section.		
	Descripted weather as a wise is being used in continuing a considerate.		
	Requested product or service is being used in continuing experiments;		
	Other investigators have used this product or service in similar research and for		
			

Ex	plain in detail:			
exp Ma Ag Esi Nu	e requested product is one with which I (or my staff) have specience. Retraining would incur substantial cost in money are anufacturer/model of existing equipment: e/Current Value: timated hours/per person required to re-train: mber of persons requiring retraining: her factors:	antial cost in money and/or time. t:e-train:e		
	Other factors not addressed above which may assist in the sole source justification review process are:			
	AUTHORIZATION			
	Department Head	Date		
	Strategic Procurement Review	 Date		
	Campus Chief Financial Officer (if over \$50,000)	 Date		
	LIMS Chief Procurement Officer (if over \$50,000)			