

**ALTUS CITY COUNCIL
SPECIAL MEETING
MINUTES
JULY 12, 2012**

1. CALL TO ORDER

The City Council met in a Special Session, Thursday, July 12, 2012 at 6:30 p.m. in the City Council Chambers. Notice of the meeting was duly filed and posted in the office of the City Clerk, Tuesday, July 10 2012 at 5:48 p.m. The Mayor called the meeting to order.

2. ROLL CALL

The Secretary's roll call indicated the following members present:

David Webb - Mayor	
Presiding	
Scot Simco	
Chad Osborne	Jack Smiley
Jr. Rowland	Rick Henry
Perry Shelton	Mike Patterson

Absent: Rick Steen

Also Present: James Wilson, Matt Coppock, Glenda Gloria, Donita Beers, Sean Garrison, Bob Stephenson, Linda Walker, Lloyd Colston, Randy Marple, Ken Pike, Tim Murphy, Barbara Burleson, Tony Sanchez, Tracy Sullivan, Gene Leister, Ben Darby, Holmes Willis, Jerry Abbott, Angie & Dennis Murphy Brady & Daniel Adams, Priscilla Nondorf, Freddy Perez, Steve Perry, Melba Martinez, Theresa Jameson, Craig Tockey, Kyle Jameson, Paula Peterson and other public.

3. CONSIDER, DISCUSS AND VOTE TO APPROVE ORDINANCE NO. 2012-16 TO AMEND SECTION 25-4, OF THE ALTUS CODE 1980 MAKING MINIMUM INCREASES FOR GARBAGE AND TRASH PICKUP RATES FOR ALL CLASSIFICATIONS; INCREASING RESIDENTIAL FROM \$10.60 TO \$15.00, BUSINESS ESTABLISHMENTS, SCHOOLS AND CHURCHES FROM \$20.00 TO \$22.20 AND INCREASING THE FLATBED SERVICE FOR ALL CLASSIFICATIONS FROM \$1 TO \$2.50 PER MONTH AND (2) THE EMERGENCY SECTION MAKING THE CHANGE EFFECTIVE UPON APPROVAL, JULY 12, 2012.

BACKGROUND: *This agenda item has been revised since the July 3, 2012 City Council meeting. The City's garbage and trash pickup rates were last increased in 2006 and 2010. Staff recommends these adjustments in order to fund the FY 2012-2013 budgets.*

This item presented by James Wilson, Finance Director

Motion made by SMILEY and seconded by SHELTON to approve Ordinance No.. 2012-16 to amend Section 25-4 of the Altus Code 1980, making minimum increases for Garbage and Trash pickup rates for all classifications increasing residential *from \$10.60 to \$15.00*, business establishments, schools and churches from *\$20.00 to \$22.20* and increasing flatbed service for all classifications from *\$1 to \$2.50* per month. The Mayor called for the vote recorded as follows:

AYE: Patterson, Smiley, Simco, Osborne, Shelton, Rowland, Henry

NAY: None

Motion carried 7-0

Motion made by PATTERSON and seconded by HENRY to make the Emergency Section effective July 12, 2012. The Mayor called for the vote recorded as follows:

AYE: Shelton, Rowland, Henry, Patterson, Smiley, Simco

NAY: Osborne

Motion carried 6-1

4. CONSIDER, DISCUSS AND VOTE TO APPROVE ORDINANCE NO. 2012-17 TO AMEND SECTION 25-54, LANDFILL RATES, OF THE ALTUS CODE 1980, INCREASING THE RESIDENT CONTRACTORS AND BUSINESSES RATE FROM \$26.50 PER TON TO \$30.00 PER TON AND CHANGING NON RESIDENT CONTRACTORS AND BUSINESSES FROM \$45.00 PER TON TO \$46.00 PER TON AND (2) THE EMERGENCY SECTION MAKING THE CHANGE EFFECTIVE UPON APPROVAL, JULY 12, 2012.

BACKGROUND: This agenda item has been revised since the July 3, 2012 City Council Meeting. The City's landfill rates were last increased in 2010. Staff recommends these adjustments in order to fund the FY2012-2013 budgets. This new rate is closer to the City's estimated cost per ton for the landfill.

This item presented by James Wilson, Finance Director

Motion made by ROWLAND and seconded by SHELTON to amend Section 25-54, Landfill rates, increasing the Resident Contractors and Business rates from *\$26.50 per ton to \$30.00 per ton* and changing Non Resident Contractors and Businesses Ton from *\$45.00 per ton to \$46.00 per ton*. The Mayor called for the vote recorded as follows:

AYE: Smiley, Simco, Patterson, Rowland, Osborne, Shelton, Henry

NAY: None

Motion carried 7-0

Motion made by SHELTON and seconded by PATTERSON to make the Emergency Section effective July 12, 2012. The Mayor called for the vote recorded as follows:

AYE: Simco, Smiley, Patterson, Henry, Shelton, Rowland,

NAY: Osborne

Motion carried 6-1

5. CONSIDER, DISCUSS AND VOTE TO APPROVE AN ORDINANCE NO. 2012- 18 TO AMEND SECTION 28-103 AND 28-104, OF THE ALTUS CODE 1980, TO INCREASE WATER RATES FOR CUSTOMERS INSIDE THE CITY LIMITS, THE MINIMUM MONTHLY BILL FROM \$10.00 TO \$17.50 AND THE OVER 3,000 GALLONS, PER THOUSAND GALLONS FROM \$3.00 TO \$3.21; AND TO INCREASE FOR CUSTOMERS OUTSIDE THE CITY LIMITS, THE MINIMUM MONTHLY BILL FROM \$16.00 TO \$23.92 AND THE OVER 3,000 GALLONS, PER THOUSAND GALLONS FROM \$5.25 TO \$5.62 AND (2) THE EMERGENCY SECTION MAKING THE CHANGE EFFECTIVE UPON APPROVAL, JULY 12, 2012 WITH THE JULY 2012 BILLING CYCLE.

BACKGROUND: This agenda item has been revised since the July 3, 2012 City Council Meeting. The City's water rates were last increased in 2010. Staff recommends these adjustments in order to fund the FY 2012-2013 budgets.

This item presented by James Wilson, Finance Director

Motion made by SIMCO and seconded by PATTERSON to approve Ordinance No. 2012-18 to amend Section 28-103 and 28-104 to increase water rates for customers inside the City limits, the minimum monthly bill from *\$10.00 to \$17.50* and the over 3,000 gallons per thousand gallons from *\$3.00 to \$3.21* and to increase for customers outside the City limits, the minimum monthly bill from *\$16.00 to \$23.92* and the over 3,000 gallons per thousand gallons from *\$5.25 to \$5.62*. and to increase rates for customers outside the city limits. The Mayor called for the vote recorded as follows:

AYE: Smiley, Osborne, Simco, Henry, Rowland, Shelton, Patterson

NAY: None

Motion carried 7-0

Motion made by SHELTON and seconded by PATTERSON to make the Emergency Section effective July 12, 2012. The Mayor called for the vote recorded as follows:

AYE: Simco, Smiley, Patterson, Shelton, Henry, Rowland

NAY: Osborne

Motion carried 6-1

6. CONSIDER, DISCUSS AND VOTE TO APPROVE (1) ORDINANCE NO. 2012-19 TO AMEND SECTION 28-151 AND 28-152 OF THE ALTUS CODE 1980, MAKING MINIMUM INCREASES FOR SEWER FEES FOR RESIDENTS (\$4.50 TO \$10.00 MINIMUM) AND NON RESIDENTS (\$8.00 TO \$13.50 MINIMUM) AND INCREASING THE ADDITIONAL CHARGE FROM \$1.125 TO \$1.243 PER GALLONS OF WATER AND (2) THE EMERGENCY SECTION MAKING THE CHANGE EFFECTIVE UPON APPROVAL, JULY 12, 2012.

BACKGROUND: This agenda item has been revised since the July 3, 2012 City Council Meeting. The city’s sewer rates were last increased in 2006 and 2010. Staff recommends these adjustments in order to fund the FY 2012-2013 budgets.

This item presented by James Wilson, Finance Director

Motion made by OSBORNE and seconded by SHELTON to amend Section 28-151 and 28-152 of the Altus Code 1980 making minimum increases for sewer fees for residents (*\$4.50 to \$10.00 minimum*) and non residents (*\$8.00 to \$13.50 minimum*) and increasing the additional charge from \$1.125 to \$1.243 per 1,000 gallons of water. The Mayor called for the vote recorded as follows:

AYE: Rowland, Shelton, Henry, Osborne, Patterson, Simco, Smiley

NAY: None

Motion carried 7-0

Motion made by SHELTON and seconded by HENRY to make the Emergency Section effective July 12, 2012. The Mayor called for the vote recorded as follows:

AYE: Rowland, Shelton, Simco, Smiley, Patterson, Henry

NAY: Osborne

Motion carried 6-1

7. CONSIDER, DISCUSS AND VOTE TO APPROVE: (1) ORDINANCE NO. 2012-20 AMENDING SECTIONS 28-43, 28-47, 28-51, 28-60, 28-62 AND 28-64, INCREASING CUSTOMER CHARGES PER MONTH DEPENDING ON THE CUSTOMER CLASSIFICATION:

- Residential Customer charge, Per Customer monthly from \$10.50 to \$14.08
- Small Commercial from \$15.00 to \$19.33
- Large Commercial from \$40.00 to \$48.45
- Industrial Level 1 from \$50.00 to \$58.25
- Industrial Level 2 from \$200.00 to \$233.00

MAKING ADJUSTMENTS IN CHARGES TO ALL ELECTRICAL CLASSIFICATION CUSTOMERS, RESIDENTIAL SMALL COMMERCIAL, LEVEL 1 INDUSTRIAL AND SPECIAL COMMERCIAL OF THE ALTUS CODE AND (2) THE EMERGENCY SECTION WITH AN EFFECTIVE DATE OF JULY 12, 2012.

DESCRIPTIONS	CURRENT RATE	REVISED
RATES^{1.070}		
1. Residential Customer Charge; Per Customer monthly:	\$10.50	\$ 14.08
2. Winter Months (November thru March): First 1000 kWh.:	\$0.0813 per kWh	\$0.087
3. Winter Months (November thru March):Over 1000 kWh:	\$0.0598 per kWh	\$0.064
4. Summer Months (April thru October); All kWh usage	\$0.0804 per kWh	\$0.086
5. Summer Months (April thru October); All Over 750 kWh	\$0.0813 per kWh	\$0.087
7. Small Commercial Customer Charge; Per Customer per month	\$ 15.00	\$ 19.33
8. Winter Months (November thru March); All kWh usage	\$0.0642 per kWh	\$0.068
9. Summer Months (April thru October); All kWh usage	\$0.0783 per kWh	\$0.083
11.Large Commercial Customer charge; Per customer per month	\$ 40.00	\$ 48.45

12.Large Commercial Customer Charge; All kWh usage	\$ 0.0455 per kWh	\$ 0.048
14. Demand Charge (51 kWh); All kWh usage	\$ 6.50	\$ 6.50
16. Industrial Level 1 Customer Charge; Per customer per month;.	\$ 50.00	\$ 58.25
17. Industrial Level 1 Customer Charge; All kWh usage;	\$0.0327 per kWh	\$ 0.0345
19. Demand charge (1000 W); When demand peak exceeds 1000 kW; All kW	\$ 6.50	\$ 6.83
21. Industrial Level II Customer Charge; Per customer per month	\$ 200.00	\$ 233.00
22. Industrial Level II Customer Charge; All kWh usage	\$0.0367 per kWh	\$ 0.0385
24. Demand Charge; Per kW per month;	\$ 5.90	\$ 6.20
25. The peak demand has to exceed 1000 kW and from a primary distribution	\$ 5.90	\$ 6.20
26. Line (34 kV or lower) with only one transformation provided to a customer.		

This item presented by James Wilson, Finance Director

Councilman Henry stated “ I’m fine with everything except for our Level I and Level II customers. I would like to take those rates and split it, instead of .002 to .001 on both of those. As we went up on our contract water, that increase in utility revenue is not showing up anywhere in this 10%. I think that what we’ve done, especially Bar-S, going up on their water two consecutive years quite a bit, that they are not going to swallow this rate increase. David Tucker is out of town, is anyone here representing Bar-S? With what we’ve done to them with their water, to add this kick in the teeth with an electric rate also puts quite a burden on them. I do know that Altus shows up on the corporate we’re on now because of the difference in rates when their budget year started and now.

And with the Cotton Gin, I think there are some people here representing them, the same. My concern is each of these levels just have one customer, so it’s no secret who we’re talking about. When we talked about Level- 1 and Level 2. The Cotton Gin is very important for Altus. Even if we lost money on them a little bit, because they turn their gin on in the winter time when we need flow. Just what they do with our winter load makes everybody’s cost go down because they make the difference between the summertime peak and the wintertime low. At this rate, I don’t believe we lose money on the cotton gin, and I would hate to see us lose either one of these two companies.

I do know that Bar-S is planning on an expansion. I do know that they were bought by the Sigma Group. As they expand, I want Altus to at least have a shot at getting one of those expansions. And I’m afraid that going up to much to fast on their utility rates is a good way to not get you in the door to even talk about an expansion.

I would also recommend that we get both of those entities back on a contract. I know for a lot of councilmen that’s a bitter word, but it’s because I know the Bar-S contract was a terrible contract. It doesn’t have to be a bad contract for the City. They kind of expect us to make a little money off of them so we can sustain things, make sure that we’re here tomorrow and make sure the electricity is on when they flip the switch. I don’t think they begrudge us having a profit, but I also think that for them to be able to do some long term planning, a five year contract with a roll over or whatever, to sit down every year and compare and then if you have to adjust that, adjust it. I would like to start the ball rolling on getting them on contract for next year.

To recap what I’m saying, take some of the increase in the utility revenues that we made off of the contract water, use that to take care of splitting Level 1 and Level 2 customers into two, so we don’t have a two hundred thousand dollar utility increase in one year on those people.”

Councilman Smiley questioned “How much revenue is our contract when we put a dollar figure to that for sure what that is going to bring in and is it not included in this?”

James Wilson “I don’t have the total estimate for all the contract water, but we do know it’s going to be increasing and we do know that it’s fairly significant. What I did, because we have some unknowns as to water actual revenue will end up coming in at. I kind of hedged half way. It’s very common to have a contract with especially your key employers so that the municipality and the

corporation in your business can do some planning. Your right on point that you can have some very simplistic clear concise contracts and know where you are now and where you are going in the future.

The second item is yes you could reduce these increases to minimize the impact knowing that you are going to have some amount of increase contract water revenue that would offset that loss of revenue.”

Councilman Shelton “What is the dollar amount of loss of revenue if we shave those back?”

Mr. Wilson “Depends on how big the shaving is.”

Councilman Shelton “Have you figured that Rick?”

Councilman Henry “Cutting them in half.”

Councilman Patterson “ For the two customers, across the board?”

Councilman Henry “Those two”

Mr. Wilson “Are you talking about the kilowatt rate?”

Councilman Henry “Yes”

Mayor Webb “I think where more concern is, What revenue do we lose by cutting that rate in half that we’d have to make up somewhere else?”

Councilman Shelton “Where do you make it up?”

Discussion continued

Councilman Henry “I believe that Bar-S would be taking their electric increase from 120 to 60 K.”

Tom Buchanan, a stockholder of Cotton Growers Gin spoke on behalf of the Cotton Growers gin.

Mike Berry, General Manager of Cotton Growers gin also commented.

Mr. Wilson “I’d like to address specifically the request on reducing those two amounts to a Level 1 and Level 2. Because of the unknown revenue that’s coming in, I do believe that if you chose to reduce those in half, there’s a reasonable chance the additional water revenue would probably cover that. Even if it didn’t we would have several months advanced notice in which you would know that you might need to make some other minor adjustments.”

Motion made by SIMCO to approve Dr. Henry’s synopsis of the program, (½ of those two).

Mayor Webb “.001 per kilowatt hour increase on each of those”

The motion was seconded by PATTERSON. The Mayor called for the vote recorded as follows:

AYE: Rowland, Henry, Osborne, Smiley, Patterson, Simco, Shelton

NAY: None

Motion carried 7-0

Motion made by SHELTON and seconded by HENRY to make the Emergency Section effective July 12, 2012. The Mayor called for the vote recorded as follows:

AYE: Simco, Patterson, Smiley, Shelton, Henry, Rowland

NAY: Osborne

Motion carried 6-1

Mayor Webb stated “I would like to recognize the work that James has done on this. This has been quite a process the last several months. James, we certainly appreciate all the work you’ve done.”

8. VOTE TO GO INTO EXECUTIVE SESSION AND RECORD AND VOTE ACCORDINGLY.

Motion made by HENRY and seconded by PATTERSON to go into executive session at 7:25 p.m.

AYE: Shelton, Rowland, Henry, Patterson, Smiley, Simco, Osborne

NAY: None

Motion carried 7-0

PROPOSED EXECUTIVE SESSION ITEM(S):

7:25 P.M. TO 8:32 P.M.

9. DISCUSS IN EXECUTIVE SESSION A NEGOTIATION UPDATE BETWEEN THE CITY OF ALTUS AND THE IAFF, LODGE #2749 AND IN OPEN SESSION VOTE TO APPROVE THE COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY AND THE IAFF FOR FISCAL YEAR 2012-2013 AND AUTHORIZE EXECUTION BY THE MAYOR AND CITY CLERK, AS SPECIFICALLY AUTHORIZED IN SECTION 307 (B) (2) OF TITLE 25 O.S.A.

10. DISCUSS IN EXECUTIVE SESSION A NEGOTIATION UPDATE BETWEEN THE CITY OF ALTUS AND THE FOP #120 AND IN OPEN SESSION TAKE APPROPRIATE ACTION AS SPECIFICALLY AUTHORIZED IN SECTION 307 (B)(2) TITLE 25 O.S.A.

11. CONSIDER AND DISCUSS IN EXECUTIVE SESSION THE EMPLOYMENT OF AN INDIVIDUAL SALARIED EMPLOYEE, PARKS AND RECREATION DIRECTOR, RANDY MARPLE, RELATED TO HIS REQUEST TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF BOTH THE LIMITED TIME SEVERANCE INCENTIVE PROGRAM, (9,000.00) AND THE OMRF OPEN WINDOW RETIREMENT PROGRAM AND IN OPEN SESSION VOTE TO TAKE APPROPRIATE ACTION AS AUTHORIZED BY 25 O.S.A. SECTION 307 (B).

12. CONSIDER ANY MOTIONS AND VOTES RELATING TO THE EXECUTIVE SESSION ITEMS.

None

OUT OF EXECUTIVE SESSION AT 8:32 P.M.

ITEM #9

Motion made by HENRY and seconded by OSBORNE to authorize to have the Mayor sign the Collective Bargaining Agreement from the City and the IAFF for the Fiscal Year 2012-2013. The Mayor called for the vote recorded as follows:

AYE: Shelton, Henry, Rowland, Osborne, Smiley, Patterson, Simco

NAY: None

Motion carried 7-0

ITEM #10

Update Only

ITEM #11

Motion made by SHELTON and seconded by SIMCO to deny the \$9,000 severance pay. The Mayor called for the vote recorded as follows:

AYE: Patterson, Simco, Osborne, Henry, Shelton, Smiley

NAY: Rowland

Motion carried 6-1

13. MAYOR'S APPOINTMENTS (no vote required)

None

14. CITY ADMINISTRATOR'S COMMENTS AND REPORTS (discussion only)

Elizabeth Gray - Absent (OMPA meeting)

15. CITY COUNCIL MEMBER'S COMMENTS AND REPORTS.

Perry Shelton - I had an opportunity to attend a meeting at DEQ with Elizabeth Gray and we had gone to talk to Steve Caesar and David Hardgrave. They were giving a briefing to the DEQ folks on the way ahead for the Altus Water Waste and Chloride problems. There were five of the DEQ engineers there, lot of questions, lot of comments. All in all at the end of the meeting they were very happy that Altus came up and talked about a way ahead. They liked the plan that we have. The head engineer there is going to make minutes to the meeting and I suppose we 'll be seeing those shortly. I haven't received anything yet. It was a good meeting and DEQ folks are happy that we are handling some of our water waste and chloride problems.

Mayor Webb - I'm going to pause right here with Council Comments. We went out of order this evening. We're going to come back and finish up new business with the AMA. We got a little out of order because of this one issue. We'll come back to Council Comments after we get through with the AMA, if that's alright with everybody. (8:40 p.m.)

Mayor Webb - "Now we can reconvene the special meeting of the Altus City Council and continue our Council members comments.(9:00 p.m.)

Jack Smiley - I'm glad this process is -- we've gotten through budget process and the rate increase process. It's been painful but still keeping a positive attitude that we're on the road to recovery.

Scot Simco - Bob, I was going to ask a question. A few months ago I'd ask some questions about the stairs going down to the west waste water plant. I know we've been very busy with all these other projects but I just thought of this and I want to make sure it's still on the drawing plan on in the process of getting worked on.

Bob Stephenson - We're waiting for a quote from one of the local fabrications in town. We're going to compare that against a factory fabrication unit, something that you order and have a truck come in and install. We've got those numbers and now it's just a matter of picking the right one and coming up with the money.

Simco - Great, Thank You and James said he'd come up with the money because it was a safety issue.

Rick Henry - Top of the fold story at the Lawton Constitution today talked about Ft. Sill rationing water. Frederick is doing the same. Their guy said that because Steed is at 50%, I think we should be rationing water. He said the Frederick Lake and Steed is at 50. I don't know if there's another problem there why they are rationing water, but my question to you Bob, this is 2 years now of severe drought, is it prudent for us to go ahead and institute an odd/even watering day or some kind of something where at least be aware of 'yes we have plenty of water right now, but nobody's going to say it will rain this fall or next spring either'.

Bob Stephenson - That's all true enough. And that's another rumor that I did dispel. We got a call that one of the member city's had a mandatory rationing program. That is true they do. Part of that problem had to do more with a broken line that they had feeding the city. And also had to do more with the fact that they had actually contracted to deliver more water than they have in allocation in the District. Frederick, they also has a mandatory rationing that is in place, I think this is the second year. I've talked to Mr. Johnson and they felt it was a prudent thing to do. We have asked people here to voluntarily conserve and I think as we look at the lake and the lake levels and our water

consumption we're probably going to recommend at a later meeting that we step it up and look at some type of 'rationing' conservation program. Our district at the last two meetings we've discussed the level of the lake and we felt that it was time as a Conservancy District we start talking to the members cities about what's their plan to conserve water if that's what they elected to do. The attorney for the District sent out letters last month to each member cities attorney addressing that issue.

Henry - Just as a historical perspective, when's the last time the reservoir has been this low?

Stephenson - I've been on that board 8 or 9 years and I don't remember it that low.

Councilman Smiley - Bob, On our allocation, what percent of our allocation do we use a year normally?

Stephenson - Our annual allocation is 11, 200 acre feet. Last year which was a record water use, we used 7,000 acre feet.

Smiley - Altus is using about 60%. Some of the member cities are using more than 100% because they are selling water to other entities. So have we taken care of that problem?

Stephenson - Yes we're taking care of that problem. It wasn't that pronounced when the lake was full because the people drawing more than their allocated amount, was a small amount but percentage wise it was a bigger number. Our board has talked about it, the fact that we don't feel that the member cities who are staying within their allocation are going to be agreeable to some of the members taking more than their allocation.

Henry - So we have 2 1/2 years at current allocation rates or usage rates?

Stephenson - At current allocation rates. I don't think we're at the need or the time to actually panic and start demanding a very restrictive rationing plan, but I do think it's time that you start thinking about it, our District is thinking about it and we're working with the member cities and Bureau of Reclamation trying to make sure that everybody has a two or three year plan.

Smiley - I was talking to Tom Buchanan about this same thing, if that day ever come, he said they could have water to us within 24 hours. How much water do we have in that lake?

Stephenson - We have a 4800 acre foot allocation (in months?) We used 7,000 acre feet in the 12 months of last year, so the 4800 would be 7-8 months. Our City Reservoir is our immediate emergency supply.

16. MAYOR'S COMMENTS AND REPORTS

I want to comment again my appreciation to this Council and James and Elizabeth on getting this budget passed and ultimately funded today. I'm glad this work is behind us. I anticipate getting right to work with our committees on some of these utilities and other fees and look forward to our other studies that come in and we'll start digesting this and hopefully be ahead of the curve for next year and get some of these lined up along the way. It's been a tough process this year, no doubt. I appreciate the citizens patience with that and understanding knowing that we are doing what we have to do not because it's what we want to do necessarily, but what's needed to get us on the right track. I'm happy that we've completed this part of the process.

Bob Stephenson - If I could make one comment, Council expressed an interest in water study so I did take the liberty of contacting the Prime Group and asked them would they be interested in doing the study. I got that response, James has a copy of that. We'll look that over and should have something within the next meeting or two.

17. ADJOURN

Motion made by SIMCO and seconded by HENRY to adjourn the meeting at 9:00 p.m. The Mayor called for the vote recorded as follows:

AYE: Shelton, Henry, Rowland, Osborne, Simco, Patterson, Smiley

NAY: None

Motion carried 7-0

David Webb, Mayor

Donita Beers, Asst.. City Clerk/Treasurer