

Project Name:

| REGIONAL CONTEXT/COORDINATION | | | |
|--|---|------------------------------------|--|
| 1. Regional Cooperation: Is the project based | on multi-jurisdictional cooperation efforts such as joint a | pplication or funding? | |
| Yes, 3 or more jurisdictions/organizations (5 poi | Yes, 3 or more jurisdictions/organizations (5 points)Yes, 2 jurisdictions/organizations (3 points)No (0 points) | | |
| | project improve the bikeway/pedestrian system? Points a struction, please score according to the proposed facility Local Improvement (3 points) NA (0 | type and project scope. <i>See</i> | |
| 3. Regional Transportation Network Connect network? <i>See Attachment B.</i> | ivity: Does the project contribute to the completion of the | regional bikeway/pedestrian | |
| Yes - Regional Bikeway Connection (5 points) | Yes - Local Bikeway Connection (3 points) NA (| (0 points) | |
| If needed, please provide additional project | ⁺ information that supports points awarded under <u>REGION</u> . | <u>AL CONTEXT/COOPERATION</u> | |

| | TRANSPORTATION | | |
|---|--|--|--------|
| 4. Alternative Modes: Does the project include alternative modes of transportation? All bikeway/pedestrian projects will be awarded 3 points. (Two additional points will be awarded for infrastructure improvements within 2 miles of a school. Documentation is required to receive these two additional points.) | | | |
| X Yes (3 points) | Improves non-motorized transportation in the vicinity of a school (2 points) | | |
| 5. Inter-modal connectivity: Does the <i>A and B</i> . | project create, improve, or enhance c | connectivity to other modes of transportation? See Att | achmen |
| Yes - Multiple modes (5 points) | Yes – One mode (3 points) | No (0 points) ts points awarded under <u>TRANSPORTATION CHOICE</u> | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM MANAGEMENT | | | |
|--|--|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 6. Safety/Security: Does the project address a safety issue of the existing bikeway/pedestrian system or include a design feature that enhances the safety or security of a new route? See Attachment A. | | | |
| Yes – Significant Improvement (5 points) | Yes – Moderate Improvement (3 points) | No (0 points) | NA |
| 7. Preserve/Upgrade Existing Transp Attachment A. | portation System: Does the project p | preserve/upgrade the existing b | ikeway/pedestrian network? <i>See</i> |
| Yes (3 points) | No (0 points) | | |
| 8. System Amenities: Does the project <i>Attachment A</i> . | et improve the bikeway/pedestrian n | etwork by providing bikeway/ | pedestrian amenities? See |
| Yes (3 points) | No (0 points) | NA | |
| If needed, please provide additional p | roject information that supports poin | ts awarded under <u>TRANSPOR</u> | TATION SYSTEM MANAGEMENT |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| LAND USE | | | |
|--|---|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 9. Urban Revitalization/Preservation: How much impact does the project have in revitalizing/preserving a given jurisdiction's urban core, community center, or neighborhood? (Explanation is required to receive points) | | | |
| High (5 points) | Medium (3 points) | Low (1 point) | No Impact (0 points) |
| 10. Environmental Justice: Doe required to receive points) | s the project have a positive impact with <i>See Attachment B</i> . | hin a concentrated minority an | id/or poverty area? (Explanation is |
| Yes - Significant (5 points) | Yes - Moderate (3 points) | No (0 points) | |
| • • | ordination: Is the project the result of, a prehensive plan, thoroughfare plan, or s | | pedestrian related study or a given |
| Yes (3 points) | No (0 points) | | |
| Yes (3 points)No (0 points) If needed, please provide additional project information that supports points awarded under <u>LAND USE</u> | | | |

| ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT | | | |
|---|---------------------|---------------|--|
| 12. Public-Private Partnership: Does the project include a public-private partnership such as joint funding, right-of-way donations, or a working relationship? (Explanation is required to receive points) | | | |
| Yes (2 points) | Potential (1 point) | No (0 points) | |
| 13. Economic Impact: How much of an economic impact does the project have? Does the project contribute to the economic development of the area? Please select all that apply. (Maximum total points are 8 points and explanation is required to receive points) | | | |
| Improves access to/from regional business and employment opportunities (0 - 3 points) | | | |
| Contributes to business growth/retention in community revitalization areas (0 - 3 points) | | | |
| Improves value of the surrounding public space (0 - 2 points) | | | |
| NA | | | |
| If needed, please provide additional project information that supports points awarded under <u>ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT</u> | | | |

| ENVIRONMENT | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|
| will receive at least 3 points, additional | points will be awarded if the proj | d of motor vehicle travel? All bikeway/pedestrian projects ject includes transportation demand strategies (TDM) with oints are 6 points and documentation is needed to get points) |
| Bikeway/Pedestrian | TDM Strategies See Attachme | ent A. |
| | Yes - High Potential Reduction | on(3 points) |
| \underline{X} (3 points) | Yes - Low Potential Reduction | on (1 point) |
| | No/NA (0 points) | |
| 15. Environmental enhancement: Does the J See Attachment A. | project improve an environmenta | Illy sensitive area? (Explanation is required to receive points) |
| | No (0 points) | NA |
| 16. Attractiveness: Does the project include points) | beautification or aesthetic impro | ovement components? (Explanation is required to receive |
| Yes (3 points) | No (0 points) | |
| If needed, please provide add | litional project information that si | upports points awarded under <u>ENVIRONMENT</u> |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

| 0 | OTHER (This criteria is used only for ranking regionally controlled project applications.) | | | |
|--|---|----------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| 8 | ojects will be awarded points l points are 10 points) <i>See At</i> | - | ge share of local funds used | to match the requested Federal |
| 0% to 20.9 (0 points) | 30% to 3 | 4.9 (6 points) | | |
| 21% to 24.9 (2 points) | 35% to 3 | 9.9 (8 points) | | |
| 25% to 29.9 (4 points) | above 40 | % (10 points) | | |
| prioritize the projects | For jurisdictions submitting with 1 being highest priority. ts, a #3 project receives 1 poi | (Maximum total point | ts are 5 points, a project rai | dless of fund source, please nked #1 receives 5 points, a #2 |
| Project Rank | #1 (5 points) | #2 (3 points) | #3 (1 point) | #4 (0 points) |
| 19. Project Phasing and Coordination with Other Projects: Does the project support a major regionally significant project such as interstate/interchange reconstruction or reconfiguration, or is the project part of a regionally significant multi-phase project? | | | | |
| 20. Other Regional Considerations: This category awards up to 10 points based upon <u>staff analysis</u> of equitable distribution of MVRPC controlled Federal funding and previous/current regional funding commitments within the corridor or jurisdiction. (Applicants are <u>not</u> to complete this question as part of the self scoring process.) | | | | |
| Other Regional Considerat | tions STP/CMAQ/TA projects ((|)-10 points) | Delay/Withdrawal Pe | enalty (-5 points per project) |

PROJECT EVALUATION SYSTEM SCORE SUMMARY

| Total Score from Questions 1 – 19 | ····· |
|-----------------------------------|-------|
| | |

Total Score from Question 20 (To be determined by MVRPC Staff).....

GRAND TOTAL

Attachment A – Bikeway Evaluation Form

<u>Question 5 – Inter-modal Connectivity</u>

Examples of projects that enhance inter-modal connectivity include but are not limited to:

- Adding sidewalks/bikeway that connect to transit routes
- Bike and ride lots
- Bike/transit integration
- Projects that support multi-modal passenger (e.g. transit hubs) facilities.
- Other relevant attributes identified by the project sponsor

Question 6 – Safety/Security

Examples of projects that address bike/pedestrian safety or security include but are not limited to:

- User amenities (benches, lighting, buffer zones)
- Grade separations
- New or enhanced bike/pedestrian crossings
- Upgrading of existing bike lanes to separate trails
- Signage
- Other relevant attributes identified by the project sponsor

Question 7 – Preserve/Upgrade Existing Transportation System

Under this category points are only awarded to projects that maintain or upgrade an existing pedestrian or bikeway facility. New bikeways or pedestrian facilities will not receive points.

Question 8 – System Amenities

Examples of projects that provide system amenities include but are not limited to:

- Park and bike lots
- Rest areas
- Bike racks
- User amenities (benches, lighting, buffer zones)
- Other relevant attributes identified by the project sponsor

Question 11 – Land Use/Project/Study Coordination

Examples of plan/studies include but are not limited to:

- Comprehensive Plans
- Recreational Plans

- Economic Development Plan
- Regional Trail Plans
- Other relevant efforts identified by the project sponsor

Question 14 – TDM Strategies

Examples of the TDM strategies with potential to reduce the need for motor vehicle travel include but are not limited to:

- Additional trails
- Bike incentive programs
- Bike/Transit Integration
- Park and Bike lots
- Other relevant efforts identified by the project sponsor

Question 15 – Environmental Enhancement

Examples of environmentally sensitive areas include but are not limited to:

- Brownfields
- Superfund sites
- Clean Ohio Fund sites
- Other relevant sites identified by the project sponsor

Question 17 – Funding Provisions

Following are two examples of how local match is to be calculated for the purposes of this question:

Example 1

| PE | \$100,000 | 100% Local |
|-----|-----------|--|
| R/W | \$100,000 | 100% Local |
| Con | \$500,000 | 75% Federal (\$375,000), 25% Local (\$125,000) |
| CE | \$50,000 | 75% Federal (\$37,500), 25% Local (\$12,500) |

Total Federal = \$412,500 Total Local match to Federal = \$137,500

\$412,500 + \$137,500 = \$550,000

137,500/550,000 = 25.0%, therefore 4 points would be awarded to this project.

Example 2

| PE | \$100,000 | 100% Local |
|-----|-------------|--|
| R/W | \$100,000 | 60% Federal (\$60,000), 40% Local (\$40,000) |
| Con | \$1,000,000 | 70% Federal (\$700,000), 30% Local (\$300,000) |
| CE | \$100,000 | 100% Local |

Total Federal = \$760,000 Total Local match to Federal = \$340,000

760,000 + 340,000 = 1,100,000

340,000/, 1,100,000 = 30.9%, therefore 6 points would be awarded to this project.

Federal funds must be matched by a minimum of 20% Local funds per project phase.

Attachment B – Bikeway Evaluation Form

Maps included in Attachment B are available in greater detail at: http://www.mvrpc.org/pes/

MVRPC













