□ Breast; □ Right vs. □ Left; (Other/specify);	 □ Ultrasound-Guided Core Needle Biopsy: □ Stereotactic Core Needle Biopsy: □ Core Needle Biopsy: □ Lumpectomy/Excisional Biopsy: □ Mastertemy
(PRIMARY MALIGNANT LESIONS)	$\Box (Other/specify) _:$
□ Infiltrating mammary carcinoma (see Comment) <i>(use for samples too small for</i> □ Infiltrating "ductal" carcinoma of no special type; with □ lobular vs. □ tubular □ Infiltrating "lobular" carcinoma; □ classical subtype vs. □ variant subtype □ Infiltrating "tubular" carcinoma; □ classical subtype vs. □ variant subtype □ Infiltrating "mucinous" carcinoma; □ classical subtype vs. □ variant subtype □ Infiltrating "medullary" carcinoma; □ classical subtype vs. □ variant subtype □ Infiltrating "medullary" carcinoma; □ classical subtype vs. □ variant subtype □ Microinvasive carcinoma (largest focus < 1 mm); □ focal vs. □ multifocal	meaningful subtyping/grading) r vs. □ mucinous vs. □ medullary features
(additional information needed for all infiltrating carcinomasexcept microi	invasive carcinoma)
 □ Greatest (□ gross vs. □ microscopic) dimension = □ mm vs □ Not directly measurable (identified in of total sli □ Estimated size = □ mm vs. □ cm (use when not directly mea □ Histological grade =/3 (score =/9) by ESBR criteria □ Mitotic index = average mitoses /HPF (□ low vs. □ intermediate □ Surgical margins positive (□ focal vs. □ multifocal; □ microscopic vs. □ □ Surgical margins negative (□ nearest = □ mm vs. □ cm) □ Invading angiolymphatic spaces (□ in breast parenchyma; □ in dermal lyn □ Invading the skin (□ with vs. □ without ulceration) □ Intraepithelial involvement of nipple (Paget's disease) 	s. □ cm (microscopic dimension preferred) des) surable) vs. □ high) (count 10 HPF when possible) extensive; location:) mphatics)
 □ Lobular carcinoma in situ (LCIS); □ Focal vs. □ Multifocal □ Surgical margins □ negative vs. □ positive 	
Ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS)	
(specify size of pure DCIS)	
□ Greatest (□ gross vs. □ microscopic) dimension = □ mm vs □ Not directly measurable (identified in of total sli □ Estimated size = □ mm vs. □ cm	. □ cm (microscopic dimension preferred) des)
(specify extent of DCIS combined with invasive carcinoma)	
Comprising% of carcinoma in sample (considering in situ and invas	sive components combined)
(additional information needed for all DCIS)	
 □ Nuclear grade =/3 by SBR criteria □ Growth Pattern (s): □ Cribriform, □ Solid, □ Micropapillary, □ Papillary □% central "comedo" necrosis (refers to cross-sectional area of DCIS □ Intraepithelial involvement of nipple (Paget's disease) □ Surgical margins positive (□ focal vs. □ multifocal; □ microscopic vs. □ □ Surgical margins negative (□ negrest = mm vs. □ cm) 	y, □ Mixed S on slides) extensive; □ location:)

BREAST PATHOLOGY DIAGNOSTIC TEMPLATE

(COMMON BENIGN AND MISCELLANEOUS DIAGNOSES)
\Box Insufficient tissue for meaningful diagnostic evaluation
□ Histologically normal breast tissue
□ No residual carcinoma
\Box Healing wound consistent with recent \Box core needle biopsy vs. \Box excisional biopsy
□ Atypical ductal hyperplasia (ADH)
□ Atypical lobular hyperplasia (ALH)
\Box Usual ductal hyperplasia (UDH) (\Box mild vs. \Box moderate vs. \Box florid and \Box focal vs. \Box multifocal)
\Box Hyperplastic unfolded lobules (HUL) (\Box focal vs. \Box multifocal)
\Box Radial scar (RS)
\Box Sclerosing adenosis (SA) (\Box mild vs. \Box florid and \Box focal vs. \Box multifocal)
□ Intraductal papilloma (small/peripheral subtype)
□ Intraductal papilloma (large/central subtype)
Fibroadenoma (FA)
\Box Stromal fibrosis (\Box Mild vs. \Box Diffuse)
□ Microcysts □ with apocrine change
□ Duct ectasia
\Box Fat necrosis
□ Microcalcifications (associated with) (specify if possible)
□ Microcalcifications not identified
\Box No malignant findings
□ (Other/specify):

□ Lymph Nodes; □ Sentinel; □ Right vs. □ Left Axilla; Excision:

□ Negative for metastatic carcinoma ((0/	total nodes)

 \Box Positive for metastatic carcinoma (_______ total nodes)

 \Box Positive for micrometastatic (foci >0.2 mm but < 2.0 mm) carcinoma (_____/ total nodes)

 \Box Positive for isolated tumor cells (<0.2mm; stage = pN0i+)

 \Box Extranodal extension

 \Box Matting of adjacent nodes

 \Box (Other/specify): _____

□ <u>MICROSCOPIC DESCRIPTION:</u>

 \Box Microscopic evaluation performed (\Box See Diagnosis vs. \Box See Diagnosis and Comment). \Box (*Other/specify*):

COMMENT:

□ The sample is too small for meaningful detailed evaluation (e.g. histological subtyping and grading).

Core needle biopsies are relatively small and certain histological characterizations of invasive carcinomas (e.g. histological subtype and grade) may not be representative of the entire lesion in the breast.

- □ A diagnosis of DCIS in a core needle biopsy, which is relatively small, may be a marker of more advanced disease (e.g. invasive carcinoma) remaining in the breast.
- □ A diagnosis of ADH in a core needle biopsy, which is relatively small, may be a marker of more advanced disease (e.g. DCIS) remaining in the breast.

All outside materials are being returned to the originating hospital.

□ (*Other/specify*):_____

Patient Name:	JANE DOE
Referring Physician:	Dr. C.K. Osborne
Date Reported:	August 11, 2003

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The following materials were received for histopathological evaluation from St. Elsewhere Medical Center, Houston, Texas: H&E-stained slides labeled S03-10000 (A-E) and corresponding pathology report.

RESULTS

BREAST, RIGHT, "MASS", STEREOTACTIC CORE NEEDLE BIOPSY:

- Infiltrating "ductal" carcinoma of no special type (see Comment)

Histological grade = 2/3 (score 7/9) by ESBR criteria

Mitotic index = 2.4 average mitoses/HPF (high)

- Ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS)

Comprising 25% of carcinoma in sample

Nuclear grade = 2/3 by SBR criteria

Solid growth pattern

50% central "comedo" necrosis

- Stromal fibrosis (diffuse)
- Microcysts with apocrine change
- Microcalcifications (associated with DCIS and fibrocystic changes)

COMMENT

Core needle biopsies are relatively small and certain histological characterizations of invasive carcinomas (e.g. subtype and grade) may not be representative of the entire lesion in the breast. All outside materials are being returned to the originating hospital.

Pathologist:

D. Craig Allred, M.D.