Ohio Pharmacy Residency Conference Presentation Evaluation Form

Presentation Title: _____

Speaker:_____Institution:_____

<table>
<tr><td colspan="4" align="center"><u>Grading Rubric</u>
1: Not Accomplished   2: Needs Development   3: Acceptable   4: Mostly Accomplished   5: Accomplished</td></tr>
</table>

Speaker Evaluation	Points earned	Comments
The speaker maintained appropriate eye contact throughout the presentation.	1 2 3 4 5	
The presenter spoke in a strong voice at an appropriate pace throughout the presentation.	1 2 3 4 5	
The slides were visually appealing, easy to read, and contained no spelling errors.	1 2 3 4 5	
The speaker was clearly knowledgeable on the topic presented.	1 2 3 4 5	
The speaker actively engaged the audience in this learning activity.	1 2 3 4 5	
The speaker adequately answered questions and provided clarification when necessary.	1 2 3 4 5	
It was evident that the resident had direct and substantial involvement in the project/study.	1 2 3 4 5	
The presentation was unbiased and provided a fair balance of information. Specific products were referred to by a generic or chemical name and the speaker did not appear to be promoting a product or company.	1 2 3 4 5	
Quality of Presentation	**Points earned**	**Comments**
The program was organized and presented in a logical fashion.	1 2 3 4 5	
The knowledge gained at this program will be applicable to my daily practice.	1 2 3 4 5	
The program met my expectations based on the learned objectives.	1 2 3 4 5	
Overall, I gained new information and knowledge on the subject presented.	1 2 3 4 5	
The program subject and degree of detail were appropriate for the audience.	1 2 3 4 5	
The program subject and degree of detail were appropriate for the time allotted.	1 2 3 4 5	
Presentation Content	**Points earned**	**Comments**
The presentation topic was current and relevant to the practice of pharmacy.	1 2 3 4 5	
Specific and measurable learning objectives were stated.	1 2 3 4 5	
The purpose of the study/project was clearly stated.	1 2 3 4 5	
Study/project methods were clearly stated and appropriately applied to the given topic.	1 2 3 4 5	
Results (or preliminary results) were provided and discussed in sufficient detail.	1 2 3 4 5	
Stated conclusions were appropriate given the design, results, and current practice standards.	1 2 3 4 5	
Time frame was appropriate (18 - 22 minutes).	**YES**	**NO**

Reviewer's Name:_____Reviewer's Specialty: _____

Session Code: _____

<u>Please return this evaluation to the moderator at the end of the session.</u>