FORM FOR PEER EVALUATION OF ANNUAL REPORTS

1.	College					
2.	Department/Unit					
3.	Program/Assessment Year					
4.	Submission Date of Report					
5.	Is the report submitted using the annual report template?					
	Comments		_		_	
6.	Did the introductory page include a statement of department/unit mission?					
	Comments					
7.	Was the report submitted in November of the year following the report period?					
8.	Summary of Assessment Activity Findings	Yes	No	Partia Ily	Unclea r	Not applicable
	Identifies the outcomes that were assessed					
	Data are collected for all student learning outcomes and/or the program describes a specific cycle of collecting data for each student					
	learning outcome					
	learning outcome Provides enough information to understand the data collection process – e.g. methodology, administered to whom (including description of process for sample selection, as appropriate), by					
	learning outcomeProvides enough information to understand the data collection process – e.g. methodology, administered to whom (including description of process for sample selection, as appropriate), by whom, when, howDescribes assessment at the program level, rather than describing how work of individual					
9.	learning outcome Provides enough information to understand the data collection process – e.g. methodology, administered to whom (including description of process for sample selection, as appropriate), by whom, when, how Describes assessment at the program level, rather than describing how work of individual student is assessed					

This information is collected for general reporting purposes; types		Major paper/research paper
of strategies used or not used do not affect evaluation of this section		Oral presentation
of the annual report or evaluation of the annual report as a whole.		Lab performance
What kinds of direct assessment strategies were		Portfolio evaluations
included in this report? Check all that apply.		Comprehensive exams
		Licensure/certification exam
		Internship work samples
		Evaluation by outside professionals
		Embedded test questions
		Institutionally-developed pre-test
		Institutionally-developed post-test
		Commercial instrument or test
		Observations/ratings of student performance
		Video or audio tapes student performance
Comments		
10. What kinds of indirect assessment strategies were used?		Student program evaluation
		Student satisfaction survey
		Alumni survey
		Alumni interviews or focus groups
		Job placement rates
		Graduation rates

		Placement report/future plans survey				
		E Focus group discussions				
	Student interviews/exit interviews					
	Employer surveys					
	Employer interviews or focus groups			groups		
	Graduate/professional school a of program graduates		I acceptance			
Comments						
11. With respect to Summary of Findings:	Yes	;	No Partial Unclea ly r		Unclea r	Not applicable
Identifies measures for all learning outcomes assessed for the reporting year	- -					
Provides sufficient and specific summaries of results for each assessment for each outcome measured during the reporting period						
Presents results in such a way that they can be understood by persons outside of the content area or persons reviewing the report a year of more after the report was written						
12. With respect to Methods Used for Sharing Assessment Information:		;	No	Partial ly	Unclea r	Not applicable
Provides succinct and specific descriptions of when, how and with whom assessment findings were shared (or will be shared)						
Indicates that information was shared with all faculty						
Indicates that information was shared with relevant stakeholders beyond faculty						
Comments					<u> </u>	
13. Evaluation for this section of the report:		A model for others; Well-developed; Developing; Needs improvement				
Comments						

14. With respect to Next Steps for action based on assessment:	Yes	No	Partial ly	Unclea r	Not applicabl e	
As needed, briefly but specifically describes changes to be undertaken within the curriculum and/or courses within the curriculum or other actions to be pursued in response to assessment findings						
As needed, briefly but specifically describes weaknesses/limitations/shortcomin gs in the current assessment plant to be addressed.						
As needed, outlines needed review/revision related to current statement of student learning outcomes for the program						
If findings suggest no changes in the three areas outlines above, a brief statement is included indicating that no changes are anticipated and why.						
Includes actions to be taken and timeline for taking action						
Comments						
15. Evaluation for this section of the report:	A model for others; Well-developed; Developing; Needs improvement					
Comments						
16. With respect to actions taken based on previous year's assessments:	Yes	No	Partial ly	Unclea r	Not applicabl e	
Responds to each of the focus areas—program changes, revision of learning outcomes, revision to SOA plan – whether or not changes were made						
Provides evidence that assessment activity has led to discussion, reflection, and decision-making						
For changes in program, student learning outcomes, and/or assessment plan recommended in the previous year, provides brief						

but comprehensive description of actions taken in response, including when steps were taken and by whom						
Comments						
17. With respect to Comments/Further Action Steps:	Yes	No	Partial ly	Unclea r	Not applicabl e	
If recommended actions were not completed, provides brief description of revised action plan/current status.						
Information provided in this section is specific enough to serve as a guide for future action						
Comments						
18. Evaluation for this section of the report:	A model for others; Well-developed; Developing; Needs improvement					
Comments						
19. Evaluation for the annual report as a whole:	A model for others; Well-developed; Developing; Needs improvement					
Comments						
20. Reviewers and Review Date						