

Gina M. Raimondo **Governor** Peter T. Gaynor **Director**

Application Evaluation & Ranking Form

| Grant Program Applicant Project Title | Federal Share Review Organization | | |
|--|------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------|
| Scoring Category | | Total Points Available | Score |
| Alignment to FEMA core capabilities / THIRA / state priorities | | 25 points | |
| Application identifies gaps / risk assessment | | 20 points | |
| Sustainability of project | | 15 points | |
| Detailed and comprehensive budget included | | 15 points | |
| Project management and timeline milestones identified | | 10 points | |
| Project will be implemented within the period of performance | | 5 points | |
| Comprehensive documentation included with application | | 5 points | |
| Applicant is NIMS compliant | | 5 points | |
| Bonus Points | | | |
| The application includes a multi-jurisdictional component | | 2 points | |
| Applicant has a history of timely reporting and completed projects | | 1 Point | |
| Application has been submitted on agency letter head and is signed by agency executive | | 1 Point | |
| Total | Score | 104 Points | |
| Reviewer notes and comments: | | | |
| | | | |

A total of 5 reviewers will independently score each application. Total of all 5 will be recorded with a recommendation to award applicant.

| Category | Description |
|--|--|
| Alignment to priority capabilities | The proposed project provides a solution to an identified gap in a FEMA core capability. Using the THIRA and State Preparedness Report, the state has identified ten of these as priorities for improvement, they are outlined in the Notice of Funding Opportunity. |
| Sustainability | Upon completion, project will require little to no further federal funding; or grant sub-recipient will assume future costs of maintenance, service fees, or replacement of peripherals (i.e., batteries). |
| Identifies gaps / risk assessment | The application outlines an investment justification for the project. Application is being submitted because there is a gap that cannot be met without federal funding. |
| Detailed and comprehensive budget | An estimate of costs, revenues, and resources over a specified period, reflecting a reading of future financial conditions and goals. A budget is one of the most important administrative tools. A budget serves also as a plan of action for achieving quantified objectives, standard for measuring performance, and a device for coping with foreseeable adverse situations. |
| Project management and milestones | Project management is the application of processes, methods, knowledge, skills and experience to achieve the project objectives. The applicant has identified tasks or milestones that can be used as a measure of grant project progress. |
| Implemented within period of performance | This is the period of time during which the performance goals must be met in order to determine the degree of payout and/or vesting with respect to, or the amount or entitlement to the specific grant award. |
| Comprehensive documentation | A broad scope or content; including all necessary documents. This documentation allows for the reviewers to have the ability to understand all information submitted. |
| Applicant is NIMS compliant | National Indecent Management System: application understands and has, or is making progress towards becoming NIMS compliant (NIMS principles are in use for planning, training, exercise etc.) |
| | |
| Multi- jurisdictional | The application includes other agencies or jurisdictions, and serves to benefit more than one stakeholder. |
| History | The applicant has a history of filing quarterly progress reports and successful completion of projects |
| Agency certification | Application has been submitted on time, on agency letter head, and has been signed by an executive official |

Final Grant Award Decisions will be made by the Director of RIEMA

