# STAT303 Fall 2013 Exam #3 Form A

## Instructor: Julie Hagen Carroll

## November 19, 2013

#### 1. Don't even open this until you are told to do so.

- 2. Remember to turn your phone off now.
- 3. Please turn your hats around backwards or take them off.
- 4. Please put your backpack and other things along the walls or at the front of the room.
- 5. You need a gray,  $81/2 \times 11^{\circ}$  scantron, pencil, calculator and you may have 5 sheets of notes.
- 6. There are 20 multiple-choice questions on this exam, each worth 5 points. There is partial credit. Please mark your answers **clearly**. Multiple marks will be counted wrong.
- 7. You will have 60 minutes to finish this exam.
- 8. If you have questions, please write out what you are thinking on the back of the page so that we can discuss it after I return it to you.
- 9. If you are caught cheating or helping someone to cheat on this exam, you both will receive a grade of **zero** on the exam. You must work alone.
- 10. When you are finished please make sure you have filled in your name and marked your FORM (A, B, C or D) and 20 answers, then turn in JUST your scantron.
- 11. Good luck!

STAT303	Exam #3	B, Form A		Fall 2013
1. If $H_0$ is false, som	e possible reasons for failing to re-	6. Whie	ch of the following is true about	the sampling
jecct are		distr	ibution of the sample mean, $\overline{X}$ ?	
	- h-t th- hth	٨	The shape is always dependent of	m the commu
A. the difference	a between the hypothesized mean	A.	size of the parent population is pormal th	e sample size doesn't matter
toat The two	means are too close to be able to tell a diffe	rence B	The contor is always dependent of	on the sample
B the sample si	ize is too small	спос. D.	size Never	on the sample
C the standard	deviation is too large This makes the	curves C	The spread is always dependent of	on the sample
$\bigcirc$ All of the ab	ove could cause a Type II error.	verlap.	size. sigma(xbar) = sigma(x)/sqrt(n)	in the sample
E. If $H_0$ is false	we have to reject.	D.	All of the above are true.	
	,	E.	Two of the above are true.	
2. If $X \sim N(15, 8^2)$ ,	how likely are you to get a <i>sample</i>	<b>– – – –</b>		
mean less than 12	if the sample size is 25?	7. The	manager of an automobile dealersh	ip is consider-
A 0.3520	(bar ~ N( 15, (8/sqrt(25))^2), sigma = 1.6	ing a	new bonus plan to increase sales.	Currently, the
(B) 0.0301 P(X)	bar < 12) = $P(Z < (12-15)/1.6) = P(Z < -1.88)$	mean	the Let $u$ be the true mean before	for the borus
C. 0.32	k it up on the negative page of the Z table.	nlan	$\mu_{l}$ the true mean with the bo	ore the bonus
D. 0.6480	main point is that you need the sigma of xba	r. $\mu_{a}$ tl	$p_{bonus}$ the true mean with the be	alesman. The
E. 0		$\alpha = \frac{\mu_a}{\text{corr}}$	ect set of hypotheses to test the effect	t of the bonus
		plan	Becausé we have data 'by salesman',	we should run a paired test.
3. Which of the follo	wing is true?		Pairing allows for the best and worse s	nan 0, then the plan worked.
A. If $\alpha = 0.05$ .	we will reject only 5% of the time.	ypo test. A.	$H_0: \mu_{bonus} = 5$ vs. $H_A: \mu_{bonus} >$	<sup>5</sup> improvement even though
sided test is twice B One-sided te	sts have more power than 2-sided	B.	$H_0: \mu_d = 0 \text{ vs. } H_A: \mu_d > 0$	their number of sales is
that of a 1-sided whichtests because	there doesn't need to be as big of		$H_0: \mu_d = 5$ VS. $H_A: \mu_d > 5$	quite different.
makes it harder to reje ${ m gtdifference}$ i	n means to be rejected.	D. F	$H_0: \mu_{bonus} = \mu_{old}$ vs. $H_A: \mu_{bonus}$	$> \mu_{old}$
95% Cl's are C. If the hypoth	esized value falls within a $95\%$ con-	Ľ.	$\Pi_0 \cdot \mu_{bonus} - \mu_{old}$ vs. $\Pi_A \cdot \mu_{bonus}$	$\neq \mu_{old}$
wider, so they fidence interv	val for the true mean, then it must	8. A res	searcher wants to know if tougher se	entencing laws
fall within th	are 90% (using the same data).	have	had a positive effect in terms of de	eterring crime.
D. All of the ab	ove are true.	He p	blans to select a sample of states w	which have en-
E. Only two of t	the above are true.	acteo	d a "3 strikes" law and compare viole	ent crime rates
		beto	re the law was enacted and two ye	ars later. The
90% CI: (0.3496 95% CI: (0.081)	36, 3,21864) Out	1-sar	nple t statistic from a sample of $t$	n = 19 obser-
99% CI: (-0.475	572, 3.77572) in	Rase	d on this information	anue $t = 1.95$ .
2 sample Cl's are for mu1-mu2 = 0, so 0 is	the difference in means. If mu1 = mu2, then the number to look for in the intervals	Dasc		
4. What is the corre	ect range of the <i>p</i> -value for testing	(A.)	We would reject at the 5 and 10 $$	0% levels and
$H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2$ vs.	$H_A: \mu_1 \neq \mu_2$ given the three con-		conclude that tougher sentencing	laws have had
fidence intervals f	or the difference of the true means		a positive effect in terms of determ	ing crime.
above?		B.	We would $\frac{1}{1}$ to reject at the 1%	level and con-
A <i>n</i> -value > 0.10	)		clude that tougher sentencing lav	vs have had a
B. $0.10 > p$ -value	$\dot{\mu} > 0.05$	C	megative effect in terms of determined we would fail to reject at the $1\%$	ig crime.
(C.) $0.05 > p$ -valu	ue > 0.01	0.	only say that tougher sentencing	laws have not
D. $p$ -value $< 0.01$	L		had a positive effect in terms of de	terring crime
E. We can't use	e confidence intervals here because	D.	A and B are correct.	storring ornino.
we don't hav	e a hypothesized value to compare.	E.	A and C are correct.	
F TT_: /1	enerie which fills fill i i il	H0:	no effect on crime Ha: laws have a posi	tive effect
5. Using the same sc heat intermetation	enario, which of the following is the		crime rate >= crime rate < df = 18 0.688 0.862 1 067 1	330 1.734 2 101
Power is how often we correctly reje	ict (a false) Ho and conclude the alternative i	s true.		-1.93
$\bigcirc$ Power is the	probability that we correctly con-			0.00 ~ pv > 0.025
clude $\mu_1 \neq \mu$	2.			FTR at 1%
B. Power is the	probability that we incorrectly con-	Ci	s almost correct, but the correct statement	is
clude $\mu_1 \neq \mu$	2.	l we	connot prove that the laws have a positive could be that we just didn't have conclusive	enect. evidence even though
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

- C. Power is the probability that we correctly conclude  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ .
- D. Power is the probability that we incorrectly conclude  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ .
- E. Confidence intervals don't have power.

the laws did make a difference.

### Exam #3, Form A

9. I have a 95% confidence interval for  $\mu$  the true mean of (2.786, 9.352). Which of the following are plausible statements?

#### We can only hypothesize

(or more or less). Since 10 is not in a 95% CI, it is not in the B. narrower, 90% CI, so we would reject.

We don't know if 2.7 would be in a 99% CI. mean because it is the center of the interval and would be in any interval.

what the mean is not A. At the 5% level of significance, I can conclude that the true mean is between 2.786 and 9.352. At the 10% level of significance, I can conclude that the true mean is not 10.

> C. A 99% confidence interval would not include 2.7 because it's not in the 95% interval.

6.069 is the sample D. No conclusion can be made about 6.069 at the 10% level because I don't know if 6.069 would be in the 90% confidence interval or not.

E. More than one of the above are true.

10. What would be a Type I error in the previous example using (2.786, 9.352) as a 95% confidence interval for

the true mean? reject a true Ho reject = claim it's not 10, FTR = can't prove it's not 10.

We can never say IS because A. claiming that 10 is in the interval when it's not

- that would be saying H0 is true. claiming that the true mean is 10 when it's not (C) claiming that the true mean is not 10 when it is 10
  - D. claiming that 6.069 is the true mean when it's not
  - (E.) failing to capture 10 in the interval when it This actually works too. 'failing to capture' should be in the interval means rejecting with this data and if hould be in the interval, Ho is true.
  - 11. A researcher wants to know if calcium is an effective treatment for lowering blood pressure. He assigns one randomly chosen group of subjects to take calcium supplements; the other group will get placebo. At the end of the treatment period, he measures the difference in blood pressure. The 50 members of the calcium group had blood pressure lowered by an average of 25 points with standard deviation 10 points. The 50 members of the placebo group had blood pressure lowered by an average of 15 points with standard deviation 8 points. Which of the following is true?
    - A. The true difference in means is 10 points.
    - B. They should have run a matched pairs test with this data instead of a 2-sample *t*-test.
    - C. If we can assume the standard deviations are close enough to be pooled, the correct degrees of freedom are 99.
    - D. We need to know that neither sample has outliers.
    - E None of the above are true.
  - n1 = 50, xbar1 = 25, s1 = 10, n2 = 50, xbar2 = 15, s2 = 8

The SAMPLE mean difference is 25 - 15 = 10. We don't know what the true mean difference is; we can only hypothesize.

This can't be a matched pairs test because the data is independent. There is no link between members of the 2 groups.

The degrees of freedom for a 2-sample t-test is the minimum of n1 - 1 and n2 - 1, so 49. The df for a pooled t test is n1 + n2 - 2 = 98.

Since both samples are well over 30, outliers shouldn't be a problem.

12. The manager at a movie theater would like to estimate the true mean amount of money spent by customers on popcorn only. He selects a simple random sample of 36 receipts and calculates a 92% confidence interval for true mean to be (\$12.45, \$23.32). The confidence interval can be interpreted to mean that, in the long run:

(A.) 92% of similarly constructed intervals would contain the population mean.

- B. 92% of similarly constructed intervals would contain the sample-mean. true mean
- C. 92% of all customers who buy popcorn spend between \$12.45 and \$23.22. Never these numbers unless
- D. 92% of the time the true meanwyillsonebotweenfident. \$12.45 and \$23.22.
- E. Popcorn is way too expensive at this theater.
- 13. The water diet requires you to drink two cups of water every half hour from when you get up until you go to bed, but eat anything you want. Four adult volunteers agreed to test this diet. They are weighed prior to beginning the diet and six weeks after. Their weights in pounds are:

Person	1	2	3	4	mean	s.d.
Before	180	125	240	150	173.75	49.56
After	170	130	215	152	166.75	36.09
Diff	10	-5	25	-2	7	<mark>13.64</mark>

The last row gives the mean and standard deviation of the four differences, (not the differences of the two means and standard deviation) so you don't need to calculate them, just the test statistic. What are the correct degrees of freedom and *p*-value for testing whether the diet worked or not?

A. df = 7, $0.40 > pv > 0.30$	The degrees of freedom for a paired test
B. df = 7, $0.20 > pv > 0.15$	is the number of differences $-1 = 4 - 1 = 3$ .
C. df = 3, $0.40 > pv > 0.30$	two-sided test. For the diet to work, people
(D) df = $3, 0.20 > pv > 0.15$	need to lose weight, so this is a less than
E. df = 6, $0.40 > pv > 0.30$	t = (7 - 0)/(13.64/sart(4)) = 1.026 df = 3

14. What would be the consequence of a Type II error in failing to reject a false Ho the previous test? Ho true = people don't lose weight, Ho false = people do lose

- reject = claim diet works, FTR = claim to prove diet works A. The diet was approved when it really didn't help -> Type I anyone lose weight. reject Ho true
- (B) The diet was deemed a failure (it didn't work) when it actually did help people lose weight. FTR false Ho
- C. The diet didn't work for these volunteers, but it Claims are made to the whole population, not
- Ho false ---should have.
  - D. The diet actually works, but these volunteers gain 7 pounds on average.
  - E. Drinking water is good for you, but it doesn't help you lose weight.

18. Suppose we test  $H_0$ :  $\mu = 30$  vs.  $H_A$ :  $\mu < 30$ . Our 15. Which of the following tests would have the most Reject power if the true mean is 15? To maximize power, use the largest alpha possible, the largest sample size affordable, and choose the hypothesis that's easiest to prove. A.  $H_0: \mu = 10$  vs.  $H_A: \mu \neq 10, n = 25, \alpha = 0.05$ sample mean is 28 and our resulting *p*-value is 0.043. < 0.05Which of the following is true based on the same data? Since the 0.01 < pv < 0.05 < 0.10, we would reject at the 5 and 10% levels but not at the 1%. If the true mean is B.  $H_0$ ;  $\mu \not\geq 10$  vs.  $H_A: \mu > 10, n = 25, \alpha = 0.05$ A. 28 would be in a 99% interval but not in the 90 or 95%. 28 is the sample mean, so it would be the center of any interval C.  $H_0: \leq 12$  vs.  $H_A: \mu > 12, n = 25, \alpha = 0.05$ 15, it's more likely created from this data. to get a sample mean greater than D.  $H_0: \mu \ge 12$  vs.  $H_A: \mu > 10, n = 25, \alpha = 0.10$ B. 30 would be in a 90% interval but not in the 95 This is backwards. 30 would be in the 95 and 99% CI's, E.  $H_0: \mu \ge 12$  vs.  $H_A: \mu > 12, n = 25, \alpha = 0.10$ Skip the Ho's. Bad copy job! and 99%. 10 (than 12). C. At the 1% level, we could say the true mean is not less than 30. The pv for not = would be 0.086, so the hypothesized 16. Which of the following is always true in reference to D. At the 1% level, we could say the true mean is hypothesis testing using a 1-sample *t*-test? not less than 28. A the sample must be random
B. the data must be normal lifthe sampling population does not
C. the purpose is to prove the null false have to be normal. 19. D. All of the above are true. A. how often we would get a mean of 30 when the (E) Only two of the above are true. true mean is 28 17. Suppose we sample from a population, X $\sim$ B. how often we would get a mean of 30 or less when  $N(35, 9^2)$ , 50 times. We reject 40 of those 50 times. the true mean is 28 sample data or more like Ha What's the best explanation of what happened? how often we would get a mean of 28 or less when C. the true mean was at least 30 when Ho is true A. There must have been a sampling error in the 10 fail to rejects. but it's not due to sampling. D. how often we would get a mean of at least 28 when the true mean was 30 or lessB. We must have been testing something other than E. how often we would get a mean of at least 30  $\mu = 35.$ when the true mean was 28 or less (C) The approximate power of the test is 80%. D. The  $\alpha$  level is too high. It's 20%. 20. Matched pairs *t*-test E. There is no explanation for what happened. A. is the same as taking the difference of two 1-Rejecting 40/50 times implies Ho is false. This means the rejections are sample *t*-tests. the correct decisions; therefore, 40/50 = 80% is the estimated power of the test. B. has twice the power of a 1-sample *t*-test. C. has more power than a 2-sample *t*-test because Matched pairs is the proper test for dependent data. it uses twice as much data. It has more power because one source of variability, D. Two of the above are true. the difference between subjects, is eliminated (E.)None of the above are true. There is the same amount of data as in a 2-sample test (2 groups), but the groups are related, thus 1D,2B,3B,4C,5A,6C,7B,8A,9B,10C,11E, paired. 12A,13D,14B,15D,16E(A,C),17C,18E,19C,20E