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## Executive Summary

Mott MacDonald has undertaken analysis of transport economic benefits for transport improvements at the A5300/A562 strategic junction as part of a Major Scheme Business Case. The economic appraisal has mainly used the Department for Transport TUBA (Transport Users Benefit Appraisal) software which carries out economic appraisal in accordance with published DfT guidance. The appraisal is based on data from the Liverpool City Region Transport Model (LCRTM) and travel cost changes implied by the proposed improvements.

The economic analysis shows that the proposed transport intervention reduces delays at the A5300/A562 junction and reduces journey times for traffic passing through this junction which consists mainly of long distance traffic movements. This delivers Present Value of Benefits of $£ 36$ m over a 60 year appraisal period. Considered together with the scheme cost of $£ 5.3 \mathrm{~m}$, the transport improvements yield a Benefit-to-Cost ratio (BCR) of 6.9.

## Monetised Costs and Benefits (in 2010 prices and discounted to 2010)

| Present Value of Benefits (PVB) | $£ 36 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Present Value of Costs (PVC) | $£ 5.3 \mathrm{~m}$ |
|  |  |
| OVERALL IMPACTS | $£ 31 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Net Present Value (NPV) | 6.9 |
| Benefit to Cost Ratio (BCR) |  |

A BCR of 6.9 is considered very high value for money according to DfT criteria. This BCR includes quantitative benefits that cover main economic appraisal benefits from TUBA, wider economic benefits (agglomeration and welfare) from WITA/OWeL and reliability benefits.

## 1 Modelling Methodology and Assumptions

### 1.1 Introduction

An economic appraisal and assessment of Value for Money (VfM) have been carried out for transport improvements for the A5300/A562 junction that are part of a Major Scheme Business Case for Knowsley Borough Council. A detailed description of the scheme is given in the Strategic Case. The existing junction and three capacity improvement options were assessed using LINSIG and the preferred option only forms the basis for this economic appraisal and the VfM assessment. This scheme is shown below.

The preferred alignment is Option 2 as set out in the Options Appraisal Report and is consistent with the scheme originally proposed for major scheme funding at this junction. The scheme proposes to extend the length of the left-hand free flowing slip lane from the A5300 to the A562 Eastbound, compared with the proposal contained in the Local Pinch Point (LPP) scheme, requiring the widening of the bridge over Ditton Brook, together with the addition of a continuous flow left slip lane from the A562 Eastbound to the A5300 Northbound and the extension of the A562 Westbound off slip nearside flare.

Figure 1.1: A5300/A562 Junction Capacity Improvement Scheme


This report gives the economic appraisal and Value for Money (VfM) assessment carried out for the scheme and takes account of wider impacts of the proposed scheme because of its strategic nature and location.

### 1.2 Scope of the Appraisal

The economic appraisal has been carried out in line with Department for Transport Guidance with a number of relevant simplifying assumptions adopted specifically to produce robust VfM assessment for the A5300/A562 scheme and that are consistent with local evidence. Much use is made of modelling evidence and outputs from the Liverpool City Region Transport Model (LCRTM) which has been used for several DfT-funded projects within Merseyside.

The appraisal has also employed OWeL (Operating WITA Extended Locally) - a toolkit that is compatible with the LCRTM and enables wider economic benefits and impacts of multi-modal transport interventions to be quantified. It produces Merseyside wider economic benefits that are largely calculated by the Department for Transport's WITA software (which is based on WebTAG A2.1).

The economic benefits calculated for the scheme include:

- Transport economic benefits (WebTAG A1). The transport economic appraisal has been undertaken using the TUBA (Transport Users Benefit Appraisal) program which carries out economic appraisal in accordance with published DfT guidance. This is based on trip and cost matrices from the Liverpool City Region Model and travel cost changes implied by the proposed schemes.
- Wider economic benefits (using WITA/OWeL). This follows WebTAG methodology (WebTAG Unit A2.1) and only captures impacts that are not already included in the conventional user benefit calculations from TUBA. These include agglomeration; increased/decreased output in imperfectly competitive markets; and labour market impacts. However, the wider economic benefits calculated here do not include new jobs or changes in GVA which may be part of separate analysis.
- Journey reliability benefits (WebTAG A1). The estimate of journey time reliability benefits is made to satisfy the 'Reliable journeys' sub-objectives within the 'Economy' section of scheme appraisal. The calculations assume that the model area is dominated by urban regions and therefore uses the urban journey time reliability calculations that are set out in the TAG unit.

Reliability benefits and wider economic benefits are included in the calculation of a modified BCR as suggested by DfT guidance ${ }^{1}$. Other components of the benefits of the schemes are described qualitatively in the Appraisal Summary Table.

### 1.3 Assumptions

In order to arrive at the economic benefits summarised in Table 2.1 below a number of modelling and appraisal assumptions have been adopted. The standard WebTAG appraisal forms the basis of the approach with specific assumptions and simplifications made to allow best use of available local modelling data and perceived nature of the schemes and longevity of their impacts.
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### 1.3.1 Appraisal period

The A5300/A562 scheme has impact on both local and strategic traffic movements. On this basis, and the fact that the total scheme costs exceed $£ 5 m$ (if Pinch Point funds are included), the WebTAG recommended appraisal period of 60 years has been adopted.

### 1.3.2 Modelled years

The scheme is due for implementation during the period 2015-2017. In order to be proportionate in the modelling effort for the appraisal, the economic assessment has been based on traffic modelling of 2014 and 2024 where data is readily available for the Liverpool City Region Model. These results are then interpolated and extrapolated accordingly (in the modelling and appraisal tools) to obtain economic benefits for all other years, which are then discounted to 2010.

### 1.3.3 Annualisation

Annualisation factors convert benefits calculated for each day into totals for the full year. To achieve this, annualisation factors developed for the Liverpool City Region Model have been adopted. These factors have been used and accepted by the DfT on funding application projects such as LSTF, Local Pinch Point applications, and Better Bus Fund. The annualisation factors are robust and suitable for the current appraisals.

### 1.3.4 Scheme Costs for Appraisal

The appraisal of the improvements has excluded funding secured through pinch point bid. The cost calculations are given in Table1.1 below. In line with DfT cost guidance an Optimism Bias of $15 \%$ has been applied in the appraisal.

Table 1.1: Scheme Costs for Appraisal

|  | All Costs in <br> $(£ 000 \mathrm{~s})$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| A5300/A562 junction improvements (2014 prices) | 5,354 |
| Level of Optimism Bias | $15 \%$ |
| Total A5300/A562 Scheme Costs including Optimism Bias (2014 prices) | 6,157 |
|  |  |
| Total Scheme Costs including optimism bias and discounted to 2010 (2010 prices) | 5,313 |

## 2 Economic appraisal results

The economic appraisal has been carried out in line with Department for Transport Guidance with a number of relevant simplifying assumptions adopted in order to produce a robust VfM assessment and maximise use of available modelling evidence. As indicated above, the DfT's TUBA software has been used to calculate the main economic benefits. Wider Economic benefits have been calculated using the Department's WITA software and Merseyside's OWeL dataset. Both analyses use transport modelling results from the Liverpool City Region Model that reflect delay and traffic reassignment impacts of the A5300/A562 schemes.

The table below presents the initial BCR calculated from the main economic benefits of the A5300/A562 scheme. In line with the appraisal guidance, a modified BCR has been calculated by including quantifiable wider economic benefits and journey reliability benefits that arise from the transport intervention. Table 2.1 below summarises the BCR calculations.

Table 2.1: Appraisal summary (in £000s, 2010 prices if not stated)

|  |  | Initial BCR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Scheme Costs in 2014 prices | 5,354 | Modified BCR |
| Scheme Costs (including optimism bias of 15\%) in 2014 prices | 6,157 | 5,354 |
|  |  | 6,157 |
| (All entries below are present values discounted to 2010, in 2010 prices) |  |  |
| Scheme Costs including optimism bias of 15\%) | 5,313 | 5.313 |
| Main Transport Economic Benefits | 26,083 | $\mathbf{2 6 , 0 8 3}$ |
| Wider Economic Benefits (Agglomeration and Welfare Benefits) |  | 8,371 |
| Reliability Benefits |  | $\mathbf{2 , 1 3 2}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{5 , 3 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 3 1 3}$ |
| Present Value of Costs (PVC) | $\mathbf{2 6 , 0 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 , 5 8 6}$ |
| Present Value of Benefits (PVB) | $\mathbf{4 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 9}$ |
| Benefit to Cost Ratio (BCR) |  |  |

Table 2.1 shows that the A5300/A562 scheme is forecast to deliver a present value of main transport economic benefits (PVB) of $£ \mathbf{2 6 m}$ over standard appraisal period of 60 years. When the PVB is taken together with the present value of scheme costs (PVC) of $£ 5 \mathrm{~m}$ the initial BCR is calculated as 4.9. According to Department for Transport Guidance, the BCR of 4.9 represents Very High Value for Money.

However, the A5300/A562 has large impacts on strategic movements. The changes in travel cost at this junction will produce wider economic benefits, of which agglomeration and welfare benefits have been calculated. Journey time reliability benefits have also been calculated and included in the calculation of the modified BCR:

- Journey time reliability benefits - this accounts for an additional $£ 2.1 \mathrm{~m}$ which is equivalent to $8 \%$ of the main TUBA-based economic benefits.
- Wider economic benefits (which are predominantly agglomeration benefits) account for £8.4m.This uses OWeL/WITA economic dataset in the calculations.

Therefore, the modified BCR is more indicative of the quantifiable economic benefits of A5300/A562 scheme. This is calculated as 6.9 and represents Very High Value for Money.

### 2.1 Economic Appraisal Results

The economic results are summarised in the following tables that are given in the pages that follow:

- Transport Economic Efficiency Table impacts (TEE)

The transport modelling has shown that improvements to the A5300/A562 junction would produce significant overall delay and journey time reductions for traffic. The TEE table reflects this and shows that the transport intervention produce overall Present Value of Benefits (PVB) of $£ 26 \mathrm{~m}$ (2010 prices, discounted to 2010) almost all of which are time benefits.

The strategic location of the A5300/A562 junction provides very few rerouting opportunities for most movements. Therefore there are small changes in distance travelled resulting in low benefits associated with vehicle operation costs. The TEE table in Table 2.2 confirms this.

- Public Accounts impacts (PA)

The impact on public accounts for the A5300/A562 scheme costs as set out in Table 1.1 is a cost to public accounts of $£ 5.313 \mathrm{~m}$. As a result of reductions in travel costs for drivers as a result of the schemes, there is also a small increase of $£ 0.2 \mathrm{~m}$ in Indirect Tax revenue to central government.

This is given in Table 2.3.

- Analysis of Monetised Costs and Benefits (AMCB)

The AMCB details are given in Table 2.4 and show an overall cost of the scheme as $£ 5 \mathrm{~m}$ against an overall present value of benefits of $£ 26 \mathrm{~m}$ having allowed for impacts of indirect taxation on the economy and greenhouse gases.

This gives an initial BCR of 4.9 before wider impacts and journey time reliability are included.

- Wider Impacts benefits table

The wider impacts of the scheme (i.e. agglomeration and welfare benefits) account for $£ 8.4 \mathrm{~m}$ of additional benefits. This does not, of course, include GVA impacts of the scheme which are subject of a separate analysis and report.

Wider economic benefits are given in Table 2.5.

- Journey reliability benefits table

Journey time reliability benefits arise from more predictable journey times from decongestion impacts of the scheme. Reliability benefits have been calculated as $£ 2.1 \mathrm{~m}$.

Reliability benefits are shown in Table 2.6.

Once wider economic impacts and reliability benefits are included to produce the full economic impacts of the A5300/A562 schemes the BCR is calculated as 6.9.

## - Appraisal Summary Table (AST)

Appendix H to the Outline Business Case presents the AST providing details of the impacts of the scheme. Both qualitative and quantitative benefits are recorded as required by DfT guidance.

Table 2.2: Economic Efficiency of the Transport System (TEE) Table
Economy: Economic Efficiency of the Transport System (TEE) (£000s)

| Consumer - Commuting user benefits | All Modes | Road |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Travel Time | 7,005 | 7,005 |
| Vehicle operating costs | 944 | 944 |
| User charges | 0 | 0 |
| During Construction \& Maintenance | 0 | 0 |
| NET CONSUMER - COMMUTING BENEFITS | 7,950 | 7,950 |


| Consumer - Other user benefits | All Modes |  | Road |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Travel Time | 3,574 | 3,574 |  |
| Vehicle operating costs | $-1,166$ | $-1,166$ |  |
| User charges | 0 | 0 |  |
| During Construction \& Maintenance | 0 | 0 |  |
| NET CONSUMER - OTHER BENEFITS | 2,407 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Business | All Modes | Road Personal | Road Freight |
| Travel Time | 14,728 | 10,303 | 4,424 |
| Vehicle operating costs | 1,079 | 118 | 962 |
| User charges | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| During Construction \& Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 15,807 | 10,421 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 5,386 |
| Private Sector Provider Impacts |  |  |  |
| Revenue | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operating costs | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Investment costs | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grant/subsidy | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 0 | 0 |  |


| Other business Impacts |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Developer contributions | 0 | 0 |
| NET BUSINESS IMPACT | 15,807 |  |


| TOTAL |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Present Value of Transport Economic |  |
| Efficiency Benefits (TEE) | 26,164 |

Note: Benefits appear as positive numbers, while costs appear as negative numbers. All entries are present values discounted to 2010, in 2010 prices

Table 2.3: Public Accounts (PA) Table

| Public Accounts (£000s) |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Local Government Funding | ALL MODES | Road |
| Revenue | 0 | 0 |
| Operating Costs | 0 | 0 |
| Investment Costs | 0 | 0 |
| Developer Contributions | 0 | 0 |
| Grant/Subsidy Payments | 0 | 0 |
| NET IMPACT | 0 | 0 |
| Central Government Funding: Transport | ALL MODES | Road |
| Revenue | 0 | 0 |
| Operating costs | 0,313 | 0 |
| Investment costs | 0 | 5,313 |
| Developer Contributions | 0,313 | 0 |
| Grant/Subsidy Payments |  | 0 |
| NET IMPACT | 188 | 5,313 |
| Central Government Funding: Non-Transport | 5,313 | 188 |
| Indirect Tax Revenues | 188 | 5,313 |
| TOTALS |  | 188 |
| Broad Transport Budget |  |  |
| Wider Public Finances |  | 0 |

Note: Costs appear as positive numbers, while revenues and developer contributions appear as negative numbers. All entries are present values discounted to 2010, in 2010 prices

Table 2.4: Analysis of Monetised Costs and Benefits (AMCB) Table

| Analysis of Monetised Costs and Benefits (£000s) |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Greenhouse Gases | 107 |
| Economic Efficiency: Consumer Users (Commuting) | 7,950 |
| Economic Efficiency: Consumer Users (Other) | 2,407 |
| Economic Efficiency: Business Users and Providers | 15,807 |
| Wider Public Finances (Indirect Taxation Revenues) | -188 |
| Present Value of Benefits (PVB) | 26,083 |
| Broad Transport Budget | 5,313 |
| Present Value of Costs (PVC) | 5,313 |
| OVERALL IMPACTS | 20,770 |
| Net Present Value (NPV) | 4.909 |
| Benefit to Cost Ratio (BCR) |  |

Note: This table includes costs and benefits which are regularly or occasionally presented in monetised form in transport appraisals, together with some where monetisation is in prospect. There may also be other significant costs and benefits, some of which cannot be presented in monetised form. Where this is the case, the analysis presented above does NOT provide a good measure of value for money and should not be used as the sole basis for decisions.

Table 2.5: Wider Economic Benefits
SUMMARY OF WIDER IMPACTS
All entries are in thousands of pounds discounted to 2010 in 2010 Prices

|  | Impacts for Each Modelled Year |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Appraisal Period:2014 to 2074 | Year 2014 | Year 2024 | Full Appraisal <br> Period |
| Agglomeration |  |  |  |
| Agglomeration - manufacturing | 16 | 12 | 590 |
| Agglomeration - construction | 11 | 8 | 392 |
| Agglomeration - consumer services | 22 | 29 | 1,306 |
| Agglomeration - producer services | 20 | 90 | 3,870 |
| Agglomeration - Total | 69 | 139 | 6,158 |
| Labour supply impact | 19 | 18 | 633 |
| Increased output in imperfectly competitive market |  |  | 1,581 |
| The move to more/less productive jobs | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 88 | 156 | 8,371 |

Table 2.6: Reliability Benefits

| Reliability Benefits | $£ 000 \mathrm{~s}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Journey Time Variability Benefits 2014 | 66 |
| Journey Time Variability Benefits 2024 | 40 |
| Journey Time Variability Benefits $(60$ year period) | 2,132 |
| All entries are present values discounted to 2010, in 2010 prices |  |

## 3 Conclusions and Value for Money Statement

Economic benefits for the A5300/A562 improvement scheme have been calculated based on scheme preferred options as set out in the Strategic Case. The analysis provides an indication of likely economic benefits and BCRs using TUBA and other tools that support DfT methodologies. The A5300/A562 scheme reduces congestion at this junction and improves journey times for mostly strategic movements through the area. The journey time improvements forecast by the Liverpool City Region Model are significant and this is reflected in the economic benefits reported.

The calculation of the initial and modified BCR values is given in the table below. The monetised economic benefits (based on transport modelling outcomes) show that the scheme produces an overall BCR of 4.9 from Present Value of Benefits of $£ 26 \mathrm{~m}$ (2010 prices, discounted to 2010) and a cost to public accounts of £5.35m (2010 prices, discounted to 2010).

Table 3.1: Appraisal summary (in £000s, 2010 prices if not stated)

|  | Initial BCR | Modified BCR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Scheme Costs in 2014 prices | 5,354 | 5,354 |
| Scheme Costs (including optimism bias of 15\%) in 2014 prices | 6,157 | 6,157 |
|  |  |  |
| (All entries below are present values discounted to 2010, in 2010 prices) | 5,313 | 5.313 |
| Scheme Costs including optimism bias of 15\%) | $\mathbf{2 6 , 0 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 , 0 8 3}$ |
| Main Transport Economic Benefits |  | $\mathbf{8 , 3 7 1}$ |
| Wider Economic Benefits (Agglomeration and Welfare Benefits) | $\mathbf{2 , 1 3 2}$ |  |
| Reliability Benefits | $\mathbf{5 , 3 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 3 1 3}$ |
| Present Value of Costs (PVC) | $\mathbf{2 6 , 0 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 , 5 8 6}$ |
| Present Value of Benefits (PVB) | $\mathbf{4 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 9}$ |
| Benefit to Cost Ratio (BCR) |  |  |

According to DfT guidance and criteria ${ }^{2}$, both the initial BCR of 4.9 and the modified BCR of 6.9 represent very high Value for Money. The initial BCR is based on TUBA outputs alone (i.e. considers the main transport economic benefits only).

This assessment has been based on

- Journey time benefits
- Wider economic benefits and
- Reliability Benefits

It can be concluded, therefore, that the quantifiable elements of the benefits for A5300/A562 scheme produce a strong Value for Money case. Qualitative benefits are set out in the Appraisal Summary Table.
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## 4 Appendix: Economic Appraisal Listings

### 4.1 Wider Economic Impacts - WITA/OWeL Run Output

```
Wider Impact in Transport Appraisal WITA V1.1i-4 Be
Program run on Saturday, 16 August 2014 at 11:02:00
ERRORS AND WARNINGS
    503 Warnings found
```

INPUT SUMMARY

| Run name | A5300 |
| :--- | :--- |
| DM scheme | Do Minimum |
| DS scheme | Do Something |

Economic parameter file
C: \329623\Economics \WITA_A5300\OWeL_WITA_Economics_File.txt
Scheme parameter file
C: \329623\Economics $\backslash 09$ BFS007_A5300_v2_ExclExt $\backslash$ A5300Scheme_1.7.txt
Employment file C:\329623\Economics $\backslash W I T A \_A 5300 \backslash O W e L \_E m p l o y m e n t . c s v ~$
Transport-WITA zone correspondence file C: $\backslash 329623 \backslash$ Economics $\backslash W I T A \_A 5300 \backslash L C R T M Z O n e \_t o \_W I T A . C S V ~$
District-WITA zone correspondence file C:\329623\Economics C WITA_A5300\OWeL_to_WITA_Zones.csv
Commuting PA file
District Economics file
Global Data file
C: \329623\Economics \WITA_A5300\OWeL_PACommuteMatrix.csv

PA Zone Level
Industry Segmentation C: \329623\Economics \WITA-A5300\OWeL-GlobalData.txt LAD Zone

First Appraisal Year
Last Appraisal Year
2014
2074
Modelled years
20142024

TRIP MATRIX TOTALS

| Submode | Year | Time period | DO MIN | DO SOM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Car | 2014 | AM peak | 147867 | 147867 |
| Car | 2014 | PM peak | 158203 | 158203 |
| Car | 2014 | Inter-peak | 0 | 0 |
| Car | 2014 | Off-peak | 0 | 0 |
| Car | 2014 | Weekend | 0 | 0 |
| Car | 2014 | All | 306070 | 306070 |
| Car | 2024 | AM peak | 147867 | 147867 |
| Car | 2024 | PM peak | 158203 | 158203 |
| Car | 2024 | Inter-peak | 0 | 0 |
| Car | 2024 | Off-peak | 0 | 0 |
| Car | 2024 | Weekend | 0 | 0 |
| Car | 2024 | All | 306070 | 306070 |
| LGV Freight | 2014 | AM peak | 20314 | 20314 |
| LGV Freight | 2014 | PM peak | 18079 | 18079 |
| LGV Freight | 2014 | Inter-peak | 0 | 0 |
| LGV Freight | 2014 | Off-peak | 0 | 0 |
| LGV Freight | 2014 | Weekend | 0 | 0 |
| LGV Freight | 2014 | All | 38393 | 38393 |
| LGV Freight | 2024 | AM peak | 20314 | 20314 |
| LGV Freight | 2024 | PM peak | 18079 | 18079 |
| LGV Freight | 2024 | Inter-peak | 0 | 0 |
| LGV Freight | 2024 | Off-peak | 0 | 0 |
| LGV Freight | 2024 | Weekend | 0 | 0 |
| LGV Freight | 2024 | All | 38393 | 38393 |
| OGV1 | 2014 | AM peak | 7849 | 7849 |


| OGV1 | 2014 | PM peak | 5320 | 5320 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| OGV1 | 2014 | Inter-peak | 0 | 0 |
| OGV1 | 2014 | Off-peak | 0 | 0 |
| OGV1 | 2014 | Weekend | 0 | 13169 |
| OGV1 | 2014 | All | 13169 | 7849 |
| OGV1 | 2024 | AM peak | 7849 | 5320 |
| OGV1 | 2024 | PM peak | 5320 | 0 |
| OGV1 | 2024 | Inter-peak | 0 | 0 |
| OGV1 | 2024 | Off-peak | 0 | 0 |
| OGV1 | 2024 | Weekend | 0 | 13169 |
| OGV1 | 2024 | All | 13169 | 176030 |
| All | 2014 | AM peak | 176030 | 181602 |
| All | 2014 | PM peak | 181602 | 0 |
| All | 2014 | Inter-peak | 0 | 0 |
| All | 2014 | Off-peak | 0 | 0 |
| All | 2014 | Weekend | 057632 | 357632 |
| All | 2014 | All | 176030 | 176030 |
| All | 2024 | AM peak | 181602 | 181602 |
| All | 2024 | PM peak | 0 | 0 |
| All | 2024 | Inter-peak | 0 | 0 |
| All | 2024 | Off-peak | 0 | 0 |
| All | 2024 | Weekend | 357632 | 357632 |
| All | 2024 | All |  | 0 |

SUMMARY OF WIDER IMPACTS
All entries are in thousands of pounds discounted to 2010 in 2010 prices
Appraisal Period:2014 to 2074
Impacts for Each Modelled Year
Year 2014 Year 2024 Full Appraisal

| Agglomeration - manufacturing | 16 | 590 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Agglomeration - construction | 12 | 392 |
| Agglomeration - consumer services | 11 | 1306 |
| Agglomeration - producer services | 22 | 3870 |
| Agglomeration - Total | 20 | 69 |
| Labour supply impact | 69 | 90 |
| Increased output in imperfectly competitive market | 19 | 139 |
| The move to more/less productive jobs | 18 | 633 |
| Total | 0 | 1581 |

### 4.2 Main Economic Appraisal - TUBA Output



PRESENT VALUE_COSTS
Scheme investment and operating costs (i.e. excluding grant/subsidy, developer contributions and delays) and differences. E000s.



|  | cost (E000s, | central) |  |  | high) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DM | DS | Increase | DM | DS | Increase |
| 19981 | 19978 | -3 | 29971 | 29966 | -5 |
| 11514 | 11513 | -2 | 17272 | 17269 | -3 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 |
| , | , | 0 | , | 0 | 0 |
| - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 19981 | 19978 | -3 | 29971 | 29966 | -5 |
| 19029 | 19026 | -3 | 28543 | 28538 | -5 |
| 17949 | 17946 | -3 | 26923 | 26919 | -4 |
| 16934 | 16932 | -3 | 25401 | 25397 | -4 |
| 15974 | 15972 | -2 | 23961 | 23957 | -4 |
| 15064 | 15062 | -2 | 22597 | 22593 | -3 |
| 14200 | 14197 | -2 | 21299 | 21296 | -3 |
| 13472 | 13470 | -2 | 20208 | 20205 | -3 |
| 12797 | 12795 | -2 | 19195 | 19192 | -3 |
| 12146 | 12144 | -2 | 18219 | 18216 | -3 |
| 11514 | 11513 | -2 | 17272 | 17269 | -3 |
| 10904 | 10902 | -2 | 16356 | 16353 | -2 |
| 10428 | 10427 | -2 | 15643 | 15640 | -2 |
| 9963 | 9962 | -1 | 14945 | 14943 | -2 |
| 9511 | 9509 | -1 | 14266 | 14264 | -2 |
| 9069 | 9067 | -1 | 13603 | 13601 | -2 |
| 8635 | 8634 | -1 | 12953 | 12951 | -2 |
| 8391 | 8390 | -1 | 12587 | 12585 | -2 |
| 8784 | 8783 | -1 | 13176 | 13174 | -2 |
| 9128 | 9127 | -1 | 13692 | 13690 | -2 |
| 9429 | 9427 | -1 | 14143 | 14141 | -2 |
| 9688 | 9687 | -1 | 14532 | 14530 | -2 |
| 9993 | 9992 | -1 | 14990 | 14987 | -2 |
| 10267 | 10266 | -2 | 15401 | 15399 | -2 |
| 10512 | 10511 | -2 | 15769 | 15766 | -2 |
| 10728 | 10726 | -2 | 16092 | 16089 | -2 |
| 10917 | 10916 | -2 | 16376 | 16374 | -2 |
| 11082 | 11080 | -2 | 16623 | 16620 | -2 |
| 11222 | 11221 | -2 | 16833 | 16831 | -2 |
| 11341 | 11340 | -2 | 17012 | 17010 | -3 |
| 11495 | 11493 | -2 | 17242 | 17239 | -3 |
| 11628 | 11627 | -2 | 17443 | 17440 | -3 |
| 11746 | 11744 | -2 | 17619 | 17616 | -3 |
| 11846 | 11844 | -2 | 17769 | 17767 | -3 |
| 11930 | 11928 | -2 | 17895 | 17893 | -3 |
| 12000 | 11998 | -2 | 17999 | 17997 | -3 |
| 12056 | 12054 | -2 | 18083 | 18081 | -3 |
| 12098 | 12096 | -2 | 18147 | 18144 | -3 |
| 12161 | 12159 | -2 | 18242 | 18239 | -3 |
| 12198 | 12196 | -2 | 18297 | 18294 | -3 |
| 12224 | 12222 | -2 | 18336 | 18333 | -3 |
| 12238 | 12236 | -2 | 18357 | 18354 | -3 |
| 12230 | 12228 | -2 | 18345 | 18342 | -3 |
| 12213 | 12211 | -2 | 18320 | 18317 | -3 |
| 12175 | 12173 | -2 | 18263 | 18260 | -3 |
| 12122 | 12120 | -2 | 18183 | 18180 | -3 |
| 12056 | 12054 | -2 | 18084 | 18081 | -3 |
| 11978 | 11976 | -2 | 17966 | 17964 | -3 |
| 11838 | 11836 | -2 | 17757 | 17754 | -3 |
| 11696 | 11694 | -2 | 17543 | 17541 | -3 |
| 11531 | 11529 | -2 | 17296 | 17294 | -3 |
| 11357 | 11356 | -2 | 17036 | 17034 | -3 |
| 11164 | 11163 | -2 | 16747 | 16744 | -2 |
| 10971 | 10970 | -2 | 16457 | 16455 | -2 |
| 10760 | 10758 | -2 | 16139 | 16137 | -2 |
| 10541 | 10540 | -2 | 15812 | 15809 | -2 |
| 10313 | 10311 | -2 | 15469 | 15467 | -2 |
| 10079 | 10077 | -1 | 15118 | 15116 | -2 |
| 9849 | 9847 | -1 | 14773 | 14771 | -2 |
| 9613 | 9611 | -1 | 14419 | 14417 | -2 |
| 9375 | 9373 | -1 | 14062 | 14060 | -2 |
| 714531 | 714424 | -107 | 1071796 | 1071635 | -160 |
| , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 714531 | 714424 | -107 | 1071796 | 1071635 | -160 |


CO2_EMISSTONS_BY_TIME_PERIOD_UNTRADED

| Submode | Year | DM | Ds | Tnerea |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM peak | 2014 | 201639 | 201663 |  |
| AM peak | 2024 | 140597 | 140578 |  |
| pm peak | 2014 | 202106 | 202017 |  |
| pm peak | 2024 | 141035 | 141012 |  |
| Inter-peak | 2014 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Inter-peak | 2024 | 0 | 0 |  |
| off-peak | 2014 | 0 | 0 |  |
| off-peak | 2024 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Weekend | 2014 | 0 | 0 |  |
| weekend | 2024 | 0 | 0 |  |
| ${ }^{\text {AM }}$ peak | Total | 7620592 | 7619821 | - |
| ${ }^{\text {PM peak }}$ | Total | 7643534 | 7642022 | -15 |
| Inter-peak | Total | , | 0 |  |
| ${ }_{\text {Off }}$ ¢f-peak | ${ }_{\text {Total }}$ | 0 | 0 |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Submode | Year | DM | DS | Increase |
| AM peak | 2014 | 201639 | 201663 | 24 |
| AM peak | 2024 | 140597 | 140578 | -19 |
| PM peak | 2014 | 202106 | 202017 | -89 |
| m peak | 2024 | 141035 | 141012 | -23 |
| Inter-peak | 2014 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Inter-peak | 2024 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| off-peak | 2014 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| off-peak | 2024 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Weekend | 2014 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Weekend | 2024 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ${ }^{\text {AM }}$ peak | Total | 7620592 | 7619821 | -771 |
| ${ }^{\text {PM peak }}$ | Total | 7643534 | 7642022 | -1513 |
| Inter-peak | Total | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 |
| Off-peak | Total | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 |
| Weekend | Total | 0 | 0 |  |


| cost (£000s, low) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DM | DS | Increase |
| 4989 | 4990 | 1 |
| 2874 | 2874 | 0 |
| 501 | 4999 | -2 |
| 2883 | 2883 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 17836 | -18 |
| 178364 | 17866 | -155 |
| 178901 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |


|  | cost (E000s, central) |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| DM | DS | Increase |
| 9979 | 9980 | 1 |
| 5748 | 5747 | -1 |
| 10002 | 9998 | -4 |
| 5766 | 5785 | -1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 35692 | -36 |
| 356728 | 357731 | -71 |
| 357802 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |


|  | cost (E000s, | high) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DM | DS | Increase |
| 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 79 | 79 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | - | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | , | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 3 |  | 0 |
| 5 | 5 | 0 |
| 8 | 8 | 0 |
| 12 | 12 | 0 |
| 17 | 17 | 0 |
| 26 | 26 | 0 |
| 33 | 33 | 0 |
| 45 | 45 | 0 |
| 60 | 60 | 0 |
| 79 | 79 | 0 |
| 101 | 101 | 0 |
| 116 | 116 | 0 |
| 131 | 131 | 0 |
| 146 | 146 | 0 |
| 161 | 160 | 0 |
| 175 | 175 | 0 |
| 164 | 164 | 0 |
| 156 | 156 | 0 |
| 147 | 147 | 0 |
| 135 | 135 | 0 |
| 121 | 121 | 0 |
| 106 | 106 | 0 |
| 89 | 89 | 0 |
| 72 | 72 | 0 |
| 53 | 53 | 0 |
| 33 | 33 | 0 |
| 33 | 33 |  |
| 32 | 32 | 0 |
| 31 30 | 31 30 | 0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | 0 |
| 28 | 28 | 0 |
| 27 | 27 | 0 |
| 25 | 25 | 0 |
| 24 | 24 | 0 |
| 23 | 23 | 0 |
| 23 | 23 | 0 |
| 23 | 23 | 0 |
| 23 | 23 | 0 |
| 23 | 23 | 0 |
| 23 | 23 | 0 |
| 23 | 23 | 0 |
| 23 | 23 | 0 |
| 23 | 23 | 0 |
| 23 | 23 | 0 |
| 23 | 23 | 0 |
| 23 | 23 | 0 |
| 22 | 22 | 0 |
| 22 | 22 | 0 |
| 22 | 22 | 0 |
| 22 | 22 | 0 |
| 21 | 21 | 0 |
| 21 | 21 | 0 |
| 20 | 20 | 0 |
| 20 | 20 | 0 |
| 20 | 20 | 0 |
| 19 | 19 | 0 |
| 19 | 19 | 0 |
| 18 | 18 | 0 |
| 18 | 18 | 0 |
| 2992 | 2992 | 0 |
| 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |
| , | 0 | 0 |
| 2992 | 2992 |  |


|  | cost (E000s, | 10w) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DM | DS | Increase |
| 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 79 | 79 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 3 | 3 | 0 |
| 5 | 5 | 0 |
| 8 | 8 | 0 |
| 12 | 12 | 0 |
| 17 | 17 | 0 |
| 26 | 26 | 0 |
| 33 | 33 | 0 |
| 45 | 45 | 0 |
| 60 | 60 | 0 |
| 79 | 79 | 0 |
| 101 | 101 | 0 |
| 116 | 116 | 0 |
| 131 | 131 | 0 |
| 146 | 146 | 0 |
| 161 | 160 | 0 |
| 175 | 175 | 0 |
| 164 | 164 | 0 |
| 156 | 156 | 0 |
| 147 | 147 | 0 |
| 135 | 135 | 0 |
| 121 | 121 | 0 |
| 106 | 106 | 0 |
| 89 | 89 | 0 |
| 72 | 72 | 0 |
| 53 | 53 | 0 |
| 33 | 33 | 0 |
| 33 | 33 | 0 |
| 32 | 32 | 0 |
| 31 | 31 | 0 |
| 30 | 30 | 0 |
| 29 | 29 | 0 |
| 28 | 28 | 0 |
| 27 | 27 | 0 |
| 25 | 25 | 0 |
| 24 | 24 | 0 |
| 23 | 23 | 0 |
| 23 | 23 | 0 |
| 23 | 23 | 0 |
| 23 | 23 | 0 |
| 23 | 23 | 0 |
| 23 | 23 | 0 |
| 23 | 23 | 0 |
| 23 | 23 | 0 |
| 23 | 23 | 0 |
| 23 | 23 | 0 |
| 23 | 23 | 0 |
| 23 | 23 | 0 |
| 22 | 22 | 0 |
| 22 | 22 | 0 |
| 22 | 22 | 0 |
| 22 | 22 | 0 |
| 21 | 21 | 0 |
| 21 | 21 | 0 |
| 20 | 20 | 0 |
| 20 | 20 | 0 |
| 20 | 20 | 0 |
| 19 | 19 | 0 |
| 19 | 19 | 0 |
| 18 | 18 | 0 |
| 18 | 18 | 0 |
| 2992 | 2992 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2992 | 2992 | 0 |


|  | cost (E000s, | central) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DM | DS | Increase |
| 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 79 | 79 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | , | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 3 | 3 | 0 |
| 5 | 5 | 0 |
| 8 | 8 | 0 |
| 12 | 12 | 0 |
| 17 | 17 | 0 |
| 26 | 26 | 0 |
| 33 | 33 | 0 |
| 45 | 45 | 0 |
| 60 | 60 | 0 |
| 79 | 79 | 0 |
| 101 | 101 | 0 |
| 116 | 116 | 0 |
| 131 | 131 | 0 |
| 146 | 146 | 0 |
| 161 | 160 | 0 |
| 175 | 175 | 0 |
| 164 | 164 | 0 |
| 156 | 156 | 0 |
| 147 | 147 | 0 |
| 135 | 135 | 0 |
| 121 | 121 | 0 |
| 106 | 106 | 0 |
| 89 | 89 | 0 |
| 72 | 72 | 0 |
| 53 | 53 | 0 |
| 33 | 33 | 0 |
| 33 | 33 | 0 |
| 32 | 32 | 0 |
| 31 | 31 | 0 |
| 30 | 30 | 0 |
| 29 | 29 | 0 |
| 28 | 28 | 0 |
| 27 | 27 | 0 |
| 25 | 25 | 0 |
| 24 | 24 | 0 |
| 23 | 23 | 0 |
| 23 | 23 | 0 |
| 23 | 23 | 0 |
| 23 | 23 | 0 |
| 23 | 23 | 0 |
| 23 | 23 | 0 |
| 23 | 23 | 0 |
| 23 | 23 | 0 |
| 23 | 23 | 0 |
| 23 | 23 | 0 |
| 23 | 23 | 0 |
| 23 | 23 | 0 |
| 22 | 22 | 0 |
| 22 | 22 | 0 |
| 22 | 22 |  |
| 22 | 22 | 0 |
| 21 | 21 |  |
| 21 | 21 | 0 |
| 20 | 20 | 0 |
| 20 | 20 | 0 |
| 20 | 20 | 0 |
| 19 | 19 | 0 |
| 19 | 19 | 0 |
| 18 | 18 | 0 |
| 18 | 18 | 0 |
| 2992 | 2992 | 0 |
| 0 | , | 0 |
| 0 | - | 0 |
| 2992 | 2992 | 0 |

CO2_EMISStons_BY_TIME_PERIoD_TRADED
_- DM Emissions (tonnes)
AM peak
AM peak
AM peak
PM peak
PM peak
PM peak
PM peak
Inter-peak
Inter-peak
Off-peak off-peak Weekend
Weekend AM peak
PM peak PM peak
Inter-peak off-peak



| DM | DS | Increase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14968 | 14970 | 2 |
| 8622 | 8621 | -1 |
| 15003 | 14996 | -7 |
| 8649 | 8648 | -1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |
| 535092 | 535038 | -54 |
| 536703 | 536597 | -106 |
| 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |
| , | 0 | O |
| 0 | 0 |  |

$\begin{array}{rrr}\text { DM } & \text { cost (E000s, high) } \\ 1 & \text { DS } & \text { Increase } \\ 39 & 39 & \\ 1 & 1 & \\ 39 & 39 & \\ 0 & 0 & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1488 & 1888 & 0 \\ 1504 & 1503 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}$

SUBMODE
User benefits and changes in revenues by submode／vehicle type，modelled years and total．£000s．
Submode

| Submode | Year | User | User＿Charges | vehicle＿op | ting＿Cost | Operator＿Rev | Indirect |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Time | PT＿fares＿（pri | Fuel | Non＿fuel | PT＿fares＿＿pri | Taxes |
| Car | 2014 | 388 | 0 | 51 | 39 | 0 | －26 |
| Car | 2024 | 471 | 0 | －2 | －16 | 。 | 1 |
| LGV Freight | 2014 | 162 | 0 | －24 | －23 | 0 | 12 |
| LGV Freight | 2024 | 22 | 0 | 13 | 21 | 。 | －6 |
| ogv1 | 2014 | 63 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 0 | －2 |
| ogv1 | 2024 | 55 | 0 | －4 | 7 | 0 | 2 |
| A11 | 2014 | 613 | 0 | 31 | 27 | － | －16 |
| ${ }^{411}$ | 2024 | 548 | 0 | 8 | 12 | 0 | －4 |
| Car | Total | 20883 | 0 | 185 | －289 | 0 | －101 |
| LgV Freight | Total | 1786 | 0 | 283 | 516 | 0 | －132 |
| ogv1 | Total | 2638 | 0 | －96 | 259 | 0 | 45 |
| ${ }^{1} 11$ | Total | 25307 | 0 | 372 | 485 | 0 | －188 |

PERSON＿TYPES
User benefits
User benefits and changes in revenues by person type，modelled years and total．$£ 000$ s．

| Person＿type | Year | User | User＿Charges | Vehicle＿op | －ing＿Cost | Operator＿Rev | Indirect Taxes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Time | PT＿fares＿（pri | Fuel | Non＿fuel | PT＿fares＿（pri |  |
| ${ }^{\text {all }}$ | 2014 | 613 | 0 | 31 | 27 | 0 | 16 |
| A11 | 2024 | 548 | 0 | 8 | 12 | － | －4 |
| 11 | Total | 25307 | 0 | 372 | 485 | 0 | －188 |

PURPOSE
User benefits and changes in revenues by trip purpose，modelled years and total． 2000 s．

PERTOD
User benefits and changes in revenues by time period，modelled years and total．E000s．

| Period | Year | User User＿Charges Time PT＿fares＿（pri |  | vehicle＿operating＿Cost Operator＿Rev |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Indirect } \\ \text { Taxes } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Fuel | Non＿fuel | PT＿fares＿（pri |  |
| ${ }^{\text {AM p peak }}$ | 2014 | 405 | 0 | －22 | －14 | 0 | 11 |
| ${ }^{\text {AM p peak }}$ | 2024 | 437 | 0 | 1 | 5 | － | －1 |
| pm peak | 2014 | 208 | 0 | 53 | 41 | 。 | －27 |
| PM peak | 2024 | 111 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 0 | －3 |
| Inter－peak | 2014 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Inter－peak | 2024 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Off－peak | 2014 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 |
| off－peak | 2024 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Weekend | 2014 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Weekend | 2024 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 |
| ${ }^{\text {AM }}$ peak | Total | 19793 | 0 | －80 | 78 | 0 | 35 |
| PM peak | Total | 5514 | 0 | 452 | 407 | 0 | 24 |
| Inter－peak | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Off－peak | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Weekend | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Time benefits | (thousands of person hrs) by size of time saving |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| vehicle type | Purpose | Year | <-5 mins | -5 to | -2 mins | -2 to | 0 mins | 0 to | 2 mins | 2 to | 5 mins | > 5 mins |
| Car | Business | 2014 | 0 |  | 0 |  | -13 |  | 19 |  | 1 |  |
| car | Business | 2024 | 0 |  |  |  | -11 |  | 19 |  | 0 |  |
| Car | Business | Total | 0 |  | -3 |  | -670 |  | 1178 |  | 28 |  |
| car | Commuting | 2014 | -3 |  | -7 |  | -78 |  | 105 |  | 6 |  |
| Car | Commuting | 2024 | 0 |  | -6 |  | -61 |  | 94 |  | 6 |  |
| Car | Commuting | Total | -35 |  | -396 |  | -3822 |  | 5770 |  | 363 | 137 |
| Car | Other | 2014 | -5 |  | -15 |  | -33 |  | 54 |  | 3 |  |
| car | Other | 2024 | 0 |  | 0 |  | -35 |  | 51 |  | 5 |  |
| Car | Other | Total | -49 |  | -91 |  | -2128 |  | 3140 |  | 293 | 43 |
| LGV Freight | Business | 2014 | -1 |  | -2 |  | -13 |  | 21 |  | 6 |  |
| LGV Freight | Business | 2024 | 0 |  | -4 |  | -13 |  | 19 |  | 1 |  |
| LGV Freight | Business | Total | -21 |  | -248 |  | -810 |  | 1175 |  | 66 | 38 |
| LGV Freight | Commuting | 2014 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| LGV Freight | Commuting | 2024 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| LGV Freight | Commuting | Total | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| LGV Freight | Other | 2014 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| LGV Freight | Other | 2024 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| LGV Freight | other | Total | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| ogv1 | Business | 2014 | 0 |  | 0 |  | -5 |  | 10 |  | 0 |  |
| ogv1 | Business | 2024 | 0 |  | 0 |  | -6 |  | 10 |  | 1 |  |
| ogv1 | Business | Total | -4 |  | -5 |  | -338 |  | 597 |  | 65 | 20 |
| ogv1 | Commuting | 2014 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| ogv1 | Commuting | 2024 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| ogv1 | Commuting | Total | 0 |  | 0 |  | , |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| ogv1 | Other | 2014 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| ogv1 | Other | 2024 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| ogv1 | Other | Total | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| monetised time benefits by time Saving |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Time benefits | (£000s) by | ze of ti |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vehicle type | Purpose | Year | $<-5$ mins | -5 to | -2 mins | -2 to | 0 mins | 0 to | 2 mins | 2 to | 5 mins | 5 mins |
| Car | Business | 2014 | 0 |  | -3 |  | -363 |  | 556 |  | 17 |  |
| Car | Business | 2024 | 0 |  | -1 |  | -269 |  | 480 |  | 11 |  |
| Car | Business | Total | 0 |  | -65 |  | -13145 |  | 22945 |  | 556 | 13 |
| car | Commuting | 2014 | -19 |  | -38 |  | -451 |  | 607 |  | 35 | 11 |
| Car | Commuting | 2024 | -1 |  | -31 |  | -291 |  | 445 |  | 28 | 11 |
| Car | Commuting | Total | -155 |  | -1392 |  | -13628 |  | 20424 |  | 1279 | 477 |
| Car | Other | 2014 | -27 |  | -76 |  | -168 |  | 278 |  | 15 | 13 |
| Car | Other | 2024 | -2 |  | -1 |  | -148 |  | 215 |  | 21 |  |
| Car | Other | Total | -196 |  | -421 |  | -6518 |  | 9680 |  | 878 | 151 |
| LGV Freight | Business | 2014 | -6 |  | -18 |  | -149 |  | 245 |  | 75 | 15 |
| LGV Freight | Business | 2024 | -3 |  | -43 |  | -133 |  | 191 |  | 6 |  |
| LGV Freight | Business | Total | -169 |  | -1908 |  | -6379 |  | 9306 |  | 628 | 309 |
| LGV Freight | Commuting | 2014 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| LGV Freight | Commuting | 2024 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| LGV Freight | Commuting | Total | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| LGV Freight | Other | 2014 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| LGV Freight | Other | 2024 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| LGV Freight | Other | Total | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| ogv1 | Business | 2014 | 0 |  | -2 |  | -57 |  | 113 |  | 5 |  |
| ogv1 | Business | 2024 | -1 |  | -1 |  | -56 |  | 98 |  | 11 |  |
| ogv1 | Business | Total | -27 |  | -43 |  | -2655 |  | 4706 |  | 501 | 155 |
| ogv1 | Commuting | 2014 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| ogv1 | Commuting | 2024 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| ogv1 | Commuting | Total | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| ogv1 | other | 2014 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| ogv1 | Other | 2024 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| ogv1 | Other | Total | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| total benefits by time saving |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total benefits ( $£ 000 \mathrm{~s}$ ) b |  | by size of | saving | -5 to | -2 mins | -2 to | 0 mins | 0 to | 2 mins | 2 to | 5 mins | > 5 mins |
| vehicle type | Purpose | Year | < -5 mins |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Car | Business | 2014 | 0 |  | -1 |  | -325 |  | 548 |  | 10 |  |
| Car | Business | 2024 | 0 |  | -1 |  | -255 |  | 469 |  | 7 |  |
| Car | Business | Total | 0 |  | -40 |  | -12542 |  | 22600 |  | 395 |  |
| Car | Commuting | 2014 | 4 |  | 14 |  | -210 |  | 390 |  | -6 |  |
| Car | Commuting | 2024 | 0 |  | 16 |  | -110 |  | 290 |  | -8 | -3 |
| Car | Commuting | Total | -8 |  | 226 |  | -7126 |  | 14793 |  | 2 | 63 |
| Car | Other | 2014 | -3 |  | -16 |  | -138 |  | 197 |  | 4 |  |
| Car | Other | 2024 | 0 |  | 0 |  | -106 |  | 153 |  | 0 |  |
| Car | Other | Total | -45 |  | -107 |  | -5148 |  | 7444 |  | 222 | 41 |
| LGV Freight | Business | 2014 | -1 |  | -7 |  | -131 |  | 220 |  | 28 |  |
| LGV Freight | Business | 2024 | -1 |  | -11 |  | -109 |  | 172 |  | 3 |  |
| LGV Freight | Business | Total | -75 |  | -885 |  | -5551 |  | 8609 |  | 309 | 178 |
| LGV Freight | Commuting | 2014 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| LGV Freight | Commuting | 2024 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| LGV Freight | Commuting | Total | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| LGV Freight | other | 2014 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| LGV Freight | Other | 2024 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| LGV Freight | Other | Total | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| ogv1 | Business | 2014 | 0 |  | -2 |  | -77 |  | 150 |  | 3 |  |
| ogv1 | Business | 2024 | -1 |  | -1 |  | -65 |  | 119 |  | 4 |  |
| ogv1 | Business | Total | -29 |  | -45 |  | -3017 |  | 5543 |  | 261 | 88 |
| ogv1 | Commuting | 2014 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | , |  | 0 |  |
| ogv1 | Commuting | 2024 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| ogv1 | Commuting | Total | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| ogv1 | Other | 2014 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| ogv1 | other | 2024 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| ogv1 | Other | Total | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |

NON MONETISED TIME BENEFITS BY DISTANCE

| vehicle type | Purpose | Year | < 1 kms | 1 to | 5 kms | 5 to | 10 kms | 10 to | 15 kms | 15 to | 20 kms | 20 to | 50 kms | 50 to 100 kms | >100 kms |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Car | Business | 2014 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 1 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 4 | 1 | 0 |
| Car | Business | 2024 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 4 | 1 | 0 |
| car | Business | Total | 0 |  | 17 |  | 85 |  | 72 |  | 89 |  | 240 | 53 | -23 |
| Car | Commuting | 2014 | 0 |  | 2 |  | 4 |  | 9 |  | 5 |  | 18 | -4 | -9 |
| Car | Commuting | 2024 | 0 |  | 2 |  | 7 |  | 5 |  | 6 |  | 19 | -2 | -3 |
| Car | Commuting | Total | 0 |  | 123 |  | 406 |  | 333 |  | 373 |  | 1134 | -158 | -192 |
| Car | Other | 2014 | 0 |  | 3 |  | 10 |  | 3 |  | 0 |  | 6 | -6 | -10 |
| Car | Other | 2024 | 0 |  | 3 |  | 8 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 5 | 0 | 6 |
| Car | Other | Total | 0 |  | 165 |  | 476 |  | -8 |  | 24 |  | 309 | -10 | 253 |
| Lgv Freight | Business | 2014 | 0 |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 5 |  | 3 |  | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| LgV Freight | Business | 2024 | 0 |  | 0 |  | -2 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 2 | -2 | -1 |
| LgV Freight | Business | Total | 0 |  | -9 |  | -78 |  | 141 |  | 171 |  | 101 | -90 | -37 |
| IGV Freight | Commuting | 2014 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LGV Freight | Commuting | 2024 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LgV Freight | Commuting | Total | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LGV Freight | other | 2014 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LgV Freight | other | 2024 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LGV Freight | other | Total | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ogv1 | Business | 2014 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| ogv1 | Business | 2024 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| ogv1 | Business | Total | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 10 |  | 5 |  | 176 | 100 | 45 |
| ogv1 | Commuting | 2014 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ogv1 | Commuting | 2024 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ogv1 | Commuting | Total | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ogv1 | other | 2014 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ogv1 | other | 2024 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ogv1 | Other | Total | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
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    total
sent Value of Transport Economic
Efficiency Benefits (TEE)
    26164
Note: Benefits appear as positive numbers, while costs appear as negative numbers
Note: All entries are present values discounted to 2010, in 2010 prices
Public Accounts
Local Government Funding
Revenue
    Revenue
    operating costs
Investment costs
    Investment Costs
Developer Contribut
    Developer Contributions
Grant/Subsidy Payments
NET IMPACT
    Grant/Subsid
NET IMPACT
Central Government Funding: Transport
    Revenue
Operating costs
    Operating costs
Investment costs
    Investment costs
Developer Contributions
    Grant/Subsi
NET IMPACT
    entral Government Funding: Non-Transport
    \(\begin{array}{lll}\text { Indirect Tax Revenues } & 188 & 188\end{array}\)
totals
    Broad Transport Budget
Wider Public Finances
    Note: Costs appear as positive numbers, while revenues and developer co
Note: All entries are present values discounted to 2010, in 2010 prices
    Analysis of Monetised Costs and Benefits
Greenhouse Gases 107
Ereenhouse Gases
Economic Efficiency: Consumer Users (Commuting)
Economic Efficiency: Consumer Users (Other)
Economic Efficiency: Consumer Users (Commuti.
Economic Efficiency: Consumer Users (Other)
\(\begin{array}{rr}\text { ALL MODES } & \text { Road } \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 5313 & 5313 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 5313 & 5313 \\ \text { ALL Modes } & \text { Road } \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Consumer - Conmuting user benefits & All Modes & & Road & & \\
\hline Travel Time & 7005 & & 7005 & & \\
\hline Vehicle operating costs & 944 & & 944 & & \\
\hline User charges & 0 & & 0 & & \\
\hline During Construction \& Maintenance & 0 & & 0 & & \\
\hline net consuner - commuting benefits & 7950 & & 7950 & & \\
\hline Consumer - other user benefits & All Modes & & Road & & \\
\hline Travel Time & 3574 & & 3574 & & \\
\hline Vehicle operating costs & -1166 & & -1166 & & \\
\hline User charges & 0 & & 0 & & \\
\hline During Construction \& Maintenance & 0 & & 0 & & \\
\hline net consumer - other benefits & 2407 & & 2407 & & \\
\hline Business & All Modes & Road Personal & Road Freight & Bus Personal & Bus Freight \\
\hline Travel Time & 14728 & 10303 & \({ }_{4} 424\) & & \\
\hline Vehicle operating costs & 1079 & 118 & 962 & & \\
\hline User charges & 0 & 0 & 0 & & \\
\hline During Construction \& Maintenance & 0 & 0 & 0 & & \\
\hline Subtotal & 15807 & 10421 & 5386 & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Private Sector Provider Impacts}} \\
\hline & & & & & \\
\hline Operating costs & & & 0 & & \\
\hline Investment costs & 0 & & 0 & & \\
\hline Grant/subsidy & 0 & & 0 & & \\
\hline Subtotal & 0 & & 0 & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Other business Impacts} \\
\hline Developer contributions & , & & 0 & & \\
\hline net business impact & 15807 & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Consumer - Conmuting user benefits & All Modes & & Road & & \\
\hline Travel Time & 7005 & & 7005 & & \\
\hline Vehicle operating costs & 944 & & 944 & & \\
\hline User charges & 0 & & 0 & & \\
\hline During Construction \& Maintenance & 0 & & 0 & & \\
\hline net consuner - commuting benefits & 7950 & & 7950 & & \\
\hline Consumer - other user benefits & All Modes & & Road & & \\
\hline Travel Time & 3574 & & 3574 & & \\
\hline Vehicle operating costs & -1166 & & -1166 & & \\
\hline User charges & 0 & & 0 & & \\
\hline During Construction \& Maintenance & 0 & & 0 & & \\
\hline net consumer - other benefits & 2407 & & 2407 & & \\
\hline Business & All Modes & Road Personal & Road Freight & Bus Personal & Bus Freight \\
\hline Travel Time & 14728 & 10303 & \({ }_{4} 424\) & & \\
\hline Vehicle operating costs & 1079 & 118 & 962 & & \\
\hline User charges & 0 & 0 & 0 & & \\
\hline During Construction \& Maintenance & 0 & 0 & 0 & & \\
\hline Subtotal & 15807 & 10421 & 5386 & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Private Sector Provider Impacts}} \\
\hline & & & & & \\
\hline Operating costs & & & 0 & & \\
\hline Investment costs & 0 & & 0 & & \\
\hline Grant/subsidy & 0 & & 0 & & \\
\hline Subtotal & 0 & & 0 & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Other business Impacts} \\
\hline Developer contributions & , & & 0 & & \\
\hline net business impact & 15807 & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
26164
    Local Government Funding
Revenue
    Grant/Subsidy Payments
                                tions
                                aLL modes
Total
Present
Efficiency Benefits (TEE) 26164
    Government Funa\(\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\Delta}\)7950
2407
Economic Efficiency: Consumer Users (Other)
Economic Efficiency: Business Users and Providers
Wider Public Finances (Indirect Taxation Revenues)
Present Value of Benefits (PVB)
Wider Public Finances (Indirect Taxation Revenues)
Present Value of Benefits (PVB)
Broad Transport Budget
Present Value of Costs (PVC)15807
-1885313
5313Present value of costs (PVC)
overall impacts
OVERALL IMPACTS
Net Present Value (NPV)
Benefit to Cost Ratio (BCR)
\(\begin{array}{ll}20770 \\ \text { it to Cost Ratio (BCR) } & 4.909\end{array}\)

Note: This table includes costs and benefits which are regularly or occasionally presented in monetised form in
transport appraisals, together with some where monetisation is in prospect. There may also be other significant
ransport appraisals, together with some where monetisation is in prospect. There may also be other significant costs and benefits, some of which cannot be presented in monetised form. Where this is the case, the analysis
presented above does NoT provide a good measure of value for money and should not be used as the sole basis for

\subsection*{4.3 Journey Time Reliability Output}
```

Running JTV Tools
Year 1 JTV Benefits (£ in 2010 prices):, 65853
Year 2 JTV Benefits (£ in 2010 prices):, 40195
Total JTV Benefits (£ in 2010 prices):, 2132437

```
```


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Value for Money Assessment: Advice Note for Local Transport Decision Makers, Department for Transport. https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/267296/vfm-advice-local-decision-makers.pdf

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Value for Money Assessment: Advice Note for Local Transport Decision Makers, Department for Transport https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/267296/vfm-advice-local-decision-makers.pdf

