STAT 518 --- Section 5.1: The Mann-Whitney Test

• We now examine the situation when our data consist of two <u>independent samples</u>.

Example 1: We want to compare urban versus rural high school seniors on the basis of their test scores. **Example 2:** We want to estimate the difference between the median BMIs for females and males.

Example 3: We want to compare the housing markets in New York and California in terms of median selling price.

• There is no natural <u>pairing</u> in the data: We simply have two separate <u>independent</u> samples.

• The sizes of the two samples, say *n* and *m*, could be different.

• Assume we have independent random samples from two populations.

• The measurement scale of the data is at least ordinal.

• Denote the <u>first</u> sample by $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$ and the <u>second</u> sample by $Y_1, Y_2, ..., Y_m$.

• The null hypothesis of the Mann-Whitney test (also called the <u>Wilcoxon Rank Sum test</u>) can be stated in terms of the cumulative distribution functions:

• The alternative hypothesis could be any of these three:

• However, it is more interpretable to state the null and alternative hypotheses in terms of probabilities:

Two-tailed Lower-tailed U	Upper-tailed
---------------------------	--------------

• This test could also be used simply as a comparison of two means:

Two-tailed Lower-tailed Upper-tailed

• If the M-W test is used to compare two means, we should assume that the c.d.f.'s of the two populations are the same except for a potential shift. Picture:

• We first combine the X's and Y's into a combined set of N values, where N = n + m.

We rank the observations in the combined sample, with the <u>smallest</u> having rank 1 and the <u>largest</u>, n + m.
If there are ties, midranks are used.

• The <u>test statistic</u> is T =

• Table A7 tabulates null distribution of *T* for selected sample sizes (for $n \le 20$ and $m \le 20$).

• This is exact if there are no ties.

• Upper quantiles of *T* are found via the formula:

• Or, for an upper-tailed situation, we could equivalently use the statistic:

along with the corresponding lower-tail quantile.

• For examples with many ties, or with larger sample sizes, we can use another test statistic:

where

Decision RulesTwo-tailedLower-tailedUpper-tailed

• If the test is performed using T₁, then standard normal quantiles are used rather than the values in Table A7.

• Approximate <u>P-values</u> can be obtained from the normal distribution using one of equations (6)-(10) on pp. 274-275, or by interpolating within Table A7, but we will typically use software to get approximate Pvalues. Example 1: In a simulated-driving experiment, subjects were asked to react to a red "brake" light. Their reaction time (in milliseconds) was recorded. Some of the subjects were conversing on cell phones while "driving" while another group was listening to a radio broadcast. Is mean reaction time significantly greater for the cell-phone group?

<u>Data</u> Cell: 456, 468, 482, 501, 672, 679, 688, 960

Radio: 426, 436, 444, 449, 626, 626, 642

Hypotheses:

Decision rule: Reject H₀ if

Test statistic:

P-value =

Conclusion:

On computer: Use wilcox.test function in R (see example code on course web page)

Example 2: Samples of sale prices for a handheld computing device on eBay were collected for two different auction methods (bidding and buy-it-now). At $\alpha = .05$, are the mean selling prices significantly different for the two groups?

<u>Data</u> Bidding: 199, 210, 228, 232, 245, 246, 246, 249, 255

BIN: 210, 225, 225, 235, 240, 250, 251

Hypotheses:

Decision rule: Reject H₀ if

Test statistic:

P-value =

Conclusion:

On computer: Use wilcox.test function in R (see example code on course web page.

• The M-W test can be used to test hypotheses like:

where *d* is some specific number of interest.
In this case, simply add *d* to each *Y* value and carry out the M-W test on the *X*'s and the adjusted *Y*'s.

• When estimating the difference between E(*X*) and E(*Y*) is of interest, a CI can be obtained.

Confidence Interval for the Difference in Two Population Means

• The values in the $(1 - \alpha)100\%$ CI are all numbers *d* such that the above null hypothesis is <u>not</u> rejected at level α .

- To find this CI for E(X) E(Y):
 - Calculate

• Find <u>all</u> differences $X_i - Y_j$ for all i = 1, ..., n and j = 1, ..., m.

• The CI endpoints are the *k*-th smallest and the *k*-th largest of these differences.

• Note: Computing and sorting the differences is most easily done via software.

Example 1 again: Find a 90% CI for the difference between the mean reaction times for the cell-phone drivers and the radio drivers.

Example 2 again: Find a 95% CI for the difference between the population mean selling prices for the bidding group and the buy-it-now group.

Comparison of M-W test to Competing Tests

• If both populations are normal, the 2-sample t-test is most powerful for comparing two means.

• However, the 2-sample t-test lacks power when one or both samples contain _____.

• The median test (covered in Chapter 4) is another distribution-free test in this situation.

Efficiency of the Mann-Whitney Test

Population A.R.E.(M-W vs. t) A.R.E.(M-W vs. median)

Normal

Uniform (light tails)

Double exponential (heavy tails)

• The A.R.E. is of the M-W test relative to the t-test is never lower than _____ but may be as high as _____.

• For <u>small</u> samples coming from heavy-tailed distributions, the M-W test may be ______ than the median test.

• But the median test is more <u>flexible</u> --- it does not require the distributions of *X* and *Y* to be identical under H₀. Section 5.2: Analyzing Several Independent Samples

• The M-W test is designed to compare two populations.

• Sometimes we have *k* independent samples from *k* populations.

• We wish to test whether all *k* populations are identical in distribution.

Kruskal-Wallis Test

• We assume the *k* random samples are all mutually independent and that the measurement scale is at least <u>ordinal</u>.

• The K-W test is again based on the <u>ranks</u>.

• Denote Sample 1 as

Sample 2 as

Sample k as

• We combine all *k* samples and rank the observations in the combined sample from 1 (smallest) to *N* (largest).

• Let

Hypotheses:

Test Statistic:

Null Distribution of T

Note:

• So the asymptotic null distribution of *T* is χ^2 with (k-1) degrees of freedom.

Decision Rule

• T is large when the R_i 's are fairly different from each other.

• This is evidence in favor of

So:

Example 1: In an experiment, 43 newborn chicks were each given one of 4 diets. Weight gain in the first 21 days was measured (in grams). Is there evidence (at $\alpha =$ 0.05) that the four diets produce different mean weight gains?

On computer: Use kruskal.test function in R (see example code on course web page.

• If H₀ is rejected, we use <u>multiple comparisons</u> to infer <u>which</u> population means seem to differ.

• Populations *i* and *j* are significantly different if:

• This can be checked readily in R.

Example 1 again:

• The K-W test can be used with categorical data (e.g., data in contingency tables) as long as the variable observed on each individual is <u>ordinal</u> so that the categories can be ranked in order.

Example 2: The grade distributions for 3 instructors were compared to see whether students tended to get similar grade distributions across instructor. The data are given on page 293. • If we score A, B, C, D, F numerically as 4, 3, 2, 1, 0, then we can perform the K-W test on the data:

Comparison to Other Tests

• When all *k* populations are normal, the usual parametric procedure to compare the *k* population means is the (one-way) analysis of variance (ANOVA) F-test.

• The F-test is robust against the normality assumption in terms of the actual significance level.

• But the F-test can have _____ power when the data are nonnormal (especially when heavy-tailed).

• The A.R.E. of the K-W test relative to the F-test and relative to the median test is very similar to the A.R.E. of the M-W test relative to its competitors.