Academic Supplement:

Applicant Name:

POSITIVE INDICATORS - Provides clear and reasoned explanation for importance of academic medicine - Shows accurate understanding of purpose and impact of academic medicine - Shows awareness of basic principles of research methodology - Provides evidence of personal experience of research	NEGATIVE INDICATORS - Explanations / arguments are un clear or lacks relevance - Lacks understanding of purpose and impact of academic medicine - Provides no evidence of personal experience of research or understanding of research methodology - Unable to link own experience to his/her arguments
RATING NE 1	2 3 4
POSITIVE INDICATORS - Provides evidence of academic potential through presentations / publications / prizes and honours Clear understanding of how research experience can contribute to development of a career as a clinical academicShows how research interests are relevant to the Academic Clinical Fellowship in this specialty.	NEGATIVE INDICATORS - Explanation of academic activities lacks clarity or relevance Lacks understanding of how his/her experience can be built on in development of a career as a clinical academic Fails to explain how own research interests can be aligned with the specialty.
RATING NE 1	□ 2 □ 3 □ 4
	- Provides clear and reasoned explanation for importance of academic medicine - Shows accurate understanding of purpose and impact of academic medicine - Shows awareness of basic principles of research methodology - Provides evidence of personal experience of research RATING NE 1 POSITIVE INDICATORS - Provides evidence of academic potential through presentations / publications / prizes and honours Clear understanding of how research experience can contribute to development of a career as a clinical academicShows how research interests are relevant to the Academic Clinical Fellowship in this specialty.

Panel Member Name:D)ate:
---------------------	-------

Academic Supplement: Applica	ant Name:			
QUESTION SET 3 (COMMUNICATION SKILLS) Demonstrates clarity in written and spoken communication and capacity to adapt language as appropriate to the situation. Able to build rapport, listen, persuade and negotiate. Demonstrates evidence of team working skills, leadership potential	POSITIVE INDICATORS - Adjusts to style of questioning / responses as appropriate Able to express ideas to others clearly – written and spoken - Uses inventive language (e.g humour / analogy) to explain Uses active listening - Makes effective use of nonverbal behaviour (voice, posture etc)	NEGATIVE INDICATORS - Unable to adapt language / behaviour as needed Is patronising / domineering in communicating with others Use of language is too functional/narrow/technical Limited evidence of active listening Fails to engage others at nonverbal level.		
	RATING NE 1	□ 2 □ 3 □ 4		

Applicant Name:



Panel Member Name:......Date:.....