## BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of Southern California Edison Company (U 338-E) for Authority To, Among Other Things, Increase its Authorized Revenues For Electric Service In 2009 and To Reflect That Increase In Rates.

Order Instituting Investigation on the Commission's Own Motion into the Rates, Operations, Practices, Services and Facilities of Southern California Edison Company. Application 07-11-011 (Filed November 19, 2007)

Investigation 08-01-026 (Filed January 31, 2008)

## SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY (U 338-E) AND COALITION OF CALIFORNIA UTILITY EMPLOYEES JOINT NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE

FRANK A. MCNULTY JENNIFER R. HASBROUCK

Attorneys for SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

2244 Walnut Grove Avenue Post Office Box 800 Rosemead, California 91770

Telephone: (626) 302-1499 Facsimile: (626) 302-6693 E-mail: scegrc@sce.com

Dated: May 19, 2008

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of Southern California Edison Company (U 338-E) for Authority To, Among Other Things, Increase its Authorized Revenues For Electric Service In 2009 and To Reflect That Increase In Rates.

Order Instituting Investigation on the Commission's Own Motion into the Rates, Operations, Practices, Services and Facilities of Southern California Edison Company. Application 07-11-011 (Filed November 19, 2007)

Investigation 08-01-026 (Filed January 31, 2008)

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY (U 338-E)
AND COALITION OF CALIFORNIA UTILITY EMPLOYEES
JOINT NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE

Southern California Edison Company (SCE) and the Coalition of California Utility Employees (CUE) have reached a settlement in principle in this proceeding. Pursuant to the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure Rule 12.1(b), SCE and CUE<sup>1</sup> hereby give joint notice of a telephonic settlement conference:

DATE: Friday, May 23, 2008

TIME: 10:00 A.M.

DIAL IN: (888) 237-9331, Participant Code: 259636

Pursuant to Rule 1.8(d), counsel for CUE has authorized SCE to sign this joint notice on its behalf.

Rule 12.1 (b) also requires: "Notice of the date, time, and place shall be served on all parties at least seven (7) days in advance of the conference." This notice is being provided on May 19, four days in advance of the May 23, 2008 settlement conference, so SCE and CUE are requesting waiver of the seven-day notice period.<sup>2</sup> The proposed settlement between SCE and CUE is attached to this notice.

Respectfully submitted,

FRANK MCNULTY JENNIFER R. HASBROUCK

/s/ Frank A. McNulty

By: Frank A. McNulty

Attorneys for SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

2244 Walnut Grove Avenue

Post Office Box 800

Rosemead, California 91770

Telephone: (626) 302-1499 Facsimile: (626) 302-6693 E-mail: scegrc@sce.com

May 19, 2008

\_

SCE and the Disability Rights Advocates previously noticed a separate settlement conference in this proceeding, also to be held telephonically on May 23, 2008, but at 1:00 P.M.



## BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of Southern California Edison Company (U 338-E) for Authority To, Among Other Things, Increase its Authorized Revenues For Electric Service In 2009 and To Reflect That Increase In Rates.

Order Instituting Investigation on the Commission's Own Motion into the Rates, Operations, Practices, Services and Facilities of Southern California Edison Company. Application 07-11-011 (Filed November 19, 2007)

Investigation 08-01-026 (Filed January 31, 2008)

# SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY (U338-E) AND COALITION OF CALIFORNIA UTILITY EMPLOYEES SETTLEMENT ON RELIABILITY INVESTMENT INCENTIVE MECHANISM

This Settlement is entered into by and among the undersigned Parties hereto, with reference to the following:

- 1. Parties
- 1.1 The Parties to this Settlement are Southern California Edison Company (SCE) and the.Coalition of California Utility Employees (CUE).
- 1.2 SCE is an investor-owned public utility and is subject to the jurisdiction of the California Public Utilities Commission (Commission. or CPUC) with respect to providing electric service to its CPUC jurisdictional retail customers.
- 1.3 CUE is an interested party representing the interests of employees of California utilities, including employees of SCE.
- 2. Background
- On November 19, 2007 SCE filed with the Commission its Application for a 2009

  General Rate Case (GRC). Among the positions taken by SCE in the 2009 GRC

  Application was that the Reliability Investment Incentive Mechanism, as approved by

- the Commission in its decision on SCE's 2006 GRC, D.06-05-016, be extended for the 2009-2011 GRC cycle, with certain modifications.
- 2.2 CUE participated in SCE's 2009 GRC Application, presenting testimony that, among other things, the Commission should extend the current Reliability Investment Incentive Mechanism of the type authorized by the Commission in its decision on SCE's 2006 GRC, D.06-05-016, with certain modifications.
- 3. Agreement
- 3.1 In consideration of the mutual obligations, covenants and conditions contained herein, the Parties agree to the terms of this Settlement. Nothing in this Settlement will be deemed to constitute an admission or an acceptance by any Party of any fact, principle, or position contained herein and this agreement is subject to the limitations described in Section 10 with respect to the express limitation on precedent. The parties, by signing this Settlement, acknowledge that they pledge support for Commission approval and subsequent implementation of all its provisions.
- 3.2 The Parties agree to recommend to the Commission that the Reliability Investment Incentive Mechanism (RIIM) described herein be adopted.
- 3.3 Term of Proposed R11M:
  - 3.3.1 The RIIM will be in effect upon the effective date of its adoption by the Commission and run through December 31, 2011.
- 3.4 Capital Expenditures Subject to Proposed RIIM
  - 3.4.1 As set forth in Attachment A to this settlement, the Parties have identified certain categories of SCE's capital expenditure request in this proceeding that are particularly related to preserving long-term electric service reliability for SCE's customers. Based on the facts presented in this proceeding, the Parties have designated these certain capital expenditures and the associated cumulative capital additions forecast to be added to plant-in-service by December 31, 2011 (plus the associated cost of removal) as subject to the RIIM.

- 3.4.2 The Parties also recognize that the Commission's decision in this proceeding may adopt dollar amounts for these capital expenditure and capital addition categories that differ from the amounts proposed by SCE. Therefore, the capital additions (plus associated cost-of-removal) to be tracked under the proposed RIIM will be based on the dollar amounts in the capital expenditure categories identified in Attachment A that are adopted by the Commission in its decision in this proceeding.
- 3.4.3 The Parties have also identified the highest priority categories of New Service
  Connections, Storm and Breakdown Replacement as shown in Attachment B.

  Expenditures in these areas will affect expenditures in the categories shown in
  Attachment A. This mechanism will account for this interaction by adjusting the
  target RIIM capital additions as follows. Ifrecorded capital additions for New Service
  Connections, Storm and Breakdown Replacement exceeded the amounts adopted by
  the Commission, RIIM capital additions target will be decreased by this amount. If
  recorded capital additions for New Service Connections, Storm and Breakdown
  Replacement are less than the amounts adopted by the Commission, RIIM capital
  additions target will be increased by this amount. (This adjustment is only for the
  purposes of calculating SCE's compliance with this incentive measure.) The results
  after this adjustment will be known as the "Adjusted RIIM Capital Additions
  Targets."
- 3.4.4 SCE's request in the capital expenditure categories shown in Attachment A are split 95.8 percent Commission jurisdictional, with the remainder Federal Energy Regulatory Commission jurisdictional. The corresponding split for the amounts shown in Attachment B is 99.9 percent Commission jurisdictional with the remainder Federal Energy Regulatory Commission jurisdictional. The Parties agree to adjust these two factors based on the amounts adopted for the categories listed in Attachments A and B.

### 3.5 TDBU O&M Expenses Subject to RIIM:

- 3.5.1 The Parties also agree that adequate recruitment and retention of employees in key line positions like Linemen, Troublemen, E-Crew Foremen, Senior Cable Splicers, Senior Patrolman, Apprentice Linemen and Groundmen, and their training represents an important indicator of SCE's ability to preserve long-term electric system reliability.
- 3.5.2 The Parties recognize that hiring and training employees in these classifications require adequate TDBU O&M funding and that the Commission's decision in this proceeding may adopt dollar amounts for TDBU O&M expenses that differ from the amounts proposed by SCE.
- 3.5.3 If the TDBU O&M expenses proposed by SCE in this case are authorized by the Commission, SCE agrees to increase its Linemen, Troublemen, E-Crew Foremen, Senior Cable Splicers, Senior Patrolman and Apprentice Linemen categories by a combined net of 125 employees between 2009 and 2011. This will be known as "RIIM Employee Target I". SCE further agrees to increase its employee count by a combined net of 150 when Groundmen are included with the categories above. This will be known as "RIIM Employee Target II". If the Commission authorizes a TDBU O&M funding level less than \$500M, SCE's target for combined net employee increase will be RIIM Employee Target II only.

### 3.6 Ratemaking Mechanics of the proposed RIIM:

- 3.6.1 At the end of 2011, SCE will compare the Adopted Adjusted RIIM Capital Addition Targets (plus associated cost-of-removal) with the Recorded RIIM Capital Additions from the effective date of the GRC final decision through December 31, 2011. This difference, if any, is the "Cumulative Shortfall."
- 3.6.2 The capital-related revenue requirement associated with any Cumulative Shortfall, plus associated interest will be returned to SCE's customers as a balancing account credit.

- 3.6.3 At the end of 2011, SCE will calculate its combined net increase in Linemen, Troublemen, E-Crew Foremen, Senior Cable Splicers, Senior Patrolman and Apprentice Linemen categories and compare it to RIIM Employee Target I. Any shortfall will be known as "RIIM Employee Shortfall I". SCE will also calculate its combined net increase in employees in Linemen, Troublemen, E-Crew Foremen, Senior Cable Splicers, Senior Patrolman and Apprentice Linemen and Groundmen categories and compare it to RIIM Employee Target II. Any shortfall will be known as "RIIM Employee Shortfall II". The "RIIM Employee Shortfall" will be the maximum of RIIM Employee Shortfall I and RIIM Employee Shortfall II.
- 3.6.4 If there is a RIIM Employee Shortfall, SCE will return to the customers an amount calculated as follows: \$16,500 for each count of shortfall up to 30 employees and an additional \$77,500 for each count of shortfall thereafter.
- 3.7 Specificity Requested In Commission Decision
  - 3.7.1 Because the dollar amounts that will be subject to the proposed RIIM will be based on what the Commission adopts, SCE and CUE request that the Commission's decision in SCE's 2009 GRC specifically identify any reductions to SCE's requested 2009 through 2011 amounts in the categories of capital expenditures, capital additions and O&M expenses that would be subject to the proposed RIIM.
  - 3.7.2 Should the Commission adopt test year capital expenditures or post-test year ratemaking proposals that do not allow capital expenditures and capital additions subject to the RIIM to be identified for all or any portion of the period 2009 through 2011, then SCE and CUE will calculate such amounts consistent with the expenditure priorities used to assess the impact of DRA's post-test year ratemaking CPI proposal, and the priority put on reliability expenditures in this Settlement.

#### 4. Effect of Settlement

- 4.1 Nothing in this Settlement changes the existing collective bargaining agreement between SCE and International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local 47 or past practice with respect to hiring.
- 4.2 Nothing in this Settlement is intended to prejudice the position of any party regarding any other issue in this proceeding, including specifically the positions of parties regarding the appropriate level of authorized spending in any category or any post test year ratemalting methodology. The Parties agree that the mechanism proposed in this settlement iscompatible with either the budget-based post test year ratemaking mechanism proposed by SCE or the Consumer Price Index-based method proposed by DRA.
- 5. Implementation of Agreement
- 5.1 Following the issuance of a final Commission decision approving this Agreement, SCE will, in its 2009 GRC compliance advice letter, submit a preliminary statement for Commission approval that establishes the operation of the RIIM consistent with the terms of this Agreement.
- 5.2 The disposition of the RIIM will be set forth in a compliance advice letter to be filed in the first quarter of 2012.
- 6. Incorporation of Complete Agreement
- 6.1 This Settlement is to be treated as a complete package and not as a collection of separate agreements on discrete issues. To accommodate the interests related to diverse issues, the Parties acknowledge that changes, concessions, or compromises by a Party resulted in changes, concessions, or compromises by the other Party. Consequently, the Parties agree to oppose any modification of this Settlement not agreed to by all Parties.
- 7. Effective Date
- 7.1 This Settlement will become effective as between the Parties upon the signature of the Parties, but remains subject to the approval of the Commission.
- 8. Regulatory Approval

- 8.1 The Parties agree to use their best efforts to obtain Commission approval of the Settlement.
- 8.2 The Parties agree to jointly request that the Commission: (1) approve the Settlement without change; and (2) find the Settlement to be reasonable, consistent with law and in the public interest.
- 9. Compromise Of Disputed Claims
- 9.1 This Settlement represents a compromise of disputed claims between the Parties.
- 9.2 The Parties have reached this Settlement after taking into account the possibility that each Party may or may not prevail on any given issue.
- 9.3 The Parties assert that this Settlement is reasonable, consistent with law and in the public interest.
- 10. Non-Precedent
- 10.1 Consistent with Rule 12.5 of the Rules, this Settlement is not precedential in any other, proceeding before this Commission, except as expressly provided in this Agreement.
- 11. Previous Communications
- 11.1 The Settlement contains the entire agreement and understanding between the Parties as to the subject matter of this Settlement, and supersedes all prior agreements, commitments, representation, and discussions between the Parties. In the event there is any conflict between the terms and scope of the Settlement and the terms and scope of the accompanying motion for adoption of settlement agreement, the Settlement will govern.
- 12. Non-Waiver
- 12.1 None of the provisions of this Settlement will be considered waived by any Party unless such waiver is given in writing.
- 12.2 The failure of a Party to insist in any one or more instances upon strict performance of any of the provisions of this Settlement or to take advantage of any of their rights hereunder will not be construed as a waiver of any such provisions or the relinquishment

of any such rights for the future, but the same will continue and remain in full force and effect.

- 13. Effect Of Subject Headings
- 13.1 Subject headings in this Settlement are inserted for convenience only, and will not be construed as interpretations of the text.
- 14. Governing Law
- 14.1 This Settlement will be interpreted, governed and construed under the laws of the State of California, including Commission decisions, orders and rulings, as if executed and to be performed wholly within the State of California.
- 15. Number Of Originals
- 15.1 This Settlement maybe executed in counterparts, each of which is deemed an original.
  The undersigned represent that they are authorized to sign on behalf of the Party represented.

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY
<i>By</i>
Jim A. Kelly, Sr. Vice President
COALITION OF CALIFORNIA UTILITY EMPLOYEES
By.
Marc D. Joseph

### Attachment A Capital Expenditures related to Long Term Service Reliability

### Total SCE Capital Expenditures Millions of Dollars

Capital Account Expenditires (Total Company)	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative Total	GRC References
Distribution Infrastructure Replacement	218	240	262	720	SCE-3, Volume 3, Part 3, Page 119
Preventive Maintenance	99	101	104	304	SCE-3, Volume 3, Part 3, Page 119
Load Growth	435	416	335	1,186	SCE-3, Volume 3, Part 3, Page 119
Substation Infrastructure Replacement	119	115	112	346	SCE-3, Volume 3, Part 3, Page 119
Total	871	872	813	2,556	SCE-3, Volume 3, Part 3, Page 119

# Attachment B Categories of capital Expenditures To Be used for Adjustment of Expenditures on Long-Term Service Reliability

### Total SCE Capital Expenditures Millions of Dollars

Capital Account Expenditires (Total Company)	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative Total	GRC References
New Service	245	252	259	756	SCE-3, Volume 3, Part 3, Page 119
Storms	53	54	55	162	SCE-3, Volume 3, Part 3, Page 119
Breakdown Replacement	86	92	99	277	SCE-3, Volume 3, Part 3, Page 119
Total	384	398	413	1,195	SCE-3, Volume 3, Part 3, Page 119

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that, pursuant to the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, I have this day served a true copy of SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY (U 338-E) AND COALITION OF CALIFORNIA UTILITY EMPLOYEES JOINT NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE on all parties identified on the attached service list(s). Service was effected by one or more means indicated below:

Transmitting the copies via e-mail to all parties who have provided an e-mail address. First class mail will be used if electronic service cannot be effectuated.

Executed this 19th day of May, 2008, at Rosemead, California.

/s/ Christine M. Sanchez

Christine M. Sanchez
Project Analyst
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

2244 Walnut Grove Avenue Post Office Box 800 Rosemead, California 91770



**CPUC Home** 

### CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION **Service Lists**

PROCEEDING: A0711011 - EDISON - TO INCREASE

FILER: SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY (U338E)

**LIST NAME: LIST** 

LAST CHANGED: MAY 16, 2008

### **DOWNLOAD THE COMMA-DELIMITED FILE ABOUT COMMA-DELIMITED FILES**

#### **Back to Service Lists Index**

### **Parties**

RONALD M. CERNIGLIA DIRECTOR- NATIONAL ADVOCACY DIRECT ENERGY SERVICES, LLC 40 COLUMBINE DRIVE GLENMONT, NY 12077-2966 FOR: DIRECT ENERGY SERVICES, LLC

ANDREW E. STEINBERG REGULATORY CASE MANAGER SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS CO. 555 W. FIFTH STREET, GT14D6 LOS ANGELES, CA 90013-1034 FOR: SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC CO./SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS CO.

GREGORY S.G. KLATT ATTORNEY AT LAW DOUGLASS & LIDDELL 411 E. HUNTINGTON DRIVE, SUITE 107-356 21700 OXNARD STREET, SUITE 1030 ARCADIA, CA 91007 FOR: ALLIANCE FOR RETAIL ENERGY MARKETS FOR: WESTERN POWER TRADING FORUM

CAROL A. SCHMID-FRAZEE ATTORNEY AT LAW ATTORNEY AI LAW
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY
PO BOX 800 2244 WALNUT GROVE AVE ROSEMEAD, CA 91770 FOR: SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY ROSEMEAD, CA 91770

KEITH R. MCCREA ATTORNEY AT LAW SUTHERLAND, ASBILL & BRENNAN, LLP 1275 PENNSYLVANIA AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2415 FOR: CA MANUFACTURE & TECH. ASSN.

DAVID L. HUARD MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP LOS ANGELES, CA 90064 FOR: COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES/LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

> DANIEL W. DOUGLASS ATTORNEY AT LAW DOUGLASS & LIDDELL WOODLAND HILLS, CA 91367-8102

FRANK A. MCNULTY ATTORNEY AT LAW SOUTHERN CA. EDISON CO. PO BOX 800 2244 WALNUT GROVE AVE FOR: SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON CO.

ROCHELLE BECKER

DONALD C. LIDDELL, PC

DOUGLAS & LIDDELL 2928 2ND AVENUE SAN DIEGO, CA 92103 FOR: ICE ENERGY, INC.

KATHLEEN M. BELLOMO ATTORNEY AT LAW

NINA SUETAKE ATTORNEY AT LAW THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK 711 VAN NESS AVE., STE. 350 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102 FOR: THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK

LAURA J. TUDISCO CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION LEGAL DIVISION ROOM 5032 505 VAN NESS AVENUE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 FOR: DIVISION OF RATEPAYER ADVOCATES FOR: DRA

BRIAN T. CRAGG GOODIN, MACBRIDE, SQUERI, DAY & LAMPREY ATTORNEY AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111 505 SANSOME STREET, SUITH FOR: INDEPENDENT ENERGY PRODUCERS SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111 ASSOCIATION

MICHAEL B. DAY ATTORNEY AT LAW GOODIN MACBRIDE SQUERI DAY & LAMPREY LLP DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE, LLP 505 SANSOME STREET, SUITE 900 505 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 800 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-6533 FOR: WILD GOODS STORAGE

REED V. SCHMIDT BARTLE WELLS ASSOCIATES 1889 ALCATRAZ AVENUE BERKELEY, CA 94703-2714 FOR: CALIFORNIA CITY-COUNTY STREET BERKELEY, CA 94704 LIGHT ASSOCIATION

ROGER HELLER FOR: DISABILITY RIGHTS ADVOCATES

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ALLIANCE FOR NUCLEAR RESPONSIBILITY PO BOX 1328 SAN LUIS OBISPO, CA 93406 FOR: ALLIANCE FOR NUCLEAR RESPONSIBILITY

TANYA A. GULESSERIAN ATTORNEY AT LAW KATHLEEN MALONEY BELLOMO

BOX 217, E. MONO LAKE DRIVE

LEE VINING, CA 93541

FOR: INLAND AQUACULTURE GROUP, LLC

ATTOKNEY AT LAW

ADAMS BROADWELL JOSEPH & CARDOZO

601 GATEWAY BLVD. STE 1000

SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94080

FOR: CALIFORNIA UTILITY EMPLOYEES

> ED MOLDAVSKY CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION LEGAL DIVIDE ROOM 5037 505 VAN NESS AVENUE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 FOR: DRA

LISA-MARIE SALVACION CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION LEGAL DIVISION ROOM 4107 505 VAN NESS AVENUE

EDWARD G. POOLE
ATTORNEY AT LAW
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
ANDERSON, DONOVAN & POOLE
77 BEALE STREET, MAIL CODE B30A
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105
FOR: PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC

EDWARD G. POOLE
ATTORNEY AT LAW
ANDERSON, DONOVAN & POOLE
601 CALIFORNIA STREET, SUITE 1300
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108-2818
FOR: WESTERN MANUFACTURED HOUSING

JAMES D. SQUERI 505 SANSOME STREET, SUITE 900 GOODIN, MACBRIDE, SQUERI, DAY & LAMPREY SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111 505 SANSOME STREET, SUITE 900 FOR: CALIFORNIA RETAILER'S ASSOCIATION

> EDWARD W. O'NEILL ATTORNEY AT LAW FOR: CALIFORNIA LARGE ENERGY CONSUMERS ASSOC. (CLECA)

THALIA N.C. GONZALEZ ATTORNEY AT LAW THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE 1918 UNIVERSITY AVENUE, 2ND FLOOR FOR: THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE

RONALD LIEBERT ATTORNEY AT LAW

DISABILITY RIGHTS ADVOCATES

CALIFORNIA FARM BUREAU FEDERATION

2001 CENTER STREET, THIRD FLOOR

DEPTHELEY CA 94704-1204

SACRAMENTO, CA 95833 FOR: CALIFORNIA FARM BUREAU FEDERATION

### **Information Only**

ELIZABETH DOUGLASS STAFF WRITER LOS ANGELES TIMES 202 WEST FIRST STREET LOS ANGELES, CA 90012

JOHNNY PONG SEMPRA ENERGY 555 WEST FIFTH STREET NO. 1400 LOS ANGELES, CA 90013-1011

.....

FELICIA JONES 7607 S. WESTERN AVENUE LOS ANGELES, CA 90047

RANDALL W. KEEN ATTORNEY AT LAW MANATT PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP 11355 WEST OLYMPIC BLVD. LOS ANGELES, CA 90064

S. NANCY WHANG ATTORNEY AT LAW MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP 11355 WEST OLYMPIC BLVD. LOS ANGELES, CA 90064

GARY A. MILLER 4632 GUAVA AVENUE SEAL BEACH, CA 90740-2942

MICHAEL TEN EYCK UTILITY OPERATIONS MGR. UTILITY OPERATIONS MGR. SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA ED RANCHO CUCAMONGA MUNICIPAL UTILITY LAW DEPARTMENT 10500 CIVIC CENTER DRIVE 2244 WALNUT GROVE AVE. RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730

CASE ADMINISTRATION SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY ROSEMEAD, CA 91770 FOR: RANCHO CUCAMONGA MUNICIPAL UTILITY FOR: SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

JENNIFER HASBROUCK ATTORNEY AT LAW

RUSSELL G. WORDEN 2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE PO BOX 800

ROSEMEAD, CA 91770

FOR: SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

ROSEMEAD CA 91770

ROSEMEAD CA 91770 FOR: SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY FOR: SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

JAMES F. WALSH ATTORNEY AT LAW SEMPRA ENERGY 101 ASH STREET SAN DIEGO, CA 92101-3017

DON LIDDELL ATTORNEY AT LAW DOUGLASS & LIDDELL 2928 2ND AVENUE SAN DIEGO, CA 92103

CAROL MANSON REGULATORY AFFAIRS SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC CO. 8330 CENTURY PARK COURT CP32D SAN DIEGO, CA 92123-1530

KENDALL H. MACVEY, ESQ. BEST, BEST & KRIEGER, LLP 3750 UNIVERSITY AVENUE, SUITE 300 RIVERSIDE, CA 92501-1028 FOR: WESTERN RIVERSIDE COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

RICIA R. HAGER ATTORNEY AT LAW WOODRUFF, SPRADLIN & SMART 555 ANTON BOULEVARD, SUITE 1200 COSTA MESA, CA 92626-7670

DOUGLAS A. AMES ATTORNEY AT LAW TRANSPHASE SYSTEMS, INC. 4971 LOS PATOS AVENUE HUNTINGTON BEACH, CA 92649 FOR: TRANSPHASE SYSTEMS, INC.

LYN HARRIS HICKS CREED 3908 CALLE ARIANA SAN CLEMENTE, CA 92672

PAUL KERKORIAN UTILITY COST MANAGEMENT LLC 6475 N. PALM AVENUE, SUITE 105 FRESNO, CA 93704 FOR: UTILITY COST MANAGEMENT LLC

MARC D. JOSEPH ADAMS, BROADWELL, JOSEPH & CARDOZO BRUCE FOSTER, SR. SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT

601 GATEWAY BLVD., STE. 1000 SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94080 601 VAN NESS AVENUE., STE. 2040 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102 FOR: SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

HAYLEY GOODSON FOR: THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK

HAYLEY GOODSON

ATTORNEY AT LAW

RESEARCH DIRECTOR

THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK

711 VAN NESS AVENUE, SUITE 350

711 VAN NESS AVENUE, SUITE 350

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102 REGINA COSTA

ROBERT FINKELSTEIN ATTORNEY AT LAW THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK 711 VAN NESS AVE., SUITE 350 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102 FOR. THE UNITED 1 FOR: THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK

KAREN TERRANOV...
ALCANTAR & KAHL, LLP
120 MONTGOMERY STREET, STE 2200
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104 KAREN TERRANOVA

NORA SHERIFF ATTORNEY AT LAW ALCANTAR & KAHL, LLP 77 BEALE STREET, MCB9A 120 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 2200 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104

STELLA ZAHARIUDAKIS PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

HILARY CORRIGAN CALIFORNIA ENERGY MARKETS CALIFORNIA ENERGY MARKETS
425 DIVISADERO ST., SUITE 303 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94117 FOR: CALIFORNIA ENERGY MARKETS

CALIFORNIA ENERGY MARKETS 425 DIVISADERO STREET, STE 303 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94117

CASE COORDINATION CASE COORDINATION
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
PO BOX 770000. MC B9A
MCCRACKEN, BYERS SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94177

DAVID J. BYERS, ESQ. MCCRACKEN, BYERS & HAESLOOP, LLP 1920 LESLIE STREET SAN MATEO, CA 94403

DAVID MARCUS
1814 FRANKLIN STREET, SUITE 720 PO BOX 1287
OAKLAND, CA 94612

BERKELEY, CA 94701

JESSE W. RASKIN FOR: THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE

ROBERT GNAIZDA LEGAL ASSOCIATE

THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE

1918 UNIVERSITY AVENUE, 2ND FLOOR
BERKELEY, CA 94704

POLICY DIRECTOR/GENERAL COUNSEL

THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE

1918 UNIVERSITY AVENUE, SECOND FLOOR
BERKELEY, CA 94704 FOR: THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE

BARBARA R. BARKOVICH BARKOVICH & YAP, INC. 44810 ROSEWOOD TERRACE MENDOCINO, CA 95460 FOR: CALIFORNIA LARGE ENERGY CONSUMERS ASSOCIATION

BILL MARCUS J B S ENERGY, INC. 311 D STREET, SUITE A WEST SACRAMENTO, CA 95605

JAMES WEIL DIRECTOR AGLET CONSUMER ALLIANCE PO BOX 37 COOL, CA 95614

RICHARD MCCANN M. CUBED 2655 PORTAGE BAY, SUITE 3 DAVIS, CA 95616

MARY LYNCH VP - REGULATORY AND LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS ATTORNEY AT LAW CONSTELLATION ENERGY COMMODITIES GROUP ELLISON SCHNEIDER & HARRIS, LLP 2377 GOLD MEDAL WAY, SUITE 100 2015 H STREET

ANDREW B. BROWN

GOLD RIVER, CA 95670

FOR: CONSTELLATION ENERGY COMMODITIES FOR: CONSTELLATION ENERGY COMMODITIES

GREGGORY L. WHEATLAND

ELLISON SCHNEIDER & HARRIS L.L.P.

2015 H STREET

SACRAMENTO, CA 95811-3109

FOR: RANCHO CUCAMONGA MUNICIPAL UTILITY SACRAMENTO, CA 95814

STEVEN KELLY POLICY DIRECTOR

GROUP INC.

INDEPENDENT ENERGY PRODUCERS

1215 K STREET, SUITE 900

SACRAMENTO, CA 95811

FOR: INDEPENDENT ENERGY PRODUCERS ASSN

LYNN HAUG

ELLISON, SCHNEIDER & HARRIS, LLP

SACRAMENTO, CA 95814-3109

FOR: RANCHO CUCAMONGA MUNICIPAL UTILITY

KAREN A. LINDH LINDH & ASSOCIATES

7909 WALERGA ROAD, NO. 112, PMB 119

ANTELOPE, CA 95843

#### **State Service**

LAURA LEI STRAIN

CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION ENERGY DIVISION

320 WEST 4TH STREET SUITE 500

LOS ANGELES, CA 90013

ANDREW SCHWARTZ

CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

.....

EXECUTIVE DIVISION

ROOM 5217

505 VAN NESS AVENUE

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

BERNARD AYANRUOH

CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

ENERGY COST OF SERVICE & NATURAL GAS BRA ENERGY DIVISION

ROOM 4205

505 VAN NESS AVENUE

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

FOR: DRA

DONALD J. LAFRENZ

AREA 4-A

505 VAN NESS AVENUE

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

ERIC GREENE

CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

ENERGY DIVISION

AREA 4-A

505 VAN NESS AVENUE

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

MARSHAL B. ENDERBY

CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION ENERGY COST OF SERVICE & NATURAL GAS BRA

ROOM 4205

505 VAN NESS AVENUE

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

FOR: DRA

MARTIN G. LYONS

CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

ENERGY COST OF SERVICE & NATURAL GAS BRA EXECUTIVE DIVISION

ROOM 4205

505 VAN NESS AVENUE

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

FOR: DRA

MATTHEW DEAL

CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

ROOM 5215

505 VAN NESS AVENUE

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

REGINA DEANGELIS

CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES ENERGY COST OF SERVICE & NATURAL GAS BRA

ROOM 5022

505 VAN NESS AVENUE

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

ROBERT M. POCTA

CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

ROOM 4205

505 VAN NESS AVENUE

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

FOR: DRA

TAARU CHAWLA

CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

ENERGY PRICING AND CUSTOMER PROGRAMS BRA

ROOM 4209

505 VAN NESS AVENUE

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/published/service lists/A0711011 75964.htm

TOP OF PAGE BACK TO INDEX OF SERVICE LISTS