



IEEE Industry Applications Society Technical Paper Review Form



Form
Issued
10/9/95

Society Paper No. _____ Tech. Mtg. _____ Due date for review _____
Tech. Comm. Initials Year No.

Title: _____

Author(s): _____

Paper Review for (Complete or check one) send review to _____ Paper Review Chairman
 _____ Committee (send FAX if available)

Society Prize Paper Committee Prize Paper

FAX _____ Tel: _____

Part I. For a paper to be accepted, Parts I, II, and III must be answered.

- A. What is the new contribution of this paper? (Please distinguish between what the author(s) state and what you believe to be an unbiased assessment.)
- B. Based on your technical review effort, estimate the amount of time (days) you believe a knowledgeable engineer would need to develop a working understanding of the paper's contribution. (Note that excessive difficulty should be grounds for rejection.)
- C. Does the title of the paper accurately reflect the major focus contribution of this paper? (Please suggest changes as appropriate.)

Part II. Paper Numerical Score. After reading the paper, provide scores for each item in Sections A & B on a scale of 0 to 10, 0-2 = poor, 3-5 = average, 6-8 = good, 9-10 = excellent. Total quality scores over 100 generally indicate a paper suitable for Transactions.

Note: It is important that the reviewer provide brief, written comments to support the scores on attached sheets of paper. See **Technical Paper Review Guidelines for Numerical Scoring** for a description of each scoring category on overleaf.

A. Subject	1) Reader interest	<input type="text"/>	
	2) Importance	<input type="text"/>	
	3) Reference Value	<input type="text"/>	
	4) Originality	<input type="text"/>	
	5) Subtotal: sum of items A.1 to A.4	<input type="text"/>	
	6) Total: 2x item A.5	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
B. Writing	1) Analysis and development: completeness and technical clarity	<input type="text"/>	
	2) Conciseness	<input type="text"/>	
	3) Clarity (in writing)	<input type="text"/>	
	4) References to past work other than just that of the authors	<input type="text"/>	
	5) Format, illustrations, tables	<input type="text"/>	
	6) Total: sum of items B.1 to B.5	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
C. Total quality evaluation: sum of items A.6 and B.6			<input type="text"/>

Part III. Reviewer Recommendations

Transactions Recommendation

- Accept for Transactions without change
- Accept for Transactions with revision(s) specified*
- Reject for Transactions (Give reasons*)

Prize Paper & IAS Magazine Recommendations

- Paper merit consideration for prize award? yes no
- Paper contents should be considered for use in the IAS Magazine? yes no

Please note: A total score of 100 or above typically provides grounds for Transactions acceptance.

* Use separate sheets or a copy of the paper for comments and suggestions for revision.

Indicate whether revisions are mandatory or suggested.

Please use word processing, typed format if possible.

Reviewer's signature _____ Date _____
Reviewer's name _____ FAX: _____ Tel: _____
Reviewer's address _____