#### ACE 427 Spring 2010

#### Lecture 12

#### Commodity Price Forecasting and Market Efficiency

#### by Professor Scott H. Irwin

#### **Required Readings:**

Schwager, J.D. "Ch. 1: Charts: Forecasting Tool or Folklore?" Schwager on Futures: Fundamental Analysis, New York, NY: John Wiley and Sons, 1995.

### **Two Basic Approaches to Commodity Price Forecasting**

#### Fundamental Analysis

- Definition: An assessment of \_\_\_\_\_ based on the underlying \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_ factors and the changes in those relationships
- Goal: Estimate \_\_\_\_\_ and compare to \_\_\_\_\_
  - Bullish: Value > Price
  - Bearish: Value < Price</p>





- Focus on "fundamentals" of supply and demand, such as crop size, export demand, consumer income
- Subjective judgment to sophisticated statistical models

### Technical Analysis

- A forecasting method for price movements using \_\_\_\_\_
- Generally based only on patterns in prices
- Typically based on \_\_\_\_\_ arguments
- Goal: Determine "trend" in past prices and project this into the future



Technical analysis includes a variety of forecasting techniques



#### **Market Efficiency**

Nothing more than the application of \_\_\_\_\_\_ over \_\_\_\_\_

<u>Key:</u> With sufficient competition, price in an efficient market will never be higher or lower than the "true" equilibrium value

Two sources of \_\_\_\_\_\_ in efficient markets:

1. Temporary

- Small, short-term price movements due to \_\_\_\_\_\_\_supply-demand \_\_\_\_\_\_\_between buyers and sellers
- \_\_\_\_\_ effect through time
- Occurs over very \_\_\_\_\_ time intervals, typically by the minute or, at most, the hour

2. New information

• New data on \_\_\_\_\_\_ as crop size, exports, etc.



Released November 10, 2005, by the National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS), Agricultural Statistics Board, U.S. Department of Agriculture. For information on Crop Production call (202) 720-2127, office hours 7:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. ET.

> Corn Production Up 2 Percent from October Soybean Production Up 3 Percent from October Cotton Production Up 2 Percent from October



- New information changes \_\_\_\_\_ price
- \_\_\_\_\_ in content, timing and importance
- If it is not unpredictable, then it \_\_\_\_\_ be \_\_\_\_\_ information!

factors, such

Bottom Line: Equilibrium price is a \_\_\_\_\_\_ because market information changes



- Prices respond \_\_\_\_\_ to bullish new information
- Prices respond \_\_\_\_\_ to bearish new information
- Arrival of new information must be \_\_\_\_\_, if not, information is not new
- Implies \_\_\_\_\_ must be \_\_\_\_\_



### **Coin Flipping Experiment**

- 1. Start graph at \$5.00/bu.
- 2. Flip coin one time
  - heads: daily high up 10 cents from previous close
  - tails: daily low down 10 cents from previous close
- 3. Setting the close
  - heads: market closes at high of daily range
  - tails: market closes at low of daily range
- 4. Generate 30 "days" (one flip/day)

Fun with random numbers:

http://www.dartmouth.edu/~chance/teaching\_aids/bo oks\_articles/probability\_book/bookapplets/chapter1/ HTSimulation/HTSimulation.html



### **Random Walks and Commodity Prices**

Price \_\_\_\_\_ in an efficient market from day to day are \_\_\_\_\_

- Behave as if generated by \_\_\_\_\_
- Called a \_\_\_\_\_ by statisticians
- Analogy to the path of a drunk walking home from a bar (I am not making that up!)



After the fact, _	and	may
appear		

- No \_\_\_\_\_ power whatsoever
- Purely \_\_\_\_\_ information
- Similar to "patterns" in \_\_\_\_\_\_
- Outcome of a \_\_\_\_\_\_

### A Warning

- Do not interpret the coin-flipping exercise as proving that commodity prices follow a random walk
- Market efficiency is a theory that may or may not describe the movement of actual prices

### Formal Definitions of the Efficient Market Hypothesis

Working (1949, p. 160) provided an early version of the hypothesis:

If it is possible under any given combination of circumstances to predict future price changes and have the predictions fulfilled, it follows that the market expectations must have been defective; ideal market expectations would have taken full account of the information which permitted successful prediction of the price change

In later work (Working, 1962, p. 446), he revised his definition of a perfect futures market to

... one in which the market price would constitute at all times the best estimate that could be made, from currently available information, of what the price would be at the delivery date of the futures contracts This definition of a perfect futures market is in essence identical to the famous definition of an efficient market given by Fama (1970, p. 383):

A market in which prices always 'fully reflect' available information is called 'efficient'

Since Fama's survey study was published, this definition of an efficient market has long served as the standard definition

A more practical definition of an efficient market is given by Jensen (1978, p. 96) who wrote

A market is efficient with respect to information set  $\theta_t$  if it is impossible to make economic profits by trading on the basis of information set  $\theta_t$ 

Since the \_\_\_\_\_\_ are risk-adjusted returns after deducting transaction costs, Jensen's definition implies that market efficiency may be tested by considering the \_\_\_\_\_\_ of trading strategies based on the particular information set considered

#### **Degrees of Market Efficiency**

Markets may differ in the level of \_\_\_\_\_, and hence, efficiency

Weak-form efficiency:

- Current prices reflect all information that is contained in the \_\_\_\_\_
- Past prices are of \_\_\_\_\_ in forecasting future prices
- Everyone has free access to past prices, hence, they are not \_\_\_\_\_

Semi-strong form efficiency:

- Current prices not only reflect all information contained in historical prices, but also reflect all \_\_\_\_\_\_ about a commodity
- Public information, such as a USDA crop report, is not useful in forecasting

• When public information is released, prices react \_\_\_\_\_\_ to incorporate the information in prices

Strong-form efficiency:

- Current prices not only reflect all information contained in historical prices, but also reflect all \_\_\_\_\_\_ available knowledge about a commodity
- \_\_\_\_\_ information cannot be used to trade profitably
- Private information \_\_\_\_\_ into the public sphere quickly
- Private information also revealed by traders' actions

### **Different levels of market efficiency**



### **Market Efficiency and Price Forecasting**

Startling and controversial implications:

•	Current price is an	estimate (best
	guess) of the price in the future	

- If strong-form efficiency holds, impossible to
- Prices follow a \_\_\_\_\_\_ from period-toperiod
- Prices react \_\_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_ at all times to \_\_\_\_\_\_
  - If prices do not change instantly in response to new information, then profit opportunities exist
  - Such opportunities quickly \_\_\_\_\_\_ in a market with many well-financed and intelligent participants

### Wheat futures prices on 4/20/2007

# Wheat Futures (W) C Delayed 10 minute data as of April 20, 2007 15:20 CDT

#### 07Jul settle: 07/ 13 Click to see Wheat Product Calendar Dates

Quotes	Settlement	Daily Vo	DI Time a	& Sales V	olatility H	listorical Data	Spreads		
Open Aucti	ion Elect	ronic	Combination	ns Real-	Time Quotes				
Exp	Last 1 Last 2	Net Chg	Open	High	Low	Close	Settle	Prev Settle	Hi/ Lo Limit
07May	502'0 13:21 503'0 13:21	+ 6'0	498'0 500'0 9:33	503'0 13:14	491'0 9:57	501'0 503'0 13:21	502'0	496'0	532'0 472'0
07Jul	514'6 13:20 516'0 13:20	+ 7'0	508'0 514'0 9:33	516'0 13:15	503'0 9:59	513'4 516'0 13:20	514'6	507'6	544'6 484'6
07Sep	516'6 13:21 516'4 13:21	+ 7'0	517'0 9:33	517'4 13:14	507'0 9:59	517'0 516'4 13:21	516'6	509'6	546'6 486'6
07Dec	521'0 13:18 522'0 13:18	+ 6'4	514'0 515'0 9:30	522'0 13:15	511'0 9:59	520'0 522'0 13:18	521'0	514'4	551'0 491'0
08Mar 🌌 👖	524'4 13:21	+ 6'4	520'0 9:40	524'4 13:21	515'0 10:00	524'4 13:21	524'4	518'0	554'4 494'4
08May 🗖	517'0 13:21	+ 4'0				517'0 13:21	517'0	513'0	547'0 487'0
08Jul 🌌 👖	493'0 13:21 495'0 13:21	Unch	495'0 9:44	495'0 9:44	487'0 13:06	491'0 495'0 13:21	493'0	493'0	523'0 463'0
08Dec	499'0 13:22	-1'0	502'0 10:53	502'0 10:53	499'0 13:05	499'0 13:22	499'0	500'0	529'0 469'0
09Jul	490'4 13:22 491'0 13:22	-3'4	482'0 12:55	491'0 13:22	482'0 12:55	490'0 491'0 13:22	490'4	494'0	520'4 460'4
				Table ge	nerated Apr	il 20, 2007 1	5:20 CDT	🚾 = Chart	Ω = Option

It is important to understand what market efficiency does not imply:

- Does not imply that prices \_\_\_\_\_ aimlessly and are \_\_\_\_\_ from supply and demand information
- Just the opposite is true: prices \_\_\_\_\_\_ track known \_\_\_\_\_\_ on supply and demand
- Since new information about supply and demand changes randomly, so must prices



Implications for fundamental analysis



forecasting model)

Implications for technical analysis

- Any "patterns" or "trends" in past prices are an \_\_\_\_\_ and are \_\_\_\_\_ for predicting the future
- Like trying to predict the sequence of lottery numbers from past lottery numbers
- Like trying to predict the sequence of numbers from a roulette wheel from recent winning numbers
- \_\_\_\_\_\_to consistently use technical analysis to make \_\_\_\_\_\_forecasts of price \_\_\_\_\_\_or \_\_\_\_\_



### The Great Debate: What Works in Practice?

This is a very hard question!

We will review both sides of the argument

### **Fundamentalists**

Market efficiency logic is appealing to a fundamentalist

\_\_\_\_ must be right!

- Usually somewhat fuzzy about the \_\_\_\_\_ of market efficiency
- Uncomfortable discussing problems market efficiency causes for them

Fundamentalists love to throw rocks at technical analysts (elves)

Chartist-technicians are in about as low repute as ESP investigators because they usually have holes in their shoes and no record of reproducible worth.

---Paul Samuelson, Nobel Laureate in Economics



#### DOWN THE PIKE

The downward price bounce from ML provides the anchor of the second pitchfork, as well as that of the downward impulse pattern (waves 1 through 5), sliding along its own pitchfork handle. As soon as we get a pitchfork swing such as this, we are equipped to evaluate the upcoming move.



Just, R. E. and G. C. Rausser. "Commodity Price Forecasting with Large-Scale Econometric Models and the Futures Market." *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* 63(1981):197-208.

Obtained forecasts from 3 major commercial firms and the USDA over July 1976 – December 1978

- Forecasts based on large-scale \_\_\_\_\_\_ models of the \_\_\_\_\_\_ sector
- Wheat, corn, cotton, soybeans, soybean meal and oil, hogs, fed cattle
- Compared \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_ for relevant markets

Average \_\_\_\_\_ in terms of accuracy across all comparisons (1 = most accurate):

Futures market	2.75
Doanes	3.17
Wharton	3.19
Chase	3.27
USDA	4.19

Results \_\_\_\_\_ market analysts as well as agricultural economists in universities

Authors concluded that (p. 203):

...econometric models do a poorer job of including all relevant exogenous forces, forecasting them, and transforming them into price forecasts than the aggregate intelligence of the futures market

Since publication of Just and Rausser's study, the basic finding that it is \_\_\_\_\_\_ for fundamental \_\_\_\_\_\_ or fundamental \_\_\_\_\_\_ to "beat the market" in terms of \_\_\_\_\_\_ generally has been confirmed in a number of studies

University outlook forecasts for hogs and cattle:

Bessler, D. A., and J. A. Brandt. "An Analysis of Forecasts of Livestock Prices." *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization* 18(1992): 249-263. (University actually better for cattle)





USDA hog and cattle forecasts vs. hog and cattle futures markets:

Irwin, S. H., M. E. Gerlow, and T.-R. Liu. "The Forecasting Performance of Livestock Futures Prices: A Comparison to USDA Expert Predictions." *Journal of Futures Markets* 14(1994): 861-875.

Econometric model forecasts vs. wheat futures prices:

Kastens, T. L., and T. C. Schroeder. "Efficiency Tests of July Kansas City Wheat Futures." *Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics* 21(1996): 187-198.

Expert forecasts for pork and beef:

Kastens, T.L., T.C. Schroeder, and R. Plain. "Evaluation of Extension and USDA Price and Production Forecasts." *Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics*, 23(1998):244-261. For a review of this literature see:

Tomek, W. "Commodity Futures Prices as Forecasts." *Review of Agricultural Economics*. 19(Spring/Summer 1997): 23-44.

Zulauf, C. R., and S. H. Irwin. "Market Efficiency and Marketing to Enhance Income of Crop Farmers." *Review of Agricultural Economics* 20(1998): 308-331.

#### Technical analysts

Two \_\_\_\_\_\_ explanations usually offered in defense of technical analysis:

- Works because so many people \_\_\_\_\_
- Works because it takes advantage of natural in people (e.g. waves of irrational optimism and pessimism)

More \_\_\_\_\_\_ explanations have been offered in the finance and economics literature in recent years

Price may adjust \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_ due to:

- Noise
- Market frictions
- Market power
- Trader sentiments
- Herding behavior
- Chaotic dynamics



\_\_\_\_\_ allows technical methods to

profit

Two basic approaches to testing validity of technical analysis

• \_\_\_\_\_ properties of futures prices

• \_\_\_\_\_\_of technical trading rules or systems



Daily Live Hog Futures Returns, January 1985-December 2003

Correlation in Daily Live Hog Futures Returns, January 1985-December 2003



Return on Previous Day (%)

Park, Cheol Ho and Scott H. Irwin. "The Profitability of Technical Analysis: A Review." AgMAS Project Research Report 2004-04, Department of Agricultural and Consumer Economics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, October 2004.

[http://www.farmdoc.uiuc.edu/agmas/reports/index.html]

- Report comprehensively \_\_\_\_\_ empirical studies on the \_\_\_\_\_\_ of technical analysis
- Discusses the \_\_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_ of technical trading profits across markets and over time
- Empirical studies surveyed include those that tested technical trading systems, trading rules formulated by genetic algorithms or some statistical models (e.g., ARIMA), and chart patterns that can be represented algebraically
- The majority of the studies were collected from academic journals published from \_\_\_\_\_\_ to the present and recent working papers

	The number of studies			Net profit range		
Studies	Positive	Mixed	Negative	(Out-of-sample period)	Comments	
A. Stock markets					• For the Dow Jones Industrial Average (DJIA) data, which was most	
Standard	1	0	3	$1.1\%^{a}$	frequently tested in the literature, results varied considerably depending on the	
Model-based Bootstrap	7	2	3	(1968-88)	profitable until the late 1980s. However, technical trading strategies were	
Genetic programming	2	1	3		longer economically profitable thereafter.	
Reality Check	0	1	1		• Overall, variable-moving average rules showed a quite reliable performance	
Chart patterns	5	0	1		for the stock market over time.	
Nonlinear	3	0	1		• For several non-US stock markets (e.g., Mexico, Taiwan, and Thailand).	
Others	8	1	0		moving average rules generated large annual net profits of 10% to 30% until	
Sub-total	24	5	12		the mid-1990s.	
B. Currency markets					Many studies investigated major foreign currency futures contracts traded on	
Standard	7	3	3	5%-10%	the CME, i.e., the Deutsche mark, Japanese yen, British pound, and Swiss	
Model-based bootstrap	6	0	1	(1976-91)	iranc.	
Genetic programming	3	0	1		• For major currencies, a wide variety of technical trading strategies, such as	
Reality Check	1	0	0		moving average, channel, filter, and genetically formulated trading rules,	
Chart patterns	2	0	3		consistently generated economic profits until the early 1990s.	
Nonlinear	3	0	0		Several recent studies confirmed the result, but also reported that technical	
Others	3	1	1		trading profits have declined or disappeared since the early 1990s, except for	
Sub-total	25	4	9		the yen market.	
C. Futures markets					• Technical trading strategies generated economic profits in futures markets	
Standard	5	0	1	4%-6%	from the late 1970s through the mid-1980s. In particular, technical trading	
Model-based bootstrap	1	0	1	(1976-86)	strategies were consistently profitable in most currency futures markets, while they appeared to be upprofitable in livestock futures markets	
Genetic programming	0	1	0			
Others	1	0	1		Channel rules and moving average rules were the most consistent profitable	
Sub-total	7	1	3		suategres.	
					<ul> <li>After the mid-1980s, the profitability of technical trading strategies for overall futures markets were not investigated comprehensively yet.</li> </ul>	
Total	58	10	24			

#### The profitability of technical trading strategies in modern studies (1988-2004)

Park, Cheol-Ho and Scott H. Irwin. "The Profitability of Technical Trading Rules in US Futures Markets: A Data Mining Free Test," Working Paper, 2005.

Study replicates Lukac, Brorsen, and Irwin's (1988) trading model on a \_\_\_\_\_

12 futures markets weighted towards agricultural and natural resource commodities (commodities: corn, soybeans, cattle, pork bellies, sugar, cocoa and lumber; metals: copper and silver; financials: British pound, Deutsche mark and US treasury bills).

The original framework is duplicated as closely as possible by preserving all the \_\_\_\_\_\_assumptions in Lukac, Brorsen, and Irwin's work, such as trading systems, markets, in-sample optimization length, transaction costs, rollover dates, and other important assumptions

Trading Systems	System Type	Number of Parameters	Time of Trading <sup>a</sup>
Simple Moving Average with Percentage Price Band (MAB)	Moving average	2	Open
Dual Moving Average Crossover (DMC)	Moving average	2	Open
Outside Price Channel (CHL)	Price channel	1	Close
L-S-O Price Channel (LSO)	Price channel	2	Close/Stop
M-II Price Channel (MII)	Price channel	1	Close
Directional Indicator (DRI)	Momentum oscillator	2	Open
Range Quotient (RNQ)	Momentum oscillator	2	Open
Reference Deviation (REF)	Momentum oscillator	2	Open
Directional Movement (DRM)	Momentum oscillator	1	Stop
Alexander's Filter Rule (ALX)	Filter	1	Close
Parabolic Time/Price (PAR)	Filter	1	Stop
Directional Parabolic (DRP)	Combination system	2	Stop

## Table 1. Lukac, Brorsen, and Irwin's Trading Systems Categorized bySystem Type, Number of Parameters, and Time of Trading.





(a)

Figure 2. Annual Mean Net Returns of the DMC (a) and the CHL (b) System across 12 Futures Markets, 1978-2003.



Figure 3. Portfolio Annual Mean Net Returns for an Equally-Weighted Portfolio of 12 Futures Markets Using 12 Trading Systems, 1978-2003.



Park and Irwin suggest three possible explanations about the \_\_\_\_\_\_ of technical trading profits in the 1985-2003 period:

- (1) \_\_\_\_\_ bias (or selection bias)
- (2) \_\_\_\_\_ in futures markets

(3) \_\_\_\_\_ nature of technical trading strategies



#### Annual Net Returns and Total Assets of Commodity Trading Advisors (CTAs), 1981-2004



Sources: Center for International Securities and Derivatives Markets (CISDM), The University of Massachusetts, Amherst; The Barclay Group

### **Typical Argument about the Actual Use of Technical Analysis**

Technical analysis is the key to correct timing of buy and sell decisions in commodity futures markets. The technical dimensions of the market do not dominate the fundamental supply-demand dimensions, and no sustained technical pattern will develop that is contrary to the emerging and underlying supplydemand balance. But the discovered price can and will move and trace out technical patterns, as the market seeks to discover the price that balances the forces of supply and demand. Within the limits to those price moves, technical analysis can be an important guide the timing of pricing actions.

> ---Purcell and Koontz, *Agricultural Futures and Options, Principles and Strategies*

#### Difference between Advisory Service Performance and 24-Month Market Benchmark, 1995-2003 Crop Years







ACE 427, University of Illinois

#### Summary

Best approach may depend on \_\_\_\_\_

- Fundamental analysis seems to work best when market structure and trends are within
- Technical analysis appears to work best when there are \_\_\_\_\_ in market structure, i.e. high inflation

Debate is almost "theological" in nature!

"I haven't met a rich technician. Excluding, of course, technicians who sell their services and make a lot of money"

---Jim Rogers in Market Wizards

"I always laugh at people who say, 'I've never met a rich technician.' I love that! It is such an arrogant, nonsensical response. I used fundamentals for nine years and got rich as a technician."

---Marty Schwartz in Market Wizards

"...most people in the grain industry other than fundamental analysts have concluded that the market prices have little to do with supply and demand, but more on the technical movements of the markets themselves. I have become a much better marketer since I have sworn off fundamental analysis. I think farmers would be better served with a more in-depth discussion of technical analysis and the effect of funds in the market."

---Illinois farmer, summer 2005

#### Attend the Most Comprehensive Active Trader Expo—FREE



Attend the most comprehensive FREE educational conference dedicated to the special needs of active traders-technical analysis, discretionary and mechanical trading systems, chart patterns, sector rotation, trading psychology, and more. It's all here.

Join 4,000+ of tomorrow's winning traders who will gather to gain insights, wisdom, and advice from over 50 top trading experts. Your FREE registration gives you access to 60+ free educational and product presentations and panel discussions, plus live and "hands-on" demonstrations in the first-class exhibit hall featuring over 100 exhibits.



#### Tuesday, December 13 • 9:00 am - 4:00 pm

#### How to Identify and Acquire the **Typical Traits of a Winning Trader**

Drawing on material from his new book of interviews with traders, Entries & Exits: Visits to 17 Trading Rooms (scheduled for release in 2006), noted trader and psychiatrist Dr. Alexander Elder will delve into the minds and trades of successful traders by analyzing specific winning and losing trades, and revealing exactly how the pros make their buy and sell decisions.

\$295 per person before November 23, \$395 per person on or after November 23. (Includes refreshments; one-hour hunch break on your own, 12:00 pm - 1:00 pm.)

Saturday, December 17 • 9:00 am - 5:00 pm



#### Event **Market Wizard Linda Raschke Explains How to Become a Consistently Profitable Trading Machine**

Market wizard Linda Raschke will help you master the tools that will allow you to become a totally self-reliant trader, free from external opinions and distractions. She will share with you the best trading ideas she learned as a floor trader, along with the more sophisticated strategies resulting from her own years of research and now used by her hedge fund.

\$695 per person before November 23, \$795 per person on or after November 23. (Includes refreshments and box lunch.)

For complete details on these and other events visit www.LasVegasTradersExpo.com



#### **Trading Plan**

**Murray Ruggiero** Contributing Editor Futures Magazine

#### **Oliver L. Velez** President

**Confirmed Speakers:** 



Pristine Capital Management Momentum Trading: How to Maximize **Potential Profits from Every Trade** 



#### **Testing and System** Creation



Daniel Gramza President Gramza Capital Management, Inc. Live Trading of CME E-mini Futures at the **Opening Bell** 



#### **Didier Perennez** Founder, President, and CEO Lunchtimetrading.com The Last Hour of Trading and the Morning After



#### **Price Headley** Founder and Chief Analyst **BigTrends.com**

How to Find Big Trends in Options

#### **Carolyn Boroden**



Commodity Trading Advisor & Technical Analyst Synchronicity Market Timing Daytrading Stocks, Index Futures, and Forex with Fibonacci Price Clusters

and many more!



ost-Conference

# Do you dream in Fibonacci circles?

To get a QCHARTS 30-DAY FREE TRIAL, go to QCHARTS.COM/TOOLS or call 866-TRADERS offer code: DUDIFC



We know trading is serious business, and successful traders think around the clock about how to position themselves. That's why QCharts offers a fully customizable workspace, to let you move seamlessly between an unlimited number of charts and tools. QCharts has advanced drawing tools and innovative studies, all integrated on your individual workspace.

Spend your nights dreaming about what QCharts can do for you. Analyze. Predict. Trade. Win QCharts.





Most academics are \_\_\_\_\_\_ of the efficient market hypothesis

Despite decades of dredging the data, and the popularity of media reports that purport to explain where markets are going, trading rules that reliably survive transactions costs and do not implicitly expose the investor to risk have not yet been reliably demonstrated

---Cochrane (2001, p. 25)

However, substantial \_\_\_\_\_ has erupted in recent years within academics and financial markets for several reasons

- Rise of \_\_\_\_\_ concepts
- Driven by evidence from \_\_\_\_\_\_ studies that people have a disturbingly large number of \_\_\_\_\_ (cognitive) biases
- Stock market \_\_\_\_\_\_ in the last decade

Other major boom and bust episodes in the past

- Dutch tulip mania in the 17<sup>th</sup> century
- John Law's Mississippi Land Company in the 18<sup>th</sup> century
- Florida land boom of the 1920s
- Stock market boom and bust of the 1920s and 1930s
- Real estate boom and bust of the 21<sup>st</sup> century????

Some excellent popular books on the topic:

Belsky, Gary and Thomas Gilovich. *Why Smart People Make Big Money Mistakes-and How to Correct Them: Lessons from the New Science of Behavioral Economics.* Simon & Schuster: New York, 1999.

Malkiel, Burton G. *A Random Walk Down Wall Street: Completely Updated and Revised Eighth Edition.* W.W. Norton & Company: New York, 2004.

Paulos, John Allen. *A Mathematician Plays the Stock Market*. Basic Books: New York, 2003.

Schwager, Jack D. *Market Wizards: Interviews with Top Traders*. Harper and Row, Publishers: New York, 1990.

Taleb, Nassim Nicholas. *Fooled by Randomness: The Hidden Role of Chance in the Markets and in Life*. Texere: New York, 2001

#### **Final Thought**

There is a weird \_\_\_\_\_ at the heart of the efficient market hypothesis

In summary, if the Efficient Market Hypothesis is true, most investors won't believe it, and if it's false, most investors will believe it. Alternatively stated, the Efficient Market Hypothesis is true if and only if a majority believes it to be false

---Paulos (2003, p.189)



Proportion of People that Believe Market is Efficient