CPEG 867 -11 Presentation Evaluation Form

Group Name: Group C

Presenter Name: Joshua Suetterlein and Shuo Chen

Title of the Presentation:

Evaluator:

Grades (1-5):

- 1: Very weak
- 2: Weak
- 3: Middle
- 4: Strong
- 5: Very strong

Note:

- 1. Each evaluation item may contain several sub-items, i.e., A), B), C), etc.
- 2. Please evaluate each sub-item with the sub-grades first.
- 3. Then evaluate each item based on the sub-grades of its subitems.
- 4. And finally compute the sum of the grades of all the items as the overall grade of the presentation.

Quality of Presentation (See Back)

Criteria	Grade (1-5)
Preparation for the presentation	
A) Familiar with the subject	A
B) Familiar with the reading list	В
C) Familiar with the slides	C
Organization and quality of the talk	
A) Talk in logical order	A
B) Loud and clear	В
C) Points clearly explained	C
D) Good use of time – ended on time	D
E) Good conclusions for ending	Е
Clear statement of the following points	
A) EARTH execution model and connection to dataflow	A
B) EARTH abstract machine model	В
C) The two levels of threads and threaded procedure /	С
function invocation by an example	D
D) Dynamic load balancing under EARTH (work-stealing)	
E) Split-face transition and tolerance of long latency	Е
F) Formulation of fiber partitioning problem	F
G) Solution of fiber partitioning problem by fiber-aware	G
code generator	
Quality of the slides	<u> </u>
A) Well-designed examples with animation	A
B) Clearly identify the key points	В
C) No "crowded slides" (i.e., full of paragraphs of texts)	С
Q & A process	<u> </u>
A) Correct answer to the questions that are related to topic	A
Overall grade (Sum of the above 5 grades)	

Write down additional comments below: