

CPEG 867 -11 Presentation Evaluation Form

Group Name: Group A

Presenter Name: Lina Cardona and Hao Wu

Title of the Presentation: A Case Study of Timed Petri Nets

Evaluator:

Grades (1-5):

1: Very weak

2: Weak

3: Middle

4: Strong

5: Very strong

Note:

1. Each evaluation item may contain several sub-items, i.e., A), B), C), etc.
2. Please evaluate each sub-item with the sub-grades first.
3. Then evaluate each item based on the sub-grades of its sub-items.
4. And finally compute the sum of the grades of all the items as the overall grade of the presentation.

Quality of Presentation (See Back)

Criteria	Grade (1-5)
Preparation for the presentation	
A) Familiar with the subject	A
B) Familiar with the reading list	B
C) Familiar with the slides	C
Organization and quality of the talk	
A) Talk in logical order	A
B) Loud and clear	B
C) Points clearly explained	C
D) Good use of time – ended on time	D
E) Good conclusions for ending	E
Clear statement of the following points	
A) Petri Net graphs and execution rules	A
B) Difference between Petri Nets and FSM by an example	B
C) Difference between Petri Nets and Dataflow	C
D) Marked graph and how to convert dataflow to MG	D
E) Definition of timed Petri Nets and firing rules	E
F) Introduction of loop scheduling problem	F
G) Formalization of loop scheduling problem using timed Petri Nets	G
Quality of the slides	
A) Well-designed examples with animation	A
B) Clearly identify the key points	B
C) No “crowded slides” (i.e., full of paragraphs of texts)	C
Q & A process	
A) Correct answer to the questions that are related to topic	A
Overall grade (Sum of the above 5 grades)	

Write down additional comments below: