

## CPEG 867 -11 Presentation Evaluation Form

**Group Name:** Group B

**Presenter Name:** Scott Grauer-Gray and Joshua Landwehr

**Title of the Presentation:**

**Evaluator:**

**Grades (1-5):**

1: Very weak

2: Weak

3: Middle

4: Strong

5: Very strong

**Note:**

1. Each evaluation item may contain several sub-items, i.e., A), B), C), etc.
2. Please evaluate each sub-item with the sub-grades first.
3. Then evaluate each item based on the sub-grades of its sub-items.
4. And finally compute the sum of the grades of all the items as the overall grade of the presentation.

**Quality of Presentation (See Back)**

Criteria	Grade (1-5)
<b>Preparation for the presentation</b>	
A) Familiarity of the subject	A
B) Familiarity of reading list	B
C) Familiarity of the slides	C
<b>Organization and quality of the talk</b>	
A) Talk in logical order	A
B) Loud and clear	B
C) Points clearly explained	C
D) Good use of time – ended on time	D
E) Good conclusions for ending	E
<b>Clear statement of the following points</b>	
A) Dataflow graph and firing rules	A
B) Static dataflow architecture	B
C) Weakness of static dataflow by an example	C
D) Why tagged tokens overcome the weakness	D
E) U-interpretter	E
F) Tagged-token dataflow architecture	F
G) Monsoon dataflow architecture	G
H) Comparison between tagged-token and Monsoon model	H
<b>Quality of the slides</b>	
A) Well-designed examples with animation	A
B) Clearly identify the key points	B
C) No “crowded slides” (i.e., full of paragraphs of texts)	C
<b>Q &amp; A process</b>	
A) Correct answer to the questions that are related to topic	A
<b>Overall grade (Sum of the above 5 grades)</b>	

Write down additional comments below: