

Worker Injured Elbow by Lifting Shaft

Purpose

To share "lessons learned" gained from incident investigations through a small group discussion method format.

To understand "lessons learned" through a Systems of Safety viewpoint.



This material was produced by the Labor Institute and the United Steelworkers International Union under grant number SH-18800-09-60-F-42 Susan Harwood Training Grant Program, for the Occupational Safety and Health Administration, U.S. Department of Labor. It does not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the U.S. Department of Labor, nor does mention of trade names, commercial products or organizations imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

Lessons Learned

Volume 10, Issue 5
© 2010 Tony Mazzocchi Center


Background Information

Before beginning this Lessons Learned, please review this and the next page which contain information that will introduce the concepts of Lessons Learned and Systems of Safety.

Creating a safe and healthy workplace requires a never ending search for hazards that sometimes are not obvious to us. These hazards exist in every workplace and can be found by using various methods. Lessons Learned are just as the name suggests: learning from incidents to prevent the same or similar incidents from happening again.

Systems Are Not Created Equal: Not equal in protection and not equal in prevention.

Using our Systems Focus to uncover system flaws or root causes is only one part of controlling hazards. We also need to look at the systems involved to decide on the best way to deal with the problem. The most effective way to control a hazard is close to its source. The least effective is usually at the level of the person being exposed. The system of safety in which the flaw is identified is not necessarily the system in which you would attempt to correct the flaw.



Major Safety System	Design & Engineering	Maintenance & Inspection	Mitigation Devices	Warning Devices	Training & Procedures	Personal Protective Factors
Level of Prevention	Highest—the first line of defense		Middle—the seco	nd line of defense		Lowest—the last line of defense
Effectiveness	Most Effective	—				Least Effective
Goal	To eliminate hazards	7	To further minimize	and control hazard	ls	To protect when higher level systems fail
EXAMPLES OF SAFETY SUB- SYSTEMS**	Work Environment HF Organizational (must address a root cause) Staffing HF Skills and Qualifications HF Management of Personnel Change (MOPC) Work Organization and SchedulingHF Work Load Allocation of Resources Buddy System Codes, Standards, and Policies**	Inspection and Testing Maintenance Quality Control Turnarounds and Overhauls Mechanical Integrity	Suppression Devices Machine Guarding	Monitors Process Alarms Facility Alarms Community Alarms Emergency Notification Systems	Operating Manuals and Procedures Process Safety Information Process, Job and Other Types of Hazard Assessment and Analysis Permit Programs Emergency Preparedness and Response Training Information Resources Communications Investigations and Lessons Learned Maintenance Procedures Pre-Startup Safety Review	Personal Decision-making and Actions HF Personal Protective Equipment and Devices HF Stop Work Authority

Revised October 2006

HF - Indicates that this subsystem is often included in a category called Human Factors.

* There may be additional subsystems that are not included in this chart. Also, in the workplace many subsystems are interrelated. It may not always be clear that an issue belongs to one subsystem rather than another.

^{**} The Codes, Standards and Policies and Management of Change subsystems listed here are related to Design and Engineering. These subsystems may also be relevant to other systems; for example, Mitigation Devices. When these subsystems relate to systems other than Design and Engineering, they should be considered as part of those other systems, not Design and Engineering.





Title: Worker Injured Elbow by Lifting Shaft

Identifier: Volume 10, Issue 5

Date Issued: April 2010

Lessons Learned Statement

The lifting of a very heavy shaft without the use of a lifting device resulted in a worker injuring his elbow. *Systems of Safety* are utilized to prevent this type of incident. The protection provided by using properly designed equipment for the job is a well-defined **Design and Engineering** *System of Safety* approach. With proper lifting safety devices, this injury would not have happened.

This program utilizes the most effective *System of Safety*, **Design and Engineering**, at the technical level. The protection provided by lighter shafts, having shaft ends made with a non-slip material or the installation of a shaft manipulator, will greatly decrease the likelihood of an injury-causing incident.


The protection provided by an effective training program on proper lifting techniques provides a well-defined **Training and Procedures** *System of Safety* approach. Applying *Systems of Safety* will greatly decrease the liklihood of an injury-causing incident.

Discussion

A worker was lifting a smooth, steel, 150-pound shaft off the table with the help of a coworker. While sliding the shaft off the table, the shaft stuck a little at first, but then came out smooth. The coworker grabbed the other end of the shaft as the worker continued to pull. When the coworker grabbed the other end of the shaft, the worker's end began to slip out of his hands. As the shaft fell out of his hands, he instantly caught the shaft with his right hand. After doing so, he felt a pain in his right elbow. He notified his Supervisor immediately after the incident.

Analysis

The Logic Tree is a pictorial representation of a logical process that maps an incident from its occurrence, "the event," to facts of the incident and the incident's root causes.



Recommended Actions

- 1. Design shafts that are made out of a lighter material.
- 2. Have end of the shafts made with a non-slip material.
- 3. Install a shaft manipulator.
- 4. Train workers on proper lifting techniques.

Education Exercise

Working in your groups and using the Lessons Learned Statement, Discussion, Analysis and Recommended Actions, answer the two questions below. Your facilitator will give each group an opportunity to share answers with the large group.

1.	Give examples of ways to apply the Lessons Learned Statement at your workplace.

2. Of the examples you generated from Question 1, which will you pursue in your workplace? (**Note:** When we say something you may pursue, we mean a joint labor-management activity or a union activity rather than an activity carried out by you as an individual.)

Trainer's Lessons Learned Success Inventory

Following a Lessons Learned (LL) session, the trainer who led the LL should complete this form. This information will: 1) Help you reflect on the successes and challenges of the session; 2) Help USW with new curriculum development; and 3) Help USW as a whole better understand how the LL Program is supporting their workers.

By reviewing LL from different sites or from other areas of their workplaces, workers are able to analyze the information and apply these lessons to their own workplaces in order to make their workplaces healthier and safer.

1. Site name (if there are participants from more than one site, please

	list all).
2.	Date of LL training
3.	LL number used in today's Training
4.	Your name
5.	Summary of Education Question 1: Please summarize participants'

examples of ways to apply this LL Statement to their workplace.

Please continue on reverse side.

6. **Summary of Education Question 2:** Please summarize actions or recommendations participants discussed pursuing at their workplace(s).

Thank you for completing this form.



Lessons Learned: Worker Injured Elbow by Lifting Shaft

Please answer the two questions below:

1.	How important is this lessons learned to you and your
	workplace? (Circle one.) Rate on a scale of 1 to 5,
	with 5 being the most important.

1	2	3	4	5

2.	What suggestions would you make to improve this Lessons Learned?

End of Training Trainer's Instructions

Please complete the information below.
Trainer's Name
(Please Print)
Date of training:
No. of Participants: Total Hourly Management
Location of Training:
USW Local #
Send:
1. This page;
2. The Education Exercise (page 8);
3 The Trainer's II Success Inventory form (nages 9 and 10).

- 3. The Trainer's LL Success Inventory form (pages 9 and 10);
- 4. The evaluation for each participant (page 11); and
- 5. The Sign-in sheet (page 13) to:

If you are a TOP Site (excluding DOE TOP Sites)	Send to: Steve Cable 2915 Gradient Drive St. Louis, MO 63125
All other sites (including DOE TOP Sites)	Send to: Doug Stephens United Steelworkers 3340 Perimeter Hill Drive Nashville, TN 37211

Thank you for facilitating the sharing of this Lesson Learned with your coworkers.

14



SIGN-IN SHEET (Please print clearly.)

Class Title:		Class Completion Date:		
Loc	ation (City, State)/Facility:			
Gra	nt Program:	Dist. & LU #:		
Instructors: 1)		2)		
3) _	4)	5)		
	Name (print first and last)	Che	ck one:	
		Hourly	Management	
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				