Existing Regulation(s)	Proposed Amendment & Description
Current regulations allow for isolated wetlands less than 1,000 square feet to be exempted from the Wetland Development Standards of the SMC provided any cumulative impacts are mitigated pursuant to an approved mitigation plan. An evaluation of the role isolated wetlands play as part of the habitat mosaic in Sammamish is not required.	Provide additional guidance (both allowances and limitations) concerning small isolated wetlands (Type 3 & 4); in particular a review to identify the functions that the wetland provides to determine how the isolated wetland should be managed for ecological function of the watershed as a whole.
Desired Result of Amendment:	1
The proposed amendment would provide clarity to the	ne applicant on the role isolated wetlands play in
Sammamish, which will guide whether or not impacts	
Amendment Source:  Best Available Science  Best Available Science Support: Supported  Best Available Science Report "Wetlands" by	
<ul> <li>Affected Code Section(s) (incudes duplicative and or</li> <li>21A.50.320 – Wetlands – Limited exemption</li> </ul>	verlapping sections):
Public Comment Reference(s): 33, 59, 67, 68, 70, 84, 88, 97, 101, 105, 116, 182	
Notes:	

Ratings are either: large p	ositive (P), small positive (	p), neutral, large negative (I	N), small negative (n)
Environmental	Р	Implementation	P
<ul> <li>Increased protection of resources (e.g. streets,</li> <li>Decreased cumulative i</li> <li>Increased potential to resources</li> <li>Neutral chance of dama</li> <li>Neutral potential to dama</li> <li>Decreased net loss of walues</li> </ul> The proposed regulation with public understand modisolated wetlands as part of the part of the part of the public understand modisolated wetlands as part of the public understand modisolated wetlands as part of the part of	<ul> <li>Clearer regulations consequences</li> <li>Increased protection of public assets and esources (e.g. streets, water quality)</li> <li>Decreased cumulative impacts to wetlands nurfer areas</li> <li>Illustral chance of damage to wetlands</li> <li>Illustral potential to damage high quality, unique vetlands</li> <li>Decreased net loss of wetland functions and alues</li> <li>Increased likelihoo other agencies</li> <li>More effective mit wetlands review prior to authowetlands through in othe wetland's value a the wetlands as part of a mosaic of habitat oughout the city. This would offer better tections and decreased cumulative impacts with sultant decrease in net loss of wetland functions</li> </ul>		staff
protections and decreased	d cumulative impacts with	by other agencies.	
protections and decreased a resultant decrease in ne	d cumulative impacts with	Overall	Effect

	T
Existing Regulation(s)	Proposed Amendment & Description
Current regulations allow for isolated wetlands less than 1,000 square feet to be exempted from the Wetland Development Standards of the SMC provided any cumulative impacts are mitigated pursuant to an approved mitigation plan. An evaluation of the role isolated wetlands play as part of the habitat mosaic in Sammamish is not already required as part of the adopted Washington State Wetland Rating System for Western Washington (Washington State Department of Ecology 2004).	Provide additional guidance (both allowances and limitations) concerning small isolated wetlands (Type 3 & 4); in particular a review to identify the functions that the wetland provides to determine how the isolated wetland should be managed for ecological function of the watershed as a whole.
Desired Result of Amendment: The proposed amendment would provide clarity to the Sammamish, which will guide whether or not impacts	
Amendment Source: Best Available Science  Best Available Science Support: Supported  • Best Available Science Report "Wetlands" by A  Affected Code Section(s) (incudes duplicative and over the second of the secon	
Public Comment Reference(s): 33, 59, 67, 68, 70, 84, 88, 97, 101, 105, 116, 182, 220	
Notes:	

Ratings are either: large p	ositive (P), small positive (	p), neutral, large negative (	N), small negative (n)
Environmental	P	Implementation	P
<ul> <li>Increased on-site prote</li> <li>Increased protection of resources (e.g. streets,</li> <li>Decreased cumulative i</li> <li>Increased potential to resources</li> <li>Neutral chance of dama</li> <li>Neutral potential to dama</li> <li>Neutral potential to dama</li> <li>Decreased net loss of wavalues</li> </ul> The proposed regulation with the public understand modes isolated wetlands as part of	public assets and water quality) mpacts to wetlands estore damaged wetland age to wetlands mage high quality, unique retland functions and would help city staff and re clearly the role of	<ul> <li>Clearer regulations, less consequences</li> <li>Increased ability for consimplementation by the</li> <li>Increased likelihood of sother agencies</li> <li>More effective mitigation was review prior to authorizing wetlands through in depth the wetland's value as par The proposed amendment of unintended consequencisolated wetlands and would be sometimes of the second of</li></ul>	nsistent, efficient staff support/approval by on, easier to monitor would require additional galterations to isolated analysis to understand tof the habitat mosaic. It will result in less chance ces through alterations to
throughout the city. This was protections and decreased a resultant decrease in new and values.	vould offer better	by other agencies.	
protections and decreased a resultant decrease in ne	vould offer better d cumulative impacts with	by other agencies.	l Effect

Existing Regulation(s)	Proposed Amendment & Description
Current regulations allow for isolated wetlands less than 1,000 square feet to be exempted from the Wetland Development Standards of the SMC provided any cumulative impacts are mitigated pursuant to an approved mitigation plan. An evaluation of the role isolated wetlands play as part of the habitat mosaic in Sammamish is already required as part of the adopted Washington State Wetland Rating System for Western Washington (Washington State Department of Ecology 2004).	Provide additional guidance (both allowances and limitations) concerning small isolated wetlands (Type 3 & 4); in particular a review to identify the functions that the wetland provides to determine how the isolated wetland should be managed for ecological function of the watershed as a whole.
Desired Result of Amendment:	
The proposed amendment would provide clarity to the Sammamish, which will guide whether or not impacts	• •
Amendment Source: Best Available Science	
<ul> <li>Best Available Science Support: Supported</li> <li>Best Available Science Report "Wetlands" by A</li> </ul>	AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, Inc.
<ul> <li>Affected Code Section(s) (incudes duplicative and or</li> <li>21A.50.320 – Wetlands – Limited exemption</li> </ul>	verlapping sections):
Public Comment Reference(s): 33, 59, 67, 68, 70, 84, 88, 97, 101, 105, 116, 182, 220	
Notes:	

Ratings are either: large p	ositive (P), small positive (	p), neutral, large negative (	N), small negative (n)
Environmental	Р	Implementation	Р
<ul> <li>Increased on-site protect</li> <li>Increased protection of resources (e.g. streets, vector)</li> <li>Decreased cumulative in Increased potential to resources</li> <li>Neutral chance of dama</li> <li>Neutral potential to dar wetlands</li> <li>Decreased net loss of we values</li> </ul> The proposed regulation wetlands more public understand more isolated wetlands as part of the public wetlands are public wetlands.	public assets and water quality) mpacts to wetlands estore damaged wetland age to wetlands mage high quality, unique estland functions and would help city staff and re clearly the role of	<ul> <li>Clearer regulations, less consequences</li> <li>Increased ability for cor implementation by the</li> <li>Increased likelihood of sother agencies</li> <li>More effective mitigation was review prior to authorizing wetlands through in depth the wetland's value as par The proposed amendment of unintended consequencisolated wetlands and wood</li> </ul>	nsistent, efficient staff support/approval by on, easier to monitor would require additional g alterations to isolated n analysis to understand et of the habitat mosaic. t will result in less chance ces through alterations to
throughout the city. This we protections and decreased a resultant decrease in new and values.	vould offer better I cumulative impacts with	by other agencies.	aid increase the support
throughout the city. This w protections and decreased a resultant decrease in net	vould offer better I cumulative impacts with	by other agencies.	Effect