APPENDIX 3 – Annual Report Form for Cities, Towns and Counties Covered under the Western Washington Phase II Municipal stormwater Permit

THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK

Annual Report for Calendar Year_____.

Two printed copies and one electronic copy of this report are due to Ecology by March 31, of the following calander year. For all annual reports complete sections I through VI. For the third and all following annual reports also complete section VII. Do not leave any questions blank.

I. Permittee Information

Permittee Name	Permit Cov	verage Number
Contact Name	Phone Num	ıber
Mailing Address		
City	State	Zip + 4
Email Address		

II. Regulated Small MS4 Location

Jurisdiction			
Entity Type			
County	\Box City or Town	□ Other	
Major receiv	ing water(s)		

III. Relying on another Governmental Entity

If you are relying on another governmental entity to satisfy one or more of the permit obligations, list the entity and the permit obligation(s) they are implementing on your behalf below. *Attach a copy of your agreement with the other entity.*

IV. Certification

All annual reports must be signed and certified by the responsible official(s) of permittee or co-permittees

I certify under penalty of law, that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that Qualified Personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system or those persons directly responsible for gathering information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for willful violations.

Name	_Title	_Date
Name	_Title	_Date
Name	Title	Date

V. Submittal

Deliver two printed copies and one electronic copy (MS Word format or PDF, on CD ROM) of this report by March 31 to:

Department of Ecology Water Quality Program Municipal Stormwater Permits P.O. Box 47696 Olympia, WA 98504-7696

VI. Status Report Covering Calendar Year_____.

Please label any attachments with corresponding question numbers.

Note: Items that have future compliance dates must still be checked to indicate status.

1. YES □ NO □	Attached annual written update of Permittee's Stormwater Management Program (SWMP), including applicable requirements under S5.A.2 and S9? <i>Comments:</i>
2. YES 🗆 NO 🗆	Attached a copy of any annexations, incorporations or boundary changes resulting in an increase or decrease in the Permittee's geographic area of permit coverage during the reporting period, and implications for the SWMP as per S9.E.3? <i>Comments:</i>
3. YES □ NO □	Implemented an ongoing program for gathering, tracking, maintaining, and using information to evaluate SWMP development, implementation and permit compliance and to set priorities? (S5.A.3) <i>Comments</i> ?
4. YES □ NO □	Began tracking costs or estimated costs of the development and implementation of the SWMP? (<i>Required</i> no later than January 1, 2009, S5.A.3.a) <i>Comments</i> ?
5. YES 🗆 NO 🗆	SWAP includes an education program aimed at residents, businesses, industries, elected officials, policy makers, planning staff and other employees of the Permittee? (S5.C.1)
	Comments:

6. YES □ NO □	Distributed appropriate info area served by the MS4? (<i>R</i> Check audiences targeted:	Required by February 1:	5, 2009, S5.C.1.a) □ Homeowners
	□ Home based business	□ Businesses	□ Mobile business
	□ Elected officials	□ Policy makers	□ Industries
	□ Developers	□ Engineers	□ Landscapers
	\Box Contractors	\Box Property mangers	\Box Planning staff
	□ Permittee Employees	□ Other	
	Comments:		
7. YES 🗆 NO 🗆	Tracked the types of public implemented? (<i>Required</i> by Number of activities implemented)	February 15, 2009, S5.	
	Comments:		
8. YES □ NO □	Measured the understanding and adoption of the targeted behaviors among targeted audiences? (<i>Required</i> by February 15, 2009, S5.C.1.b)		
	Comments:		
9. YES □ NO □	Provided opportunities for the processes involving the development of the processes SWMP? (<i>Require</i>)	elopment, implementati	ion and updates of the
	Comments:		
10. YES □ NO □	Developed and implemented consideration of public com 15, 2008, S5.C.2.a)		
	Comments:		
11. YES 🗆 NO 🗆	Made the most current versi (S5.C.2.b)	on of the SWMP availa	ble to the public?
	Comments:		
12. YES 🗆 NO 🗆	Posted the SWMP on your v	website? (S5.C.2.b)	
	Site address:	(
	Comments:		

13. YES 🗆	NO 🗆	Initiated or implemented an ongoing program to detect and remove illicit connections and illegal discharges into the Permittee's MS4? (<i>Required</i> August 19, 2011, S5.C.3) <i>Comments:</i>
14. YES 🗆	NO 🗆	Developed and currently maintain a map of your MS4? (<i>Required</i> by February 15, 2011, S5.C.3.a)
		Comments:
15. YES 🗆	NO 🗆	Map shows the location of all known municipal separate storm sewer outfalls, receiving waters and structural stormwater BMPs owned, operated, or maintained by the Permittee? (<i>Required</i> by February 15, 2011, S5.C.3.a.i)
		Comments:
16. YES 🗆	NO 🗆	Map shows all storm sewer outfalls with a 24 inch nominal diameter or larger, or an equivalent cross-sectional area for non-pipe systems and includes tributary conveyances, associated drainage areas and land use? (<i>Required</i> by February 15, 2011, S5.C.3.a.i)
		Comments:
17. YES □	NO 🗆	Map shows geographic areas served by the Permittee's MS4 that do not discharge stormwater to surface waters? (<i>Required</i> by February 15, 2011, S5.C.3.a.iii)
		Comments:
18. YES 🗆	NO 🗆	Map has been made available upon request? (S5.C.3.a.iii)
		Comments:
19. YES 🗆	NO 🗆	Developed and implemented regulatory actions necessary to effectively prohibit non-stormwater, illegal discharges, and/or dumping into the Permittee's MS4? (<i>Required</i> by August 15, 2009, S5.C.3.b)
		Comments:

20. YES 🗆	NO 🗆	Developed and implemented an ongoing program to detect and address non-stormwater discharges, spills, illicit connections and illegal dumping into the Permittee's MS4? (<i>Required</i> by August 19, 2011, S5.C.3.c)
		Comments
21. YES 🗆	NO 🗆	Developed procedures for locating priority areas likely to have illicit discharges, including at a minimum: evaluating land uses and associated business/industrial activities present; areas where complaints have been registered in the past; and areas with storage of large quantities of materials that could result in spills? (<i>Required</i> by August 19, 2011, S5.C.3.c.i)
		Comments:
22. YES 🗆	NO 🗆	Implemented field assessment activities, including visual inspection of priority outfalls identified during dry weather, and for the purposes of verifying outfall locations, identified previously unknown outfalls, and detected illicit discharges? (<i>Required</i> by August 19, 2011, S5.C.3.c.ii)
		Comments:
23. YES 🗆	NO 🗆	Prioritized receiving waters for visual inspection? (<i>Required</i> by February 15, 2010, S5.C.3.c.ii)
		Comments:
24. YES 🗆	NO 🗆	Conducted field assessments for three high priority water bodies? (<i>Required</i> by February 15, 2011, S5.C.3.c.ii)
		Comments:
25. YES □	NO 🗆	Conducted field assessments on at least one high priority water body? (<i>Required</i> annually after February 15, 2011, S5.C.3.c.ii)
		Comments:
26. YES 🗆	NO 🗆	Developed and implemented procedures for characterizing the nature of, and potential public or environmental threat posed by, any illicit discharges found by or reported to the Permittee? (<i>Required</i> by August 19, 2011, S5.C.3.c.iii)
		Comments:

27. YES 🗆	NO 🗆	Developed and implemented procedures for tracing the source of an illicit discharge; including visual inspections, and when necessary, opening manholes, using mobile cameras, collecting and analyzing water samples, and/or other detailed inspection procedures? (<i>Required</i> by August 19, 2011, S5.C.3.c.iv) <i>Comments:</i>
28. YES 🗆	NO 🗆	Developed and implemented procedures for removing the source of the discharge, including notification of appropriate authorities; notification of the property owner; technical assistance for eliminating the discharge; follow-up inspections; and escalating enforcement and legal actions if the discharge is not eliminated? (<i>Required</i> by August 19, 2011, S5.C.3.c.v.) <i>Comments:</i>
29. YES 🗆	NO 🗆	Inform public employees, businesses, and the general public of hazards associated with illegal discharges and improper disposal of waste? (<i>Required</i> by August 19, 2011, S5.C.3.d) <i>Comments:</i>
30. YES 🗆	NO 🗆	Distributed appropriate information to target audiences identified pursuant to S5.C.1? (<i>Required</i> by August 19, 2011, S5.C.3.d.i) <i>Comments:</i>
31. YES □	NO 🗆	Publicized a hotline or other local telephone number for public reporting of spills and other illicit discharges? (Required by February 15, 2009, S5.C.3.d.ii) Number of calls received? Number of follow-up actions taken? <i>Comments:</i>
32. YES □	NO 🗆	Tracked the number and type of spills? (<i>Required</i> by August 19, 2011, S5.C.3.e) Number of spills: <i>Comments:</i>

33. YES □ NO □	Tracked the number of illicit discharges identified? (<i>Required</i> by August 19, 2011, S5.C.3.e) Number of illicit discharges identified:
	Comments:
34. YES □ NO □	Tracked the number inspections made for illicit connections? (<i>Required</i> by August 19, 2011, S5.C.3.e) Number of inspections:
	Comments
35. YES □ NO □	Received feedback from public education efforts? (<i>Required</i> by August 19, 2011, S5.C.3.e)
	Comments:
36. YES □ NO □	Attached report on public education efforts? (<i>Required</i> by August 19, 2011, S5.C.3.e)
	Comments:
37. YES □ NO □	Municipal field staff responsible for identification, investigation, termination, cleanup, and reporting of illicit discharges, improper disposal and illicit connections are trained to conduct these activities? (<i>Required</i> by August 15, 2009, S5.C.3.f.i) Number of trainings provided: Number of staff trained:
	Comments:
38. YES □ NO □	Provided follow-up training as needed to address changes in procedures, techniques or requirements? (<i>Required</i> by August 15, 2009, S5.C.3.f.i) Number of trainings provided: Number of staff trained:
	Comments:
39. YES □ NO □	Developed and implemented an ongoing training program on the identification of an illicit discharge/connection, and on the proper procedures for reporting and responding to the illicit discharge/connection for all municipal field staff, which, as part of their normal job responsibilities, might come into contact with or otherwise observe an illicit discharge or illicit connection to the storm sewer system? (<i>Required</i> by February 15, 2010, S5.C.3.f.ii.)

		Number of trainings provided: Number of staff trained:
		Comments:
40. YES 🗆	NO 🗆	Developed, implemented and enforced a program to reduce pollutants in stormwater runoff to a regulated small MS4 from new development, redevelopment and construction site activities? (S5.C.4)
		Comments:
41. YES 🗆	NO 🗆	Applied stormwater runoff program to all sites that disturb a land area 1 acre or greater, including projects less than one acre that are part of a larger common plan of the development or sale? (S5.C.4)
		Comments:
42. YES 🗆	NO 🗆	Applied stormwater runoff program to private and public development, including roads? (S5.C.4)
		Comments:
43. YES 🗆	NO 🗆	Applied the "Technical Thresholds" in Appendix 1 to all sites 1 acre or greater, including projects less than one acre that are part of a larger common plan of the development or sale? (S5.C.4)
		Comments:
44. YES 🗆	NO 🗆	Adopted and implemented regulatory mechanism (such as an ordinance) necessary to address run-off from new development, redevelopment and construction site activities? (<i>Required</i> by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4.a)
		Comments:
45. YES 🗆	NO 🗆	Retained existing local requirements to apply stormwater controls at smaller sites, or at lower thresholds, than required pursuant to S5.C.4?
		Comments:

46. YES □	NO 🗆	The ordinance or other enforceable mechanism includes the minimum requirements, technical thresholds, and definitions in Appendix 1 (or an equivalent approved by Ecology under the NPDES Phase I Municipal Stormwater Permit) for new development, redevelopment, and construction sites? (<i>Required</i> by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4.a.i) <i>Comments:</i>
47. YES 🗆	NO 🗆	The ordinance or other enforceable mechanism includes exceptions and variance criteria equivalent to those in Appendix 1? (<i>Required</i> by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4.a.i., and Section 6 of Appendix 1)
		Comments:
48. YES 🗆	NO 🗆	Were exceptions or variances to the minimum requirements in Appendix 1 granted? If so, how many were granted? (<i>Required</i> by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4.a.i., and Section 6 of Appendix 1)
		Comments:
49. YES □	NO 🗆	The ordinance or other enforceable mechanism includes a site planning process and BMP selection and design criteria that, when used to implement the minimum requirements in Appendix 1 (or equivalent approved by Ecology under the Phase I Permit) will protect water quality, reduce the discharge of pollutants to the maximum extent practicable and satisfy the State requirement under Chapter 90.48 RCW to apply all known, available and reasonable methods of prevention, control and treatment (AKART) prior to discharge? Cite documentation to meet this requirement: <i>(Required</i> by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4.a.ii)
50. YES 🗆	NO 🗆	The ordinance or other enforceable mechanism provides the legal authority, through the approval process for new development, to inspect private stormwater facilities that discharge to the Permittee's MS4? (<i>Required</i> by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4.a.iii) <i>Comments:</i>
51. YES 🗆	NO 🗆	The ordinance or other enforceable mechanism allows non-structural preventive actions and source reduction approaches such as Low Impact Development (LID) Techniques to minimize the creation of impervious

surfaces and minimize the disturbance of native soils and vegetation? (*Required* by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4.a.iv)

Comments:

52. YES □ If the ordinance or regulatory mechanism allows construction sites to NO 🗆 apply the "Erosivity Waiver" in Appendix 1, Minimum Requirement #2, does it include appropriate, escalating enforcement sanctions for construction sites that provide notice to the Permittee of their intention to apply the waiver but do not meet the requirements (including timeframe restrictions, limits on activities that result in non-stormwater discharges, and implementation of appropriate BMPs to prevent violations of water quality standards) to qualify for the waiver? (If waiver is allowed, the qualification is required by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4.a.v) *Comments:* **53.** YES 🗆 $NO \square$ Developed and implemented a permitting process to address run-off from new development, redevelopment and construction site activities with plan review. inspection and enforcement capability? (Required by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4.b) *Comments:* **54.** YES \square Applied permitting process to all sites that disturb a land area 1 acre or NO 🗆 greater, including projects less than one acre that are part of a larger common plan of the development or sale? (Required by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4.b) *Comments:* Reviewed Stormwater Site Plans for new development and redevelopment **55.** YES □ NO □ projects? (*Required* by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4.b.i) Number of site plans reviewed during the reporting period: *Comments:* **56.** YES \square NO \square Inspected, prior to clearing and construction, all known development sites that have a high potential for sediment transport as determined through plan review based on definitions and requirements in Appendix 7 Identifying Construction Site Sediment Transport Potential? (Required by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4.b.ii) Number of site inspected during the reporting period: *Comments:*

57. YES □	NO 🗆	Inspected construction-phase stormwater controls at all known permitted development sites during construction to verify proper installation and maintenance of required erosion and sediment controls? (<i>Required</i> by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4.b.iii) Number of sites inspected during the reporting period:
58. YES 🗆	NO 🗆	Enforced as necessary based on the inspection at new development and redevelopment projects? (<i>Required</i> by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4.b.iii) Number of enforcement actions taken during the reporting period:
		Comments:
59. YES 🗆	NO 🗆	Inspected permitted development sites upon completion of construction and prior to final approval or occupancy to ensure proper installation of permanent stormwater controls such as stormwater facilities and structural BMPs? (<i>Required</i> by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4.b.iv and v) Number of sites known during the reporting period: Number of sites inspected during the reporting period:
		Comments:
60. YES 🗆	NO 🗆	Verified a maintenance plan is completed and responsibility for maintenance is assigned? (<i>Required</i> by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4.b.iv) <i>Comments:</i>
61. YES □	NO 🗆	Enforced as necessary based on the inspection? (<i>Required</i> by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4.b.iv) Number of enforcement actions taken during the reporting period:
		Comments:
62. YES 🗆	NO 🗆	Developed and implemented an enforcement strategy to respond to issues of non-compliance? (<i>Required</i> by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4.b.vi)
		Comments:

63. YES 🗆	NO 🗆	Did the Permittee choose to allow construction sites to apply the "Erosivity Waiver" in Appendix 1, Minimum Requirement #2? (S5.C.4.b.vii) If yes, how many waivers were allowed ?
		Comments:
64. YES 🗆	NO 🗆	Developed and implemented a long-term operation and maintenance (O&M) program for post-construction stormwater facilities and BMPs? (<i>Required</i> by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4.c)
		Comments:
65. YES 🗆	NO 🗆	Adopted an ordinance or other regulatory mechanism that clearly identifies the party responsible for maintenance, requires inspection of facilities and establishes enforcement procedures? (<i>Required</i> by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4.c.i)
		Comments:
66. YES 🗆	NO 🗆	Inspected post-construction stormwater controls, including structural BMPs, at new development and redevelopment projects? (<i>Required</i> by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4.c) Number of sites inspected during the reporting period: Number of structural BMPs inspected during the reporting period:
		Comments:
67. YES 🗆	NO 🗆	Established maintenance standards that are as protective, or more protective, of facility function as those specified in Chapter 4 of Volume V of the 2005 <i>Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington</i> ? (<i>Required</i> by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4.c.ii)
		Comments:
68. YES 🗆	NO 🗆	Performed timely maintenance as per S5.C.4.c.ii? Attach documentation of any maintenance delays. (<i>Required</i> by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4.c.ii)
		Comments:
69. YES 🗆	NO 🗆	Annually inspected all stormwater treatment and flow control facilities (other than catch basins) permitted by the Permittee according to S5.C.4.b.

unless there are maintenance records to justify a different frequency? (*Required* by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4.c.iii)

		Comments:
70. YES 🗆	NO 🗆	If using reduced inspection frequency, Attached documentation as per S5.C.4.c.iii? (<i>Required</i> by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4.c.iii)
		Comments:
71. YES 🗆	NO 🗆	Inspected all new stormwater treatment and flow control facilities owned or operated, including catch basins, for new residential developments that are a part of a larger common plan of development or sale, every 6 months during the period of heaviest house construction (i.e., 1 to 2 years following subdivision approval) to identify maintenance needs and enforce compliance with maintenance standards as needed? (<i>Required</i> by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4.c.iv) Number of facilities inspected during the reporting period:
		Comments:
72. YES 🗆	NO 🗆	Implemented a procedure for keeping records of inspections and enforcement actions by staff, including inspection reports, warning letters, notices of violations, other enforcement records, maintenance inspections and maintenance activities? (<i>Required</i> by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4.d)
		Comments:
73. YES 🗆	NO 🗆	Provided copies of the "Notice of Intent for Construction Activity" and "Notice of Intent for Industrial Activity" to representatives of proposed new development and redevelopment? (S5.C.4.e)
		Comments:
74. YES 🗆	NO 🗆	All staff responsible for implementing the program to control stormwater runoff from new development, redevelopment, and construction sites, including permitting, plan review, construction site inspections, and enforcement were trained to conduct these activities? (<i>Required</i> by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4.f) Number of trainings provided: Number of staff trained:

75. YES □	NO 🗆	Developed and implemented an operations and maintenance (O&M) program that includes a training component and has the ultimate goal of preventing or reducing pollutant runoff from municipal operations? (<i>Required</i> by February 15, 2010, S5.C.5) <i>Comments:</i>
76. YES 🗆	NO 🗆	Adopted maintenance standards as protective, or more protective, of facility function as those specified in Chapter 4 of Volume V of the 2005 <i>Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington</i> ? (<i>Required</i> by February 15, 2010, S5.C.5.a)
		Comments:
77. YES 🗆	NO 🗆	Performed timely maintenance as per S5.C.5.a.ii? Attach documentation of any maintenance delays. (<i>Required</i> by February 15, 2010, S5.C.4.c.ii)
		Comments:
78. YES 🗆	NO 🗆	Annually inspected and maintained all stormwater treatment and flow control facilities (other than catch basins)? (<i>Required</i> by February 15, 2010, S5.C.4.c.iii) Number of known facilities: Number of facilities inspected during the reporting period:
		Comments:
79. YES 🗆	NO 🗆	If using reduced inspection frequency, Attached documentation as per S5.C.5.a.ii? (<i>Required</i> by February 15, 2010, S5.C.5.a.ii) <i>Comments:</i>
80. YES 🗆	NO 🗆	Conducted spot checks of stormwater facilities after major storms? Number of known facilities: Number of facilities inspected during the reporting period: (<i>Required</i> by February 15, 2010, S5.C.5.c)
		Comments:
81. YES 🗆	NO 🗆	Inspected municipally owned or operated catch basins at least once before the end of the Permit term? (<i>Required</i> by February 15, 2010, S5.C.5.d) Number of known catch basins: Number of inspections:

		Number of catch basins cleaned:
		Comments:
82. YES 🗆	NO 🗆	Established and implemented practices to reduce stormwater impacts associated with runoff from streets, parking lots, roads or highways owned or maintained by the Permittee, and road maintenance activities conducted by the Permittee? (S5.C.5.f)
		Comments:
83. YES 🗆	NO 🗆	Established and implemented policies and procedures to reduce pollutants in discharges from all lands owned or maintained by the Permittee and subject to this Permit, including but not limited to: parks, open space, road right-of-way, maintenance yards, and stormwater treatment and flow control facilities? (S5.C.5.g)
		Comments:
84. YES 🗆	NO 🗆	Initiated or implemented an operations and maintenance (O&M) program that includes a training component and has the ultimate goal of preventing or reducing pollutant runoff from municipal operations? (S5.C.5.h.) Number of trainings provided: Number of staff trained:
		Comments:
85. YES 🗆	NO 🗆	Initiated or implemented a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) for all heavy equipment maintenance or storage yards, and material storage facilities owned or operated by the Permittee in areas subject to this Permit that are not required to have coverage under the Industrial Stormwater General Permit? (S5.C.5.i)
		Comments:
86. YES 🗆	NO 🗆	Is there an approved Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) applicable to stormwater discharges from a MS4s owned or operated by the Permittee? (S7)
87. YES □	NO □ NA □	Complied with the specific requirements identified in Appendix 2? (S7.A) Comments:

88. YES 🗆	NO □ NA □	Attached status report of TMDL implementation? (S7.A)
		Comments:
89. YES □	NO □ NA □	Where monitoring was required in Appendix 2, did you conduct the monitoring according to a Quality Assurance Project Plan? (S7.A)
		Comments:
90. YES 🗆	NO 🗆 NA 🗆	Took appropriate action to correct or minimize the threat to human health or the environment or otherwise stop or correct the condition of any instances of non-compliance with any of the terms and conditions of this Permit, including discharges from the Permittee's MS4 which may cause a threat to human heath or the environment? (G20 and S4.F)
		Comments:
91. YES 🗆	NO 🗆 NA 🗆	Notified Ecology of the failure to comply with the permit terms and conditions within 30 days of becoming aware of the non-compliance? (G20 and S4.F)
		Comments:
92. YES 🗆	NO 🗆 NA 🗆	Notified Ecology immediately in cases where the Permittee becomes aware of a discharge from the Permittees MS4 which may cause or contribute to an eminent threat to human health or the environment? (G20 and S4.F)
		Comments:

VII. Information Collection, BMP Evaluation, and Monitoring

Complete sections A, B, and C for the Third and all following annual reports. Complete section D below for the fourth annual report only.

A. Information Collection

List below either the results of information collected and analyzed during the reporting period, including monitoring data (if any) and how to contact for additional information OR summarize the results of information collected and indicate how more complete information can be obtained. (S8.B.1., and S9)

B. SWMP Evaluation

You are required to assess the appropriateness of the BMPs you have selected to implement your SWMP. This evaluation is necessary to evaluate whether the MEP standard set by the permit is protective of water quality in your receiving water bodies. This assessment may be entirely qualitative. Select "NA" if you are not yet implementing BMPs for a component of the SWMP. (S8.B.2., and S9)

1. YES □ NO □ NA □	Are the BMPs selected and implemented for Public Outreach appropriate to minimize pollutants in the MS4 to the MEP?
	Comments:
2. YES NO NA	Are the BMPs selected and implemented for Public Involvement appropriate to minimize pollutants in the MS4 to the MEP?
	Comments:
3. YES □ NO □ NA □	Are the BMPs selected and implemented for Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination appropriate to minimize pollutants in the MS4 to the MEP?
	Comments:

4.	YES 🗆	Are the BMPs selected and implemented for Construction Stormwater Pollution Prevention appropriate to minimize pollutants in the MS4 to the MEP? <i>Comments:</i>
5.	YES 🗆	Are the BMPs selected and implemented for Post-Construction Runoff Management appropriate to minimize pollutants in the MS4 to the MEP? <i>Comments:</i>
6.	YES 🗆	Are the BMPs selected and implemented for Good Housekeeping for Municipal Operations appropriate to minimize pollutants in the MS4 to the MEP?

Comments:

C. Changes in BMPs or objectives (S8.B)

If any of the BMPs or objectives is being changed, list the old BMP and objective, the new BMP and objective, and a justification for the change below. (S8.B.2., and S9)

1. Old BMP:	Old Objective:
2. New BMP:	New Objective:
Justification for change:	
1. Old BMP:	Old Objective:
2. New BMP:	New Objective:
Justification for change:	

D. Preparation for future, long-term monitoring

Complete section D below for the fourth annual report only.

1. YES □	NO □ NA □	Identified outfalls or conveyances for long-term stormwater monitoring? Attach site maps and descriptions. (S8.C.2.a)
		Comments:
2. YES 🗆	NO 🗆 NA 🗆	Identified at least two questions for SWMP effectiveness monitoring and developed monitoring plans? (S8.C.2.b) Attach the proposed questions and monitoring plans for SWMP effectiveness monitoring. <i>Comments:</i>
3. YES 🗆	NO 🗆 NA 🗆	Monitoring plan developed for each question? Attach a copy of the monitoring plan. (S8.C.1.b.iii) <i>Comments:</i>
4. YES 🗆	NO 🗆	Identified sites in preparation for future, long-term monitoring? (S8.C.1.a., and S8.C.2.b) Attach a summary of the status of site identification for long-term stormwater monitoring; proposed questions for SWMP effectiveness monitoring; and status of developing the SWMP effectiveness monitoring plans. <i>Comments:</i>