Assignment 2 Grading Rubric

 Print this grading rubric, staple on top of your assignment, then place tape of Print name (as seen on official roster) Mark only one box below (required): □ Paper was submitted at least 1 day early in GWH 218 OR on-time in class □ Paper was submitted in class after class begins Counts as 1 day late. □ Paper was submitted LATE (Submit all late work directly to Canvas). Special Note: Work submitted on/after due date outside of class countered. 	n the ba	of class	s).			ropology artial day		.06D
Chart/Craph (CC) (5 points)	0%	20%	40%	60%	80%	100%	~~~]	~~~
Chart/Graph (CG) (5 points) CG1: Write-up provides description of the CG data with sufficient detail that it	0%	20%	40%	00%	80%	100%		
represents well the data presented in chart or graph (at least 1 paragraph) If CG is								
not present you cannot earn these points.	0	0.5	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.5	=	
CG2: Write-up provides information that places the CG in the context of the field site								
from which the data was collected (for this description use quotes, paraphrases, stories, academic sources, and other data that help you develop this component of the								
assignment) (at least 1 paragraph) If CG not is present you cannot earn these								
points.	0	0.5	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.5	=	
Chart/Graph (CG) Formatting Errors (Minus points) ~~ Maximum -5 points								
Failed to use original content acquired by the student through observation(s) and/or was								
that provided by the site supervisor.	0	-1.0	-2.0	-3.0	-4.0	-5.0	=	
CG did not meet all design and formatting requirements.								
CG was not numerical (used WHOLE NUMBERS, not just percentages). One or more lebels that were needed was large NOT provided.								
One or more labels that were needed was/were NOT provided. One of more labels that were needed was/were NOT provided.	0	-1.0	-2.0	-3.0	-4.0	-5.0	=	
CG was NOT embedded using word-wrap and width is no more than 3.5" CG was NOT correctly referenced in-text as <u>Figure 1</u> and/or not typed on same page (or p	revious	nage if		0	-1.0	-2.0	=	
formatting necessitates)	nevious	page II		0	-1.0	-2.0	=	
Student failed to mark (in pencil on the left side of paper) the 2 paragraphs associated with	h the cha	art/graph						
(CG1/CG2).				0	-0.5	-1.0	=	
Interview (10 points)	0%	20%	40%	60%	80%	100%]	
A heading that provided the basics as outlined by the assignment description was placed a	ot the top	of the t	roncerint		0	0.5	_	
Transcript of the interview with the interviewer's questions (typed) and interviewee's	п ше юр	or the ti	anscript	•	0	0.5	_	
responses (typed or hand-written) is provided which has at least 5 questions.	0	0.4	0.8	1.2	1.6	2.0	=	
Extensive use of voice (interview, 5+ uses) was noted using paraphrases and quotes								
from the interview. These in-text citations were used to document the information								
and/or perspective of the interviewee concerning the social structure and social organization of the field site.	0	1.5	3.0	4.5	6.0	7.5		
	0	1.5	3.0	4.5	0.0	1.5		
Interview Formatting Errors (Minus points) ~~ Maximum -10 points	Ι ο	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	5.0	1 1	
Paraphrases and/or quotes from the interview were NOT properly cited in-text. The questions failed to add new information to the data collection efforts, questions	0	-1.0	-2.0	-3.0	-4.0	-5.0	=	
were redundant of other sources, and/or they were not anthropological in content.	0	-0.5	-1.0	-1.5	-2.0	-2.5	=	
Student failed to highlight the associated in-text citation for each time an interview paraple	hrase or							
in the paper.				0	-0.5	-1.0	=	
Interview was NOT properly cited the References.			1	0	-0.5	-1.0	=	
Student failed to provide the permission slip of any interviewee who was cited in the text signature(s) & be properly completed).	t (must n	ave an o	riginai	0	-5.0	-10.0	_	
	007	2007	1007			l.	1 <u> </u>	
Descriptions from Field Notes (And Optional Sources) (10 points)	0%	20%	40%	60%	80%	100%		
The write-up provides the context (an extensive description) of the field site which was								
documented using field notes (required), websites associated with the field site (optional), handouts/application forms from the field site (optional), and other materials								
(optional). Also use quotes, paraphrases, stories, academic sources, and other data that								
help you develop this component of the assignment. Provide concrete and specific								
examples of the social organization and social structure.	0	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	=	
The writer articulated the significance of these descriptions ("thick description").	0	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	=	
Field Notes Formatting Errors (Minus points) ~~ Maximum -10 points								
If used, paraphrases and/or quotes from the field notes was/were NOT properly cited as	0	1.0	2.0	2.0	4.0	5.0		
personal communications in-text. Student failed to highlight (in a second color) the associated in-text citation for each time		-1.0	-2.0	-3.0	-4.0	-5.0	=	
paraphrased or quoted in the paper.	a person	ar comin	vaii	1140	0	-2.5	=	
Field notes were erroneously cited in the References.					0	-2.5	=	

This page left blank intentionally.

I am asking you to submit your rubric single-sided.

Caution: No need to submit this page.

Quality of Writing (25 points)	0%	20%	40%	60%	80%	100%		
Presents a clear and focused thesis and follows an effective linear								
organizational strategy that moves the thesis along.	0	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	=	
Demonstrates critical thinking skills (analysis, synthesis, & logical/objective								
reasoning).	0	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	=	
Demonstrates college level writing skills, including audience awareness,								
appropriate tone, effective transitions, paragraph unity and coherence.	0	3.0	6.0	9.0	12.0	15.0	=	

General Content and Formatting Errors (Minus points)								
Assignment does not follow other standard in-text APA citation style								
requirements (not elsewhere outlined in this rubric, where applicable).	0	-0.5	-1.0	-1.5	-2.0	-2.5	=	
Paper failed to demonstrate grammatical and/or mechanical competency.	0	-1.0	-2.0	-3.0	-4.0	-5.0	=	
Other errors in formatting of paper observed (i.e.; margins incorrect, typos, font								
not standard, or other evidence that standard formatting of college-level paper								
was/were not observed).	0	-1.0	-2.0	-3.0	-4.0	-5.0	=	
Student failed to show that they have read and interpreted the assignment and								
followed through on the assignment's specifics (page length, & other								
components outlined in the assignment description).	0	-1.0	-2.0	-3.0	-4.0	-5.0	=	

Instructor's Use Only					
A: Total possible points					50
B: Missed Points (quality of your work & errors)					()
C: Student failed to print rubric, completely fill it out and staple to front of assignment.					(-1)
D: Student printed the paper double-sided.					(-1)
E: Late work penalty (Minus 5 points/day or partial day)		X	-5	=	()
	Total Points Earned				