Results & Discussion Grading Rubric

Print this grading rubric, staple on top of your assignment, then place tape on the back of the staple. Submissions are due at the START of class; after class begins this late work counts as 1 day late.

- 1) Print this grading rubric, staple on top of your assignment; then place tape on the back of the staple
- 2) Print name (as seen on official roster)
- 3) Mark only one box below (required):

□ Paper was submitted early OR on-time in class (start of class).

□ Paper was submitted in class after class begins -- Counts as 1 day late.

Paper was submitted outside of class (GWH 218) on/after the due date on ___/__/201__ at _____ a.m./p.m.

Special Note: Work submitted on/after due date outside of class counts as 10% grade penalty/day (no "partial days").

Research Process (22 points) OP 20% 40% 60% 80% 100% Specifics about the purpose of the research process were included in the paper. This includes stating one or more hypotheses. 0 1.5 3.0 4.5 6.0 7.5 = \sim Specific finding of your fick/ower key privided. A discussion of each independent variable was presented as in the properties of the thigh lighted the results 0 1.5 3.0 4.5 6.0 7.5 = \sim A flexa too ar paragraphy was choosed to a discussion of the CG, which highlighted the results 0 1.5 3.0 4.0 5.0 = \sim Decassion of the processed, rest in raw from or as table. 0 1.0 1.0 2.0 3.0 4.0 5.0 = \sim Data is original and numerical (and not in 3-D format). 0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 = \sim Data show in CC relets the hypothesis/hypothese tested. 0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 = \sim Ca ands on is nore drop on the data - all information excle discussion of the dat		r	1	r –	r	r		٦	
includes sating one or more hypotheses. 0 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 2.5 = $\sum_{\text{presented}} \sum_{\text{presented}} \sum_{pre$	Research Process (25 points)	0%	20%	40%	60%	80%	100%		
Specific findings of your fieldwork were provided. A discussion of each independent variable with each dependent varin each dependent dependent variable with each dep		0	0.5	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.5		
variable with each dependent variable was presented. 0 1.5 3.0 4.5 6.0 7.5 = relates to one calm independent variable was presented at it 0 1.5 3.0 4.5 6.0 7.5 = A cleast one prapringh was devoted to a discussion of the CG, which highlighted the results 0 1.0 2.0 3.0 4.0 5.0 = Discussion of rends that are found through an analysis of the data. 0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 = Data is original and numerical (and on in 3-D format). 0 0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 = Data is original and numerical (and on in 3-D format). 0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 =		0	0.5	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.5	=	
Adjectusion of each independent variable with each dependent variable was presented as it relates to one or more hypotheses. 0 1.5 3.0 4.5 6.0 7.5 = At least one paragraph was devoted to a discussion of the CG, which highlighted the results presented by his resource. CG must be present to earn these points. 0 1.0 2.0 2.5 =		0	1.5	2.0	15	6.0	75	_	
relates to one or more hypotheses. 0 1.5 3.0 4.5 6.0 7.5 = Discussion of treds by this resource. CG must be present to earn these points. 0 0.5 1.0 1.0 2.0 3.0 4.0 5.0 =		0	1.5	5.0	4.5	0.0	1.5	=	
At least one paragraph was devoted to a discussion of the CG, which highlighted the results of the results accord CG must be present to arean these points. $0 = 0.5$ 1.0 1.5 2.0 2.5 $= -$ Chart or Graph (CG) (5 points) 0% 20% 40% 60% 80% 100% Data presented by his processed, not in raw form or as a table. $0 = 0.1$ 0.2 0.3 0.4 40 5.5 $= -$ Data is progensed, not in raw form or as a table. $0 = 0.1$ 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 $= -$ Data presented by his propersion (CG) (5 points) 0% 20% 40% 60% 80% 100% Data presented and not in 3-D format). $0 = 0.1$ 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 $= -$ Data is original and numerical (and not in 3-D format). $0 = 0.1$ 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 $= -$ Data show in CF effects the hypothesis/		0	1.5	3.0	45	6.0	75	_	
presented by this resource. GG must be present to earn these points. 0 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 2.5 = 2.5 = 2.5 Discussion of rends that are found through an analysis of the data. 0 1.0 2.0 3.0 4.0 5.0 = 2.5 Data presented is processed, not in raw form or as a table. 0 0 1.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 = 2.5 Data is original and numerical data on in 3.5 formatu. 0 0 1.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 = 2.5 Data is original and numerical rad numerical numerical numerical rad numerical		0	1.5	5.0	ч.5	0.0	7.5	_	
Discussion of trends that are found through an analysis of the data. 0 1.0 2.0 3.0 4.0 5.0 =		0	0.5	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.5	=	
Chart or Graph (CG) (5 points) 0% 20% 40% 60% 80% 100% Data is original and numerical (and on in 3-D forma). 0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 = Data is original and numerical (and on in 3-D forma). 0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 = Data show in CG reflexts the hypothesis/hypotheses tested. 0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 = CG used is well-organized and easy to read. 0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 = CG used is well-organized and easy to read. 0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 = CG used is well-organized and easy to read. 0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 = CG stands on its discussion of the respected results ac compared to the actual findings. 0 1.5 3.0 4.5 6.0 7.5 =								=	
Data presented is processed, not in raw form or as a table. 0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 = Data is original and numerical (and not in 3-D format). 0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 = Data show in C renet on proper format. 0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 = Data show in C Gr eflects the hypothesis/hypotheses tested. 0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 = CG used is well-organized and easy to read. 0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 = CG used is well-organized and easy to read. 0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 = CG stands on its own for the distary organized and easy to read. 0 0.3 0.6 0.9 1.2 1.5 = Presented aliceussion of the results as compared to the actual findings. 0 1.5 3.0 4.5 6.0 7.5 = = Presented aliceussion of the results. 0 1.5 3.0 4.5 6.0 7.5 = = Presentel aliceussion of the results.		-						1	
Data is original and numerical (and not in 3-D format). 0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 = All labels that ne needed are provided (i.e.; CG title, axes titles (if applicable), legends, and/or data labels are in proper format. 0 0.3 0.6 0.9 1.2 1.5 = Data shown in CG reflects the hypothesis/hypotheses tested. 0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 = CG stands on its own for the display of the data all information needed to read the CG is available to the audience. 0 0.3 0.6 0.9 1.2 1.5 = Discussion and Conclusion (30 points) 0% 20% 40% 60% 80% 100% Presented a discussion of the expected results as compared to the actual findings. 0 1.5 3.0 4.5 6.0 7.5 = Presented a discussion of the esignificance of your results and discussion. 0 1.5 3.0 4.5 6.0 7.5 =									
All labels that are needed are provided (i.e.; CG tile, axes titles (if applicable), legends, and/or duta labels are in proper format. Data shown in CG reflects the hypothesis/hypotheses tested. CG used is well-organized and easy to read. CG stards on its own for the display of the data all information needed to read the CG is available to the audience. Discussion and Conclusion (30 points) Presented a discussion of the results as compared to the actual findings. Presented a discussion of the results as compared to the actual findings. Discussion of the results as compared to those of fellow classmates or to professionally published results. Depresented a discussion of the results as compared to those of fellow classmates or to professionally published results. Dublished results. Depresented a discussion of the results as compared to those of fellow classmates or to professionally published results. Dublished results. Depresented a discussion of the results as compared to those of fellow classmates or to professionally published results. Dublished results. Depresented a discussion of the results as compared to those of fellow classmates or to professionally published results. Dublished results and follows an effective linear organizational strategy that moves the thesis along. Duemostrates college level withing skills, including audience awareness, appropriate toreo, effect		-							
and/or data labels are in proper format. 0 0.3 0.6 0.9 1.2 1.5 = Data shown in CG reflects the hypothesis/hypotheses tested. 0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 = CG studies well-organized and easy to read. 0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 = CG studies well-organized and easy to read. 0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.6 0.9 1.2 1.5 = Discussion and Conclusion (30 points) 0% 20% 40% 60% 80% 100% Presented a discussion of the expected results as compared to the actual findings. 0 1.5 3.0 4.5 6.0 7.5 = Presented a discussion of the expected results 0 1.5 3.0 4.5 6.0 7.5 =		0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	=	
Data shown in CC reflexs the hypothesis/hypotheses tested.00.10.20.30.40.5zCG used is well-organized and easy to read.CG stards on its own for the display of the data all information needed to read the CG is available to the audience.00.10.20.30.40.5z		0	0.3	0.6	0.0	1.2	15	_	
CG used is well-organized and easy to read.00.10.20.30.40.5=CG stands on its own for the display of the data all information needed to read the CG is available to the audience.00.30.60.91.21.5=Discussion and Conclusion (30 points)0%20%40%60%80%100%Presented a discussion of the expected results as compared to the actual findings.01.53.04.56.07.5=Presented a discussion of the explts as compared to those of fellow classmates or to professionally published results.01.53.04.56.07.5=Explained, analyzed, and interpreted the results.01.53.04.56.07.5=Quality of Writing (40 points)0%20%40%60%80%100%Demonstrates critical thinking skills, using analysis, synthesis and logical/objective reasoning02.04.06.08.010.0=Presents a clear and focused thesis and follows an effective linear organizational strategy that moves the thesis along.02.04.06.08.010.0=Presents a clear and focused thesis and format of college work, as specified in this assignment (APA style, including proper indentation, citation style, and so forth).0-1.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=Other errors in formatting of paper observed (i.e.; margins incorrect, typos, font not standard, or bud exigon and formating of college-level payre was/were not observe		-							
CG stands on its own for the display of the data all information needed to read the CG is available to the audience.00.30.60.91.21.5=Discussion and Conclusion (30 points)0%20%40%60%80%100%Presented a discussion of the expected results as compared to the actual findings.01.53.04.56.07.5=Presented a discussion of the explete as compared to those of fellow classmates or to professionally published results as compared to those of fellow classmates or to portosionally published results01.53.04.56.07.5=Explained, analyzed, and interpreted the results01.53.04.56.07.5=Quality of Writing (40 points)0%20%40%60%80%100%Demonstrates critical linking skills, using analysis, synthesis and logical/objective reasoning0%2.04.06.08.01.0=Presents a clear and focused thesis and follows an effective linear organizational strategy toft criter trainstions, paragraph unity and coherence, and grammatical and mechanical competency.01.08.010.0=Pormatting (40 paints)D1.02.04.08.012.016.020.0=Demonstrates college level writing skills, including audience awareness, appropriate tone, effective trainstions, paragraph unity and coherence, and grammatical and mechanical 01.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=Demonstrates college level writing skills, in		-							
available to the audience. 0 0.3 0.6 0.9 1.2 1.5 = Discussion and Conclusion (30 points) 0% 20% 40% 60% 80% 100% Presented adiscussion of the results as compared to the actual findings. 0 1.5 3.0 4.5 6.0 7.5 =		0	0.1	0.2	0.5	0.4	0.5	=	
Discussion and Conclusion (30 points)00%20%40%60%80%100%Presented a discussion of the expected results as compared to the actual findings.01.53.04.56.07.5=Presented a discussion of the results as compared to those of fellow classmates or to01.53.04.56.07.5=Explained, analyzed, and interpreted the results.01.53.04.56.07.5=The conclusion explained the significance of your results and discussion.01.53.04.56.07.5=Quality of Writing (40 points)0%20%40%60%80%100%Demonstrates critical thinking skills, using analysis, synthesis and logical/objective02.04.06.08.010.0=Demonstrates college level writing skills, including andience awareness, appropriat tone, effective transitions, paragraph unity and coherence, and grammatical and mechanical04.08.012.016.020.0=Competency.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=		0	0.3	0.6	0.9	12	15	_	
Presented a discussion of the expected results as compared to the actual findings.01.53.04.56.07.5=Presented a discussion of the results as compared to those of fellow classmates or to professionally published results.01.53.04.56.07.5=Explained, analyzed, and interpreted the results.01.53.04.56.07.5=The conclusion explained the significance of your results and discussion.01.53.04.56.07.5=Quality of Writing (40 points)0%20%40%60%80%100%=Demonstrates critical thinking skills, using analysis, synthesis and logical/objective te reasoning02.04.06.08.010.0=Presents a clear and focused thesis and follows an effective linear organizational strategy that moves the thesis along.02.04.06.08.010.0=Demonstrates critical thinking skills, including audience awareness, appropriate tone, effective transitions, paragraph unity and coherence, and grammatical and mechanical competency.02.04.06.08.010.0=			1	1				1	
Presented a discussion of the results as compared to those of fellow classmates or to professionally published results $0 1.5 3.0 4.5 6.0 7.5 = \\ \hline \text{Explained, analyzed, and interpreted the results.} \\ 0 1.5 3.0 4.5 6.0 7.5 = \\ \hline \text{The conclusion explained the significance of your results and discussion.} \\ 0 1.5 3.0 4.5 6.0 7.5 = \\ \hline \text{Duality of Writing (40 points)} \\ \hline \text{Demonstrates critical thinking skills, using analysis, synthesis and logical/objective reasoning \\ \hline \text{Demonstrates critical thinking skills, using analysis, synthesis and logical/objective reasoning \\ \hline \text{Demonstrates critical thinking skills, using analysis, synthesis and logical/objective reasoning \\ \hline \text{Demonstrates college level writing skills, including audience awareness, appropriate tone, effective transitions, paragraph unity and coherence, and grammatical and mechanical competency. \\ \hline \text{Demonstrates college level writing skills, including audience awareness, appropriate tone, effective transitions, paragraph unity and coherence, and grammatical and mechanical competency. \\ \hline \text{Other errors in formating of paper observed (i.e.; margins incorrect, typos, font not standard, or other evidence that standard formatting of college-level paper was/were not observed. \\ \hline \text{Of ther Sources of Error} \\ \hline \text{Student submitted data in the form of a table rather than as a CG. \\ \hline \text{CG format was incorrect} (i.e.; width >3.5", not word wrapped,, not referred to as Figure 1) and/or the CG design was not correct. \\ \hline Student submitted duat in the discussion and/or conclusion. \\ \hline \text{Student submitted hat in the form of a table rather than as a CG. \\ \hline \text{Student submitted hat in the form of a table rather than as CG. \\ \hline \text{Student submitted hat in the discussion and/or conclusion. \\ \hline \text{Student submitted hat in the discussion and/or conclusion. \\ \hline \text{Student submitted hat in the discussion and/or conclusion. \\ \hline \text{Student submitted hat in the form of the data in the Results section of a laboratory repo$									
professionally published results 0 1.5 3.0 4.5 6.0 7.5 = Explained, analyzed, and interpreted the results. 0 1.5 3.0 4.5 6.0 7.5 = Quality of Writing (40 points) 0 1.5 3.0 4.5 6.0 7.5 = Quality of Writing (40 points) 0% 20% 40% 60% 80% 100% Demonstrates critical thinking skills, using analysis, synthesis and logical/objective reasoning 0 2.0 4.0 6.0 8.0 10.0 =		0	1.5	3.0	4.5	6.0	7.5	=	
Explained, analyzed, and interpreted the results.01.53.04.56.07.5=The conclusion explained the significance of your results and discussion.01.53.04.56.07.5=Quality of Writing (40 points)0%20%40%60%80%100%Demonstrates critical linking skills, using analysis, synthesis and logical/objective02.04.06.08.010.0=Presents a clear and focused thesis and follows an effective linear organizational strategy02.04.06.08.010.0=Demonstrates college level writing skills, including audience awareness, appropriate tore, effective transitions, paragraph unity and coherence, and grammatical and mechanical competency.04.08.012.016.020.0=									
The conclusion explained the significance of your results and discussion.01.53.04.56.07.5=Quality of Writing (40 points)0%20%40%60%80%100%Demonstrates critical thinking skills, using analysis, synthesis and logical/objective reasoning02.04.06.08.010.0=Presents a clear and focused thesis and follows an effective linear organizational strategy that moves the thesis along.02.04.06.08.010.0=Demonstrates college level writing skills, including audience awareness, appropriate tone, effective transitions, paragraph unity and coherence, and grammatical and mechanical competency.04.08.012.016.020.0=Formatting (minus points)Assignment (APA style, including proper indentation, citation style, and so forth).0-1.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=							7.5		
Quality of Writing (40 points)0%20%40%60%80%100%Demonstrates critical thinking skills, using analysis, synthesis and logical/objective02.04.06.08.010.0=Presents a clear and focused thesis and follows an effective linear organizational strategy that moves the thesis along.02.04.06.08.010.0=Demonstrates college level writing skills, including audience awareness, appropriate tone, ompetency.02.04.06.08.010.0=Presents a clear and focused thesis and format of college work, as specified in this assignment (APA style, including proper indentation, citation style, and so forth).0-1.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=Other errors in formatting of paper observed (i.e.; margins incorrect, typos, font not standard, or other evidence that standard formatting of college-level paper was/were not observed).0-1.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=Other Sources of ErrorStudent submitted data in the form of a table rather than as a CG. college-level paper was/were not colserved).0-1.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=Student failed to present each dependent (observed) and independent (expected) variables collected in the laboratory.0-1.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=Student submitted new data in the discussion and/or conclusion.0-1.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=Student submitted new data in the discussion and/or conclusion.0		-							
Demonstrates critical thinking skills, using analysis, synthesis and logical/objective02.04.06.08.010.0=reasoning02.04.06.08.010.0=	The conclusion explained the significance of your results and discussion.	0	1.5	3.0	4.5	6.0	7.5	=	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Quality of Writing (40 points)	0%	20%	40%	60%	80%	100%		
Presents a clear and focused thesis and follows an effective linear organizational strategy that moves the thesis along.02.04.06.08.010.0=Demonstrates college level writing skills, including audience awareness, appropriate tone, effective transitions, paragraph unity and coherence, and grammatical and mechanical competency.04.06.08.010.0=	Demonstrates critical thinking skills, using analysis, synthesis and logical/objective								
that moves the thesis along. 0 2.0 4.0 6.0 8.0 10.0 =		0	2.0	4.0	6.0	8.0	10.0	=	
Demonstrates college level writing skills, including audience awareness, appropriate tone, effective transitions, paragraph unity and coherence, and grammatical and mechanical competency.04.08.012.016.020.0=									
effective transitions, paragraph unity and coherence, and grammatical and mechanical competency.04.08.012.016.020.0=Formatting (minus points)Assignment does not follow the standard format of college work, as specified in this assignment (APA style, including proper indentation, citation style, and so forth).0-1.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=Other errors in formatting of paper observed (i.e.; margins incorrect, typos, font not standard, or other evidence that standard formatting of college-level paper was/were not observed).0-1.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=Other errorsStudent submitted data in the form of a table rather than as a CG.0-1.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=CG format was incorrect (i.e.; width >3.5", not word wrapped., not referred to as Figure 1) and/or the CG design was not correct.0-1.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=Student failed to present each dependent (observed) and independent (expected) variables collected in the laboratory.0-1.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=Student presented information that is more appropriate for the Methods and Materials section of a laboratory report.0-1.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=Student presented information that is more appropriate for the Results section of a laboratory report.0-1.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=		0	2.0	4.0	6.0	8.0	10.0	=	
competency.04.08.012.016.020.0=Formatting (minus points)Assignment (APA style, including proper indentation, citation style, and so forth).0-1.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=Other errors in formatting of paper observed (i.e.; margins incorrect, typos, font not standard, or other evidence that standard formatting of college-level paper was/were not observed).0-1.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=Other Sources of ErrorStudent submitted data in the form of a table rather than as a CG.0-1.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=CG format was incorrect, (i.e.; width >3.5", not word wrapped,, not referred to as Figure 1) and/or the CG design was not correct.0-1.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=Student submitted data in the discussion and/or conclusion.0-1.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=Student submitted new data in the discussion and/or conclusion.0-1.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=Student presented information that is more appropriate for the Methods and Materials section of a laboratory report.0-1.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=Student presented interpretations of the data in the Results section (should be in a Discussion section of a laboratory paper).0-1.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=Studen									
The formatting (minus points)Assignment does not follow the standard format of college work, as specified in this assignment (APA style, including proper indentation, citation style, and so forth).0-1.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=Other errors in formatting of paper observed (i.e.; margins incorrect, typos, font not standard, or other evidence that standard formatting of college-level paper was/were not observed).0-1.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=		0	4.0	00	12.0	16.0	20.0	_	
Assignment does not follow the standard format of college work, as specified in this assignment (APA style, including proper indentation, citation style, and so forth).0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 =		0	4.0	8.0	12.0	10.0	20.0	=	
assignment (APA style, including proper indentation, citation style, and so forth).0-1.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=Other errors in formatting of paper observed (i.e.; margins incorrect, typos, font not standard, or other evidence that standard formatting of college-level paper was/were not observed).0-1.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=									
Other errors in formatting of paper observed (i.e.; margins incorrect, typos, font not standard, or other evidence that standard formatting of college-level paper was/were not observed). 0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 $=$ Other Sources of ErrorStudent submitted data in the form of a table rather than as a CG. 0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 $=$ CG format was incorrect (i.e.; width $>3.5^{\circ}$, not word wrapped., not referred to as Figure 1) and/or the CG design was not correct. 0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 $=$ Student failed to present each dependent (observed) and independent (expected) variables collected in the laboratory. 0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 $=$ Student submitted new data in the discussion and/or conclusion. 0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 $=$ Student presented information that is more appropriate for the Methods and Materials section of a laboratory report. 0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 $=$ Student presented interpretations of the data in the Results section (should be in a Discussion section of a laboratory paper). 0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 $=$ Student presented information that is more appropriate for the Results section of a laboratory report. 0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 $=$ Mather the discussion section of a laboratory paper). 0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 <td>Assignment does not follow the standard format of college work, as specified in this</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Assignment does not follow the standard format of college work, as specified in this								
standard, or other evidence that standard formatting of college-level paper was/were not observed).0-1.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=Other Sources of ErrorStudent submitted data in the form of a table rather than as a CG.0-1.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=CG format was incorrect (i.e.; width >3.5", not word wrapped., not referred to as Figure 1) and/or the CG design was not correct.0-1.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=Student failed to present each dependent (observed) and independent (expected) variables collected in the laboratory.0-1.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=Student submitted new data in the discussion and/or conclusion.0-1.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=Student presented information that is more appropriate for the Methods and Materials section of a laboratory paper).0-1.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=Student presented information that is more appropriate for the Results section of a laboratory paper).0-1.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=Student presented information that is more appropriate for the Results section of a laboratory paper).0-1.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=Student presented information that is more appropriate for the Results section of a laboratory report.0-1.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=Has not interpreted the assignment and/or not followed through on the assignment's0-		0	-1.0	-2.0	-3.0	-4.0	-5.0	=	
observed).0-1.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=Other Sources of ErrorStudent submitted data in the form of a table rather than as a CG.0-1.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=CG format was incorrect (i.e.; width >3.5", not word wrapped., not referred to as Figure 1) and/or the CG design was not correct.0-1.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=Student failed to present each dependent (observed) and independent (expected) variables collected in the laboratory.0-1.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=Student submitted new data in the discussion and/or conclusion.0-1.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=Student presented information that is more appropriate for the Methods and Materials section of a laboratory report.0-1.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=Student presented information that is more appropriate for the Results section of a laboratory report.0-1.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=Student presented information that is more appropriate for the Results section of a laboratory report.0-1.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=Student presented information that is more appropriate for the Results section of a laboratory report.0-1.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=Has not interpreted the assignment and/or not followed through on the assignment's0-1.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=									
Other Sources of ErrorStudent submitted data in the form of a table rather than as a CG.0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 =CG format was incorrect (i.e.; width >3.5", not word wrapped., not referred to as Figure 1) and/or the CG design was not correct.0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 =Student failed to present each dependent (observed) and independent (expected) variables collected in the laboratory.0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 =Student submitted new data in the discussion and/or conclusion.0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 =Student presented information that is more appropriate for the Methods and Materials section of a laboratory report.0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 =Student presented information that is more appropriate for the Results section (should be in a Discussion section of a laboratory paper).0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 =Student presented information that is more appropriate for the Results section of a laboratory report.0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 =Student presented information that is more appropriate for the Results section of a laboratory report.0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 =Materials has not interpreted the assignment and/or not followed through on the assignment's0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 =		0	1.0	2.0	2.0	4.0	5.0	_	
Student submitted data in the form of a table rather than as a CG.0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 =CG format was incorrect (i.e.; width >3.5 ", not word wrapped., not referred to as Figure 1) and/or the CG design was not correct.0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 =Student failed to present each dependent (observed) and independent (expected) variables collected in the laboratory.0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 =Student submitted new data in the discussion and/or conclusion.0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 =Student presented information that is more appropriate for the Methods and Materials section of a laboratory report. 0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 =Student presented information that is more appropriate for the Results section (should be in a Discussion section of a laboratory paper). 0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 =Student presented information that is more appropriate for the Results section of a laboratory report. 0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 =Multiple has not interpreted the assignment and/or not followed through on the assignment's 0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 =		0	-1.0	-2.0	-3.0	-4.0	-5.0	-	
CG format was incorrect (i.e.; width >3.5", not word wrapped., not referred to as Figure 1) and/or the CG design was not correct.0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 =Student failed to present each dependent (observed) and independent (expected) variables collected in the laboratory.0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 =Student submitted new data in the discussion and/or conclusion.0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 =Student presented information that is more appropriate for the Methods and Materials section of a laboratory report.0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 =Student presented interpretations of the data in the Results section (should be in a Discussion section of a laboratory paper).0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 =Student presented information that is more appropriate for the Results section of a laboratory report.0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 =Mathematical information that is more appropriate for the Results section of a laboratory report.0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 =Mathematical information that is more appropriate for the Results section of a laboratory report.0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 =Mathematical information that is more appropriate for the Results section of a laboratory report.0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 =Has not interpreted the assignment				-			-	гт	
and/or the CG design was not correct.0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 =Student failed to present each dependent (observed) and independent (expected) variables collected in the laboratory.0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 =Student submitted new data in the discussion and/or conclusion.0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 =Student presented information that is more appropriate for the Methods and Materials section of a laboratory report.0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 =Student presented interpretations of the data in the Results section (should be in a Discussion section of a laboratory paper).0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 =Student presented information that is more appropriate for the Results section of a laboratory report.0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 =Mathematical information that is more appropriate for the Results section of a laboratory report.0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 =Has not interpreted the assignment and/or not followed through on the assignment's0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 =		0	-1.0	-2.0	-3.0	-4.0	-5.0	=	
Student failed to present each dependent (observed) and independent (expected) variables collected in the laboratory. 0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 $=$ Student submitted new data in the discussion and/or conclusion. 0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 $=$ Student presented information that is more appropriate for the Methods and Materials section of a laboratory report. 0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 $=$ Student presented interpretations of the data in the Results section (should be in a Discussion section of a laboratory paper). 0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 $=$ Student presented information that is more appropriate for the Results section of a laboratory report. 0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 $=$ Has not interpreted the assignment and/or not followed through on the assignment's 0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 $=$		0	1.0	•	•	1.0			
collected in the laboratory.0-1.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=Student submitted new data in the discussion and/or conclusion.0-1.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=Student presented information that is more appropriate for the Methods and Materials section of a laboratory report.0-1.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=Student presented interpretations of the data in the Results section (should be in a Discussion section of a laboratory paper).0-1.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=Student presented information that is more appropriate for the Results section of a laboratory report.0-1.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=Mathematical information that is more appropriate for the Results section of a laboratory report.0-1.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=Has not interpreted the assignment and/or not followed through on the assignment's0-1.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=		0	-1.0	-2.0	-3.0	-4.0	-5.0	=	
Student submitted new data in the discussion and/or conclusion.0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 =Student presented information that is more appropriate for the Methods and Materials section of a laboratory report.0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 =Student presented interpretations of the data in the Results section (should be in a Discussion section of a laboratory paper).0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 =Student presented information that is more appropriate for the Results section of a laboratory report.0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 =Has not interpreted the assignment and/or not followed through on the assignment's0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 =		0	1.0	2.0	2.0	4.0	5.0		
Student presented information that is more appropriate for the Methods and Materials section of a laboratory report. 0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 $=$ Student presented interpretations of the data in the Results section (should be in a Discussion section of a laboratory paper). 0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 $=$ Student presented information that is more appropriate for the Results section of a laboratory report. 0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 $=$ Has not interpreted the assignment and/or not followed through on the assignment's 0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 $=$	•			-					
section of a laboratory report.0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 =Student presented interpretations of the data in the Results section (should be in a Discussion section of a laboratory paper).0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 =Student presented information that is more appropriate for the Results section of a laboratory report.0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 =Has not interpreted the assignment and/or not followed through on the assignment's0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 =		0	-1.0	-2.0	-3.0	-4.0	-5.0	=	
Student presented interpretations of the data in the Results section (should be in a Discussion section of a laboratory paper).0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 =Student presented information that is more appropriate for the Results section of a laboratory report.0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 =Has not interpreted the assignment and/or not followed through on the assignment's0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 =		0	-1.0	-2.0	-3.0	-4.0	-5.0	_	
Discussion section of a laboratory paper).0-1.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=Student presented information that is more appropriate for the Results section of a laboratory report.0-1.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=Has not interpreted the assignment and/or not followed through on the assignment's-1.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=		0	-1.0	-2.0	-3.0	-4.0	-3.0		
Student presented information that is more appropriate for the Results section of a laboratory report.0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 =Has not interpreted the assignment and/or not followed through on the assignment's0 -1.0 -2.0 -3.0 -4.0 -5.0 =		0	-1.0	-2.0	-3.0	-4.0	-5.0	=	
laboratory report.0-1.0-2.0-3.0-4.0-5.0=Has not interpreted the assignment and/or not followed through on the assignment's	Student presented information that is more appropriate for the Results section of a	Ŭ	1.0	2.0	5.0		2.0		
Has not interpreted the assignment and/or not followed through on the assignment's		0	-1.0	-2.0	-3.0	-4.0	-5.0	=	
		0	-1.0	-2.0	-3.0	-4.0	-5.0	=	

Anthropology& 215

Instructor's Use Only	
A: Total Possible	100.0
B: Missed Points (Quality of your work)	()
C: Late Work Penalty or Delivered Under Door (Minus 10 points/day or partial day) X -10	
D: Student failed to print rubric, completely fill it out and staple to front of assignment.	(-1)
E: Student failed to print single-sided	(-1)
Total Points Earned	