ESTABLISHED · 1932

Final Stadium Masterplan Open House Exhibit, Nov 19&27, 2014 Feedback Form Responses and Answers

* Stakeholder responses have been organized into the overall subject headings and transcribed as written and submitted. Responses are in blue text and bolded.

Public Realm Presentation Board

- I think its great more people
- Concerns:
 - Shading on public square
 - I thought you said 10 story's max
 - o Traffic will the traffic circle work?
 - The school is applying for 15 more years as a charter which means this will cause bus congestion
- Plan looks well presented
- Useful helpful to see realistic renditions of what it will look like
- Well-designed and nice to look at. Slightly concerned with winter condition: may be a logistic nightmare
- Excellent configuration and amenities
- Must have area for community use indoors with a use agreement embedded
- Concept looks fantastic a definite improvement
- Well I guess love to build but do it as easily as possible for community so not under construction for years on end
- The earlier versions of rendering for public realm seemed a bit friendlier. I am finding that there's too much landscape in the development even though the treatment of the ground is better then average, overall it still feels a bit harsh. I would love to see a bit more softscape, or perhaps a bit more variation of surface treatment.

Bringing more people to the area will help contribute to a more vibrant community and the development will offer several different options for live, work, shopping or hospitality needs. There has never been a discussion about a maximum height for the project other than the height limit of 14 stories as identified in the Land Use. We rely on our transportation engineers and the City Administration to design and approve transportation solutions that will be effective in the long run. The images regarding the public realm are conceptual only and we will look to improve on and address further concerns as we work through the detailed design.

ESTABLISHED · 1932

Calgary Precedent Building Styles Presentation Board

- Pretty!
- Concerned in height of buildings adjacent to school grounds 12-14 floors block school grounds.
 - Morning sun concerned re shadowing of public space
 - Concerned re roads surround public space = safety concerns
- Buildings (some) are higher than McCaig tower, which is extremely high.
 Do you have concern that the public might not frequent the center if it's too dense and too congested.
- Inglewood, Mission and Bridgeland examples would look good at Stadium.
- Not too informative.
- Hard to know if it will end up looking like these.
- Beautiful design.
- Bridgeland seems to have it right. Definitely not "that 70's show" from Brentwood.
- Lower buildings allow more sunlight.
- No glass PLEASE.
- I think you are missing an opportunity here, wanting to have as many offices and rentals as possible – RATHER than actually building and selling some of the properties to stable, older, homeowners. I don't mind the hotel, even if it has a bar, because that will service the hospital and Tom Baker Centre.
- Building styles are really great and that will attract more residents.
- From the rendering the audience seems to be modern, modest and pleasant. Personally, I am not that thrilled about the super colorful blocks.
- Transparency on the Mazu and 2nd floors are very important.
- Style seems OK, but awfully high in a residential area.
- Not my style! Please don't put in a bunch of glass towers like we have at Brentwood and at 24th and Crowchild Trail. Please consider sustainability, heat efficiency and life span. Engineers are showing how glass towers fail after about 20 years. Good lighting from natural light is important but it needs to be done in a way that the building can last 100 years, not 20 years. Now that the problem is occurring more often buyers will be reluctant to invest in this type of construction. I would prefer to see more classic like brownstones, or the style of the buildings in Garrison Green (for example). You want this place to have a neighborhood welcoming feel, not a cold bunch of concrete and glass.
- None of these pictures show what styles will be used for the Stadium. So, it is irrelevant to show these. We will end up with building that looking nothing like these "examples" of styles, so these pictures are misleading.
 - A scale model of the development showing styles would be useful.
 We have no idea what the stadium will actually look like from

ESTABLISHED · 1932

drawing that often change in detail from one session to another. Will there be a coordinating architectural style? Will it be unified to look cohesive, or a hodgepodge of buildings with different styles?

- Looking forward to more useful commercial stores. Love the grocery store.
- Excellent balance, design and site amenity integrated.

The purpose of the precedent imagery was to spark conversation on different types of architectural styles as we have just begun this stage of design (as of December 2014). We appreciate the comments and will give them consideration as we work through the detailed design and architecture.

ESTABLISHED · 1932

Other Comments/Suggestions

Phasing

- There are far more unresolved issued than style. This so-called Final Plan just ignores the congestion that the current plans will create. What about the current retail stores? No attempt is made to provide incentive so these stores could come back. One doubts that retailers will survive the development.
- The "Built Form" board needs to explain the phasing in a form that indicates the break out per phase.
- Construction in one phase the entire project and other work would be ideal. The city should rebuild the 16th ave and the 19 st intersection in one reason rather than over 2 or 3 years as currently suggested.
- Please ensure there is a high focus on safety during construction phase.
- Please ensure the grocer tenant is semi-secured before taking everything down and finding the new space new rent is too high for groceries stores to come back in.

Transportation questions have been and will be reviewed as the project progresses. More specific answers to these questions can be found under the website summary of previously held stakeholder engagement and under Traffic/Transit tab on the website (www.westernsecurities.ca/stadium).

As of December 2014 we are considering this to be a project that we proceed with all at once and will take into account appropriate construction sequencing and staging requirements. Our expectation is that the full build out will take approximately five years but there are many factors that may change this at any time as we work through the detailed design of the development. Construction safety will be of utmost importance to our team during the build out of the project.

General Comments/Suggestions

- Don't put a hotel beside an elementary school.
- Positive community change.
- Please register my email [xxxxxxxxxx] let me know when residential complex will be available.
- Fabulous.
- Very poor. There should have been a Community Forum to discuss issues that are still unresolved.
- Looks great!

ESTABLISHED · 1932

- Why no public presentation of plans with presentation by WS, when the public ask questions and have answers from all participants. Why no specific details to parking, number of jobs created and number of residents? You have such estimates, why not show here? Why no for specific details? Estimates are fine.
- Too many issues missing on the presentation:
 - Nothing about underground parking, ok?
 - Nothing about office parking, ok?
 - o Nothing about shadow effects on site?
 - Nothing about walking to Foothills on a footbridge?
- I have something to say and that is, "Just because you can, doesn't mean you should." This development is too big for this space!!

Members of Western Securities and the design team have been available for questions or comments and input to the development for well over 3 years and we will continue to be accessible throughout the development permit process. Please contact us at anytime through our website at www.westernsecurities.ca/stadium, via email at stadium@westsec.ca or via phone at 403-263-6940.

The masterplan presentation was meant to outline the overall site masterplan. We are available to discuss issues such as parking at anytime and did answer many of these questions during the open houses. The exact details of parking were not provided at the open houses but it is important to understand that the City has bylaws and polices that will regulate parking counts as well as accessibility to the parking. Also, Western Securities strongly believes that parking design and accessibility are critical to the success of the redevelopment and will in turn spend a significant amount of time and resources to ensure a high quality parking experience.

Parking/Traffic

- Concern Re: traffic! Parking.
- I am generally in favor of the plan and consideration for the community. I think traffic will be better after the development.
- Based on the density of this project, access to 16th is a concern and congestion, which is a current problem, must be resolved.
- Traffic flow remains a primary concern.
- Is parking adequate?
- Numbers are missing:
 - Number office 200-300,000
 - o Residences 225

ESTABLISHED · 1932

- o Retail 60,000
- Sill concerns about the tunnels created by the buildings at the parkade entrance on the north end and at the NE area – discussed in workshop 3
- The proposed exit from the parkade -> Uxbridge may be safer because it sends cars right out of the site and not circulating around the plaza. Will the City agree to this? What happens at peak hours to traffic trying to get out and compete with existing traffic on Uxbridge? It would be helpful to see a simulation of this.
- Will be a lot of traffic on Uxbridge once all is built.
- How many underground parking stalls?
- How many aboveground parking spaces?
- There is inadequate surface parking.
- Extremely concerned about traffic at the end of Uxbridge. "Mixed Use" or not there will be more cars coming in and out of the site, and the right turn lane onto 16th will be gone, awkward entry/exit to gas station/Tim Horton's remains. I anticipate having to use 24th to access community much of the time. Very unhappy about this.
- Major concern is traffic and access to and from 16th ave. How is the WHC protected?
- There will be access issues for delivery vehicles to the retails store, restaurants and hotels. There's only one access point for most of them, off the roundabout. Some of those trucks can be quite big... trying to maneuver back and forward within the complex will be difficult to do safely, and will increase congestion, because of the narrow nature of the construction and with surface parking to negotiate to.
- Still not enough detail for my expectations, will have to wait now for the DP.
 - Big need to do all the necessary diligence re: traffic now that we know the plans for Lot 1 and 7 replacement at Foothills Medical Centre Plus ~ 1854 cars in the Cancer Centre Project. That is massive when added to the parking required at SSC. We cannot look at your project in isolation.
 - Not enough surface parking for quick trips could kill the businesses.
 My typical errand at SSC is 10mins or less, aside from visits to the restaurants.
 - Circulation Slide #5 use of alley between the church and the school is shown in the top right in orange as a local street and your bottom diagrams show the bike and pedestrians using this. I thought this area was restricted due to the concerns of the school and the church with car circulation being discouraged. Please clarify this. My conversation with City Transportation in Feb 2014 (meeting at the church) indicated that this section of road was an issue. Please don't send cars up there along with the bikes and

ESTABLISHED · 1932

pedestrians.

- o Good illustrations of the MD
- Traffic is very scary! Bad enough now.
- This intersection is already so challenged!! The height of the buildings along the school playground is too high. It looks like a lot of glass, other than the one residence near the church.
- It will affect the restaurant/establishment that the already build in the area.
- The accessibly is the road and parking seem to be wrong.
- I'm happy to see the site is quite permeable lots of street connecting, rather than a super dock cutting access through the site. To that point, make sure the connection by the parkade exit isn't narrow and dark. (Perhaps one wider path is better than 2 narrow ones.

Transportation questions have been and will be reviewed as the project progresses. More specific answers to many of these questions can be found under the website summary of previously held stakeholder Traffic/Transit engagement and under tab on the website (www.westernsecurities.ca/stadium). It is important to understand that there are major roadway infrastructure upgrades for the area required through the Stadium Area Redevelopment Plan. There is also a specific masterplan presentation board (from the public open houses) outlining the land use details and amounts (see masterplan boards posted on the website at www.westernsecurities.ca/stadium). Of note, the North/South alley connection between the church and the school is not intended to be an access to the Stadium site. The East/West extension of Unwin is intended to be turned into a street but our understanding from the City is that vehicles will be discouraged from the North/South route through appropriate transportation planning.

Housing

- How would the proposed developments affect local housing market?
 Would any of the residential plans accommodate university students?
 - Is the project funded privately or partly sponsored by the city of Calgary in either subsidies/loans/tax incentives?
 - Great presentations by the way.
- Hope there are "high-class" residential units. Fear the impact of "student" residential or leases that will deter the current homeowners from moving into or coming near the residential or business units.

ESTABLISHED · 1932

There will be a variety of different types of residential uses on the site. There will be considerations given to more than one type of demographic and we are currently (as of December 2014) undergoing a residential market study to better understand our different target markets and their associated needs and desires.

Density

- This massive development is shocking and frankly quite unbelievable considering land space. I whole-heartedly object. This project just keeps growing in scope. The height of the proposed buildings is totally unacceptable. It's a pity our city does NOT value green space.
- I feel the development is on average 2-3 floors too high perhaps (for the taller buildings) But overall, on the right direction.
- There are too many buildings planned for this small space and not enough room for open spaces, and traffic to and from. It is too congested. The buildings are mostly quite tall and there will be a ton of people around! Traffic will be a problem getting in and out of the stadium, with only access via the roundabout. In winter, this will be cold and uninviting because tall buildings create shadows.... And cold -> ice conditions on paths (and that bridge over 16th). You need to look at the density issue you are creating. Too many people in too small a space.

For clarity, the project scope has not changed and we have maintained a consistent vision and objectives. Transportation questions have been and will be reviewed as the project progresses. More specific answers to these questions can be found under the website summary of previously held stakeholder engagement and under Traffic/Transit tab on the website (www.westernsecurities.ca/stadium). We believe through the incorporation of the reserve lands into the development, both Western Securities and the City are showing a strong desire to improve the green space available to the community and surrounding stakeholders.

<u>Shadowing</u>

 Still have concerns about shadowing on the central square and school playgrounds at times of the year/day other than those required by the city. At 9:45AM, November 13, 2014 there was a substantial shadow from the existing 1 story structure onto the playground.

The Stadium Area Redevelopment Plan has certain stipulations and requirements regarding shadowing that Western Securities must adhere to. The masterplan concept does take into consideration all the related

ESTABLISHED · 1932

policies and requirements with regard to shadowing. As the project is further refined and detailed, there will continue to be an emphasis on reviewing shadowing impacts and requirements.