SMILE

GENERAL INVESTIGATION CHECKLIST/FORM

Survey Information – External Quality Assurance (EQA)								
Note: Please complete the report and submit it to SMILE and your PNL within 30 days.								
Site/Laboratory Name: Alamo					EQA Provider and #: CAP 9944668855			
Survey Name: CMMP-A 2012			Analyzer Name/Mod			lam	el: Not Applicable	
Date Survey Received:		3 March 20	3 March 2012		Date Analysis Performed:			15 March 2012
Date Survey Results Submitted:		: 20 March 2	20 March 2012		Date Evaluations Available:			10 May 2012
Previous Survey Problem (If yes, explain):	No	No						
Investigation Performed By:		George Was	George Washington			Date:		15 May 2012
Unacceptable EQA Pane	acceptable EQA Panel: Date of Repeat testing:							
Specimen Number		Analyte	Report Resu		Repeated Result	t		Intended Result/Peer Group
XUP-08		KOH Prep	No result		Yeast prese	ent	Yeast	present

ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS						
PRE-ANALYTICAL ERRORS:	YES	NO	N/A			
Were proficiency testing materials received in the laboratory without delay? Please describe any delivery issues. Comments:	\boxtimes					
Were specimens shipped and stored appropriately according to temperature requirements? Comments:						
3 Did all EQA vials arrive intact (i.e. no missing, broken or leaking specimens) If not, did you contact the provider and SMILE? Comments:						
4. Did you prepare/reconstitute/dilute-EQA specimens as indicated by the kit instructions? Comments:						
5 If there were special instructions provided in the kit, were they followed? (Can be indicated by this symbol ①) Comments: Special instruction on result form said to perform KOH regardless of source. Lab only does vaginal and sample was skin so thought it was not applicable						
6. Were the correct tests performed on the correct specimen(s)? Comments:	\boxtimes					
7. Was routine maintenance of instruments/equipment performed as scheduled (daily, weekly, monthly, etc.)? Comments:						
8. Did you check lot numbers and storage conditions of kits, reagents, and materials used to perform testing on samples? Comments:						

$SMILE-Investigation\ Form\ for\ Qualitative/Quantitative\ Testing$

9. Were expiration dates verified before sample testing (Controls, reagents, etc.)? Comments:			\boxtimes		
ANALYTICAL ERRORS:	YES	NO	N/A		
1. Did you review the current and past EQA event for bias, shifts and trends? If present, were investigations performed and what were the outcomes? Comments:					
2. Did you evaluate the instrument/method for any problems prior to or after the EQA event? Describe any problems identified. Comments:					
3. Was the calibration at the time of the EQA event reviewed for acceptability? If not acceptable, comment:					
4. How do you establish your Quality Control (QC) mean and ranges? Comments:☐ Lab established ☐ Use manufacturer's	Not applicable ■				
5. Were all QC levels for this analyte within acceptable range(s) on day the survey was run? Comments:			\boxtimes		
6. Are Westgard QC rules used? If so, which ones? Comments:					
7. Were QC/Levy Jennings charts reviewed for any trends, shifts and/or bias? Comments:					
8. Does your laboratory track precision by monitoring Coefficient of Variation (CV) for this					
analyte? If yes, was your CV acceptable at the time of the survey? Comments:			\boxtimes		
9. If manual calculation was performed for this analyte was it checked for accuracy? (dilutions, formula) Comments:					
10. Was instrument or reagent manufacturer contacted? Comments:					
11. Are questionable results reviewed by supervisor/pathologist before reporting? Comments:					
POST ANALYTICAL ERRORS:	YES	NO	N/A		
Were the results correctly transcribed from the instrument print-out/ worksheets to the EQA Result Form? Comments:	\boxtimes				
2. Did you verify that the electronic results submitted matched the EQA result form (i.e. was the provider website checked for accuracy of results submitted?) Comments:	\boxtimes				
3. Were the correct instrument/method/reagent codes submitted to the EQA provider? Comments:			\boxtimes		
4. Were the correct units reported? Comments:			\boxtimes		
5. Were results reported with correct decimal place? Comments:					
6. Were your results graded in the appropriate peer group? Comments:			\boxtimes		
7. Did you select the correct result code for photographic images and/or microscopic examinations?					
INVESTIGATIVE ACTIONS AND ROOT CAUSE: Briefly discuss what actions were taken in this investigation and what you believe is the primary cause of this EQA problem. Staff did not read all special directions on the result form. Laboratory performs KOH testing on vaginal only. The sample source was skin hence staff did not perform testing. Special instructions on the result form said to result regardless of sample source as long as this type of testing performed on any type specimen. Was Personnel training/competency reviewed? Staff education or re-training conducted, as appropriate? Comments: All testing personnel were trained to read all instructions including those one the result form.					

$SMILE-Investigation\ Form\ for\ Qualitative/Quantitative\ Testing$

Тур	e of Error:								
	Methodological			Surve	y eval	luation problem			
	Technical			x Other	(expla	ain) Failure to read instructions			
	Clerical								
Study Impact: Were study participant results assessed for adverse effects? If applicable, review participant results, amend results and notify the followingphysicians, study staff and network representatives. Comments: Did not impact patient results, lack of following special instructions.									
Fu 1 futu		/E ME	:ASURES/ ACTIONS: Briefl	y discuss how y	you wi	Il prevent this problem from occurr	ing in the		
All staff attended a training session to go over all instructions including those that may be on result form only. Also have added a second check on EQA SOP. This check will ensure all results are correct from result form to electronic copy. Also will include reading of all instructions to make sure followed properly.									
PREPARED BY:									
Na	me/Title			Date		Signature			
Ge	orge Washington	15	May 2012			-			
Fo	R SMILE USE ONLY	′ .							
SN	IILE Review:	\boxtimes	Acceptable and complete	Investigation.		Investigation is incomplete. See co	omments.		
Cor	mments:								
Na	me/Title:		Date: 20	May 2012					
Ро	rky Pie			-					
	OR NETWORK USE O		1						
PI	NL Review:		Acceptable and complete	e Investigation.		Investigation is incomplete. See	comments		
Comments:									
Name/Title: Date: 21/May/2012									
Daffy Duck									
Table for supporting documents:									
Attachment# Des				Description of	escription of attachments				