



Module 4, Investigation 3: Log 1

Gaining different perspectives

You will need

- colored pencils,
- lined paper (or graph paper), and
- a three-dimensional object (supplied by your teacher).

1. Place an object on the floor. Look at it from directly overhead. Sketch it.

This is an aerial view. Airplanes, satellites, the Space Shuttle, and the International Space Station can take aerial views of objects on Earth.

2. Place an object on a flat desk or table top. Stand to the side of the object. You should see both the top and one or two sides of the object. Sketch it. Add perspective to capture the shape more correctly.

This is an oblique view. Airplanes, satellites, the Space Shuttle, and the International Space Station can also take oblique views of objects on Earth.

3. Kneel or sit down so you are looking directly at the side of the object. You should not see the top of the object. Sketch it.

This is a ground-level view. Airplanes, satellites, the Space Shuttle, and the International Space Station do not take this type of view of Earth. It is what is typically seen by human eyes.



Module 4, Investigation 3: Briefing 1

Background on the Petén and ground truthing

The Petén, Guatemala

The Petén, northern Guatemala, was once inhabited by a population of several million Maya before the population collapsed in the 9th century A.D. The 7th and 8th centuries were the height of the Mayan civilization; by 930 A.D. only a few scattered houses remained. Scientists think that at the time the population collapsed, the Maya had deforested much of their region. Now after centuries of regrowth, the Petén is the largest tropical forest in Central America, but once again, it is experiencing rapid deforestation as new settlers invade the area. The old sustainable techniques used by the native population are being abandoned in favor of more destructive monoculture and cattle raising. These new methods also contribute to the destruction and looting of unrecorded archeological sites.

Today remote sensing and geographic information system (GIS) analysis are used to address issues in Maya archeology as well as to monitor the effects of deforestation. The ancient Maya successfully adapted their agricultural methods to their environment, but even so, they finally overused their resources. Current inhabitants are threatening to do the same thing in less time with a smaller population. Scientists are using remote sensing and GIS to learn from the past to protect the resources of the future, but they cannot rely only on remotely sensed data. By studying remote sensing images of the Petén, scientists can see

- different types of vegetation,
- the pattern of deforestation,
- Mayan roads that lead to unrecorded sites, and sometimes
- archeological sites themselves.

Sometimes it is necessary to go to the jungle to verify what they see in the remote sensing images. This is called ground truthing.

Maya Biosphere Reserve

Central American countries have established dozens of national parks, including the Petén. Change-detection analysis, using satellite data from 1986 to 1997, shows increasing deforestation, but the large size of the forest makes it hard to monitor and protect. Satellite imagery is proving to be a valuable monitoring tool. The Maya Biosphere Reserve was established in 1990 through an

agreement between three neighboring countries, Mexico, Guatemala, and Belize. The reserve represents the largest continuous tract of tropical forest remaining in Central America. Besides forest, the reserve also contains extensive, environmentally important, seasonal, freshwater wetlands (bajos).

When settlers clear and burn the rain forests for agriculture, the thin soils quickly erode, and as a result, the flora and fauna are destroyed. Archeological sites are damaged by the fires' heat and by the erosion. The newly cleared landscape also makes undiscovered archeological sites more visible and accessible to looters. For the reasons mentioned previously, protecting the rain forest almost always protects the archeological sites too.

Ground Truthing in the Petén

Ground-truth information, often referred to as "reference data," involves the collection of measurements or observations about objects, areas, or phenomena that were remotely sensed. This ground-truth information can be used by social scientists in two ways: first, the data can aid in the interpretation, analysis, and validation of the remotely sensed data; second, it helps in understanding the socioeconomic forces behind human-produced, land-cover modifications.

Ground truthing is expensive and time consuming. In recent years, even though the cost of computer hardware and software for remote sensing has dropped, the costs of ground-truth activities have increased because airfare, lodging, vehicle rental, food, labor, and other costs have risen. Recent advances in GPS receivers and digital data field recorders have helped keep costs down because they make researchers much more efficient while they are in the field.

In order to create an accurate reference data set, scientists have to visit as many sites as possible in remote and rugged Petén. Typically, they change locations each day. They do not remain at a site or village to excavate archeological features—they simply map and verify their existence. Some of the challenges encountered by the field workers included logistical and communication problems,



Module 4, Investigation 3: Briefing 1

Background on the Petén and ground truthing

equipment failure, poor map quality, physical stress, and unfriendly local inhabitants. Once a research team was captured and held at gunpoint for several hours before being released.

Logistics are probably the biggest fieldwork problem. Often team members are the first professionals to visit an archeological site. A logistics coordinator schedules, in advance, the jeeps, boats, aircraft, mules, horses, and workers that will help the team get to its destination. Since many areas of the Petén do not have telephones, a Guatemalan team member must make arrangements for the rentals with local people weeks or months in advance. The more inaccessible the location, the more difficult the arrangements. Once the logistics have been coordinated, the field missions last two to three weeks. As the mode of travel switches from jeeps to boats to horses and mules, it is critical that the dates, times, and locations be arranged in advance so that the vehicles, guides, and animals are there to help the team get to its destination. Sometimes the team encounters road and pathway hazards such as downed trees and extremely muddy conditions.

Occasionally, teams are met with suspicion regarding their true purpose. Teams have successfully combated this situation by showing the local residents a large number of satellite images, explaining how the imagery is used, and by leaving a copy with the local residents. Only through years of exposure and word of mouth do teams gain acceptance and support for their activities from the local inhabitants. Many local people have become so educated about satellite imagery and GPS units that they can help teams interpret some of the features and anomalies on the images. Having a Guatemalan on the research team is a real benefit.

GPS measurements are very helpful to the field researchers. In 1988, when there were fewer satellites in orbit, and the receivers were not as

good, teams often found themselves climbing a temple at midnight to determine their position because the satellites were in position only between 1 and 4 a.m. In addition, the dense rain forest vegetation sometimes interfered with the satellites' signals. Today readings can be taken at any time. More satellites and better GPS receivers allow field workers to collect more data with greater accuracy, but even with all the latest technology, there are still hazards.

One problem is the inaccuracy of available maps. Often lakes, rivers, archeological sites, and cultural features are not located where the map indicates. If information from these inaccurate maps is incorporated into a GIS, it will lead to faulty predictions. This problem is solved by constantly comparing GPS measurements, imagery, and maps to eliminate any errors. But even this doesn't help when the names on the maps are not the same as those used by local inhabitants.

Protecting the Petén

As teams studied deforestation in the Petén, they tried to distinguish between new forest clearings and regrowth. They are now expanding their activities to include information about the decisions that lead to land-use change and land conversion. Teams are in the process of interviewing local farmers and ranchers to address the scientific issues of deforestation rates and trends in land-use conversion. Through the interviews, the scientists hope to learn how farmers decide the amount of land they convert to pasture or shifting to agriculture, how they decide the amount of land they cultivate and the amount they leave fallow, how forest fragmentation affects the environment, what are the spatial characteristics of cleared land, and what are the socioeconomic characteristics of the farmers. These data will be correlated to provide better analytical information for future land-management decisions—and to help save archaeological sites, known and unknown.



Module 4, Investigation 3: Log 2

Ground truthing in the Petén

Read Briefing 1. Check your understanding by answering these questions.

1. The Petén is a _____.
 - a. city in Guatemala
 - b. a tropical rain forest in Central America
 - c. the name of a national park
 - d. a temple
2. The Petén, today mostly uninhabited, was the home to _____ during 7th–9th centuries.
 - a. Spanish conquistadors who conquered the native population
 - b. many endangered animal species of the tropical rain forest
 - c. the Aztec Indians
 - d. several million Maya
3. Sites of this ancient culture are difficult to locate today because _____.
 - a. the buildings were burned and left as rubble
 - b. the buildings were made of wood and have long since decayed into the rain forest floor
 - c. the tropical rain forest has grown over the buildings and paths and cannot be easily seen either on the ground (forest is too thick) nor from aerial photographs (vegetation covers it)
 - d. new cities have been built over the old sites, and agricultural and industrial areas cover the ancient remains
4. Ground truthing means to _____.
 - a. collect measurements, data, and objects in locations that were remotely sensed
 - b. ask native populations in the region to tell the truth as they relate stories about the ancient culture
 - c. look at maps and pictures of an area
 - d. use many remotely sensed images of different types to gather information
5. Ground truthing is expensive and time consuming because _____.
 - a. computers, used to record the data, are very expensive and difficult to carry
 - b. costs of travel, lodging, guides, and food are expensive, and arrangements are not always easy to make
 - c. geoarchaeologists must stop to excavate the site before continuing
 - d. the remotely sensed images are expensive, and it takes years to learn how to interpret the image before you can ground truth it


Indicate whether the following statements are true or false.

- _____ 6. Ground truthing aids in interpretation, analysis, and validation of the remotely sensed data.
- _____ 7. Global positioning systems (GPS) provide flexibility and accuracy in pinpointing exact locations.
- _____ 8. Following the ancient footpaths is an easy task since modern-day inhabitants have kept them clear for the tourists.
- _____ 9. All native people welcome geoarchaeologists who ground truth because they bring new knowledge about the ancient inhabitants and their ancestors.
- _____ 10. One problem with ground truthing is that local maps may be inaccurate.



Module 4, Investigation 3: Briefing 2

Ground truthing and the geography of sites



<http://www.jpl.nasa.gov/radar/sircxsar/ubar1.html>



http://observe.arc.nasa.gov/nasa/exhibits/ubar/ubar_4.html

Objectives

In this part of the investigation you learn how and why a geoarchaeologist ground truths features detected on remotely sensed images. You match geographical information to archaeological sites and photographs of archaeological sites to aerial views.

Part I

Ground Truthing

A geoarchaeologist's job is to discover and explain historical sites. After using remote sensing, the geoarchaeologist travels to the site to discover what is on the ground and to excavate (dig) for more information to assemble the pieces of history, geography, and culture. This process is called ground truthing. The geoarchaeologist matches remotely sensed data with exact features on the ground.

With global positioning systems, the objects and features can be accurately mapped. Photographs are taken to study geographical and cultural features.

By comparing locations and features on the ground with those in the image, geoarchaeologists are better able to interpret the remotely sensed images.

If an unknown feature appears on a remotely sensed image—say a rectangular red object—ground truthing may determine what it represents. If it is a temple, then other rectangular red objects on the same image are interpreted as temples.

The Geography of a Site

When traveling to a country to ground truth an image, geoarchaeologists need to know what conditions to expect. Climate influences how well sites may be preserved. A dry region preserves artifacts. A wet climate could encourage deterioration and vegetation that covers the features. On one ground-truthing expedition to Guatemala, geoarchaeologists reported accidentally finding ruins about three meters from the road. The vegetation was so thick they could not see the temple ruins from the road. Vegetation had also grown over the site so it was hidden from any airplane search. The temple was difficult to pinpoint on the remotely sensed image due to the scale and inaccuracy of the local maps. Researchers wondered how many other ruins they may have missed.

Knowing the terrain is also important when ground truthing. Geoarchaeologists need to know elevation and ruggedness to plan for special equipment or vegetation.



Module 4, Investigation 3: Briefing 2

Ground truthing and the geography of sites

Part II

Types of Images

There are three types of images:

- **Ground-level views**—used to show how an object in an aerial view looks on the ground.
- **Oblique views**—may be taken from an angle (usually above), giving a partial side view of a site. The oblique view provides a sense of shape and features of buildings.
- **Aerial views**—taken from directly above allowing objects to be seen from the top down. The advantage of an aerial view is that you can see patterns not visible in a ground view.


Examples of Images

Below are three images taken of the Petén, a rain forest in Guatemala. The ground-level view provides a sense of the shapes and heights of the trees. The oblique view reveals a larger space with buildings. You see some features of the buildings (like steps), their sizes, the distances between them, and their relationship to one another. The aerial view shows a much larger region. No small features are clear, but there is a pattern showing the edge of the rain forest (the straight line between the light area and the darker green area).


A Ground-Level View



An Oblique View



An Aerial View



Deforestation in the Petén

<http://www.ghcc.msfc.nasa.gov/archeology/peten.html>



Module 4, Investigation 3: Log 3

Checking it out on the ground

Preparing to Visit a Site

Archaeological sites are located in these countries:

Cambodia Oman
Costa Rica Turkey
Guatemala

Use an atlas to locate the five countries and complete the chart using information in the atlas to understand the physical geography of each country. For example, a climate map helps you identify the precipitation and temperature.

After completing the chart, analyze the photographs and remotely sensed images found in Log 4. Match each photo and image to a country below.

Using the information obtained from these data, complete the last column by listing supporting visual evidence. What information from the images did you use to ground truth?

| | Climate/ Precipitation | Climate/ Temperature | Types and Quantity of Vegetation | Elevation/ Terrain | Ground-Truth Evidence |
|------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| Cambodia | | | | | |
| Costa Rica | | | | | |
| Guatemala | | | | | |
| Oman | | | | | |
| Turkey | | | | | |



Module 4, Investigation 3: Log 4

Ground truthing

Matching Ground Photos with Historic Sites

Below are site photos taken at ground level or oblique angles. These are followed by aerial images and descriptions of the sites. Under the site description write the letter of the photo(s) that shows what feature is found at this site. You may want to consult an atlas to identify climatic conditions and vegetation in different regions to help you interpret which photos match which sites.




Photo A



Photo B



Photo C



Photo D



Photo E




Module 4, Investigation 3: Log 4

Ground truthing

Arenal Region, Costa Rica

TIMS image of footpaths




<http://www.ghcc.msfc.nasa.gov/archeology/arenal.html>

The people in this area appeared to live in small groups that adjusted to shifting living locations because of frequent volcanic eruptions. Footpaths wound through the hilly terrain.

The description of the Arenal Region matches Photo_____.

The Petén, Guatemala



Deforestation in the Petén


http://www.ghcc.msfc.nasa.gov/archeology/peten_groundtruth.html

This region is rich in Mayan history. Old buildings were found covered by dense rain forest. Several sites have been cleared and the structures rebuilt for tourists. Connected by footpaths, the cities have step pyramid temples with broad courts for games.

The description of the Petén matches Photo_____.

Ubar, Oman

SIR-C radar image



<http://www.jpl.nasa.gov/radar/sircxsar/sc-ubar1.gif>

Ubar was an ancient city along the frank-incense trade route. Although this area is very dry today, more water was available in ancient times. Water was stored in deep caverns, indicating periods when water supplies fluctuated.

The description of the Ubar matches Photo_____.



Module 4, Investigation 3: Log 4

Ground truthing

Angkor, Cambodia

SIR-C/X-SAR radar image




<http://www.csulb.edu/~kkeo/angkor/P024.html>

This region is covered today by thick rain forest. Only radar penetrates the tree cover to reveal evidence of human occupation. This was once a city and religious center for at least one million Khmer people. They built temples that reflected both Hindu and Buddhist influence. There is evidence of an extensive irrigation system.

The description of the Angkor matches Photo_____.

Zeugma, Turkey

KVR image



<http://www.ist.lu/html/projects/de/Zeugma/gis1.html>

This region was part of the ancient Greek and Roman Empires. At one time it was the best way to cross the Euphrates River. As transportation routes shifted farther south, this area lost its importance. The reservoir created behind the dam is expected to cover fields of pistachio trees and evidence of various empires.

The description of Zeugma matches Photo_____.


1. What do these sites have in common (besides being ancient)?

2. How does remote sensing help archaeologists find features common to all ancient sites?



Module 4, Investigation 3: Log 5

Writing your own ground-truthing log



<http://www.jpl.nasa.gov/radar/sircxarsar/ubar1.html>

Objective

In this part of the investigation you research an archaeological site and create a ground-truthing log to explain what is discovered at the site.

The regions listed below are well documented by remote sensing and ground-truthing photos. With a little research on Internet sites, your group will be able to create a ground-truthing trip and write a field log.

Imagine that your group has been sent on a one-week trip to ground truth the images of your selected site. Prepare a log, which includes the remotely sensed data, a map, and a description of your trip: where you went and what you found day by day. Describe the physical and human characteristics of the region and the evidence found of prior human occupation. Include photos, sketches, and descriptions of interviews and encounters with the local population. Be accurate.

Below is a sample field log model to get you started.

Sites to choose from:

- Chaco Canyon in New Mexico
- Arenal Region in Costa Rica
- Ubar in Oman
- Angkor in Cambodia
- Mirador in Guatemala
- Zeugma Project in Turkey

Our group was sent to _____.

It is located at _____ (latitude/longitude)

Some objects/colors in the remotely sensed images we studied are:



Module 4, Investigation 3: Log 5

Writing your own ground-truthing log

Things we need to know before our trip:

Climate during this time of the year: _____

Expected terrain: _____

Vegetation: _____

Native population, language, special cultural features: _____

Our team member: _____
(include photos if you like)

Daily log (for one week from landing at the airport to leaving)

Include: what you did on each day
what you looked for
who you met
who you interviewed
what you discovered

Our trip was a success! We discovered _____

References

Angkor, Cambodia

<http://www.jpl.nasa.gov/radar/sircxsar/angkor.html>

Photos: <http://www.csulb.edu/~kkeo/angkor/P024.html>

Arenal Region, Costa Rica

<http://www.ghcc.msfc.nasa.gov/archeology/arenal.html>

Photo: <http://www.ghcc.msfc.nasa.gov/archeology/arenal.html>

Chaco Canyon, New Mexico

http://www.ghcc.msfc.nasa.gov/archeology/chaco_compare.html

Baker Aerial Archaeology's Chaco Project

<http://www.mia.com/~jaybird/AANewsletter?ChacoPage2.html>

Chaco Canyon endangered

<http://members.aol.com/mjhinton/chaco/chaconews.htm>

Chaco Culture National Historical Park

<http://www.cr.nps.gov/worldheritage/chaco.htm>
<http://www.nps.gov/chcu/roads.htm>

The Petén, Guatemala

<http://www.ghcc.msfc.nasa.gov/archeology/peten.html>

http://www.ghcc.msfc.nasa.gov/archeology/peten_deforest.html

Photos: http://www.ghcc.msfc.nasa.gov/archeology/peten_groundtruth.html

Ubar, Oman

<http://www.jpl.nasa.gov/radar/sircxsar/ubar1.html>

Photos: http://observe.ivv.nasa.gov/nasa/exhibits/ubar/ubar_4.html

Zeugma, Turkey

<http://www.ist.lu/zeugma>

http://www.bbc.co.uk/science/horizon/Zeugma_info.shtml



Module 4, Investigation 3: Log 6

In conclusion

Remote sensing is used to help geoarchaeologists locate sites of past human occupations. Scientists continue to improve ways of enhancing images to better detect human and physical features.

Write answers to the following questions in the spaces below. If you have completed one or more of the investigations, include information from them to help you answer the question.

1. How does remote sensing help the search for archaeological sites?

2. How and why is geography important to understanding remotely sensed images and archaeological sites?
