

## **SECURITIES & EXCHANGE COMMISSION EDGAR FILING**

## **Universal Display Corporation**

**Form: 10-Q** 

Date Filed: 2011-05-09

Corporate Issuer CIK: 1005284

Symbol: PANL

Fiscal Year End: 12/31

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

## **FORM 10-Q**

(Mark One)

[X] QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2011

OR	
[ ] TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 O For the transition period from _	
Commission File N	lumber 1-12031
UNIVERSAL DISPLA	Y CORPORATION
(Exact name of registrant as	
Pennsylvania	23-2372688
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
375 Phillips Boulevard	
Ewing, New Jersey	08618
(Address of principal executive offices)	(Zip Code)
Registrant's telephone number, inc	luding area code: (609) 671-0980
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all re Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such sl and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 d	
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted a Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and	
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large acc smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.	relerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule
Large accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting compa	Accelerated filer X  ny) Smaller reporting company
	ny (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes_ No_X

As of May 4, 2011, the registrant had outstanding 45,362,467 shares of common stock.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

## PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1.	Financial Statements (unaudited)	
	Consolidated Balance Sheets - March 31, 2011 and	
	<u>December 31, 2010</u>	<u>3</u>
	Consolidated Statements of Operations – Three months	
	ended March 31, 2011 and 2010	<u>4</u>
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows – Three months	
	ended March 31, 2011 and 2010	<u>5</u>
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	6
	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial	
Item 2.	Condition and Results of Operations	<u>16</u>
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	21
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	<u>21</u>
	PART II – OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	<u>22</u>
Item 1A.		24
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	24
Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	<u>25</u>
Item 4.	Removed and Reserved	<u>25</u>
Item 5.	Other Information	<u>25</u>
Item 6.	Exhibits	25

## **PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

## ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## UNIVERSAL DISPLAY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (UNAUDITED)

Cash and cash equivalents         \$ 258,105,759         \$ 20,368,852           Short-term investments         66,819,553         52,794,545           Accounts receivable         5,985,388         7,247,873           Other current assets         1,683,215         1,988,239           Total current assets         332,593,915         82,399,509           PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, net of accumulated depreciation of \$17,680,439 and \$17,335,662         9,814,557         9,711,093           ACQUIRED TECHNOLOGY, net of accumulated amortization of \$16,955,603 and \$16,950,718         434,760         —           OTHER ASSETS         332,460         216,529           TOTAL ASSETS         \$ 343,175,692         \$92,327,131           CURRENT LIABILITIES:           Accounts payable         \$ 2,277,646         \$ 2,155,489           Accrued expenses         4,968,815         6,906,289           Deferred revenue         5,081,214         5,323,154           Stock warrant liability         13,109,785         10,659,755           Total current liabilities         25,437,460         25,044,687           DEFERRED REVENUE         3,611,843         2,775,024		March 31, 2011			ecember 31, 2010
Cash and cash equivalents         \$ 258,105,759         \$ 20,368,852           Short-term investments         66,819,553         52,794,545           Accounts receivable         5,985,388         7,247,873           Other current assets         1,683,215         1,988,239           Total current assets         332,593,915         82,399,509           PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, net of accumulated depreciation of \$17,680,439 and \$17,335,662         9,814,557         9,711,093           ACQUIRED TECHNOLOGY, net of accumulated amortization of \$16,955,603 and \$16,950,718         434,760         —           OTHER ASSETS         332,460         216,529           TOTAL ASSETS         \$ 343,175,692         \$92,327,131           LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY           CURRENT LIABILITIES:           Accounts payable         \$ 2,277,646         \$ 2,155,489           Accrued expenses         4,968,815         6,906,289           Deferred revenue         5,081,214         5,323,154           Slock warrant liability         13,109,785         10,659,755           Total current liabilities         25,437,460         25,044,687           DEFERRED REVENUE         3,611,843         2,775,024           RETIREMENT PLAN BENEFIT LIABILITY         7,309,619         7,0	ASSETS				
Short-term investments	CURRENT ASSETS:				
Accounts receivable 5,985,388 7,247,873 Other current assets 1,683,215 1,988,239  Total current assets 332,593,915 82,399,509 PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, net of accumulated depreciation of \$17,680,439 and \$17,335,662 9,814,557 9,711,093 ACQUIRED TECHNOLOGY, net of accumulated amortization of \$16,955,603 and \$16,950,718 434,760 — OTHER ASSETS 332,460 216,529  TOTAL ASSETS \$343,175,692 \$92,327,131  LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY  CURRENT LIABILITIES: Accounts payable \$2,277,646 \$2,155,489 Accrued expenses 4,968,815 6,906,289 Deferred revenue 5,081,214 5,323,154 Stock warrant liability 13,109,785 10,659,755  Total current liabilities 25,437,460 25,044,687 DEFERRED REVENUE 3,611,843 2,775,024 RETIREMENT PLAN BENEFIT LIABILITY 7,309,619 7,077,901  Total liabilities 36,358,922 34,897,612  COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Note 11)  SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY: Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 5,000,000 shares authorized, 200,000 shares of Series A Nonconvertible Preferred Stock issued and outstanding (liquidation value of \$7.50 per share or \$1,500,000) Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 45,353,273 and 38,936,571 shares issued and	Cash and cash equivalents	\$	258,105,759	\$	20,368,852
Other current assets         1,683,215         1,988,239           Total current assets         332,593,915         82,399,509           PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, net of accumulated depreciation of \$17,680,439 and \$17,335,662         9,814,557         9,711,093           ACQUIRED TECHNOLOGY, net of accumulated amortization of \$16,955,603 and \$16,950,718         434,760         —           OTHER ASSETS         332,460         216,529           TOTAL ASSETS         \$343,175,692         \$92,327,131           LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY           CURRENT LIABILITIES:           Accounts payable         \$2,277,646         \$2,155,489           Accrued expenses         4,968,815         6,906,289           Deferred revenue         5,081,214         5,323,154           Stock warrant liabilities         25,437,460         25,044,687           DEFERRED REVENUE         3,611,843         2,775,024           RETIREMENT PLAN BENEFIT LIABILITY         7,309,619         7,077,901           Total liabilities         36,358,922         34,897,612           COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Note 11)           SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY:           Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 5,000,000 shares authorized, 200,000 shares of Series A Nonconvertible Prefe	Short-term investments		66,819,553		52,794,545
Total current assets 332,593,915 82,399,509 PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, net of accumulated depreciation of \$17,680,439 and \$17,335,662 9,814,557 9,711,093 ACQUIRED TECHNOLOGY, net of accumulated amortization of \$16,955,603 and \$16,950,718 434,760 — OTHER ASSETS 332,460 216,529  TOTAL ASSETS \$343,175,692 \$92,327,131  LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY  CURRENT LIABILITIES: Accounts payable \$2,277,646 \$2,155,489 Accrued expenses 4,968,815 6,906,289 Deferred revenue 5,081,214 5,323,154 Stock warrant liability 13,109,785 10,659,755  Total current liabilities 25,437,460 25,044,687 DEFERRED REVENUE 3,611,843 2,775,024 RETIREMENT PLAN BENEFIT LIABILITY 7,309,619 7,077,901  Total liabilities 36,358,922 34,897,612  COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Note 11)  SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY: Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 5,000,000 shares authorized, 200,000 shares of Series A Nonconvertible Preferred Stock issued and outstanding (liquidation value of \$7.50 per share or \$1,500,000)  \$7.50 per share or \$1,500,000) Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 45,353,273 and 38,936,571 shares issued and	Accounts receivable		5,985,388		7,247,873
PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, net of accumulated depreciation of \$17,680,439 and \$17,335,662  ACQUIRED TECHNOLOGY, net of accumulated amortization of \$16,955,603 and \$16,950,718  OTHER ASSETS  332,460  216,529  TOTAL ASSETS  \$143,175,692  **CURRENT LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY  CURRENT LIABILITIES:  Accounts payable  Accounts payable  \$2,277,646 \$2,155,489  Accrued expenses  4,968,815 6,906,289  Deferred revenue  5,081,214 5,323,154  Stock warrant liability  13,109,785  Total current liabilities  25,437,460 25,044,687  DEFERRED REVENUE  3,611,843 2,775,024  RETIREMENT PLAN BENEFIT LIABILITY  7,309,619  Total liabilities  36,358,922 34,897,612  COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Note 11)  SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY:  Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 5,000,000 shares authorized, 200,000 shares of Series A Nonconvertible Preferred Stock issued and outstanding (liquidation value of \$7.50 per share or \$1,500,000)  Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 45,353,273 and 38,936,571 shares issued and	Other current assets		1,683,215		1,988,239
PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, net of accumulated depreciation of \$17,680,439 and \$17,335,662  ACQUIRED TECHNOLOGY, net of accumulated amortization of \$16,955,603 and \$16,950,718  OTHER ASSETS  332,460  216,529  TOTAL ASSETS  \$143,175,692  **CURRENT LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY  CURRENT LIABILITIES:  Accounts payable  Accounts payable  \$2,277,646 \$2,155,489  Accrued expenses  4,968,815 6,906,289  Deferred revenue  5,081,214 5,323,154  Stock warrant liability  13,109,785  Total current liabilities  25,437,460 25,044,687  DEFERRED REVENUE  3,611,843 2,775,024  RETIREMENT PLAN BENEFIT LIABILITY  7,309,619  Total liabilities  36,358,922 34,897,612  COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Note 11)  SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY:  Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 5,000,000 shares authorized, 200,000 shares of Series A Nonconvertible Preferred Stock issued and outstanding (liquidation value of \$7.50 per share or \$1,500,000)  Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 45,353,273 and 38,936,571 shares issued and					
\$17,680,439 and \$17,335,662 ACQUIRED TECHNOLOGY, net of accumulated amortization of \$16,955,603 and \$16,950,718 OTHER ASSETS 332,460 216,529  TOTAL ASSETS \$343,175,692 \$92,327,131  LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY  CURRENT LIABILITIES: Accounts payable \$2,277,646 \$2,155,489 Accrued expenses 4,968,815 6,906,289 Deferred revenue 5,081,214 5,323,154 Stock warrant liabilities 25,437,460 25,044,687 DEFERRED REVENUE RETIREMENT PLAN BENEFIT LIABILITY 7,309,619 7,077,901  Total liabilities 36,358,922 34,897,612  COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Note 11)  SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY: Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 5,000,000 shares authorized, 200,000 shares of Series A Nonconvertible Preferred Stock issued and outstanding (liquidation value of \$7.50 per share or \$1,500,000) Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 45,353,273 and 38,936,571 shares issued and	Total current assets		332,593,915		82,399,509
ACQUIRED TECHNOLOGY, net of accumulated amortization of \$16,955,603 and \$16,950,718 434,760 —OTHER ASSETS 332,460 216,529  TOTAL ASSETS \$343,175,692 \$92,327,131    Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 5,000,000 shares authorized, 45,353,273 and 38,936,571 shares issued and ottered.	PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, net of accumulated depreciation of				
\$16,955,603 and \$16,950,718	\$17,680,439 and \$17,335,662		9,814,557		9,711,093
OTHER ASSETS         332,460         216,529           TOTAL ASSETS         \$ 343,175,692         \$ 92,327,131           LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY           CURRENT LIABILITIES:	ACQUIRED TECHNOLOGY, net of accumulated amortization of				
State	\$16,955,603 and \$16,950,718		434,760		_
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY	OTHER ASSETS		332,460		216,529
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY					
CURRENT LIABILITIES:       Accounts payable       \$ 2,277,646       \$ 2,155,489         Accrued expenses       4,968,815       6,906,289         Deferred revenue       5,081,214       5,323,154         Stock warrant liability       13,109,785       10,659,755         Total current liabilities       25,437,460       25,044,687         DEFERRED REVENUE       3,611,843       2,775,024         RETIREMENT PLAN BENEFIT LIABILITY       7,309,619       7,077,901         Total liabilities       36,358,922       34,897,612         COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Note 11)         SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY:         Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 5,000,000 shares authorized, 200,000 shares of Series A Nonconvertible Preferred Stock issued and outstanding (liquidation value of \$7.50 per share or \$1,500,000)       2,000       2,000         Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 45,353,273 and 38,936,571 shares issued and       3,277,612       3,277,612	TOTAL ASSETS	\$	343,175,692	\$	92,327,131
CURRENT LIABILITIES:       Accounts payable       \$ 2,277,646       \$ 2,155,489         Accrued expenses       4,968,815       6,906,289         Deferred revenue       5,081,214       5,323,154         Stock warrant liability       13,109,785       10,659,755         Total current liabilities       25,437,460       25,044,687         DEFERRED REVENUE       3,611,843       2,775,024         RETIREMENT PLAN BENEFIT LIABILITY       7,309,619       7,077,901         Total liabilities       36,358,922       34,897,612         COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Note 11)         SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY:         Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 5,000,000 shares authorized, 200,000 shares of Series A Nonconvertible Preferred Stock issued and outstanding (liquidation value of \$7.50 per share or \$1,500,000)       2,000       2,000         Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 45,353,273 and 38,936,571 shares issued and       3,277,612       3,277,612					
Accounts payable \$ 2,277,646 \$ 2,155,489 Accrued expenses 4,968,815 6,906,289 Deferred revenue 5,081,214 5,323,154 Stock warrant liability 13,109,785 10,659,755  Total current liabilities 25,437,460 25,044,687 DEFERRED REVENUE 3,611,843 2,775,024 RETIREMENT PLAN BENEFIT LIABILITY 7,309,619 7,077,901  Total liabilities 36,358,922 34,897,612  COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Note 11)  SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY: Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 5,000,000 shares authorized, 200,000 shares of Series A Nonconvertible Preferred Stock issued and outstanding (liquidation value of \$7.50 per share or \$1,500,000) 2,000  Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 45,353,273 and 38,936,571 shares issued and	LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS	S' EQUITY			
Accrued expenses       4,968,815       6,906,289         Deferred revenue       5,081,214       5,323,154         Stock warrant liability       13,109,785       10,659,755         Total current liabilities       25,437,460       25,044,687         DEFERRED REVENUE       3,611,843       2,775,024         RETIREMENT PLAN BENEFIT LIABILITY       7,309,619       7,077,901         Total liabilities       36,358,922       34,897,612         COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Note 11)         SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY:         Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 5,000,000 shares authorized, 200,000 shares of Series A Nonconvertible Preferred Stock issued and outstanding (liquidation value of \$7.50 per share or \$1,500,000)       2,000       2,000         Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 45,353,273 and 38,936,571 shares issued and       2,000       2,000	CURRENT LIABILITIES:				
Deferred revenue         5,081,214         5,323,154           Stock warrant liability         13,109,785         10,659,755           Total current liabilities         25,437,460         25,044,687           DEFERRED REVENUE         3,611,843         2,775,024           RETIREMENT PLAN BENEFIT LIABILITY         7,309,619         7,077,901           Total liabilities         36,358,922         34,897,612           COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Note 11)           SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY:           Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 5,000,000 shares authorized, 200,000 shares of Series A Nonconvertible Preferred Stock issued and outstanding (liquidation value of \$7.50 per share or \$1,500,000)         2,000         2,000           Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 45,353,273 and 38,936,571 shares issued and         2,000         2,000	Accounts payable	\$	2,277,646	\$	2,155,489
Deferred revenue         5,081,214         5,323,154           Stock warrant liability         13,109,785         10,659,755           Total current liabilities         25,437,460         25,044,687           DEFERRED REVENUE         3,611,843         2,775,024           RETIREMENT PLAN BENEFIT LIABILITY         7,309,619         7,077,901           Total liabilities         36,358,922         34,897,612           COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Note 11)           SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY:           Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 5,000,000 shares authorized, 200,000 shares of Series A Nonconvertible Preferred Stock issued and outstanding (liquidation value of \$7.50 per share or \$1,500,000)         2,000         2,000           Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 45,353,273 and 38,936,571 shares issued and         2,000         2,000	Accrued expenses		4,968,815		6,906,289
Total current liabilities 25,437,460 25,044,687 DEFERRED REVENUE 3,611,843 2,775,024 RETIREMENT PLAN BENEFIT LIABILITY 7,309,619 7,077,901  Total liabilities 36,358,922 34,897,612  COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Note 11)  SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY: Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 5,000,000 shares authorized, 200,000 shares of Series A Nonconvertible Preferred Stock issued and outstanding (liquidation value of \$7.50 per share or \$1,500,000) 2,000  Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 45,353,273 and 38,936,571 shares issued and	Deferred revenue		5,081,214		
DEFERRED REVENUE RETIREMENT PLAN BENEFIT LIABILITY Total liabilities 36,358,922 34,897,612  COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Note 11)  SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY: Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 5,000,000 shares authorized, 200,000 shares of Series A Nonconvertible Preferred Stock issued and outstanding (liquidation value of \$7.50 per share or \$1,500,000)  Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 45,353,273 and 38,936,571 shares issued and	Stock warrant liability		13,109,785		10,659,755
DEFERRED REVENUE RETIREMENT PLAN BENEFIT LIABILITY Total liabilities 36,358,922 34,897,612  COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Note 11)  SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY: Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 5,000,000 shares authorized, 200,000 shares of Series A Nonconvertible Preferred Stock issued and outstanding (liquidation value of \$7.50 per share or \$1,500,000)  Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 45,353,273 and 38,936,571 shares issued and					
RETIREMENT PLAN BENEFIT LIABILITY 7,309,619 7,077,901  Total liabilities 36,358,922 34,897,612  COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Note 11)  SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY:  Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 5,000,000 shares authorized, 200,000 shares of Series A Nonconvertible Preferred Stock issued and outstanding (liquidation value of \$7.50 per share or \$1,500,000) 2,000  Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 45,353,273 and 38,936,571 shares issued and	Total current liabilities		25,437,460		25,044,687
Total liabilities 36,358,922 34,897,612  COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Note 11)  SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY:  Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 5,000,000 shares     authorized, 200,000 shares of Series A Nonconvertible     Preferred Stock issued and outstanding (liquidation value of     \$7.50 per share or \$1,500,000) 2,000  Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 100,000,000 shares     authorized, 45,353,273 and 38,936,571 shares issued and	DEFERRED REVENUE		3,611,843		2,775,024
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Note 11)  SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY:  Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 5,000,000 shares    authorized, 200,000 shares of Series A Nonconvertible    Preferred Stock issued and outstanding (liquidation value of    \$7.50 per share or \$1,500,000)  Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 100,000,000 shares    authorized, 45,353,273 and 38,936,571 shares issued and	RETIREMENT PLAN BENEFIT LIABILITY		7,309,619		7,077,901
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Note 11)  SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY:  Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 5,000,000 shares    authorized, 200,000 shares of Series A Nonconvertible    Preferred Stock issued and outstanding (liquidation value of    \$7.50 per share or \$1,500,000)  Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 100,000,000 shares    authorized, 45,353,273 and 38,936,571 shares issued and					
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY:  Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 5,000,000 shares authorized, 200,000 shares of Series A Nonconvertible Preferred Stock issued and outstanding (liquidation value of \$7.50 per share or \$1,500,000)  Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 45,353,273 and 38,936,571 shares issued and	Total liabilities		36,358,922		34,897,612
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY:  Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 5,000,000 shares authorized, 200,000 shares of Series A Nonconvertible Preferred Stock issued and outstanding (liquidation value of \$7.50 per share or \$1,500,000)  Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 45,353,273 and 38,936,571 shares issued and					
Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 5,000,000 shares authorized, 200,000 shares of Series A Nonconvertible Preferred Stock issued and outstanding (liquidation value of \$7.50 per share or \$1,500,000)  Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 45,353,273 and 38,936,571 shares issued and	COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Note 11)				
Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 5,000,000 shares authorized, 200,000 shares of Series A Nonconvertible Preferred Stock issued and outstanding (liquidation value of \$7.50 per share or \$1,500,000)  Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 45,353,273 and 38,936,571 shares issued and					
authorized, 200,000 shares of Series A Nonconvertible Preferred Stock issued and outstanding (liquidation value of \$7.50 per share or \$1,500,000)  Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 45,353,273 and 38,936,571 shares issued and	SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY:				
Preferred Stock issued and outstanding (liquidation value of \$7.50 per share or \$1,500,000) 2,000  Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 45,353,273 and 38,936,571 shares issued and	Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 5,000,000 shares				
\$7.50 per share or \$1,500,000)  Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 45,353,273 and 38,936,571 shares issued and	authorized, 200,000 shares of Series A Nonconvertible				
Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 45,353,273 and 38,936,571 shares issued and	Preferred Stock issued and outstanding (liquidation value of				
authorized, 45,353,273 and 38,936,571 shares issued and	\$7.50 per share or \$1,500,000)		2,000		2,000
	Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 100,000,000 shares				
	authorized, 45,353,273 and 38,936,571 shares issued and				
	outstanding at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010,				
respectively 453,533 389,366	respectively		453,533		389,366
Additional paid-in capital 541,145,617 280,102,227	Additional paid-in capital		541,145,617		280,102,227
Accumulated deficit (228,906,971) (217,026,115)	Accumulated deficit		(228,906,971)	(	217,026,115)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss (5,877,409) (6,037,959)	Accumulated other comprehensive loss		(5,877,409)		(6,037,959)
			,		·
Total shareholders' equity 306,816,770 57,429,519	Total shareholders' equity		306,816,770		57,429,519
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY \$ 343,175,692 \$ 92,327,131	TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY	\$	343,175,692	\$	92,327,131

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated statements.

## **UNIVERSAL DISPLAY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (UNAUDITED)

	Three Months Ended March 31,			
		2011		2010
REVENUE:				
Commercial revenue	\$	4,744,075	\$	1,830,147
Developmental revenue		4,856,465		2,416,503
Total revenue		9,600,540		4,246,650
OPERATING EXPENSES:				
Cost of chemicals sold		102,662		98,620
Research and development		6,555,118		4,828,797
Selling, general and administrative		3,871,957		2,642,246
Patent costs		1,613,042		781,259
Royalty and license expense	_	201,784		120,060
Total operating expenses	_	12,344,563	_	8,470,982
Operating loss		(2,744,023)		(4,224,332)
INTEREST INCOME		95,473		75,655
INTEREST EXPENSE		(9,638)		(7,059)
(LOSS) GAIN ON STOCK WARRANT LIABILITY		(8,926,212)		713,243
LOSS BEFORE INCOME TAX (EXPENSE) BENEFIT	(	11,584,400)		(3,442,493)
INCOME TAX (EXPENSE) BENEFIT		(296,456)		464,162
NET LOSS	\$(	11,880,856)	\$	(2,978,331)
BASIC AND DILUTED NET LOSS PER COMMON SHARE	\$	(0.31)	\$	(0.08)
WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES USED IN COMPUTING BASIC AND DILUTED NET LOSS PER COMMON SHARE		38,895,999	3	37,029,462

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated statements.

## **UNIVERSAL DISPLAY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)

	Three Mon Marc	
	2011	2010
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net loss	\$ (11,880,856)	\$ (2,978,331)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:		
Amortization of deferred revenue	(705,121)	(710,626)
Depreciation	371,901	513,557
Amortization of intangibles	4,884	423,768
Amortization of premium and discount on investments, net	(64,039)	(43,619)
Stock-based employee compensation	1,038,968	463,133
Stock-based non-employee compensation	473	40,848
Non-cash expense under a materials agreement	9,181	243,459
Stock-based compensation to Board of Directors and Scientific Advisory	/	
Board	529,480	149,703
Loss (gain) on stock warrant liability	8,926,212	(713,243)
Retirement plan benefit expense	381,718	_
(Increase) decrease in assets:		
Accounts receivable	1,262,485	944,958
Other current assets	305,024	38,655
Other assets	(115,931)	(18,281)
Increase in liabilities:		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	253,119	278,171
Deferred revenue	1,300,000	925,000
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	1,617,498	(442,848)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Purchase of property and equipment	(475,365)	(89,300)
Purchase of intangibles	(439,644)	_
Purchase of short-term investments	(37,346,073)	(35,224,272)
Proceeds from sale of short-term investments	23,395,654	20,939,983
Net cash used in investing activities	(14,865,428)	(14,373,589)
CACLLELOWIC FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES.		
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:	040 000 545	60.650
Proceeds from the issuance of common stock	249,802,545	62,659
Proceeds from the exercise of common stock options and warrants	5,119,944	722,682
Payment of withholding taxes related to stock-based employee compensation	(3,937,652)	(1,116,387)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	250,984,837	(331,046)
INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	237,736,907	(15,147,483)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING OF PERIOD	20,368,852	22,701,126
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF PERIOD	\$258,105,759	\$ 7,553,643
The following non-cash activities occurred:		
Unrealized loss on available-for-sale securities	\$ (10,550)	¢ (22.511)
	\$ (10,550)	\$ (33,511)
Common stock issued to Board of Directors and Scientific Advisory Board that was earned in a previous period	299,943	314,181

Common stock issued to employees that was accrued for in a previous		
period, net of shares withheld for taxes	1,113,483	929,552
Common stock issued for royalties that was earned in a previous period	_	81,273
Fair value of stock warrant liability reclassified to shareholders' equity upon		
exercise	6,476,182	_

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated statements.

#### UNIVERSAL DISPLAY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

## 1. BACKGROUND

Universal Display Corporation (the Company) is engaged in the research, development and commercialization of organic light emitting diode (OLED) technologies and materials for use in flat panel display, solid-state lighting and other product applications. The Company's primary business strategy is to develop and license its proprietary OLED technologies to product manufacturers for use in these applications. In support of this objective, the Company also develops new OLED materials and sells those materials to product manufacturers. Through internal research and development efforts and relationships with entities such as Princeton University (Princeton), the University of Southern California (USC), the University of Michigan (Michigan), Motorola Solutions, Inc. (f/k/a Motorola, Inc.) (Motorola) and PPG Industries, Inc. (PPG Industries), the Company has established a significant portfolio of proprietary OLED technologies and materials (Notes 5 and 7).

#### 2. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

## **Interim Financial Information**

In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements contain all adjustments (consisting of only normal recurring adjustments) necessary to present fairly the Company's financial position as of March 31, 2011 and results of operations and cash flows for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010. While management believes that the disclosures presented are adequate to make the information not misleading, these unaudited consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto in the Company's latest year-end financial statements, which are included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. The results of Company's operations for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations for any other interim period or for the full year.

## Management's Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

## **Fair Value of Financial Instruments**

The carrying value of cash equivalents, accounts receivable, other current assets and accounts payable approximates fair value in the accompanying financial statements due to the short-term nature of those instruments. See Note 4 for a discussion of short-term investments and stock warrant liability.

#### Cost of Chemicals Sold

Cost of chemicals sold represents costs associated with the sale of commercial chemicals. Certain reclassifications were made to the statement of operations between cost of chemicals sold and research and development expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2010 to reflect this current presentation.

## **Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

In September 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued guidance which affects the revenue recognition accounting policies for transactions that involve multiple deliverables. The new guidance requires companies to allocate revenue in arrangements involving multiple deliverables based on the estimated selling price of each deliverable, even though those deliverables are not sold separately either by the company itself or other vendors. This new guidance eliminates the requirement that all undelivered elements have objective and reliable evidence of fair value before a company can recognize the portion of the overall arrangement fee that is attributable to items that already have been delivered. In the absence of vendor-specific objective evidence and third-party evidence for one or more elements in a multiple-element arrangement, companies will estimate the selling prices of those elements. The overall arrangement fee will be allocated to each element whether delivered or undelivered, based on their relative selling prices, regardless of whether those estimated

selling prices are evidenced by vendor-specific objective evidence, third-party evidence of fair value or are based on the company's judgment. The new guidance was effective prospectively for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010. The Company adopted this new guidance on a prospective basis beginning January 1, 2011, and does not expect the adoption to have an impact on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

In January 2010, the FASB issued amended standards that require additional fair value disclosures. These amended standards require disclosures about inputs and valuation techniques used to measure fair value as well as disclosures about significant transfers, beginning in the first quarter of 2010. Additionally, these amended standards require presentation of disaggregated activity within the reconciliation for fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3), beginning in the first quarter of 2011. The adoption of the additional disclosure requirements of this new guidance in the first quarter of 2011 did not have an impact on the Company's results of operations, financial position, or disclosures.

In April 2010, the FASB issued guidance allowing the milestone method as an acceptable revenue recognition methodology when an arrangement includes substantive milestones. The guidance provides a definition of a substantive milestone and should be applied regardless of whether the arrangement includes single or multiple deliverables or units of accounting. The scope of this consensus is limited to the transactions involving milestones relating to research and development deliverables. The guidance includes enhanced disclosure requirements about each arrangement, individual milestones and related contingent consideration, information about substantive milestones and factors considered in the determination. The consensus is effective prospectively to milestones achieved in annual reporting periods, and interim periods within those years, beginning after June 15, 2010. Early application and retrospective application were permitted. The Company adopted this new guidance on a prospective basis beginning January 1, 2011, and does not expect the adoption to have an impact on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

## 3. CASH, CASH EQUIVALENTS AND SHORT-TERM INVESTMENTS

The Company considers all highly liquid investments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents. The Company classifies its remaining marketable securities as available-for-sale. These securities are carried at fair market value, with unrealized gains and losses reported in shareholders' equity. Gains or losses on securities sold are based on the specific identification method.

Short-term investments at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 consisted of the following:

	Amortized		Unrealized			Aggregate Fair
Investment Classification	Cost	st G		Gains (Losses)		Market Value
March 31, 2011 –						
Certificates of deposit	\$ 6,934,964	\$	176	\$	(6,928)	\$ 6,928,212
Corporate Bonds	53,165,930		37,420		(7,109)	53,196,241
U.S. Government bonds	6,694,409		972		(281)	6,695,100
	\$66,795,303	\$	38,568	\$	(14,318)	\$66,819,553
December 31, 2010 –						
Certificates of deposit	\$ 7,167,818	\$	62	\$	(7,919)	\$ 7,159,961
Corporate Bonds	30,423,518		19,964		(642)	30,442,840
U.S. Government bonds	15,189,511		3,040		(807)	15,191,744
	\$52,780,847	\$	23,066	\$	(9,368)	\$52,794,545

All short-term investments held at March 31, 2011 will mature within one year.

#### 4. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The following table provides the assets and liabilities carried at fair value measured on a recurring basis as of March 31, 2011:

			Fair Value Measurements, L					
	•	otal carrying value as of arch 31, 2011	G	Ruoted prices in active markets (Level 1)	_	nificant other ervable inputs (Level 2)	unob ir	nificant servable puts evel 3)
Cash equivalents	\$	250,271,180	\$	250,271,180	\$	_	\$	_
Short -term investments		66,819,553		66,819,553		_		_
Stock warrant liability		13,109,785		_		_	13	3,109,785

The following table provides the assets and liabilities carried at fair value measured on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2010:

			Fair Value Measurements, Using					
	v [	tal carrying alue as of December 31, 2010	Q	uoted prices in active markets (Level 1)	observa	ant other ble inputs vel 2)	unol i	nificant oservable nputs evel 3)
Cash equivalents	\$	8,234,698	\$	8,234,698	\$	_	\$	_
Short-term investments		52,794,545		52,794,545		_		_
Stock warrant liability		10,659,755		_		_	1	0,659,755

Level 1 inputs are quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Level 2 inputs are quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets or inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly through market corroboration, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument. Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs based on management's own assumptions used to measure assets and liabilities at fair value. A financial asset or liability's classification is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

The following table is a reconciliation of the changes in fair value of the Company's stock warrant liability for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 which has been classified in Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy:

	2011	2010
Fair value of stock warrant liability, beginning of period	\$10,659,755	\$3,720,165
Loss (gain) for period	8,926,212	(713,243)
Warrants exercised	(6,476,182)	
Fair value of stock warrant liability, end of period	\$13,109,785	\$3,006,922

The fair value of the stock warrant liability was determined using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following inputs at March 31, 2011 and 2010:

	2011	2010
Contractual life (years)	0.4	1.4
Expected volatility	58.2%	74.1%
Risk-free interest rate	0.1%	0.7%
Annual dividend yield	<del></del>	_

## 5. RESEARCH AND LICENSE AGREEMENTS WITH PRINCETON, USC AND MICHIGAN

The Company funded OLED technology research at Princeton and, on a subcontractor basis, at USC, for 10 years under a Research Agreement executed with Princeton in August 1997 (the 1997 Research Agreement). The Principal Investigator conducting work under the 1997 Research Agreement transferred to Michigan in January 2006. Following this transfer, the 1997 Research Agreement was allowed to expire on July 31, 2007.

As a result of the transfer, the Company entered into a new Sponsored Research Agreement with USC to sponsor OLED technology research at USC and, on a subcontractor basis, Michigan. This new Research Agreement (the 2006 Research Agreement) was effective as of May 1, 2006, and had an original term of three years. The 2006 Research Agreement superseded the 1997 Research Agreement with respect to all work being performed at USC and Michigan. Payments under the 2006 Research Agreement are made to USC on a quarterly basis as actual expenses are incurred. The Company incurred \$2,155,570 in research and development expense for work performed under the 2006 Research Agreement during the original term, which ended on April 30, 2009.

Effective May 1, 2009, the Company amended the 2006 Research Agreement to extend the term of the agreement for an additional four years. Under the amendment, the Company is obligated to pay USC up to \$7,456,294 for work actually performed during the extended term, which runs through April 30, 2013. From May 1, 2009 through March 31, 2011, the Company incurred \$1,705,666 in research and development expense for work performed under the amended 2006 Research Agreement.

On October 9, 1997, the Company, Princeton and USC entered into an Amended License Agreement (as amended, the 1997 Amended License Agreement) under which Princeton and USC granted the Company worldwide, exclusive license rights, with rights to sublicense, to make, have made, use, lease and/or sell products and to practice processes based on patent applications and issued patents arising out of work performed by Princeton and USC under the 1997 Research Agreement. Under this agreement, the Company is required to pay Princeton royalties for licensed products sold by the Company or its sublicensees. For licensed products sold by the Company, the Company is required to pay Princeton 3% of the net sales price of these products. For licensed products sold by the Company's sublicensees, the Company is required to pay Princeton 3% of the revenues received by the Company from these sublicensees. These royalty rates are subject to renegotiation for products not reasonably conceivable as arising out of the 1997 Research Agreement if Princeton reasonably determines that the royalty rates payable with respect to these products are not fair and competitive.

The Company is obligated under the 1997 Amended License Agreement to pay to Princeton minimum annual royalties. The minimum royalty payment is \$100,000 per year. The Company accrued royalty expense in connection with this agreement of \$199,285 and \$68,392 for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

The Company also is required under the 1997 Amended License Agreement to use commercially reasonable efforts to bring the licensed OLED technology to market. However, this requirement is deemed satisfied if the Company invests a minimum of \$800,000 per year in research, development, commercialization or patenting efforts respecting the patent rights licensed to the Company.

In connection with entering into the 2006 Research Agreement, the Company amended the 1997 Amended License Agreement to include Michigan as a party to that agreement effective as of January 1, 2006. Under this amendment, Princeton, USC and Michigan have granted the Company a worldwide exclusive license, with rights to sublicense, to make, have made, use, lease and/or sell products and to practice processes based on patent applications and issued patents arising out of work performed under the 2006 Research Agreement. The financial terms of the 1997 Amended License Agreement were not impacted by this amendment.

## 6. ACQUIRED TECHNOLOGY

In 2000, the Company entered into a license agreement with Motorola whereby Motorola granted the Company perpetual license rights to what are now 74 issued U.S. patents relating to Motorola's OLED technologies, together with foreign counterparts in various countries. These patents will start expiring in the U.S. in 2012.

The Company was required under the license agreement with Motorola to pay Motorola annual royalties on gross revenues received on account of the Company's sales of OLED products or components, or from its OLED technology licensees, whether or not these revenues relate specifically to inventions claimed in the patent rights licensed from Motorola. The Company accrued royalty expense in connection with this agreement of \$49,168 for the three months ended March 31, 2010

On March 9, 2011, the Company purchased these patents from Motorola, including all existing and future claims and causes of action for any infringement of the patents, pursuant to a Patent Purchase Agreement. The Patent Purchase Agreement effectively terminated its license agreement with Motorola, including any obligation to make royalty payments to Motorola.

This acquired technology has an assigned value of \$439,644 which is being amortized over a period of 7.5 years.

## 7. EQUITY AND CASH COMPENSATION UNDER THE PPG INDUSTRIES AGREEMENTS

On October 1, 2000, the Company entered into a five-year Development and License Agreement (the Development Agreement) and a seven-year Supply Agreement (the Supply Agreement) with PPG Industries. Under the Development Agreement, a team of PPG Industries scientists and engineers assisted the Company in developing its proprietary OLED materials and supplied the Company with these materials for evaluation purposes. Under the Supply Agreement, PPG Industries supplied the Company with its proprietary OLED materials that were intended for resale to customers for commercial purposes.

On July 29, 2005, the Company entered into an OLED Materials Supply and Service Agreement with PPG Industries (the OLED Materials Agreement). The OLED Materials Agreement superseded and replaced in their entireties the Development Agreement and Supply Agreement effective as of January 1, 2006, and extended the term of the Company's relationship with PPG Industries through December 31, 2009. The term of the OLED Materials Agreement has subsequently been extended through December 31, 2012. Under the OLED Materials Agreement, PPG Industries continues to assist the Company in developing its proprietary OLED materials and supplying the Company with those materials for evaluation purposes and for resale to its customers. The Company is currently in the process of negotiating a further extension of the OLED Materials Agreement.

Under the OLED Materials Agreement, the Company compensates PPG Industries on a cost-plus basis for the services provided during each calendar quarter. The Company is required to pay for some of these services in all cash. Up to 50% of the remaining services are payable, at the Company's sole discretion, in cash or shares of the Company's common stock, with the balance payable in all cash. The actual number of shares of common stock issuable to PPG Industries is determined based on the average closing price for the Company's common stock during a specified number of days prior to the end of each calendar half-year period ending on March 31 and September 30. If, however, this average closing price is less than \$6.00, the Company is required to compensate PPG Industries in all cash.

The Company is also required under the OLED Materials Agreement to reimburse PPG Industries for raw materials used for research and development. The Company records the purchases of these raw materials as a current asset until such materials are used for research and development efforts.

The Company issued 181 and 20,409 shares of the Company's common stock to PPG Industries as consideration for services provided by PPG Industries under the OLED Materials Agreement during the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. For these shares, the Company recorded expense of \$9,181 and \$243,459 for the three months ended March, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, the Company recorded expense of \$1,432,855 and \$318,748 respectively, for the cash portion of the reimbursement of expenses to and work performed by PPG Industries, excluding amounts paid for commercial chemicals.

#### 8. SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

	Seri Noncon	vertible			Additional		Accumulated Other	Total
		d Stock Amount	Commor Shares	Amount	Paid-In Capital	Accumulated Deficit	Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Snareholders' Equity
BALANCE, JANUARY 1, 2011								
Net loss				_	_	(11,880,856)		(11,880,856)
Other comprehensive (loss) income: Unrealized gain on available-for-								
sale securities	_	_	_	_	_	_	10,550	10,550
Amortization of prior service cost and actuarial loss for retirement plan	_	_	_	_	_	_	150,000	150,000
Comprehensive							100,000	100,000
loss								(11,720,306)
Exercise of common stock options and warrants, net of tendered shares	_	_	538,116	5,381	11,590,745	_	_	11,596,126

Stock-based employee compensation, net of shares withheld for taxes (A)			04.100	044	(4.404.400)			(4.400.404)
Ctaal, basad nan	_	_	94,122	941	(1,131,132)	_	_	(1,130,191)
Stock-based non- employee compensation	_	_	12	_	473	_	_	473
Issuance of common stock to Board of Directors and Scientific Advisory Board								
(B)	_	_	31,536	316	829,107	_	_	829,423
Issuance of common stock in connection with materials								
agreements	_	_	181	2	9,179	_	_	9,181
Issuance of common stock under an Employee Stock								
Purchase Plan	_	_	2,735	27	71,226	_	_	71,253
Issuance of common stock through a public offering, net of expenses of \$14,768,708								
(C)	_	_	5,750,000	57,500	249,673,792	_	_	249,731,292
BALANCE, MARCH 31, 2011	200,000	\$ 2,000	45,353,273	\$453,533	\$541,145,617	\$(228,906,971)\$	(5,877,409) \$	306,816,770

- (A) Includes \$1,768,493 (50,848 shares) that was accrued for in a previous period and charged to expense when earned, but issued in 2011, less shares withheld for taxes in the amount of \$655,010 (18,792 shares).
- (B) Includes \$299,943 (8,624 shares) that was earned in a previous period and charged to expense when earned, but issued in 2011.
- (C) In March 2011, the Company sold 5,750,000 shares of its Common Stock at \$46.00 per share in a registered underwritten public offering. The offering resulted in proceeds of \$249,731,292, which was net of \$14,768,708 in underwriting discounts and commissions and other costs associated with completion of the offering.

## 9. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

The Company recognizes in its results of operations the grant-datefair value of stock options and other equity-based compensation issued to employees and directors. The grant-date fair value of stock options is determined using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The fair value of share-based awards is recognized as compensation expense on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period, net of estimated forfeitures. The Company relies primarily upon historical experience to estimate expected forfeitures and recognizes compensation expense on a straight-line basis from the date of the grant. The Company issues new shares upon the respective exercise, grant or vesting of share-based awards, as applicable.

## **Equity Compensation Plan**

In 2003, the Company amended and restated its Stock Option Plan (now called the Equity Compensation Plan). Through March 31, 2011, the Company's shareholders have approved increases in the number of shares reserved for issuance under the Equity Compensation Plan to 7,000,000, and have extended the term of the plan through 2015. The Board of Directors recently approved an amendment that would increase this number to 8,000,000, which amendment is subject to approval at the 2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. The 1995 Plan was also amended and restated in 2003, and is now called the Equity Compensation Plan.

The Equity Compensation Plan provides for the granting of incentive and nonqualified stock options, shares of common stock, stock appreciation rights and performance units to employees, directors and consultants of the Company. Stock options are exercisable over periods determined by the Compensation Committee, but for no longer than 10 years from the grant date. Options to purchase shares of the Company's common stock are authorized to be granted at prices not less than the fair market value of the common stock on the date of the grant, as determined by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors.

During the three months ended March 31, 2011, the Company did not grant any options to employees. The Company recorded as compensation expense related to the vesting of all employee stock options an expense of \$0 and \$22,232 for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

During the three months ended March 31, 2011, the Company granted 84,197 shares of restricted stock awards and restricted stock units to employees. The shares associated with these restricted stock awards and restricted stock units had a fair value of \$2,928,372 on the date of grant and will vest in equal increments annually over one to three years from the date of grant, provided that the grantee is still an employee of the Company on the applicable vesting date.

For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, the Company recorded compensation expense related to the vesting of restricted stock awards and restricted stock units previously granted to employees. These expenses were charged to general and administrative expense in amounts of \$727,661 and \$295,815, and to research and development expense in amounts of \$269,686 and \$118,149, for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

During the three months ended March 31, 2011, the Company also granted to employees 544 shares of common stock, which shares were issued and fully vested at the date of grant. For the fair value of fully-vested shares that were issued to employees, the Company recorded charges to research and development expense of \$21,500 and \$8,500 for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

In connection with all common stock issued to employees for the three months ended March 31, 2011, 99,768 shares of common stock with a fair value of \$3,937,652 were withheld in satisfaction of tax withholding obligations.

During the three months ended March 31, 2011 the Company granted 24,000 cash-settled stock appreciation rights (SARs) to certain executive officers. The SARs represent the right to receive, for each SAR, a cash payment equal to the amount, if any,

by which the fair market value of a share of the common stock of the Company on the vesting date exceeds the base price of the SAR award. The base price of each SAR award is \$34.78 per share. The SARs vest on the first anniversary of the date of grant, provided that the grantee is still an employee of the Company on the applicable vesting date. For the three months ended March 31, 2011, the Company recorded compensation expense related to the vesting of the cash-settled SARs. These expenses were charged to general and administrative expense in amount of \$35,660 and to research and development expense in amount of \$86,604.

For the three months ended March 31, 2011, the Company issued a total 5,000 shares of common stock to members of its Board of Directors as partial compensation for services performed. For the fair value of shares issued to its Board of Directors, the Company recorded charges to general and administrative expense of \$55,905 and \$67,631 for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

For the three months ended March 31, 2011, the Company granted a total of 6,900 shares of restricted stock to certain members of its Scientific Advisory Board. These shares of restricted stock will vest and be issued in equal increments annually over three years from the date of grant, provided that the grantee is still engaged as a consultant of the Company on the applicable vesting date. The Company recorded charges to research and development expense for the vesting of all restricted stock awards to its Scientific Advisory Board of \$473,575 and \$82,072 for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

## **Employee Stock Purchase Plan**

On April 7, 2009, the Board of Directors of the Company adopted an Employee Stock Purchase Plan (ESPP). The ESPP was approved by the Company's shareholders and became effective on June 25, 2009. The Company has reserved 1,000,000 shares of common stock for issuance under the ESPP. Unless sooner terminated by the Board of Directors, the ESPP will expire when all reserved shares have been issued.

Eligible employees may elect to contribute to the ESPP through payroll deductions during consecutive three-month purchase periods, the first of which began on July 1, 2009. Each employee who elects to participate will be deemed to have been granted an option to purchase shares of the Company's common stock on the first day of the purchase period. Unless the employee opts out during the purchase period, the option will automatically be exercised on the last day of the period, which is the purchase date, based on the employee's accumulated contributions to the ESPP. The purchase price will equal 85% of the lesser of the price per share of common stock on the first day of the period or the last day of the period.

Employees may allocate up to 10% of their base compensation to purchase shares of common stock under the ESPP; however, each employee may purchase no more than 12,500 shares on a given purchase date, and no employee may purchase more than \$25,000 of common stock under the ESPP during a given calendar year.

For the three months ended March 31, 2011, the Company issued 2,735 shares of its common stock under the ESPP, resulting in proceeds of \$71,253. The Company recorded expenses of \$6,001 and \$5,975 to general and administrative expense and \$14,185 and \$11,490 to research and development expense related to the ESPP for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, which expenses equal the amount of the discount and the value of the look-back feature.

## **Net Loss Per Common Share**

Basic net loss per common share is computed by dividing the net loss by the weighted-average number of shares of common stock outstanding for the period. Diluted net loss per common share reflects the potential dilution from the exercise or conversion of securities into common stock, the impact of unvested restricted stock awards and restricted stock units and shares to be issued under the ESPP. For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, the effects of the exercise of the combined outstanding stock options and warrants and unvested restricted stock awards and units of 2,483,015 and 4,645,852, respectively, and the impact of shares to be issued under the ESPP, which was minor, were excluded from the calculation of diluted EPS as the impact would have been antidilutive. The 5,750,000 shares of the Company's common stock issued in connection with the public offering completed in March 2011 were outstanding for only one day for the three months ended March 31, 2011. Future periods, which will include the shares as outstanding for the entire period, will have a significantly higher weighted average number of shares.

## 10. SUPPLEMENTAL EXECUTIVE RETIREMENT PLAN

On March 18, 2010, the Compensation Committee and the Board of Directors of the Company approved and adopted the Universal Display Corporation Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (the SERP), effective as of April 1, 2010. The purpose of the SERP, which is unfunded, is to provide certain of the Company's executive officers with supplemental

pension benefits following a cessation of their employment. As of March 31, 2011 there were six participants in the SERP. The SERP benefit is based on a percentage of the participant's annual base salary and the number of years of service.

The Company records amounts relating to the SERP based on calculations that incorporate various actuarial and other assumptions, including discount rates, rate of compensation increases, retirement dates, and life expectancies. The net periodic costs are recognized as employees render the services necessary to earn the SERP benefits.

The components of net periodic pension cost were as follows:

	E	Three Months Ended March 31, 2011		
Service cost	\$	135,459		
Interest cost		96,259		
Amortization of prior service cost		146,122		
Amortization of actuarial loss		3,878		
Total net periodic benefit cost	\$	381,718		

## 11. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

#### Commitments

Under the 2006 Research Agreement with USC, the Company is obligated to make certain payments to USC based on work performed by USC under that agreement, and by Michigan under its subcontractor agreement with USC. See Note 5 for further explanation.

Under the terms of the 1997 Amended License Agreement, the Company is required to make minimum royalty payments to Princeton. See Note 5 for further explanation.

## Opposition to European Patent No. 0946958

On December 8, 2006, Cambridge Display Technology (CDT), which was acquired in 2007 by Sumitomo Chemical Company (Sumitomo), filed a Notice of Opposition to European Patent No. 0946958 (EP '958 patent). The EP '958 patent, which was issued on March 8, 2006, is a European counterpart patent to U.S. patents 5,844,363, 6,602,540, 6,888,306 and 7,247,073. These patents relate to the Company's FOLED™ flexible OLED technology. They are exclusively licensed to the Company by Princeton, and under the license agreement the Company is required to pay all legal costs and fees associated with this proceeding.

The European Patent Office (the EPO) conducted an Oral Hearing in this matter on October 6, 2009. No representative from CDT attended the Oral Hearing. At the conclusion of the Oral Hearing, the EPO panel announced its decision to reject the opposition and to maintain the patent as granted. The minutes of the Oral Hearing were dispatched on October 27, 2009, and the EPO issued its official decision on November 26, 2009.

CDT filed an appeal to the EPO decision on January 25, 2010. CDT timely filed its grounds for the appeal with the EPO on or about April 1, 2010. The EPO set August 12, 2010 as the due date for filing the Company's reply to this appeal. The Company's reply was timely filed.

At this time, based on its current knowledge, Company management believes that the EPO decision will be upheld on appeal. However, Company management cannot make any assurances of this result.

## Opposition to European Patent No. 1449238

On March 8, 2007, Sumation Company Limited (Sumation), a joint venture between Sumitomo and CDT, filed a first Notice of Opposition to European Patent No. 1449238 (EP '238 patent). The EP '238 patent, which was issued on November 2, 2006, is a European counterpart patent, in part, to U.S. patents 6,830,828; 6,902,830; 7,001,536; 7,291,406 and 7,537,844; and to pending U.S. patent application 12/434,259, filed on May 1, 2009. These patents and this patent application relate to the Company's UniversalPHOLED® phosphorescent OLED technology. They are exclusively licensed to the Company by Princeton, and under the license agreement the Company is required to pay all legal costs and fees associated with this proceeding.

Two other parties filed additional oppositions to the EP '238 patent just prior to the August 2, 2007 expiration date for such filings. On July 24, 2007, Merck Patent GmbH, of Darmstadt, Germany, filed a second Notice of Opposition to the EP '238 patent, and on July 27, 2007, BASF Aktiengesellschaft, of Mannheim, Germany, filed a third Notice of Opposition to the EP '238 patent. The EPO combined all three oppositions into a single opposition proceeding.

The EPO set a January 6, 2008 due date for the Company to file its response to the opposition. The Company requested a two-month extension to file this response, which the Company subsequently filed in a timely manner. The Company is still waiting for the EPO to notify the Company of the date of the Oral Hearing. The Company is also waiting to see whether the other parties in the opposition file any additional documents, to which the Company may respond.

At this time, Company management cannot make any prediction as to the probable outcome of the opposition. However, based on the Company's current knowledge, Company management believes there is a substantial likelihood that the patent being challenged will be declared valid, and that all or a significant portion of its claims will be upheld.

## Invalidation Trial in Japan for Japan Patent No. 3992929

On April 19, 2010, the Company received a copy of a Notice of Invalidation Trial from the Japanese Patent Office (the JPO) for the Company's Japan Patent No. 3992929 (the JP '929 patent), which was issued on August 3, 2007. The request for the Invalidation Trial was filed by Semiconductor Energy Laboratory Co., Ltd. (SEL), of Kanagawa, Japan. The JP '929 patent is a Japanese counterpart patent, in part, to the above-noted EP '238 patent and to the above-noted family of U.S. patents 6,830,828; 6,902,830; 7,001,536; 7,291,406 and 7,537,844; and to pending U.S. patent application 12/434,259, filed on May 1, 2009.

On August 24, 2010, the JPO issued a Notice for an Oral Hearing in this matter, which was held on November 16, 2010. On February 28, 2011, the Company learned that the JPO had issued a decision recognizing the Company's invention and upholding the validity of most of the claims, but finding the broadest claims in the patent invalid. Company management believes that the JPO's decision invalidating these claims was erroneous, and the Company plans to appeal this portion of the decision to the Japanese IP High Court.

At this time, based on the Company's current knowledge, Company management believes that the JPO decision invalidating certain claims in the Company's JP '929 patent should be overturned on appeal as to all or a significant portion of the claims. However, Company management cannot make any assurances of this result.

## Opposition to European Patent No. 1394870

On about April 20, 2010, five European companies filed Notices of Opposition to European Patent No. 1394870 (the EP '270 patent). The EP '270 patent, which was issued on July 22, 2009, is a European counterpart patent, in part, to U.S. patents 6,303,238; 6,579,632; 6,872,477; 7,279,235; 7,279,237; 7,488,542 and 7,563,519; and to pending U.S. patent application 12/489,045, filed on June 22, 2009. These patents and this patent application relate to the Company's PHOLED™ technology. They are exclusively licensed to the Company by Princeton, and under the license agreement the Company is required to pay all legal costs and fees associated with this proceeding. The five companies are Merck Patent GmbH, of Darmstadt, Germany; BASF Schweitz AG of Basel, Switzerland; Osram GmbH of Munich, Germany; Siemens Aktiengesellschaft of Munich, Germany; and Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V., of Eindhoven, The Netherlands.

The EPO combined the oppositions into a single opposition proceeding and set October 4, 2010 as the due date for the Company to file the Company's response, subject to extension. The Company requested a two-month extension to file this response, and the Company subsequently filed the Company's response in a timely manner. The Company is still waiting for the EPO to notify the Company of the date of the Oral Hearing. The Company is also waiting to see whether any of the other parties in the opposition file additional documents, to which the Company may respond.

At this time, Company management cannot make any prediction as to the probable outcome of the oppositions. However, based on the Company's current knowledge, Company management believes there is a substantial likelihood that the patent being challenged will be declared valid, and that all or a significant portion of its claims will be upheld.

## Invalidation Trials in Japan for Japan Patent Nos. 4357781 and 4358168

On May 24, 2010, the Company received copies of two additional Notices of Invalidation Trials against Japan Patent Nos. 4357781 (the JP '781 patent) and 4358168 (the JP '168 patent), which were both issued on August 14, 2009. The requests for these two additional Invalidation Trials were also filed by SEL. The JP '781 and '168 patents are also Japanese counterpart patents, in part, to the above-noted family of U.S. patents 6,830,828; 6,902,830; 7,001,536; 7,291,406 and

7,537,844; and to pending U.S. patent application 12/434,259, filed on May 1, 2009. Under the Company's license agreement with Princeton, the Company is also required to pay all legal costs and fees associated with these two proceedings.

The JPO set a due date of August 18, 2010 for the Company to file the Company's response to the evidence and arguments submitted with the requests for the Invalidation Trials. The Company requested and the JPO granted a 30-day extension for the Company to file its response, which was timely filed.

Additional written statements were filed in January 2011 in response to a request by the JPO, addressing points that were expected to be raised by the JPO at the Oral Hearing that was held on February 1, 2011. Another written statement was submitted in February 2011 to address additional points raised at the Oral Hearing.

On March 31, 2011, the Company learned that the JPO had issued decisions finding all the claims in the JP '781 and JP '168 patents invalid. Company management believes that the JPO's decisions invalidating these claims were erroneous and the Company plans to appeal the decisions to the Japanese IP High Court.

At this time, based on the Company's current knowledge, Company management believes that the JPO decisions invalidating all the claims in the Company's JP '781 and JP '168 patents should be overturned on appeal as to all or a significant portion of the claims. However, Company management cannot make any assurances of this result.

## Interference involving Claims 48-52 of US Patent No. 6,902,830

Patent Interference No. 105,771 was declared by the United States Patent and Trademark Office (the USPTO) on November 17, 2010 between The University of Southern California and The Trustees of Princeton University (the Universities), Junior Party, and Fujifilm Holding Corporation (Fuji), Senior Party. The dispute is between The Universities' U.S. Patent No 6,902,830 (the '830 patent), claims 48-52, and Fuji's Patent Application No. 11/802,492, claims 1-5. The '830 patent relates to the Company's UniversalPHOLED® phosphorescent OLED technology. It is exclusively licensed to the Company by Princeton, and under the license agreement the Company is required to pay all legal costs and fees associated with this proceeding.

The USPTO declares an interference when two or more parties claim the same patentable invention. The objective of an interference is to contest which party, if any, has both a right to participate in the proceeding and a right to the claimed invention and, if more than one party does, then to contest which party has the earliest priority date for the claimed invention.

At a telephone hearing on January 28, 2011, the Universities were authorized to file seven motions. At a telephone conference on March 31, 2011, the USPTO granted Fuji's request for an extension of the date for filing these motions due to the recent difficulties in Japan. The USPTO established an extended filing date of June 3, 2011. The Company is currently preparing the motions for filing by that date.

At this time, Company management cannot make any prediction as to the probable outcome of the Interference. However, based on the Company's current knowledge, Company management believes there is a substantial likelihood that the Company's claims 48-52 of the '830 patent will prevail.

## Request for an Invalidation Trial in Korea for Patent No. 10-0998059

On March 10, 2011, the Company received informal notice from the Company's Korean patent counsel of a Request for an Invalidation Trial from the Korean Intellectual Property Office (KIPO) for the Company's Korean Patent No. 10-0998059 (the KR '059 patent), which was issued on November 26, 2010. The Company does not yet know who filed the request. The KR '059 patent is a Korean counterpart patent to the OVJP, Organic Vapor Jet Printing, family of U.S. patents originating from US 7,431,968. At this time, Company management cannot make any prediction as to the probable outcome of this Invalidation Trial.

## 12. CONCENTRATION OF RISK

Contract research revenue, which is included in developmental revenue in the accompanying statement of operations, of \$1,924,623 and \$1,131,902 for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, has been derived from contracts with United States government agencies. Revenues derived from contracts with government agencies represented 20% and 27% of consolidated revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, and accounts receivable as of March 31, 2011 from our largest non-government customers were as follows:

	% of Tota	% of Total Revenue		Accounts Receivable	
Customer	2011	2010	March 31, 2011		
A	43%	36%	\$	907,801	
В	20%	4%		1,627,500	

The Company's relationships with customers A and B are under agreements that are presently scheduled to expire within the next twelve months.

Revenues from outside of North America represented 79% and 71% of the consolidated revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Revenues by geographic area are as follows:

Country	2011	2010
United States	\$2,002,123	\$1,251,052
South Korea	6,154,050	2,193,551
Japan	1,115,297	649,450
Taiwan	287,023	147,950
Other	42,047	4,647
All foreign locations	7,598,417	2,995,598
Total revenue	\$9,600,540	\$4,246,650

The Company attributes revenue to different geographic areas on the basis of the location of the customer.

Long-lived tangible assets at international locations are not significant for each of the periods presented.

All chemical materials were purchased from one supplier. See Note 7.

## 13. INCOME TAXES

The Company filed for and was granted a five-year exemption on withholding tax on royalty payments received from Samsung SMD under its patent license agreement as part of a tax incentive program in Korea. The exemption was granted in May 2005 and remained in effect until May 2010. Since then, Samsung SMD has been required to withhold tax upon payment of royalties to the Company. In 2011 and 2010, the withholding tax rate for royalty payments made by Samsung SMD was 16.5%. For the three months ended March 31, 2011, foreign income taxes of \$296,456 were withheld in connection with royalty revenues.

During the three months ended March 31, 2010, the Company sold approximately \$3.8 million of its state-related income tax net operating losses and \$194,088 of its research and development tax credits under the New Jersey Technology Tax Certificate Transfer Program. The Company received proceeds of \$464,162 from these sales and recorded these proceeds as an income tax benefit.

## ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes above.

## CAUTIONARY STATEMENT CONCERNING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This discussion and analysis contains some "forward-looking statements." Forward-looking statements concern our possible or assumed future results of operations, including descriptions of our business strategies and customer relationships. These statements often include words such as "believe," "expect," "anticipate," "intend," "plan," "estimate," "seek," "will," "may" or similar expressions. These statements are based on assumptions that we have made in light of our experience in the industry, as well as our perceptions of historical trends, current conditions, expected future developments and other factors we believe are appropriate in these circumstances.

As you read and consider this discussion and analysis, you should not place undue reliance on any forward-looking statements. You should understand that these statements involve substantial risk and uncertainty and are not guarantees of future performance or results. They depend on many factors that are discussed further in the section entitled (Risk Factors) in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, as supplemented by any disclosures in Item 1A of Part II below. Changes or developments in any of these areas could affect our financial results or results of operations, and could cause actual results to differ materially from those contemplated in the forward-looking statements.

All forward-looking statements speak only as of the date of this report or the documents incorporated by reference, as the case may be. We do not undertake any duty to update any of these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this report or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

## **OVERVIEW**

We are a leader in the research, development and commercialization of organic light emitting diode, or OLED, technologies for use in flat panel display and solid-state lighting applications. Since 1994, we have been exclusively engaged, and expect to continue to be exclusively engaged, in funding and performing research and development activities relating to OLED technologies and materials, and in attempting to commercialize these technologies and materials. Our revenues are generated through contract research, sales of development and commercial chemicals, technology development and evaluation agreements and license fees and royalties. Over time, we anticipate that revenues from licensing our intellectual property will become a more significant part of our revenue stream.

While we have made significant progress over the past few years developing and commercializing our PHOLED™ and other OLED technologies and materials, we have incurred significant losses and will likely continue to do so until our OLED technologies and materials become more widely adopted by product manufacturers. We have incurred significant losses since our inception, resulting in an accumulated deficit of \$228,906,971 as of March 31, 2011.

We anticipate fluctuations in our annual and quarterly results of operations due to uncertainty regarding, among other factors:

- the timing of our receipt of license fees and royalties, as well as fees for future technology development and evaluation activities;
- the timing and volume of sales of our OLED materials for both commercial usage and evaluation purposes;
- the timing and magnitude of expenditures we may incur in connection with our ongoing research and development activities;
   and
- · the timing and financial consequences of our formation of new business relationships and alliances.

## **RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

## Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 Compared to Three Months Ended March 31, 2010

We had an operating loss of \$2,744,023 for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to an operating loss of \$4,224,332 for the three months ended March 31, 2010. The decrease in the operating loss was due to the following:

- · an increase in revenue of \$5,353,890; offset by
- · an increase in operating expenses of \$3,873,581.

We had a net loss of \$11,880,856 (or \$0.31 per basic and diluted share) for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to a net loss of \$2,978,331 (or \$0.08 per basic and diluted share) for the three months ended March 31, 2010. The increase in net loss was due to an increase in loss on stock warrant liability of \$9,639,455, offset mainly by a decrease in operating loss.

Our revenues were \$9,600,540 for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to \$4,246,650 for the three months ended March 31, 2010.

Commercial revenue increased to \$4,744,075 for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to \$1,830,147 for the three months ended March 31, 2010. Commercial revenue relates to the incorporation of our OLED technologies and

materials into our customers' commercial products, and includes commercial chemical revenue, royalty and license revenues, and commercialization assistance revenue. The increase in commercial revenue was primarily due to the following:

- an increase of \$1,230,828 in royalty revenue which mainly represented royalties received under our patent license agreement with Samsung SMD Co., Ltd. (Samsung SMD);
- · an increase of \$1,136,085 in commercial chemical revenue; and
- · an increase of \$527,310 in license fee revenue.

We cannot accurately predict how long our material sales to particular customers will continue, as our customers frequently update and alter their product offerings in response to market demands. Continued sales of our OLED materials to these customers will depend on several factors, including pricing, availability, continued technical improvement and competitive product offerings.

In July 2010, we entered into an amendment to our patent license agreement with Samsung SMD. The amendment extended the term of this agreement for three months and has subsequently been extended at three month intervals through June 30, 2011. As of the date of this filing, we are continuing to negotiate with Samsung SMD on the terms of a new business arrangement.

Developmental revenue increased to \$4,856,465 for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to \$2,416,503 for the three months ended March 31, 2010. Developmental revenue relates to OLED technology and material development and evaluation activities for which we are paid, and includes contract research revenue, development chemical revenue and technology development revenue. The increase in developmental revenue was primarily due to:

- an increase of \$1,646,550 in development chemical revenue, due mostly to increased purchases of development chemicals by customers that are preparing for commercial production; and
- · an increase in contract revenue of \$792,721.

Cost of chemicals sold increased to \$102,662 for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to \$98,620 for the three months ended March 31, 2010, due to increased commercial chemical sales.

We incurred research and development expenses of \$6,555,118 for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to \$4,828,797 for the three months ended March 31, 2010. The increase was primarily due to:

- · increased costs of \$879,829 incurred under our agreement with PPG Industries;
- · increased employee costs of \$476,300 due primarily to increased salaries, costs associated with retirement benefits and stock compensation for certain executive officers; and
- · increased consulting costs of \$391,504 due primarily to stock compensation for scientific advisory board members.

Selling, general and administrative expenses were \$3,871,957 for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to \$2,642,246 for the three months ended March 31, 2010. The increase was mainly due to:

- increased employee costs of \$601,796 due primarily to increased salaries and stock compensation for certain executive officers; and
- expenses of \$307,392 related to net periodic benefit costs of the Universal Display Corporation Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (SERP) for certain executive officers, which was implemented in 2010. See Note 10 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Patent costs increased to \$1,613,042 for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to \$781,259 for the three months ended March 31, 2010. The increase was mainly due to the timing of prosecution and maintenance costs associated with a number of patents and patent applications, as well as the timing of costs for certain ongoing and new patent matters.

Interest income increased to \$95,473 for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to \$75,655 for the three months ended March 31, 2010. The increase was mainly attributable to increased rates of return on investments during the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to rates of return during the same period in 2010. Due to current market conditions, we anticipate low rates of return will continue for the foreseeable future.

At March 31, 2011, we had outstanding warrants to purchase 317,296 shares of common stock, which warrants contain a "down-round" provision requiring liability classification. The change in fair value of these warrants during the period resulted in a \$8,926,212 non-cash loss on our statement of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to a \$713,243 non-cash gain for the three months ended March 31, 2010. We will continue to report the warrants as a liability, with changes in fair value recorded in the statement of operations, until such time as these warrants are either exercised or expire in August 2011.

For the three months ended March 31, 2011, we had an income tax expense of \$296,456 compared to an income tax benefit of \$464,162 for the three months ended March 31, 2010. We filed for and were granted a five-year exemption on withholding tax on royalty payments received from Samsung SMD under our patent license agreement as part of a tax incentive program in Korea. The exemption was granted in May 2005 and remained in effect until May 2010. Since then, Samsung SMD has been required to withhold tax upon payment of royalties to us. In 2011 and 2010, the withholding tax rate for royalty payments made by Samsung SMD was 16.5%. For the three months ended March 31, 2011, foreign income taxes of \$296,456 were withheld in connection with our royalty revenues.

During the three months ended March 31, 2010, we sold approximately \$3.8 million of our state-related income tax net operating losses (NOLs) and \$194,088 of our research and development tax credits under the New Jersey Technology Tax Certificate Transfer Program. We received proceeds of \$464,162 from our sale of these NOLs and research and development tax credits, and we recorded these proceeds as an income tax benefit. No such sales have occurred in 2011.

## **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

As of March 31, 2011, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$258,105,759 and short-term investments of \$66,819,553, for a total of \$324,925,312. This compares to cash and cash equivalents of \$20,368,852 and short-term investments of \$52,794,545, for a total of \$73,163,397, as of December 31, 2010. The increase in cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments of \$251,761,915 was primarily due to the completion in March 2011 of our public offering of 5,750,000 shares of our common stock at a price of \$46.00 per share. The offering resulted in net proceeds of \$249,731,292.

Cash provided from operating activities was \$1,617,498 for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to cash used of \$442,848 for the same period in 2010. The increase in cash provided in operating activities was mainly due to the following:

- · a decrease in net loss of \$1,224,152, which amount excludes the impact of non-cash items;
- · an increase of \$375,000 in deferred revenue and licensing fees received; and
- the impact of the timing of receipt of accounts receivable of \$317,527.

Cash provided by financing activities was \$250,984,837 for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to cash used of \$331,046 for the same period in 2010. The increase was due primarily to the completion in March 2011 of our public offering of 5,750,000 shares of our common stock at a price of \$46.00 per share. The offering resulted in proceeds to us of \$249,731,292, which was net of \$14,768,708 in underwriting discounts and commissions and other costs associated with completion of the offering.

Working capital was \$307,156,455 as of March 31, 2011 which included a stock warrant liability of \$13,109,785, compared to \$57,354,822 as of December 31, 2010. The stock warrant liability will either expire or be exercised by August 2011, resulting in no cash outlay by us. Working capital, excluding the stock warrant liability, was \$320,266,240 as of March 31, 2011. Again, this increase was primarily due to proceeds from the common stock offering we completed in March 2011.

We anticipate, based on our internal forecasts and assumptions relating to our operations (including, among others, assumptions regarding our working capital requirements, the progress of our research and development efforts, the availability of sources of funding for our research and development work, and the timing and costs associated with the preparation, filing, prosecution, maintenance, defense and enforcement of our patents and patent applications), that we have sufficient cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments to meet our obligations for at least the next 12 months.

We believe that potential additional financing sources for us include long-term and short-term borrowings, public and private sales of our equity and debt securities and the receipt of cash upon the exercise of outstanding warrants and options. It should be noted, however, that additional funding may be required in the future for research, development and commercialization of our OLED technologies and materials, to obtain, maintain and enforce patents respecting these technologies and materials, and for working capital and other purposes, the timing and amount of which are difficult to ascertain. There can be no assurance that additional funds will be available to us when needed, on commercially reasonable terms or at all, particularly in the current economic environment.

#### **Critical Accounting Policies**

## Revenue Recognition and Deferred Revenue

We receive non-refundable cash payments under certain development and technology evaluation agreements with our customers. These payments are generally recognized as revenue over the term of the agreement. On occasion, however, these payments are creditable against license fees and/or royalties payable by the customer if a license agreement is subsequently executed with the customer. These payments are classified as deferred revenues, and are recorded as liabilities in the consolidated balance sheet until such time as revenue can be recognized. Revenue is deferred until a license agreement is executed or negotiations have ceased and there is no appreciable likelihood of executing a license agreement with the customer. If a license agreement is executed, these payments are recorded as revenue over the estimated useful life of the licensed technology and the revenue is classified based on the terms of the license. Otherwise, these payments are recorded as revenue at the time negotiations with the customer show that there is no appreciable likelihood of executing a license agreement. If we used different estimates for the useful life of the licensed technology, reported revenue during the relevant period would differ. As of March 31, 2011, \$8,693,057 was recorded as deferred revenue, of which \$3,366,667 may be recognized under license agreements that have not yet been executed or deemed effective. For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, there was no revenue recognized relating to cash payments received that were creditable against license fees and/or royalties for which we determined there was no appreciable likelihood of executing a license agreement with the customer.

Contract research revenue represents reimbursements by the U.S. government for all or a portion of the research and development expenses we incur related to our government contracts. Revenue is recognized proportionally as research and development expenses are incurred or as defined milestones are achieved. In order to ascertain the revenue associated with these contracts for a period, we estimate the proportion of related research and development expenses incurred and whether defined milestones have been achieved. Different estimates would result in different revenues for the period.

## Valuation of Stock-Based Compensation

We recognize in the statement of operations the grant-date fair value of equity-based compensation issued to employees and directors (see Note 9 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements). We also record an expense for equity-based compensation grants to non-employees, in exchange for goods or services, based on the fair value, which is remeasured over the vesting period of such awards.

We use the Black-Scholes option-pricing model to estimate the fair value of options and warrants we have granted for purposes of recording charges to the statement of operations. In order to calculate the fair value of the options and warrants, assumptions are made for certain components of the model, including expected volatility, expected dividend yield rate and expected option life. Expected volatilities utilized in the model are based mainly on the historical volatility of our stock price over a period commensurate with the expected life of the share option. The risk-free interest rate is derived from the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant. In the case of stock options granted to employees, we estimate the expected term of options granted based on our historical experience with our employees' exercise of stock options. In the case of stock options granted to non-employees, the contractual life is used. Although we use our best estimates when setting these assumptions, changes to the assumptions could cause significant adjustments to the valuation of future grants or the remeasurement of non-employee awards.

## Accounting for Warrants

On January 1, 2009, we adopted certain revised provisions of Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 815, *Derivatives and Hedging*. These provisions apply to freestanding financial instruments or embedded features that have the characteristics of a derivative and to freestanding financial instruments that are potentially settled in an entity's own common stock. As a result, certain of our warrants are considered to be derivatives since they contain "down-round" provisions and must be remeasured

at fair value at the end of each period as they are recorded as liabilities. The stock warrant liability was \$13,109,785 at March 31, 2011.

The fair value of the stock warrant liability is determined using the Black-Scholes option pricing model using assumptions for certain components of the model, including expected volatility and expected annual dividend yield. Expected volatilities utilized in the model are based mainly on the historical volatility of our stock price over a period commensurate with the remaining contractual life of the warrant. The risk-free interest rate is derived from the U.S. Treasury yield curve. The expected term of the warrants is based on the remaining contractual life. Although we use our best estimates when setting these assumptions, changes in assumptions could cause significant adjustments to the future valuation of the stock warrant liability. The change in fair value of the stock warrant liability is recorded as a gain or loss on the statement of operations.

## Retirement Plan

We have recorded a significant retirement plan benefit liability that is developed from actuarial valuations. The determination of our retirement plan benefit liability requires key assumptions regarding discount rates, as well as rates of compensation increases, retirement dates and life expectancies used to determine the present value of future benefit payments. We determine these assumptions in consultation with, and after input from, our actuaries and considering our experience and expectations for the future. Actual results for a given period will often differ from assumed amounts because of economic and other factors.

The discount rate reflects the estimated rate at which the benefit liabilities could be settled at the end of the year. The discount rate is determined by selecting a single rate that produces a result equivalent to discounting expected benefit payments from the plan using the Citigroup Above-Median Pension Discount Curve (Curve). Based upon this analysis using the Curve, we used a discount rate to measure our retirement plan benefit liability of 5.44% at March 31, 2011. A change of 25 basis points in the discount rate would increase or decrease the expense on an annual basis by approximately \$21,000.

## **Contractual Obligations**

Refer to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 for a discussion of our contractual obligations.

## **Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

Refer to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 for a discussion of off-balance sheet arrangements. As of March 31, 2011, we had no off-balance sheet arrangements.

## ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We do not utilize financial instruments for trading purposes and hold no derivative financial instruments, other financial instruments or derivative commodity instruments that could expose us to significant market risk other than our short-term investments and our stock warrant liability disclosed in Note 4 to the consolidated financial statements included herein. We invest in investment grade financial instruments to reduce our exposure. Our primary market risk exposure with regard to financial instruments is to changes in interest rates, which would impact interest income earned on investments.

We record as a liability the fair value of warrants to purchase 317,296 shares of our common stock. The fair value of the stock warrant liability is determined using the Black-Scholes option valuation model, and is therefore sensitive to changes in our stock price and volatility of our common stock. Our primary market risk exposure to the stock warrant liability is to changes in our stock price, which would impact the valuation of the stock warrant liability. Increases in our stock price or the expected volatility of our common stock would increase the fair value of the stock warrant liability and, therefore, result in an additional loss on the statement of operations. Decreases in these items would decrease the fair value of the stock warrant liability and, therefore, result in an additional gain on the statement of operations.

## ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

## **Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of March 31, 2011. Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report, are effective to provide reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed by us in reports filed

or submitted under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is (i) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and (ii) accumulated and communicated to our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding disclosure. However, a controls system, no matter how well designed and operated, cannot provide absolute assurance that the objectives of the controls system are met, and no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within a company have been detected.

## **Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the three months ended March 31, 2011 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

## **PART II – OTHER INFORMATION**

## ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

## Opposition to European Patent No. 0946958

On December 8, 2006, Cambridge Display Technology (CDT), which was acquired in 2007 by Sumitomo Chemical Company (Sumitomo), filed a Notice of Opposition to European Patent No. 0946958 (the EP '958 patent). The EP '958 patent, which was issued on March 8, 2006, is a European counterpart patent to U.S. patents 5,844,363, 6,602,540, 6,888,306 and 7,247,073. These patents relate to our FOLED<sup>TM</sup> flexible OLED technology. They are exclusively licensed to us by Princeton, and under the license agreement we are required to pay all legal costs and fees associated with this proceeding.

The European Patent Office (the EPO) conducted an Oral Hearing in this matter on October 6, 2009. No representative from CDT attended the Oral Hearing. At the conclusion of the Oral Hearing, the EPO panel announced its decision to reject the opposition and to maintain the patent as granted. The minutes of the Oral Hearing were dispatched on October 27, 2009, and the EPO issued its official decision on November 26, 2009.

CDT filed an appeal to the EPO decision on January 25, 2010. CDT timely filed its grounds for the appeal with the EPO on or about April 1, 2010. The EPO set August 12, 2010 as the due date for filing our reply to this appeal. Our reply was timely filed.

At this time, based on our current knowledge, we believe that the EPO decision will be upheld on appeal. However, we cannot make any assurances of this result.

## Opposition to European Patent No. 1449238

On March 8, 2007, Sumation Company Limited (Sumation), a joint venture between Sumitomo and CDT, filed a first Notice of Opposition to European Patent No. 1449238 (the EP '238 patent). The EP '238 patent, which was issued on November 2, 2006, is a European counterpart patent, in part, to U.S. patents 6,830,828; 6,902,830; 7,001,536; 7,291,406 and 7,537,844; and to pending U.S. patent application 12/434,259, filed on May 1, 2009. These patents and this patent application relate to our UniversalPHOLED® phosphorescent OLED technology. They are exclusively licensed to us by Princeton, and under the license agreement we are required to pay all legal costs and fees associated with this proceeding.

Two other parties filed additional oppositions to the EP '238 patent just prior to the August 2, 2007 expiration date for such filings. On July 24, 2007, Merck Patent GmbH, of Darmstadt, Germany, filed a second Notice of Opposition to the EP '238 patent, and on July 27, 2007, BASF Aktiengesellschaft, of Mannheim, Germany, filed a third Notice of Opposition to the EP '238 patent. The EPO combined all three oppositions into a single opposition proceeding.

The EPO set a January 6, 2008 due date for us to file our response to the opposition. We requested a two-month extension to file this response, which we subsequently filed in a timely manner. We are still waiting for the EPO to notify us of the date of the Oral Hearing. We are also waiting to see whether the other parties in the opposition file any additional documents, to which we may respond.

At this time, we cannot make any prediction as to the probable outcome of the opposition. However, based on our current knowledge, we believe there is a substantial likelihood that the patent being challenged will be declared valid, and that all or a significant portion of its claims will be upheld.

## Invalidation Trial in Japan for Japan Patent No. 3992929

On April 19, 2010, we received a copy of a Notice of Invalidation Trial from the Japanese Patent Office (the JPO) for our Japan Patent No. 3992929 (the JP '929 patent), which was issued on August 3, 2007. The request for the Invalidation Trial was filed by Semiconductor Energy Laboratory Co., Ltd. (SEL), of Kanagawa, Japan. The JP '929 patent is a Japanese counterpart patent, in part, to the above-noted EP '238 patent and to the above-noted family of U.S. patents 6,830,828; 6,902,830; 7,001,536; 7,291,406 and 7,537,844; and to pending U.S. patent application 12/434,259, filed on May 1, 2009.

On August 24, 2010, the JPO issued a Notice for an Oral Hearing in this matter, which was held on November 16, 2010. On February 28, 2011, we learned that the JPO had issued a decision recognizing our invention and upholding the validity of most of the claims, but finding the broadest claims in the patent invalid. We believe that the JPO's decision invalidating these claims was erroneous and we plan to appeal this portion of the decision to the Japanese IP High Court.

At this time, based on our current knowledge, we believe that the JPO decision invalidating certain claims in our JP '929 patent should be overturned on appeal as to all or a significant portion of the claims. However, we cannot make any assurances of this result.

## Opposition to European Patent No. 1394870

On about April 20, 2010, five European companies filed Notices of Opposition to European Patent No. 1394870 (the EP '270 patent). The EP '270 patent, which was issued on July 22, 2009, is a European counterpart patent, in part, to U.S. patents 6,303,238; 6,579,632; 6,872,477; 7,279,235; 7,279,237; 7,488,542 and 7,563,519; and to pending U.S. patent application 12/489,045, filed on June 22, 2009. These patents and this patent application relate to our PHOLED™ technology. They are exclusively licensed to us by Princeton, and under the license agreement we are required to pay all legal costs and fees associated with this proceeding. The five companies are Merck Patent GmbH, of Darmstadt, Germany; BASF Schweitz AG of Basel, Switzerland; Osram GmbH of Munich, Germany; Siemens Aktiengesellschaft of Munich, Germany; and Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V., of Eindhoven, The Netherlands.

The EPO combined the oppositions into a single opposition proceeding and set October 4, 2010 as the due date for us to file our response, subject to extension. We requested a two-month extension to file this response, and we subsequently filed our response in a timely manner. We are still waiting for the EPO to notify us of the date of the Oral Hearing. We are also waiting to see whether any of the other parties in the opposition file additional documents, to which we may respond.

At this time, we cannot make any prediction as to the probable outcome of the oppositions. However, based on our current knowledge, we believe there is a substantial likelihood that the patent being challenged will be declared valid, and that all or a significant portion of its claims will be upheld.

## Invalidation Trials in Japan for Japan Patent Nos. 4357781 and 4358168

On May 24, 2010, we received copies of two additional Notices of Invalidation Trials against Japan Patent Nos. 4357781 (the JP '781 patent) and 4358168 (the JP '168 patent), which were both issued on August 14, 2009. The requests for these two additional Invalidation Trials were also filed by SEL. The JP '781 and '168 patents are also Japanese counterpart patents, in part, to the above-noted family of U.S. patents 6,830,828; 6,902,830; 7,001,536; 7,291,406 and 7,537,844; and to pending U.S. patent application 12/434,259, filed on May 1, 2009. Under our license agreement with Princeton, we are also required to pay all legal costs and fees associated with these two proceedings.

The JPO set a due date of August 18, 2010 for us to file our response to the evidence and arguments submitted with the requests for the Invalidation Trials. We requested and the JPO granted a 30-day extension for us to file our response, which was timely filed.

Additional written statements were filed in January 2011 in response to a request by the JPO, addressing points that were expected to be raised by the JPO at the Oral Hearing that was held on February 1, 2011. Another written statement was submitted in February 2011 to address additional points raised at the Oral Hearing.

On March 31, 2011, we learned that the JPO had issued decisions finding all the claims in the JP '781 and JP '168 patents invalid. We believe that the JPO's decisions invalidating these claims were erroneous and we plan to appeal the decisions to the Japanese IP High Court.

At this time, based on our current knowledge, we believe that the JPO decisions invalidating all the claims in our JP '781 and JP '168 patents should be overturned on appeal as to all or a significant portion of the claims. However, we cannot make any assurances of this result.

## Interference involving Claims 48-52 of US Patent No. 6,902,830

Patent Interference No. 105,771 was declared by the United States Patent and Trademark Office (the USPTO) on November 17, 2010 between The University of Southern California and The Trustees of Princeton University (the Universities), Junior Party and Fujifilm Holding Corporation (Fuji), Senior Party. The dispute is between The Universities' U.S. Patent No 6,902,830 (the '830 patent), claims 48-52, and Fuji's Patent Application No. 11/802,492, claims 1-5. The '830 patent relates to our UniversalPHOLED® phosphorescent OLED technology. It is exclusively licensed to us by Princeton, and under the license agreement we are required to pay all legal costs and fees associated with this proceeding.

The USPTO declares an interference when two or more parties claim the same patentable invention. The objective of an interference is to contest which party, if any, has both a right to participate in the proceeding and a right to the claimed invention and, if more than one party does, then to contest which party has the earliest priority date for the claimed invention.

At a telephone hearing on January 28, 2011, the Universities were authorized to file seven motions. At a telephone conference on March 31, 2011, the USPTO granted Fuji's request for an extension of the date for filing these motions due to the recent difficulties in Japan. The USPTO established an extended filing date of June 3, 2011. We are currently preparing the motions for filing by that date.

At this time, we cannot make any prediction as to the probable outcome of the Interference. However, based on our current knowledge, we believe there is a substantial likelihood that our claims 48-52 of the '830 patent will prevail.

#### Request for an Invalidation Trial in Korea for Patent No. 10-0998059

On March 10, 2011, we received informal notice from our Korean patent counsel of a Request for an Invalidation Trial from the Korean Intellectual Property Office (KIPO) for our Korean Patent No. 10-0998059 (the KR '059 patent), which was issued on November 26, 2010. We do not yet know who filed the request. The KR '059 patent is a Korean counterpart patent to the OVJP Organic Vapor Jet Printing family of U.S. patents originating from US 7,431,968. At this time, we cannot make any prediction as to the probable outcome of this Invalidation Trial.

## ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

In addition to the risk factors previously discussed in Part I, Item 1A "Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, you should also carefully consider the following risks and uncertainties when reading this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

The earthquakes, tsunami and nuclear problem in Japan could materially adversely affect our business, results of operations or financial condition.

The recent earthquakes, tsunami and nuclear problem in Japan, and the resulting economic disruption, may cause some of our customers to slow down or suspend production because they are located in Japan or because they are unable to obtain materials or equipment produced in Japan. If this disruption continues for an extended period of time, it may affect the demand for our OLED technologies and materials. Because the majority of our customers are concentrated in the Asia-Pacific region, this and other natural disasters in that part of the world could have a negative effect on our results of operations.

## ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

## Issuance of Unregistered Shares Upon the Exercise of Outstanding Warrants

During the quarter ended March 31, 2011, we issued an aggregate of 269,676 unregistered shares of our common stock upon the exercise of outstanding warrants. The warrants had a weighted average exercise price of \$11.28 per share. All of the shares were issued in reliance on the exemption from registration contained in Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

## Withholding of Shares to Satisfy Tax Liabilities

During the quarter ended March 31, 2011, we acquired 39,690 shares of common stock through transactions related to the vesting of restricted share awards previously granted to certain employees. Upon vesting, the employees turned in shares of common stock in amounts sufficient to pay their minimum statutory tax withholding at rates required by the relevant tax authorities.

The following table provides information relating to the shares we received during the quarter ended March 31, 2011.

#### **ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES**

			Total Number	Approximate
			of Shares	Dollar Value of
			Purchased as	Shares that May
	Total Number	Weighted	Part of Publicly	Yet Be
	of Shares	Average Price	Announced	Purchased Under
Period	Purchased	Paid per Share	Program	the Program
January 1 – January 31		<del>\$</del> —	n/a	
February 1 – February 28	170	37.76	n/a	
March 1 – March 31	39,520	46.57	n/a	
Total	39,690	\$ 46.53	n/a	

## ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

#### ITEM 4. REMOVED AND RESERVED

#### ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

## ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

The following is a list of the exhibits included as part of this report. Where so indicated by footnote, exhibits that were previously included are incorporated by reference. For exhibits incorporated by reference, the location of the exhibit in the previous filing is indicated parenthetically, together with a reference to the filing indicated by footnote.

## **Exhibit**

## **Number Description**

- 10.1+ Universal Display Corporation Equity Retention Agreement with Julia J. Brown (filed as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 21, 2011, and incorporated by reference herein).
- 10.2+ Universal Display Corporation Equity Retention Agreement with Janice K. Mahon (filed as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 21, 2011, and incorporated by reference herein).
- 10.3+ Universal Display Corporation Equity Retention Agreement with Michael G. Hack (filed as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 21, 2011, and incorporated by reference herein).
- Amendment No. 5 to the Commercial Supply Agreement between the registrant and LG Display Co., Ltd., dated as of January 6, 2011 (filed as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 21, 2011, and incorporated by reference herein).
- 10.5# Memorandum of Agreement, dated as of February 4, 2011, between the Company and Moser Baer Technologies, Inc. (filed as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 21, 2011, and incorporated by reference herein).

- 31.1\* Certifications of Steven V. Abramson, Chief Executive Officer, as required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a)
- 31.2\* Certifications of Sidney D. Rosenblatt, Chief Financial Officer, as required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a)
- 32.1\*\* Certifications of Steven V. Abramson, Chief Executive Officer, as required by Rule 13a-14(b) or Rule 15d-14(b), and by 18 U.S.C. Section 1350. (This exhibit shall not be deemed "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or otherwise subject to the liability of that section. Further, this exhibit shall not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.)
- 32.2\*\* Certifications of Sidney D. Rosenblatt, Chief Financial Officer, as required by Rule 13a-14(b) or Rule 15d-14(b), and by 18 U.S.C. Section 1350. (This exhibit shall not be deemed "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or otherwise subject to the liability of that section. Further, this exhibit shall not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.)
- \* Filed herewith.
- \*\* Furnished herewith.
- # Confidential treatment has been granted as to certain portions of this exhibit pursuant to Rule 24b-2 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
- + Compensatory plan or arrangement.

Note: Any of the exhibits listed in the foregoing index not included with this report may be obtained, without charge, by writing to Mr. Sidney D. Rosenblatt, Corporate Secretary, Universal Display Corporation, 375 Phillips Boulevard, Ewing, New Jersey 08618.

## **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized:

## **UNIVERSAL DISPLAY CORPORATION**

Date: May 9, 2011 By: /s/ Sidney D. Rosenblatt

Sidney D. Rosenblatt

Executive Vice President and Chief

Financial Officer

#### Exhibit 31.1

## CERTIFICATIONS REQUIRED BY RULE 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a)

I, Steven V. Abramson, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Universal Display Corporation (the "registrant") for the quarter ended March 31, 2011;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f)) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
- (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
- (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
- (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
- (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
- (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
- (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 9, 2011 By: /s/ Steven V. Abramson

Steven V. Abramson

President and Chief Executive

Officer

## Exhibit 31.2

## CERTIFICATIONS REQUIRED BY RULE 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a)

I, Sidney D. Rosenblatt, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Universal Display Corporation (the "registrant") for the quarter ended March 31, 2011:
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f)) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
- (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
- (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
- (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
- (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
- (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
- (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 9, 2011 By: /s/ Sidney D. Rosenblatt

Sidney D. Rosenblatt
Executive Vice President and Chief

Financial Officer

## Exhibit 32.1

## CERTIFICATIONS REQUIRED BY RULE 13a-14(b)/15d-14(b) AND 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350

In connection with the quarterly report of Universal Display Corporation (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2011, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Steven V. Abramson, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, hereby certify, based on my knowledge, that:

(1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and

(2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and result of operations of the Company.

Date: May 9, 2011 By: /s/ Steven V. Abramson

Steven V. Abramson

President and Chief Executive

Officer

## Exhibit 32.2

## CERTIFICATIONS REQUIRED BY RULE 13a-14(b)/15d-14(b) AND 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350

In connection with the quarterly report of Universal Display Corporation (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended May 9, 2011, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Sidney D. Rosenblatt, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Company, hereby certify, based on my knowledge, that:

(1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and

(2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and result of operations of the Company.

Date: May 9, 2011 By: /s/ Sidney D. Rosenblatt

Sidney D. Rosenblatt Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer