

## Visual , The official ZAP Chart Type Cheat Sheet

| CHART<br>TYPE        | CATEGORICAL<br>COMPARISON<br>ordered/unordered,<br>share of total, distribution   | VALUES OVER TIME<br>Showing values against<br>a time series  | <b>CORRELATION</b><br>Comparing two or more<br>values to show a relation   | MEASURES ONLY/KPI<br>Displaying single values<br>that may/may not be<br>comparable  | <b>GEOGRAPHICAL</b><br>Showing values that have<br>specific locations or areas  |
|----------------------|---|--|--|---|---|
| horizontal<br>bars   | Use for ordered/ranked<br>and share of total data<br>Example:<br>top 10 customers by sales<br>Note:<br>better than vertical when<br>longer label names  |  |  | Only use if it's valid to<br>compare between the<br>different measures<br>Example:<br>% delivered in full vs<br>% delivered on time   | Use for data that's<br>geographical, but when<br>analysis isn't geographi-<br>cally important<br>Example:<br>sales by region when<br>location of the regions<br>is not important to the<br>analysis   |
| vertical<br>bars     | Use for unordered and<br>distribution<br>Examples:<br>•sales by product<br>category<br>•purchases by age<br>range (distribution)  | Use when comparing<br>discrete time data<br>(e.g. years, months),<br>and when gaps in data<br>exist (e.g. missing week-<br>end data)   |  | Only use if it's valid to<br>compare between the<br>different measures  | Use for data that's<br>geographical, but when<br>the analysis isn't geo-<br>graphically important<br>Example:<br>sales by state   |
| table                | Use for ordered or<br>unordered when <sup>1</sup> :<br>•there is a hierarchy of<br>categorical data on rows<br>•subtotals are used<br>•there are extra values/<br>properties per item to<br>show<br>Example:<br>regions and customers | Use when the values<br>over time are significant,<br>as opposed to the trend<br>over time (see Line).<br>Same rules as for cate-<br>gorical comparison<br>Example:<br>sales by year on columns,<br>customers by region<br>on rows                    | Use when finding<br>correlation between<br>different categories<br>(on rows)<br>Example:<br>correlation between dif-<br>ferent items purchased<br>at the same time; heat<br>map of product catego-<br>ries A & B                     | Only use if wanting a<br>tabular format. Other-<br>wise consider using text<br>(below) for more read-<br>able formats   | Use for data that's<br>geographical, but when<br>the analysis isn't geo-<br>graphically important<br>Example:<br>•regions and customers<br>•showing contact details<br>and market category  |
| text 52 close        |   |  |  | Use for measures<br>without categories<br>Note:<br>use text formatting and<br>size proportionately to<br>show significance; the<br>number should be the<br>largest text                   |   |
| line                 | Use when comparing<br>multiple categorical<br>series that have a clear<br>order<br>Example:<br>Outstanding invoices<br>by age   | Use when plotting data<br>over time <sup>2</sup><br>Good for:<br>•detailed levels of time<br>(e.g. date)<br>•trends over time<br>Example:<br>amount of cases by date<br>for different priorities   | Use for showing correla-<br>tion of measures:<br>•instead of points/<br>bubbles when more<br>than three measures<br>•good for showing a<br>trend that is correlated<br>Example:<br>temp, precipitation and<br>ice cream sales by day |   |   |
| area                 | Use for showing a<br>distribution when there's<br>only a single series or a<br>trend/shape<br>Example:<br>case load by time of day  | <ul> <li>Use as an alternative to line when<sup>3</sup>:</li> <li>there's only one series, or the series are part of a whole and are shown as a stacked area</li> <li>the values can be accumulated</li> <li>Example: total sales by week</li> </ul> |  |   |   |
| points & bubbles     | Only use when axes<br>don't start at zero and<br>bar markers are in use<br>Example:<br>quantity sold by store<br>(axis starts at 5M<br>because variation<br>between stores is small<br>compared to total)                             |  | Use when showing<br>the correlation of 2-3<br>different measures<br>Example:<br>opportunity closed<br>amount (y), opportunity<br>estimated amount (x),<br>estimated closed prob-<br>ability (bubble size) by<br>opportunity          |   | See Map Points  |
| bar<br>marker        | Use in conjunction with<br>horizontal or vertical<br>bars for a comparison<br>or target value<br>Example:<br>sales by quarter, with<br>bars to compare vs<br>quarter in prior year  | Use in conjunction<br>with vertical bars for a<br>comparison with a target<br>value OR instead of a<br>line when data for time<br>periods is missing<br>Example:<br>budget vs actual, or<br>hours worked per week<br>(missing weekends)              |  |   |   |
| pie, donut,<br>gauge | Only use pie/donut when<br>accurate comparison<br>isn't required and it is<br>important to signal that<br>segments make up a<br>whole value<br>Example:<br>budget by department,<br>project and team                                  |  |  | Only use a circular<br>gauge when the value<br>shown is a percentage<br>or has a clear target<br>value which represents<br>the complete circle<br>Example:<br>Project percent<br>complete |   |
| treemap              | Use when the categorical<br>data contains multiple<br>levels of categories<br>simultaneously<br>Example:<br>budget by department,<br>project and team   |  |  |   |   |
| map<br>shapes        |   |  |  |   | Use when data rep-<br>resents geographical<br>areas and when it's<br>common to look at<br>this by shapes (e.g. US<br>states), OR location is<br>important for the analy-<br>sis (e.g. where to place<br>distribution centers by<br>looking at delivery times<br>by postal code) |
| map<br>points        |   |  |  |   | Use when data has a<br>specific location (latitude<br>and longitude) and loca-<br>tion is important for the<br>analysis   |
| word                 | Only use when the categorical data does   |  |  |   |   |



<sup>1</sup> The most important measures can have inline bars (history) or other conditional formatting such as heat maps. <sup>2</sup>Better than vertical bars when there are multiple series of data. <sup>3</sup>Can be used in combination with line to make it easy to distinguish measures that shouldn't be compared directly. <sup>4</sup>Due to their complexity may take some time to interpret. Ensure bubbles are sized by area and not radius.

Best practices compiled by Mark Ledwich, Product Architect, with help from Chris Reeves, Software Engineering Manager. All examples are featured in ZAP BI through dashboards and analytics.

