

John R. Kasich / Governor State of Ohio

Robert Blair / Director Ohio Department of Administrative Services

### OhidAS

General Services
State Architect's Office

## **Ohio Register**

Information of Interest for the Architectural, Engineering and Construction Industry

### **Issue Number 221**

January 2012

### **Response Deadline:**

Varies by project

Jeff Westhoven / Deputy Director General Services Division

Lane J. Beougher / State Architect State Architect's Office

Angie Carder / Editor State Architect's Office 4200 Surface Road Columbus, OH 43228-1395 Phone 614.752.0448 angie.carder@das.state.oh.us

Ohio Register: <a href="http://ci.oaks.ohio.gov">http://ci.oaks.ohio.gov</a>

State Architect's Office website: <a href="http://ohio.gov/sao">http://ohio.gov/sao</a>

An Equal Opportunity Employer

### **Ohio Register General Information and Requirements**

OhioAS

Ohio Department of Administrative Services General Services Division State Architect's Office • 4200 Surface Road • Columbus, Ohio 43228-1395 http://ohio.gov/sao StateArchOff@das.state.oh.us Phone 614.466.4761

### General Requirements for Submittals of the Statement of Qualifications

Firms are required to submit the <u>current</u> State of Ohio version of Statement of Qualifications (SAO Form F110-330) available via the SAO website at <a href="http://ohio.gov/sao">http://ohio.gov/sao</a> (click on Forms). The Federal version of the SF330 form will not be accepted.

Cover letters and transmittals are not necessary. Please place the appropriate project number in the space provided on the form.

Due to limited storage space, we request that paper copies, if requested, be stapled and please refrain from submitting three-ring binders, spiral binders and booklets. SAO requests that supplemental material not be submitted with the Statement of Qualifications. The use of a computerized or typed Statement of Qualifications form is preferred.

Electronic submittals should be combined into one PDF file named with the project number listed on the RFQ and your firm's name. Use the "print" feature of Adobe Acrobat Professional or similar software for creating a PDF rather than using a scanner. If possible, please reduce the file size of the PDF. In Adobe Acrobat Professional, go to Advanced, then PDF Optimizer. Also, please label the CD and the CD cover with the project number and firm name.

Facsimile or e-mailed copies of the Statement of Qualifications will not be accepted.

### Reminders

SAO no longer requires an annual submittal of Part II of the Statement of Qualifications form for professional design services. However, professional design firms and construction managers responding to a request for qualifications for State of Ohio projects must submit Part II of the Statement of Qualifications (SAO Form #F110-330) for each firm on its team.

SAO Form #F110-330 (Statement of Qualifications) officially replaced both the ADM-0255 and ADM-0254 forms on March 1, 2008. The ADM-0255 and ADM-0254 forms are no longer accepted.

**Declaration Regarding Material Assistance / Non-assistance to a Terrorist Organization**As a result of Ohio Senate Bill 9 (effective April 14, 2006) applicants seeking certain state issued business contracts and funding must fill out new forms indicating that they have not provided financial assistance or support to a terrorist organization.

Prior to executing the Architect/Engineer (A/E) agreement, the selected A/E must represent and warrant that it has not provided any material assistance, as that term in defined in ORC Section 2909.33 (C), to an organization that is identified by, and included on, the United States Department of State Terrorist Exclusion List and that it has truthfully answered "no" to every question on the Declaration Regarding Material Assistance/Non-Assistance to a Terrorist Organization, and that it has provided or shall provide such to the Contracting Authority and/or the Ohio Business Gateway at <a href="https://ohiobusinessgateway.ohio.gov/OBG/Membership/Security.mvc">https://ohiobusinessgateway.ohio.gov/OBG/Membership/Security.mvc</a>.

All DMA forms and reference information, including a list of licenses subject to DMA and the Terrorist Exclusion List, can be found on the Ohio Homeland Security website at <a href="http://homelandsecurity.ohio.gov/dma/dma\_forms.asp">http://homelandsecurity.ohio.gov/dma/dma\_forms.asp</a>.

SAO-M110-01v0111 Page 1 of 2

The Contracting Authority is responsible for either directing applicants to the forms on the Web site or printing and providing hard copies to the applicant. The Contracting Authority will retain the completed forms along with the application.

Anyone with questions can contact Ohio Homeland Security by calling the DMA hotline number at 614.644.3892 or by email at <a href="mailto:dma-info@dps.state.oh.us">dma-info@dps.state.oh.us</a>.

### ORC 9.24 – Auditor of State Unresolved Findings for Recovery

Effective June 1, 2004, Ohio Revised Code (ORC) 9.24 prohibits the State of Ohio from awarding a contract to any individual or organization against whom the <u>Auditor of State</u> has issued a findings for recovery if the findings for recovery is unresolved at the time of award of contract.

For more information about how this new statute requirement pertains to Associates, Construction Managers, Consultants, Contractors and Owners, visit the SAO website at: <a href="http://ohio.gov/sao">http://ohio.gov/sao</a> (click on Auditor of State Findings for Recovery under the Hot Links section.)

### **EDGE Participation Required on State Design and Construction Projects**

The Encouraging Diversity, Growth and Equity (EDGE) program became law July 1, 2003, when Section 123.152 of the Ohio Revised Code was enacted. The program creates a business development program for economically and socially disadvantaged Ohio businesses.

The EDGE business participation goal is usually 5 percent. Proposers for professional services agreements as well as Bidders on construction contracts must demonstrate actual participation in the EDGE program, or provide a demonstration of their good faith efforts (with a letter requesting a waiver of the advertised EDGE participation goal on its letterhead and supporting evidence) to participate in the EDGE program, or both, as indicated in the Ohio Revised Code (123.152) and the Ohio Administrative Code (123:2-16-09).

Interested A/E firms are required to submit the Commitment to Participate in the Edge Business Assistance Program form in its Statement of Qualifications (SAO Form F110-330) submitted in response to the RFQ, to indicate its intent to contract with and use EDGE-certified Business Enterprise(s), as a part of the A/E's team. The Intent to Contract and to Perform and / or waiver request letter and Demonstration of Good Faith Effort form(s) with complete documentation must be attached to the A/E's Technical Proposal. Both forms can be accessed via the SAO website at <a href="http://ohio.gov/sao">http://ohio.gov/sao</a> (click on Forms). The Intent to Contract and to Perform form is again required at the Fee Proposal stage.

For all Statements of Qualifications, please identify the EDGE-certified Business Enterprises by the name that will participate in the delivery of the proposed professional services solicited in the RFQ.

To learn more about the qualifications for EDGE certification, the process to become an EDGE-certified business, and to find existing EDGE-certified businesses, go to <a href="http://EDGE.ohio.gov">http://EDGE.ohio.gov</a>.

### **Ohio Ethics Law Provision**

All professionals that submit or intend to submit proposals for consideration of a contract for professional design services with the state of Ohio are reminded that, as applicable, no sole proprietor, partner, shareholder or other principal of the Architect/Engineer or the spouse of such principal has made, as an individual, at any time within the two previous calendar years, one or more contributions totaling in excess of \$1,000.00 to the Governor or to the Governor's campaign committee, consistent with Section 3517.13 of the Ohio Revised Code.

SAO-M110-01v0111 Page 2 of 2

Short List Report Page 1 of 7



### Professional Design Opportunities

### Short List Report

Page last updated: January 24, 2012

Published Date	Project Name	Total Project Cost	Short-listed A/E Firms *Indicates selected firm	Negotiated A/E Fee
10/02/2011 <b>DR #218</b> SAO - Administered	DOT-120003 Lucas County Maintenance Facility Ohio Department of Transportation, Lucas County, Ohio	\$3,500,000	Buehrer Group Architecture Jerome M. Scott Architects Poggemeyer Design Group Schorr Architects, Inc.	TBD
08/12/2011 <b>OR #216</b> Locally Administered	OSU-100652 Various Building Demolitions The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio	\$1,353,000	eS Architecture & Development Feinknopf Macioce Schappa Panich Noel & Associates Perspectus Architecture	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
08/12/2011 <b>DR #216</b> Locally Administered	OSU-100652 Various Building Demolitions The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio	\$1,353,000	eS Architecture & Development Feinknopf Macioce Schappa Panich Noel & Associates Perspectus Architecture	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
08/1/2011 <b>OR #216</b> Locally Administered	OSU-110672 North Residential District Transformation The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio	TBD	Ayers Saint Gross (MD) Design Collective (MD) DiMella Shaffer (MA) *Goody Clancy (MA) Hanbury Evans Wright Vlattas & Co (VA) Moore Ruble Yudell (CA) Robert AM Stern Architects (NY) Sasaki Associates (MA)	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
07/01/2011 <b>OR #215</b> Locally Administered	UTO-120742 Carlson Library Renovations University of Toledo Toledo, Ohio	\$1,250,000	BHDP Architecture Buehrer Group Architecture & Engineering *The Collaborative, Inc.	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
07/14/2011 <b>DR #215</b> SAO- Administered	DAS-120001 North High Complex Phase 5 Ohio Department of Administrative Services Columbus, Ohio	\$17,453,580	*Acock Associates Architects Feinknopf Macioce Schappa URS	TBD
07/01/2011 <b>OR #215</b> SAO- Administered	BWC-110001 L-16 Halon Replacement Project - William Green Building Bureau of Workers' Compensation Columbus, Ohio	\$368,000	M Engineering *Prater Engineering Star Consultants URS	TBD
07/12/2011 <b>OR #215</b> Locally  Administered	BGU-115687 Landscape & Civic Structure Master Plan Bowling Green State University Bowling Green, Ohio	\$100,000	The Collaborative JJR Kinzleman Kline Gossman NBBJ Sasaki Associates	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
06/24/2011 <b>OR #214</b> _ocally Administered	UTO-121665 Replace Air Handler 4 University of Toledo Toledo	\$1,400,000	Contech Design *JDRM Engineering Karpinski Engineering Peters, Tschantz & Associates	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
06/27/2011 <b>DR #214</b> SAO- Administered	DOT-110001 & DOT-110002 Jefferson and Wayne County Maintenance Facilities Ohio Department of Transportation Wooster and Wintersville	\$7,000,000	*Miller Watson/JMSA Panich, Noel & Associates Richard Fleischman + Partners Schorr Architects Strollo Architects	TBD
06/08/2011 <b>OR #214</b> _ocally Administered	UTO-111619 New Operating Rooms 13 & 14 University of Toledo Toledo, Ohio	\$1,400,000	Buehrer Group Architecture and Engineering Harley Ellis Devereaux RCM Architects	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
05/27/2011 <b>DR #213</b> Locally Administered	KSU-11B168 LEED Process Consulting Services Kent State University Kent, Ohio	\$210,000,000	Doty & Miller Emersion Design Heapy Engineering Sasaki Associates	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
05/03/2011 OR #213 Locally Administered	ADJ-110017 Rickenbacker Enclave Paving Renovation Adjutant General's Department Columbus, Ohio	\$2,500,000	*American Structure EMHT Jobes Henderson	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution

04/20/2011 OR #212 Locally Administered	ADJ-110009 Walbridge Armory HVAC Renovation Adjutant General's Department Walbridge, Ohio	\$540,000	*Advance Engineering Buehrer Group DLZ	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
04/20/2011 OR #212 Locally Administered	ADJ-110010 Greenville Armory Masonry Renovation Adjutant General's Department Greenville, Ohio	\$180,000	Alan Scheer SFA Shremshock *Star Consultants	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
04/20/2011 OR #212 Locally Administered	ADJ-110011 Youngstown Armory Paving Renovation Adjutant General's Department Youngstown, Ohio	\$540,000	DLZ KZF *Star Consultants	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
04/20/2011 OR #212 Locally Administered	ADJ-110012 Tarlton Armory Plumbing Renovation Adjutant General's Department Tarlton, Ohio	\$185,000	Advance Engineering DLZ *Dynamix Engineering	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
04/20/2011 OR #212 Locally Administered	ADJ-110013 Norwalk Armory Plumbing Renovation Adjutant General's Department Norwalk, Ohio	\$150,000	Bodner *Buehrer Group Dynamix Engineering X-Cel Engineering	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
h/18/2011 OR #212 Locally Administered	UCN-09113A ACH Campus Lab Energy Savings University of Cincinnati Cincinnati, Ohio	\$3,340,000	Fosdick & Hilmer *Stan & Associates URS Corporation	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
A/12/2011 OR #212 Locally Administered	UTO-111624 New Cancer Center University of Toledo Toledo, Ohio	\$5,500,000	MBA Architects and Planners Poggemeyer Design Group SSOE	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
8/31/2011 OR #211 Locally Administered	OSU-081255 High Voltage Switch and Cable Replacement - Phase 2 The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio	\$13,340,000/font>	Burns & McDonald Fosdick & Hilmer *Patrick Engineering	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
3/29/2011 OR #211 Locally Administered	OSU-080267 Boiler Replacement - McCracken Power Plant The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio	\$15,180,000	Burns & McDonnell Eng Co. Inc. Fosdick & Hilmer Lutz Daily & Brain *RMF Engineering	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
3/7/2011 OR #211 Locally Administered	OSU-110269 Northwest Parking Garage Renovation The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio	\$1,300,000	Carl Walker Inc *Desman Associates O&S Associates	\$95,744
8/29/2011 OR #211 Locally Administered	OSU-110101 Caldwell Lab Expansion The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio	\$632,904	eS Architecture & Development Robert E Euans Architects *SPGB Architects	\$51,564
3/7/2011 OR #211 Locally Administered	ZSC-6-2011-1 Advanced Science & Technology Center Zane State College Zanesville, Ohio	\$9,750,000	Addis-Davis-Van Wey Design Group Lincoln Street Studio Phillip Markwood Architects *SHP Leading Design URS Corporation	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
3/7/2011 DR #211 .ocally Administered	ZSC-7-2011-1 Cambridge Training & Education Center Zane State College Zanesville, Ohio	\$10,000,000	*Addis-Davis-Van Wey Design Group Lincoln Street Studio Phillip Markwood Architects SHP Leading Design URS Corporation	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
3/10/2011 OR #211 Locally Administered	UTO-111605 Hospital Clinical Laboratory University of Toledo Toledo, Ohio	\$200,000	*BEI Associates Harley Ellis Devereaux SSOE	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
03/29/2011 OR #211 .ocally .odministered	KSU-11L123 Tri-Towers Residence Halls Rooms & HVAC Upgrades (MEP Engineer) Kent State University Kent, Ohio	\$30,000,000	Dynamix Engineering Heapy Engineering *Scheeser Buckley Mayfield Thorson Baker & Associates	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
03/29/2011 OR #211 Locally Administered	KSU-11L123 Tri-Towers Residence Halls Rooms & HVAC Upgrades (Architect) Kent State University Kent, Ohio	\$30,000,000	*Domokur Architects KZF Design The Collaborative	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
3/09/2011 OR #211 ocally administered	UTO-110129 Core Research Facility - Phase IV University of Toledo Toledo, Ohio	\$929,586	Buehrer Group Architecture & Engineering The JDI Group SSOE Group	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
3/09/2011 <b>DR #211</b> ocally dministered	UTO-111387 Resource & Community Learning Center - Phase II University of Toledo Toledo, Ohio	\$900,000	Duket Architects Planners MacPherson Architects Thomas Porter Architects	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
)2/22/2011 <b>DR #210</b>	OSU-030976 Community Heritage Art Gallery	\$238,894	Levin Porter Associates Phillip Markwood Architects	\$31,380

Locally Administered	The Ohio State University - Lima Campus Lima, Ohio		*The Collaborative	
02/24/2011 OR #210 Locally Administered	UCN-09137A Storm Water Demonstration Project University of Cincinnati Columbus, Ohio	\$2,600,000	Bayer Becker Kinzelman Kline Gossman *Kleingers & Associates	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
02/09/2011 OR #210 Locally Administered	CTI-110001 Columbus State Master Plan Columbus State Community College Columbus, Ohio	TBD	The Collaborative MSI Design *NBBJ Stantec Architecture URS	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
02/14/2011 OR #210 Locally Administered	UCN-10016B Primary Electric Substation University of Cincinnati Cincinnati, Ohio	\$7,300,000	GDP Group *Patrick Engineering RMF Engineering	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
02/09/2011 OR #210 Locally Administered	UCN-11096A Crosley Tower - Air Handling Unit Replacement University of Cincinnati Cincinnati, Ohio	\$1,500,000	Fosdick & Hilmer *HAWA Incorporated Motz Engineering URS	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
01/11/2011 OR #209 Locally Administered	5062-PF07357 East Regional Chilled Water Plant (CA) The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio	\$41,055,000	Aramark Management Services *Engineering Economics Horizon Engineering Assoc	\$431,201
01/04/2011 OR #209 Locally Administered	BGU-015585 PSLB HVAC Upgrades & Fume Hood Replacement Bowling Green State University Bowling Green, Ohio	\$3,000,000	Buehrer Group Architecture & Engineering Heapy Engineering Korda Engineering *URS Corporation	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
12/17/2010 OR #208 Locally Administered	OSU-110215 Street and Bridge Maintenance Phase 1 The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio	\$2,146,000	*American Structurepoint Korda/Nemeth Engineering Prime Engineering & Architecture Resource International	\$254,956
12/20/2010 OR #208 Locally Administered	YSU-111224 STEM Planning Youngstown State University Youngstown, Ohio	\$200,000	*BHDP/ms consultants KA, Inc. Architecture Westlake Reed Leskosky	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
12/17/2010 OR #208 Locally Administered	UCN-09080A CARE Roof Fan Support Modifications University of Cincinnati Cincinnati, Ohio	\$450,000	Jezerinac Geers Associates *Steven Schaefer Associates THP Limited	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
12/15/2010 <b>OR #208</b> Locally Administered	UCN-04132B MSB Rehabilitation - Phase 4 (CM) University of Cincinnati Cincinnati, Ohio	\$82,944,661	Bovis Lend Lease Hunt Construction Group Messer Construction Company	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
12/17/2010 <b>OR #208</b> Locally Administered	UCN-09080A CARE Roof Fan Support Modifications University of Cincinnati Cincinnati, Ohio	\$450,000	Jezerinac Geers Associates Steven Schaefer Associates THP Limited	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
12/17/2010 <b>OR #208</b> Locally Administered	OSU-110215 Street and Bridge Maintenance - Phase 1 Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio	\$2,146,000	*American Structurepoint Korda/Nemeth Engineering Prime Engineering & Architecture Resource International	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
11/10/2010 <b>OR #207</b> Locally Administered	OSU-110207 Howlett Hall Roof Replacement The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio	\$832,821	*CTL Engineering DLZ KZF Design Legat & Kingscott Shremshock Architects & Engineering	\$160,470
11/22/2010 OR #207 Locally Administered	Project Number TBD 2010 Building Infrastructure Improvements: Computer Services Center (CSC) HVAC Improvements Ohio University Athens, Ohio	\$824,000	DLZ *Kramer Engineers SHP Leading Design W.E. Monks	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
11/22/2010 OR #207 Locally Administered	Project Number TBD 2010 Building Infrastructure Improvements: Voight Hall, Electrical and Access Improvements and Gamertsfelder Hall Fire Alarm Improvements Ohio University Athens, Ohio	\$1,420,000	DLZ Kramer Engineers SHP Leading Design *W.E. Monks	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
10/21/2010 OR #206 Locally Administered	CLS-101007 Main Classroom - Roof Replacement Cleveland State University Cleveland, Ohio	\$4,400,000	Architectural Vision Group Domokur Architects *Makovich & Pusti Architects mbi-k2m Architecture	\$305,000
10/05/2010 OR #206 Locally Administered	UTO-111538 Clinical Simulation Center The University of Toledo Toledo, Ohio	\$1,000,000	*BHDP Architecture SmithGroup, Inc. SSOE, Inc.	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
09/21/2010 OR #205 Locally Administered	OSU-100398 McCampbell Hall - Ambulatory Modifications The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio	\$10,500,000	Bostwick Design Partnership CBLH Design *Design Group Perspectus Architecture	\$1,011,188

09/21/2010 OR #205 Locally Administered	YSU-111202 Fifth Avenue Athletic Fields Youngstown State University Youngstown, Ohio	\$2,100,000	GPD Group James Burkart Associates JJR	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
09/09/2010 OR #205 Locally Administered	UTO-111564 UMC 3rd Floor Renovations The University of Toledo Toledo, Ohio	\$4,100,000	*CBLH Design Hasenstab Architects URS	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
09/28/2010 OR #205 Locally Administered	UCN-10093A Siddall MarketPointe Renovation University of Cincinnati Cincinnati, Ohio	\$2,300,000	*Champlin Architecture FRCH Design MSA Architects	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
09/27/2010 OR #205 Locally Administered	OSU-108001 BRT-Site Electrical Improvements The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio	\$2,700,000	Heapy Engineering *Korda/Nemeth Engineering M-Engineering	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
09/21/2010 OR #205 Locally Administered	OSU-100398 McCampbell Hall - Ambulatory Modifictions The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio	\$10,500,000	Bostwick Design Partnership CBLH Design Inc. *DesignGroup Perspectus Architecture LLC	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
09/30/2010 OR #205 Locally Administered	UTO-10656R (readvertised) Center for Biosphere Restoration Research: Bowman-Oddy Laboratories and Wolfe Hall Renovations (CM at Risk) The University of Toledo Toledo, Ohio	\$7,888,000	AMEC E&C Services, Inc. *The Lathrop Company, Inc. The Whiting-Turner Contracting Company	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
08/30/2010 OR #204 Locally Administered	YSU-111204 M1 & M2 Parking Deck Repair/Restoration Youngstown State University Youngstown, Ohio	\$5,200,000	Carl Walker, Inc. DESMAN Associates Walker Parking Consultants	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
08/31/2010 OR #204 Locally Administered	WSU-110006 Rinzler Athletic Complex Wright State University Fairborn, Ohio	\$4,400,000	Annette Miller Architects Lorenz Williams, Inc. McGill Smith Punshon MSA Architects	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
08/31/2010 OR #204 Locally Administered	WSU-090025 Concert Hall Renvoation Wright State University Dayton, Ohio	\$4,228,000	H3 Hardy Collaboration Hardlines Design Company GBBN Architects Richard Fleischman	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
07/01/2010 OR #203 Locally Administered	OSU-090468 Howlett - Kottman Steam Upgrades The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio	\$1,982,907	Korda/Nemeth Engineering *RMF Engineering SSOE, Inc. Varo Engineers, Inc.	\$168,801.02
07/01/2010 OR #203 Locally Administered	OSU-100738 Pomerene - History of Art Renovation The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio	\$400,000	Braun & Steidl Hardlines Design *Miller Watson Architects	\$45,548
06/18/2010 OR #202 Locally Administered	UTO-100656 Center for Biosphere Restoration Research: Bowman- Oddy Laboratories and Wolfe Hall Renovations (C/M at Risk), The University of Toledo Toledo, Ohio	\$7,888,000	Bostleman Mosser, LLC The Lathrop Co. Messer Construction	N/A: Project was readvertised on 09/30/2010 (see OR #205 above)
05/21/2010 <b>OR #201</b> SAO Administered	DRC-090040 Roof Replacement - Ohio State Penitentiary Youngstown, Ohio	\$1,685,000	N/A - Project Cancelled	N/A - Project Cancelled
05/21/2010 <b>OR #201</b> SAO Administered	DRC-090053 HVAC Upgrade 2010 - Warren Correctional Institution Lebanon, Ohio	\$2,500,000	DLZ Ohio, Inc. Kramer Engineers *Prater Engineering Associates Scheeser Buckley Mayfield LLC	TBD / Contract no finalized
05/28/2010 OR #201 Locally Administered	MUN-100014 Harris Dining Hall HVAC Replacement Miami University Oxford, Ohio	\$1,400,000	Heapy Engineering KLH Engineers Prater Engineering	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
05/28/2010 OR #201 Locally Administered	OSU-081047 John Herrick Drive Rebuild Phase 1 The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio	\$6,300,000	DLZ Ohio, Inc. EMH&T Kleingers & Associates MS Consultants	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
05/27/2010 OR #201 Locally Administered	DMR-100003 NODC Paving 2010 Northwest Ohio Developmental Center Toledo, Ohio	\$400,000	The Mannik & Smith Group Chevevey & Piccin *Buehrer Group	\$25,611
04/02/2010 <b>OR #200</b> SAO Administered	CSU-100010 (CM at Risk) Emery Hall Preservation & Restoration Phase IV Central State University Wilberforce, Ohio	\$1,780,000	Messer Construction Co. *Miles-McClellan Construction Thomas & Marker Construction	TBD / Contract no finalized
02/24/2010 OR #198 Locally Administered	OSU-100266 Stone Lab Green Energy Program Ph 1 The Ohio State University Gibraltar Island Put-in-Bay, Ohio	\$320,000	*Metro DC Engineering, LLC Santee Consulting Services Poggemeyer Design Group	\$46,798

02/19/2010 OR #198 Locally Administered	OSU-080338 College of Medicine Renovation/Addition Hazardous Material Abatement The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio	\$13,000,000	*Electro-Analytical Inc (dba EA Group) Lawhon & Associates Gandee & Associates	\$15,040
12/07/2009 OR #196 Locally Administered	DRC-090047 Domestic Water & Boiler Replacement Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction Lebanon, Ohio	\$1,425,003	Advanced Engineering Consultants *Kramer Engineers Roger D. Fields & Associates	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
12/07/2009 OR #196 Locally Administered	DRC-090049 Exterior Door Replacement - Warren Correctional Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction Lebanon, Ohio	\$1,360,000	*eS Architecture & Development KZF Design Renouveau Design	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
12/23/2009 OR #196 Locally Administered	OSU-090445 Dreese Exterior Sealant Repair The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio	\$1,270,000	Abbot Studios Architects & Planners *Shremshock Architects, Inc. CTL Engineering	\$112,649.93
12/23/2009 OR #196 Locally Administered	UTO-010656 New Science Building University of Toledo Toledo, Ohio	\$30,000,000	BHDP Architecture *SSOE The Collaborative	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
11/18/2009 <b>DR #196</b> _ocally Administered	OSU-091575 Patent Cafe Food Kitchens Renovation (Food Service Consultant) The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio	\$10,747,694	*JEM Associates (NJ) Robert Rippe & Associates (MN) The Hysen Group (MI)	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
12/07/2009 OR #196 Locally Administered	OSU-091576 Morehouse Parking Garage - Demolish and Construct Surface Lots The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio	\$2,209,149	*EMH&T Jobes Henderson & Associates Resource International	\$226,551
11/05/2009 OR #195 Locally Administered	UCN-08085A Kettering North Demolition The University of Cincinnati Cincinnati, Ohio	\$3,000,000	*Champlin Architecture JL Bender TRIAD Architects	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
11/04/2009 OR #195 Locally Administered	UCN-06040C Morgens Hall Renovation and Scioto Decommissioning The University of Cincinnati Cincinnati, Ohio	\$27,748,000	GBBN Moody Nolan *Richard Fleischman + Partners Architects	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
11/18/2009 OR #195 Locally Administered	OSU-091575 Patent Cafe Food Kitchens Renovation (A/E) The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio	\$10,747,694	*FRCH Design Worldwide Hendon & Redmond M+A Architects Perspectus Architecture	\$1,217,199
11/18/2009 OR #195 Locally Administered	OSU-100217 Mason Hall - First and Second Floor Renovations The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio	\$5,974,259	Champlin Architecture *Kallmann McKinnell & Wood Architects (MA) with Bialosky + Partners Architects Phillip Markwood Architects Westlake Reed Leskosky	\$400,500
11/10/2009 <b>DR #195</b> Locally Administered	OSU-090581 Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering and Chemistry Building - <i>Design Architect</i> The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio	\$126,000,000	Bohlin Cywinski Jackson (PA) David Brody Bond Aedas (NY) Ellenzweig (MA) FLAD Architects (WI) Payette (NY) Pelli Clark Pelli (CT) Perkins + Will (IL) Pohlshek Partnership (NY) SmithGroup (MI) Tsoi-Kobus & Associates (MA) Wilson Architects (NY) Zimmer Gunsul Frasca (NY)	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
11/10/2009 OR #195 Locally Administered	OSU-090581 Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering and Chemistry Building - Architect of Record The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio	\$126,000,000	Anshen + Allen BHDP Braun & Steidl/IKM *Burt Hill Champlin Architecture NBBJ	\$8,425,000
11/10/2009 OR #195 Locally Administered	OSU-090581 Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering and Chemistry Building - Commissioning The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio	\$126,000,000	*Four Seasons FTC&H Heapy Engineering Horizon Engineering	\$393,333.54
1/10/2009 OR #195 .ocally Administered	OSU-090581 Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering and Chemistry Building - <i>MEP</i> The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio	\$126,000,000	Affiliated Engineers (WI) ARUP (NY) HAWA (OH) M/E Engineering (NY) RMF (MD) Vanderweil (MA)	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
11/24/2009 <b>DR #195</b> _ocally	OSU-081230 William H. Hall Complex Expansion, Phase 2 - Commissioning	\$33,056,494	*Four Seasons Environmental Horizon Engineering Associates	\$68,088.05

Administered	The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio		Scheeser Buckley Mayfield	
11/24/2009 OR #195 Locally Administered	OSU-071589 Hopkins Hall Mechanical Improvements The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio	\$5,668,290	Advanced Engineering DLZ Kramer Engineering *Prater Engineering	\$398,170
10/09/2009 OR #194 Locally Administered	OHU-091500 Clippinger Lab - Phase 2b-3 Ohio University Athens, Ohio	\$6,900,000	Burt Hill URS W.E. Monks & Co. Westlake, Reed, Leskosky	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
10/19/2009 OR #194 Locally Administered	WSU-100012 Master Plan - Land Use and Development Wright State University Dayton, Ohio	\$200,000	Burt Hill NBBJ Sasaki	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
09/21/2009 <b>OR #193</b> SAO- Administered	NEM-090001 (CM) Campus Research and Academic Expansion NEOUCOM Rootstown, Ohio	\$37,930,000	Bovis Lend Lease, Inc. Donley's Inc. *The Ruhlin Company Welty Building Company	TBD / Contract no finalized
09/11/2009 <b>OR #193</b> SAO- Administered	BWC-100001 BWC Chiller/Ice Tank Replacement Columbus, Ohio	\$1,550,000	DLZ Ohio, Inc. Korda/Nemeth Engineering Motz Engineering *Star Consultants	\$141,260
09/02/2009 <b>OR #193</b> SAO- Administered	DOT-100001 Noble County Maintenance Facility / ODOT Caldwell, Ohio	\$4,800,000	*Jerome M. Scott Architects ms consultants, inc. Schorr Architects, Inc. Wachtel & McAnally Architects/Planners, Inc.	\$403,171
08/28/2009 OR #192 Locally Administered	WSU-090041 Halon Replacement Project Wright State University Dayton, Ohio	\$500,000	Heapy Engineering Helmig-Lienesch Engineers *Prater Engineering	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
08/28/2009 OR #192 Locally Administered	WSU-090034 Elevator Upgrades Wright State University Dayton, Ohio	\$500,000	JL Bender Oregon Group Architects *Stilson & Associates, Division of DLZ	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
08/28/2009 <b>OR #192</b> Locally Administered	WSU-090038 Health Science Roof Replacement Wright State University Dayton, Ohio	\$317,000	Annette Miller Architects eS Architecture and Development *SFA Architects	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
08/28/2009 OR #192 Locally Administered	WSU-090029 Rike Hall Second Floor HVAC and General Renovation Wright State University Dayton, Ohio	\$520,000	*Annette Miller Architects Schorr Architects TRIAD Architects	\$50,000
07/14/2009 <b>OR #191</b> SAO- Administered	NEM-090001 (A/E) Campus Research and Academic Expansion NEOUCOM Rootstown, Ohio	\$37,930,000	Burt Hill, Inc. Hasenstab Architects *TC Architects URS	TBD / Contract no finalized
06/15/2009 <b>OR #190</b> Locally Administered	UCN-09101A Radiology Office Building The University of Cincinnati Cincinnati, Ohio	\$2,100,000	BSA LifeStructures *GBBN PFB Architects	TBD: Contact Agency / Institution
06/18/2009 <b>OR #190</b> SAO- Administered	DRC-090026 Fire Alarm Upgrade Lebanon Correctional Institution Lebanon, Ohio	\$3,125,500	*Advanced Engineering Consultants DLZ Ohio KZF Design Kramer Engineers Roger D. Fields & Associates	\$235,213
06/18/2009 <b>OR #190</b> SAO- Administered	DRC-090050 Roof Replacement Correctional Reception Center Orient, Ohio	\$2,604,199	*Archatas, Inc. Asebrook & Co. Architecture eS Architecture and Development Schorr Architects	\$199,750
06/18/2009 <b>OR #190</b> SAO- Administered	DRC-090052 Medical/Dental Addition Lorain Correctional Institution Grafton, Ohio	\$1,735,000	Kaczmar Architects Incorporated *mbi k2m Architecture Ziska Architecture	\$268,125
05/06/2009 <b>OR #189</b> SAO- Administered	EXP-090003  North Parking Lot Improvements  Ohio Expositions Commission  Columbus, Ohio	\$5,000,000	Kabil Associates, Inc. *Korda/Nemeth Engineering, Inc. Star Consultants, Inc.	\$345,975
04/09/2009 <b>OR #189</b> SAO- Administered	DAS-010100 North High Street Complex Renovation, Phase 4 Ohio Dept. of Administrative Services Columbus, Ohio	\$52,505,945	*Acock Associates Architects Feinknopf Macioce Schappa Architects Schooley Caldwell Associates	\$4,005,429
04/09/2009 <b>OR #188</b> SAO- Administered	OHU-071730 Scripps College of Communication - Phase 1 Ohio University Athens, Ohio	\$18,000,000	Feinknopf Macioce Schappa Architects Moody Nolan, Inc. NBBJ Schooley Caldwell Associates *The Collaborative, Inc.	\$1,159,650
02/12/2009 OR #186	DYS-050133 ORVJCF Classroom Addition - Phase 2	\$6,800,000	KZF Design, Inc. Shremshock Architects, Inc.	\$668,827

Short List Report Page 7 of 7

SAO- Administered	Ohio River Valley Juvenile Correctional Facility		*Wachtel & McAnally Architects/Planners, Inc.	
02/04/2009 <b>OR #186</b> SAO- Administered	DOT-090005 Rest Areas Renovation Various locations throughout Ohio	\$12,000,000	Feinknopf Macioce Schappa Architects KZF Design *Shremshock Architects	\$889,360
01/30/2009 <b>OR #185</b> SAO- Administered	CTI-090001 ERC Renovation (Columbus Hall) Columbus State Community College	\$5,400,000	Acock Associates Architects *BHDP Architecture McDonald, Cassell & Bassett Schooley Caldwell Associates	\$389,226
12/15/2008 <b>OR #184</b> SAO- Administered	DMR-090010 Various Improvements Northwest Ohio & Tiffin Developmental Centers	\$1,655,500	Archatas Bodner & Kerik Architects, Inc. *Buehrer Group Architecture & Engineering, Inc.	\$107,200
12/18/2008 <b>OR #184</b> SAO- Administered	DMR-090013 Various Improvements Southwest Ohio Developmental Center	\$1,260,000	Archatas *eS Architecture and Development Star Consultants, Inc.	\$158,802
12/01/2008 <b>OR #184</b> SAO- Administered	DOT-090003 District 11 Re-roof Ohio Department of Transportation New Philadelphia, Ohio	\$4,800,000	Makovich & Pusti Architects, Inc. *Schorr Architects, Inc. Shremshock Architects, Inc.	\$389,000
11/18/2008 <b>OR #183</b> SAO- Administered	EXP-090002 2008 Electrical Upgrades Ohio Expositions Commission	\$2,100,000	Advanced Engineering Consultants *Bennett Engineering, Inc. DLZ Ohio, Inc. Star Consultants, Inc.	\$180,892
11/10/2008 <b>OR #183</b> SAO- Administered	EXP-090001 Sheep and Swine Barn Renovations Ohio Expositions Commission Columbus, Ohio	\$11,900,000	Davis Wince, Ltd. McDonald, Cassell & Bassett, Inc. *Schorr Architects, Inc. Star Consultants, Inc.	\$898,244
07/24/2008 <b>OR #179</b> SAO- Administered	ADJ-090001 Delaware Training and Community Center Adjutant General's Department Delaware, OH	\$21,553,840	KZF Design, Inc. Maddox-NBD, Inc. *Poggemeyer Design Group	\$801,724



Home | Ohio.gov | OAKS Home | DAS Home | News | FAQs | Feedback | Contacts | Photo Credits | Sitemap

### Request for Qualifications (A/E)

Ohio Department of Natural Resources 2045 Morse Road, Bldg. E-3 Columbus, Ohio 43229-6693



### Administration of Project: Ohio Department of Natural Resources

Project Name	Lake Water Quality Improvement Project	Response Deadline	01/31/2012 4:30 p.m. local time
Project Location	Statewide, ODNR Lakes	Project Number	DNR-120026
City / County	Columbus / Franklin	Project Manager	Scott Fletcher
Agency/Institution	Division of Engineering	Contracting Authority	Division of Engineering
No. of paper copies	requested (stapled, not bound) Three (3)	No. of electronic copie	s requested on CD (PDF) One (1)

Submit the requested number of Statements of Qualifications (SAO Form F110-330) directly to Beth Pratt, at 2045 Morse Road Bldg. E-3, Columbus, Ohio 43229-6693. Project specific questions shall be directed to Scott Fletcher at 614/265-7055. See Section H for additional submittal instructions.

### **Project Overview**

#### A. Project Description

Professional environmental engineering services are requested to analyze and assess the water quality at select, inland state park lakes where potentially harmful algae blooms have been reported, with the goal of providing the best strategies for incremental, long-term water quality improvements. In addition, professional project management services are required for the oversight and implementation of a large scale chemical treatment for the control of phosphorus in Grand Lake St. Marys, located in Auglaize and Mercer Counties.

#### B. Scope of Services

The selected firm shall perform detailed analyses of the existing water quality at select lakes identified by ODNR. Analyses performed shall, at a minimum, investigate the water chemistry and internal and external nutrient loading, including the sources of the nutrients; identify cyanobacteria and/or other bacteria of concern in the water and sediments; quantify inflow rates and quality of significant tributaries; and review land-use characteristics of the respective watersheds. From the assessments, prepare Operation and Maintenance Plans to include, but not limited to, a water quality monitoring program, recommendations of best management practices and controls to provide for immediate and long-term improvements to the lake water and sediment quality, and cost estimates for implementation, with the ultimate goal of reduction and eventual elimination of harmful algae blooms within the lakes. The firm may also be tasked with presenting the findings of the investigations and analyses, including recommendations and cost estimates, to the Department's administration.

The selected firm will also provide project management services for a large scale aluminum sulfate application at Grand Lake St. Marys. Services shall include, but not be limited to, project review and implementation, on-site inspection, testing and reporting for the implementation of the treatment, preparation of health and safety plan for the delivery, storage and application of chemicals to be used at the site, and post treatment water quality monitoring.

The decision to proceed with any phase or task of this project will be determined by ODNR pending available project funding and successful completion of prior tasks by the consultant.

For purposes of completing the Relevant Project Experience Matrix in Section F of the Statement of Qualifications (SAO Form #F110-330), below is a list of relevant scope of work requirements for this RFQ:

- 1. Experience in the evaluation and analysis of inland lake water and sediment quality.
- 2. Experience in design and implementation of stormwater management practices, on a watershed basis, to improve inflow quality and reduce erosion.
- 3. Experience in the design and implementation of environmental monitoring programs to characterize and track changes in freshwater ecosystems.
- 4. Experience in development of Operation and Maintenance Plans for the immediate and long-term management of an inland lake system, including the watershed and tributaries
- 5. Experience with design and implementation of large scale treatment programs for inland lakes.
- 6. Experience providing oversight of the implementation of large scale treatment programs for inland lakes.
- 7. Experience working with state and federal public/private partnerships.



Project Name	Lake Water Quality Improvement Project – Statewide, ODNR Lakes		Pr	oject Number	DNR-120026	3
C. Funding	Estimated Budget					
Total Project 0	Cost \$ To Be Determined	State I	unding	\$ 100% of Pro	ject Costs	
Construction C	Cost \$ To Be Determined	Other	Funding	\$0.00		
Estimated A/E	Fee 5% to 10%					
completion of th Program of Req of cost estimate Program of Req inspection and t	fee percentage for this project includes all professional e Basic Services for the successful completion of the properties of the project. Fees may be negative extensive evaluation or validation of site concesting, Quality Assurance testing during the construction (see note below)	oject, inc site cond gotiated ditions, e	luding but litions (but and alloca extensive p and testing	not limited to: rev not subsurface of ted for Additional re-design investi	view and verificor hidden conditor hidden conditor Services (e.g., gations, code-reen conditions).	ation of the ions), preparation creation of a equired special
Primary	Environmental Engineering	A/E Se	ervices St	art (mm/yy)		04 / 12
Secondary	Hydraulics and Hydrology	Consti	uction Co	ontracts Start (r	nm/yy)	
	Water Quality Inspection	Consti	uction Co	ontracts Comple	eted (mm/yy)	
	Water Quality Testing	A/E Se	ervices Co	ompleted (mm/	yy)	04 / 14
		F.	EDGE P	articipation G	oal	
Others		Percei	nt of <i>initia</i>	/ TOTAL A/E F	ee	5 %

NOTE: The primary A/E shall be (1) a registered architect holding a license and certificate of authorization issued by the Ohio Architects Board pursuant to O.R.C. Chapter 4703, (2) a landscape architect holding a license and certificate of authorization issued by the Ohio Landscape Architects Board pursuant to O.R.C. Chapter 4703, or (3) a professional engineer or professional surveyor holding a license and certificate of authorization issued by the Ohio Engineers and Surveyors Board pursuant to O.R.C. Chapter 4733.

#### G. Evaluation Criteria for Selection

Previous experience, similar in size and scope to the proposed project(s), including relevant past work of the prospective firm's proposed staff, sub-consultants and/or other team members; appropriate qualifications of proposed project team members; appropriate size of team for the project; proximity of prospective firm to the project sites; past performance of prospective firm and team for ODNR and others; and dollar value of ODNR and State of Ohio contracts in the previous three years.

Firms must provide along with SAO Form #F110-330 Parts I and II, the attached ODNR Supplemental Information. Firms who have already submitted a standard pre-qualification information package to ODNR for 2011-2012 need only submit Part I of the SAO Form #F110-330. Please contact Beth Pratt, P.E., with the ODNR Division of Engineering at 614/265-6957, if you have any questions on the Supplemental Information.

Firms responding to this Request for Qualifications are required to submit the Commitment to Participate in the EDGE Business Assistance Program form in its Statement of Qualifications (SAO Form #F110-330) submitted in response to the RFQ, to indicate its intent to contract with and use of EDGE-certified Business Enterprise(s), as a part of the proposed team. The Intent to Contract and to Perform and / or waiver request letter and Demonstration of Good Faith Effort form(s) with complete documentation will be required at the Fee Proposal stage. Both forms can be accessed via the SAO website at <a href="http://ohio.gov/sao">http://ohio.gov/sao</a> (click on Forms).

In accordance with Section 9.24 of the Ohio Revised Code, ODNR is prohibited from awarding a contract for goods, services, or construction, paid for in whole or in part with state funds, to a person against whom a finding for recovery has been issued by the auditor of state, if the finding for recovery is unresolved. In preparing a short list recommendation for this project, ODNR shall verify each consultant's compliance with the requirements of Section 9.24.

Prior to executing a Contract, the selected firm must represent and warrant that it has not provided any material assistance, as that term is defined in O.R.C. Section 2909.33(C), to an organization that is identified by, and included on, the United States Department of State Terrorist Exclusion List (found on the Ohio Homeland Security web site at



	Lake Water Quality Improvement Project –		
Project Name	Statewide, ODNR Lakes	Project Number	DNR-120026
	_		

www.homelandsecurity.ohio.gov/dma.asp) and that it has truthfully answered "no" to every question on the Declaration Regarding Material Assistance/Non-Assistance to a Terrorist Organization, and that shall provide such to ODNR.

#### H. Submittal Instructions

Firms are required to submit the current version of Statement of Qualifications (SAO Form F110-330) available via the SAO website at <a href="http://ohio.gov/sao">http://ohio.gov/sao</a> (click on Forms).

Paper copies of the Statement of Qualifications should be stapled only. Do not use special bindings or coverings of any type. Cover letters and transmittals are not necessary.

Electronic submittals should be combined into <u>one</u> PDF file named with the project number listed on the RFQ and your firm's name. Use the "print" feature of Adobe Acrobat Professional or similar software for creating a PDF rather than using a scanner. If possible, please reduce the file size of the PDF. In Adobe Acrobat Professional, go to Advanced, then PDF Optimizer. Also, please label the CD and the CD cover with the project number and firm name.

### Selection of Engineering, Architectural, Landscape Architectural, and Land Surveying Firms

Ohio Department of Natural Resources
Division of Engineering
Evaluation of Statements of Interest

PROJECT: Lake Water Quality Improvements

DNR-120026

	FIRM:	
EVALUATORS:		

	ALUATORS:	CITY, STATE:				
EV	ALUATION DATE:	_	Value	Rating	Weight	Score
A.	FIRM'S LOCATION Proximity of office where Consultant Project Manager will be located to ODNR Central Office.  miles (Estimated)	0-50 miles 51-100 miles 101-150 miles 151-200 miles over 200 miles	5 4 3 2 1		1.5	0
В.	PROJECT TEAM  1. Coordination and number of subconsultants	Score based on role, location and number of subconsultants.	1-5		2	0
C.	QUALIFICATIONS OF TEAM	Project Manager	1-5		3	0
	Appropriate disciplines and professional registrations provided, based on RFQ	Firm's staff and subconsultants	1-5		3	0
	Relative project experience	Project Manager	1-5		3	0
		Firm's Staff and Sub- consultants	1-5		3	0
D.	STATE WORK AWARDED RECENTLY  1. ODNR Contracts (fees) in past 3 years:  \$	\$0 - \$75k \$75k - \$150k \$150k - \$225k \$225k - \$300k \$300k +	5 4 3 2 1		0.5	0
	2. Total State of Ohio work (fees) in past 3 years:  \$	\$0 - \$250k \$250k - \$500k \$500k - \$750k \$750k - \$1 mil. \$1 mil. +	5 4 3 2 1		0.5	0
E.	GENERAL IMPRESSIONS OF FIRM  1. Quality of Statement of Interest		1-5		2	0
	2. Performance (ODNR & Others)		1-5		1.5	0

Revised 04/08

**TOTAL SCORE** 

20

0

# STATE OF OHIO DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION FOR PREQUALIFICATION FY 2011 – 2012

PRIMARY CORPORATE OFFICE				
STREET ADDRESS:				
CITY:	_ STATE:	ZII	CODE:	
COUNTY:				
TELEPHONE:		_ FAX:		
PRIMARY OHIO OFFICE (IF PRIM	ARY CORPOR	RATE OFFIC	E IS OUT OF S	STATE)
STREET ADDRESS:				
CITY:	STATE:	ZII	CODE:	
	_			
COUNTY:				
COUNTY: TELEPHONE: SUMMARY OF PROFESSIONAL S	SERVICES FEI	_ FAX:	D IN THE THR	
COUNTY: TELEPHONE: SUMMARY OF PROFESSIONAL S	SERVICES FEI	_ FAX:	D IN THE THR	
COUNTY:  TELEPHONE:  SUMMARY OF PROFESSIONAL S	SERVICES FEE	_ FAX: ES RECEIVE able Below):	D IN THE THR	EE CALEN
COUNTY: TELEPHONE: SUMMARY OF PROFESSIONAL S YEARS LISTED (Insert Index Nur	SERVICES FEE mbers From T act Work:	_ FAX: ES RECEIVE able Below):	D IN THE THR	EE CALEN
COUNTY: TELEPHONE: SUMMARY OF PROFESSIONAL S YEARS LISTED (Insert Index Nur	SERVICES FEE mbers From T act Work:	_ FAX: ES RECEIVE able Below):	D IN THE THR	EE CALEN

2. \$250,001 - \$500,000 3. \$500,001 - \$1,000,000

5. \$5,000,001 - \$10 million6. Greater than \$10 million

The following information is supplemental to Standard Form 330 (Architect-Engineer Qualifications, Part II General Qualifications) submitted to the Division of Engineering, Ohio Department of Natural Resources, for prequalification for professional services as defined in Rule 1501-3-01 of the Administrative Code.

1. **OHIO CERTIFICATE(S) OF AUTHORIZATION**: Indicate the certificate number(s) of the appropriate certificate(s) issued to your <u>firm</u> by the Board of Registration (Engineers and Surveyors) or Board of Examiners (Architects and Landscape Architects) in accordance with the indicated sections of the Revised Code:

PLEASE NOTE: THESE ARE NOT REGISTRATION NUMBERS FOR INDIVIDUALS. IF UNCERTAIN OF FIRM'S STATUS, CONTACT THE APPROPRIATE BOARD. THIS INFORMATION MUST BE COMPLETED OR YOUR FIRM WILL NOT BE PREQUALIFIED WITH ODNR FOR PROFESSIONAL SERVICES.

4722 16 Engineering and/or Curveying

	4733.10	Engineering and/or Surveying	
	4703.18	Architecture	
	4703.331	Landscape Architecture	
OR:			
		npt from Ohio Revised Code Sect for this exemption:	tions 4733.16, 4703.18 and 4703.331, briefly
OR:			
If you a Profess		oprietor, please list the name and	d registration number of firm's Registered

- 2. **AFFIRMATIVE ACTION POLICY:** Pursuant to Section 125.111 of the ORC, the Consultant agrees to develop and implement a written affirmative action program, approved by the State of Ohio, Equal Opportunity Division. To obtain approval, this may be submitted though the <a href="http://ohiobusinessqateway.ohio.gov">http://ohiobusinessqateway.ohio.gov</a>.
- 3. **SEXUAL HARASSMENT POLICY:** Attach a copy of your firm's Sexual Harassment policy statement.
- 4. **ENCOURAGING DIVERSITY, GROWTH AND EQUITY (EDGE)**: If your firm is a certified EDGE participant attach a copy of your current Official EDGE Certificate.
- 5. **REQUEST FOR TAXPAYER IDENTIFICATION NUMBER AND CERTIFICATION:** Please complete the attached IRS Form W-9.
- 6. **CERTIFICATE OF INSURANCE:** Please provide evidence of your firm's professional liability insurance coverage as outlined in Section 153.70 of the Ohio Revised Code:

§ 153.70 Professional liability insurance; waiver or substitution.

#### Text of Statute

- (A) Except for any person providing professional design services of a research or training nature, any person rendering professional design services to a public authority shall have and maintain, or be covered by, during the period the services are rendered, a professional liability insurance policy or policies with a company or companies that are authorized to do business in this state and that afford professional liability coverage for the professional design services rendered. The insurance shall be in amount considered sufficient by the public authority.
- (B) The requirement for professional liability insurance set forth in division (A) of this section may be waived by the public authority for good cause, or the public authority may allow the person providing the professional design services to provide other assurances of financial responsibility.

HISTORY: 146 v H 231. Eff 11-24-95.

Not analogous to former RC  $\S$  153.70, amended and renumbered RC  $\S$  153.71 in 146 v H 231, eff 11-24-95.

#### 7. NOTICE ON FINDINGS FOR RECOVERY:

In accordance with Section 9.24 of the Ohio Revised Code, The Department of Natural Resources is prohibited from awarding a contract for goods, services, or construction, paid for in whole or in part with state funds, to a person against whom a finding for recovery has been issued by the Auditor of State, if the finding for recovery is unresolved. Prior to completion of a short list recommendation for each project for which ODNR is selecting firm(s) to provide professional services, ODNR shall verify each consultant's compliance with the requirements of Section 9.24.

8. **COMPOSITION OF FIRM** (Insert number of individuals in appropriate spaces. The number of minority group individuals is to be included in the total as well as Women and Minorities columns, as applicable. Minorities include American Indian, Afro-American, Oriental American and Spanish Surnamed American).

		Total	<u>Women</u>	<u>Minorities</u>
A.	Administrative Staff			
	Managers			
	Word Processors, Receptionists, etc.			
	Accountants, Bookkeepers, etc.	<del></del>		
В.	Registered Professional Staff			
Б.				
	Professional Engineers			
	Professional Surveyors	<del></del>		
	Registered Architects			
	Registered Landscape Architects			
C.	Semi-Professional Staff			
	Technicians			
	Drafting Technicians			
	CADD Operators		<del></del>	
D.	Other Staff (List Categories)			
			<del></del>	
	TOTALS			
SIGNATURE	OF PREPARER:	<del></del> -		
TITLE:		DATE	:	

### Request for Qualifications (A/E)

The Ohio State University 2009 Millikin Rd, 400 Central Classroom Building Columbus, OH 43210



Administration of Project: Local Administration

Project Name	Celeste & Evans Cooling Improv	/ements	Response Deadline	1-26- 2012	4:00 pm	local time
Project Location	Celeste & Evans Labs		Project Number	OSU-120206		
City / County	Columbus / Franklin		Project Manager	J.P. Rapp		
Agency/Institution	The Ohio State University		Contracting Authority	The Ohio State	University	
No. of paper copies	requested (stapled, not bound)	4	No. of electronic copie	s requested on C	D (PDF) 1	1
					_	

Submit the requested number of Statements of Qualifications (SAO Form F110-330) directly to J. P. Rapp at 2009 Millikin Road, room 400, Columbus, OH 43210. See Section H for additional submittal instructions.

#### **Project Overview**

### A. Project Description

The project is the implementation (design & construction) of the integration (tie-in) of chilled water infrastructure to serve Celeste & Evan Labs. This project requires an investigative study and assessment of the existing cooling systems for Celeste and Evans labs; along with the existing inherent cooling loads for McPherson, Newman & Wolfrom Labs on the shared chilled water loop. The Study shall include a full report with analysis that defines/indentifies cooling loads and building readiness for tie-in to the forthcoming East Regional Chiller plant. Analysis shall be the basis for POR development/refinement of the scope of work for chiller plant tie-in and interim gap strategy measures for buildings cooling systems. The POR development shall also include construction cost estimate for implementation of work plan and verification of established budget limits (the University reserves the right to increase the construction budget to align with assessment findings).

#### B. Scope of Services

The selected Architect/Engineer (A/E), as a portion of its required Scope of Services and prior to submitting its proposals, will discuss and clarify with the Owner, the cost breakdown of the Architect/Engineer Agreement detailed cost components to address the Owner's project requirements. Participate in the Encouraging Growth, Diversity & Equity (EDGE) Program as required by statute and the Agreement.

As required by the Agreement, and as properly authorized, provide the following categories of services: Pre-design services involving investigative study/analysis/report, Program development with construction cost budget estimate, Schematic Design, Design Development, Construction Document Preparation, Bid and Award Support, Conformed Documents, Construction Phase, Post-Construction Phase, and Extra Services and Additional Services of all types. Refer to *The SAO Manual* for additional information about the type and extent of services required for each.

During the construction period, provide not less than <u>8</u> hours (excluding travel time) on-site construction administration services each week, including (1) attendance at progress meetings, (2) a written field report of each site visit, (3) on-site representation comprised of the A/E and its consultant staff involved in the primary design of the project, all having relevant and appropriate types of construction administration experience.

Desired Professional Services Qualifications include team members' qualifications and experience in the design of college laboratories, building systems and energy efficient HVAC systems.

For purposes of completing the Relevant Project Experience Matrix in Section F of the Statement of Qualifications (SAO Form #F110-330), below is a list of relevant scope of work requirements for this RFQ:

- 1. College laboratory facilities design.
- 2. Building systems hourly energy analysis and evaluations.
- 3. Existing chilled water coil analysis (GPM, air and water side delta T performance, etc.).
- 4. Primary/secondary pumping systems analysis and design.
- 5. Chilled water plate and frame heat exchangers.
- 6. Construction phase commissioning: hydronic systems and air handling units (coil performance).
- 7. University and SAO process



Project Name	Celest	te & Evans Cooling Improvements		Pr	oject Number OSU-120200	6
C. Funding	/ Estim	nated Budget				
Total Project (	Cost	\$1,500,000	State I	Funding	\$0	
Construction (	Cost	\$1,086,956	Other	Funding	\$1,500,000	
Estimated A/E	E Fee	9 to 9.48%				
completion of the Program of Req of cost estimate study/investigat site conditions, unforeseen con-	ne <b>Basic</b> quiremer es and de tion, crea code-red nditions.	percentage for this project includes all professions. Services for the successful completion of the parts provided by the Owner, validation of existing esign schedules for the project. Fees shall be notion of a Program of Requirements) and may be quired special inspection and testing, Quality Assequired Professional Liability Insurance will be red (see note below)	oroject, in site cond egotiate e negotia surance	ncluding bu ditions (but d and allo ted for ser testing dur 000 per cla	t not limited to: review and verificate to subsurface or hidden conditional Services vices such as extensive evaluating the construction period, and	cation of the tions), preparation (i.e., pre-design on or validation of
Primary	HVAC	Engineering	A/E Se	ervices St	art (mm/yy)	03 / 2012
Secondary		cal Engineering			ontracts Start (mm/yy)	1 / 2013
	Structi	ural Engineering	Const	ruction Co	ontracts Completed (mm/yy)	09 / 2013
	Archite	ectural	A/E Se	ervices Co	ompleted (mm/yy)	12 / 2013
			F.	EDGE P	articipation Goal	
Others			Perce	nt of <i>initia</i>	/TOTAL A/E Fee	5%

NOTE: The primary A/E shall be (1) a registered architect holding a license and certificate of authorization issued by the Ohio Architects Board pursuant to O.R.C. Chapter 4703, (2) a landscape architect holding a license and certificate of authorization issued by the Ohio Landscape Architects Board pursuant to O.R.C. Chapter 4703, or (3) a professional engineer or professional surveyor holding a license and certificate of authorization issued by the Ohio Engineers and Surveyors Board pursuant to O.R.C. Chapter 4733.

#### G. Evaluation Criteria for Selection

Demonstrated ability to meet Owner's programmed project vision, scope, budget, and schedule on previous projects. Previous experience compatible with the proposed project (e.g., type, size). Relevant past work of prospective firm's proposed consultants. Past performance of prospective firm and its proposed consultants. Qualifications and experience of individuals directly involved with the project. Proposer's previous experience (numbers of projects, sizes of projects) when working with its proposed consultants. Design quality and demonstrated ability of prospective firm and its proposed consultants to provide design services which represent the University's *Design Values for Campus Development* (fod.osu.edu/proj del/ref/0200 Design Values.pdf). Specification writing credentials and experience. Experience and capabilities of using Critical Path Method (CPM) schedules as a project management resource. Proximity of prospective firms to the project site. Proposer's apparent resources and capacity to meet the needs of this project. The selected A/E will be required to sign the Professional Design Services Agreement, which can be accessed at fod.osu.edu/vendor. No modifications to the requirements in the contract will be accepted.

Interested A/E firms are required to submit the Commitment to Participate in the EDGE Business Assistance Program form in its Statement of Qualifications (SAO Form #F110-330) submitted in response to the RFQ, to indicate its intent to contract with and use EDGE-certified Business Enterprise(s), as a part of the A/E's team. The Intent to Contract and to Perform and / or waiver request letter and Demonstration of Good Faith Effort form(s) with complete documentation must be attached to the A/E's Technical Proposal. Both forms can be accessed at <a href="fod.osu.edu/vendor">fod.osu.edu/vendor</a>. The Intent to Contract and to Perform form is again required at the Fee Proposal stage.

For all Statements of Qualifications, please identify the EDGE-certified Business Enterprises, by name, which will participate in the delivery of the proposed professional services solicited in the RFQ.

Prior to executing the Architect/Engineer Agreement, the selected A/E must represent and warrant that it has not provided any material assistance, as that term is defined in O.R.C. Section 2909.33(C), to an organization that is identified by, and included on, the United States Department of State Terrorist Exclusion List and that it has truthfully answered "no" to



Project Name Celeste & Evans Cooling Improvements Project Number OSU-120206

every question on the Declaration Regarding Material Assistance/Non-Assistance to a Terrorist Organization, and that it has provided or shall provide such to the Contracting Authority (www.homelandsecurity.ohio.gov/dma/dma\_forms.asp).

#### H. Submittal Instructions

Firms are required to submit the current version of Statement of Qualifications (SAO Form F110-330) available via the SAO website at http://ohio.gov/sao (click on Forms).

Paper copies of the Statement of Qualifications should be stapled only. Do not use special bindings or coverings of any type. Cover letters and transmittals are not necessary.

Electronic submittals should be combined into <u>one</u> PDF file named with the project number listed on the RFQ and your firm's name. Use the "print" feature of Adobe Acrobat Professional or similar software for creating a PDF rather than using a scanner. If possible, please reduce the file size of the PDF. In Adobe Acrobat Professional, go to Advanced, then PDF Optimizer. Also, please label the CD and the CD cover with the project number and firm name.

Submit all questions regarding this RFQ in writing via email to J. P. Rapp at rapp.87@osu.edu with the project number included in the subject line (no phone calls please).

### **Architect/Engineer Selection Rating**

The Ohio State University 2009 Millikin Rd, 400 Central Classroom Building Columbus, OH 43210

STATE UNIVERSITY	
www.fod.osu.edu	
Phone 614-292-4458	

Project Name	Celeste & Evans Cooling Improvements	Proposer Firm	
Project Number	OSU-120206	City, State, Zip	

Se	election Criteria		Value	Score
1.	A/E Firm Location (5 points)			
	Proximity of primary A/E firm office where	Less than 50 miles	4 - 5	
	majority of work is to be performed in	50 to 100 miles	2 - 3	
	relationship to project site	More than 100 miles	0 - 1	
2.	A/E Firm Size (5 points)			
	Number of relevant licensed professionals within	Small = Less than 10 licensed professionals	4	
	primary A/E firm available to perform the work.	Medium = 10 to 20 licensed professionals	3	
	•	Large = More than 20 licensed professionals	1	
3.				
	Amount of fees awarded by the Contracting	Less than \$50,000	4 - 5	
	Authority to the primary A/E Firm in the previous	\$50,000 to \$100,000	2 - 3	
	24 months (exclude projects on hold)	More than \$100,000	0 - 1	
4.	Primary A/E Qualifications (30 points)			
	a. Project Management Lead	Experience / ability of A/E project manager to	0 - 10	
		manage scope / budget / schedule / quality	0 - 10	
	b. Project Design Lead	Experience / creativity of lead designer to meet needs of owner	0 - 5	
	c. Technical Staff	Experience / ability of technical staff to develop quality construction documents	0 - 5	
	d. Construction Administration	Experience / ability of field representative to identify / solve issues during construction	0 - 10	
5.	A/E Consultant Qualifications (10 points)			
	Key Discipline Leads	Experience / ability of all key discipline leads to effectively perform the work	0 - 10	
6.	Project Team Qualifications (15 points)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
-	a. Previous Team Collaboration	Less than 2 projects (Low)	0 - 1	
	Number of projects that a majority of the	2 to 4 projects (Average)	2 - 3	
	team members have worked together	More than 4 projects (High)	4 - 5	
	b. LEED* Experience within Team	LEED AP(s)** on Team	0 - 1	
	5 =,,,,,,,,,,,	LEED Registered Project(s)	0 - 2	
		LEED Certified Project(s)	0 - 2	
		Satisfies ALL above Criteria	Sum = 0 - 5	
	c. Team Organization	Clarity of responsibility / communication demonstrated by table of organization	0 - 5	
7.	Overall Project Team Experience (30 points)	,		
	a. Budget and Schedule Management	Performance in completing projects within original budget and schedule limitations	0 - 5	
	b. Experience with Similar Project Type	Less than 3 projects (Low)	0 - 3	
	F =	3 to 6 projects (Average)	4 - 6	
		More than 6 projects (High)	7 - 10	
	c. Past Performance	Level of performance as indicated by past A/E evaluations / letters of reference	0 - 5	
	d. Knowledge of State of Ohio Capital	Less than 3 projects (Low)	0 - 3	
	Project Administration Process	3 to 6 projects (Average)	4 - 6	
	-,	More than 6 projects (High)	7 - 10	
		1		
	* LEED = Leadership in Energy & Environmental Design develop	ped by the U.S. Green Building Council	0.14.4.5	
	** LEED AP = LEED Accredited Professional credential by the G		Subtotal	

Notes:	Evaluator:	
	Name	
	Signature	 Date

### Request for Qualifications (A/E)

State Architect's Office 4200 Surface Road Columbus, Ohio 43228-1395



http://ohio.gov Phone 614-644-7969

Administration of Project: State Architect's Office

Project Name	Brown County Campus	Response Deadline	Feb. 3, 2012   4:00 p.m. local time
Project Location	Junction of SR 32 and Brooks Mallot Rd.	Project Number	SOC-120001
City / County	Mt. Orab / Brown	Project Manager	Jeff Kring
Agency/Institution	Southern State Community College	Contracting Authority*	State Architect's Office
	nority for SAO-administered projects is the State Aigency or institution of higher education.	rchitect's Office. The Contrac	ting Authority for locally administered
No. of paper copies	s requested (stapled, not bound)2	No. of electronic copies	requested on CD (PDF)1
Submit the requested number of Statements of Qualifications (SAO Form F110-330) directly to the following address: State Architect's Office, Attention: Program Services, 4200 Surface Road, Columbus, Ohio 43228.			
See Section H for	additional submittal instructions.		

#### **Project Overview**

#### A. Project Description

In November 2011, Southern State Community College received approval to purchase a 63 acre parcel of land in Brown County to establish a campus in Mount Orab. The College has served Brown County for over 30 years and this new campus will replace existing facilities. The purpose of the new campus building is to continue the College's commitment to providing higher education services to a growing student population base in the Brown County area. The property is located at the northwest corner of State Route 32 and Brooks Mallot Road.

Local funding will provide for the design and construction of a 50,000 square foot academic building with appropriate academic support services, and the infrastructure necessary to open the new building by the fall of 2014. This project's development will acknowledge future utilization of the site for other college facilities and improvements, but is not considered development of a master plan. This project will set the architectural tone for Southern State Community College's presence in Brown County. The POR will be developed as a part of this project.

A comprehensive site survey of the property will also be included as a part of the professional design services. This project will utilize the Construction Manager at Risk project delivery method. The Construction Manager will be acquired by the State Architect's Office under a separate contract. All aspects of the project and related issues will be implemented and operated consistent with College policies and procedures.

#### B. Scope of Services

The selected Architect/Engineer (A/E), as a portion of its required Scope of Services and prior to submitting its proposals, will discuss and clarify with the Owner and/or the State Architect's Office (SAO), the cost breakdown of the Architect/Engineer Agreement detailed cost components to address the Owner's project requirements. Participate in the Encouraging Growth, Diversity & Equity (EDGE) Program as required by statute and the Agreement, if applicable.

As required by the Agreement, and as properly authorized, provide the following categories of services: Development of the Program of Requirements, Schematic Design, Design Development, Construction Document Preparation, Bid and Award Support, Conformed Documents, Construction Phase, Post-Construction Phase, and Extra Services and Additional Services of all types. Refer to The SAO Manual for additional information about the type and extent of services required for each.

During the construction period, provide not less than 10 hours (excluding travel time) on-site construction administration services each week, including (1) attendance at progress meetings, (2) a written field report of each site visit, (3) on-site representation comprised of the A/E and its consultant staff involved in the primary design of the project, all having relevant and appropriate types of construction administration experience.



Project Name I	Brown County Ca	ampus	Project Number	SOC-120001
_				

For purposes of completing the Relevant Project Experience Matrix in Section F of the Statement of Qualifications (SAO Form #F110-330), below is a list of relevant scope of work requirements for this RFQ:

- 1. Development of Program of Requirements
- 2. Experience with Campus Site Development
- 3. College or University Academic Building Design
- 4. LEED Experience
- 5. Experience with State of Ohio Capital Projects
- 6. OAKS CI Experience
- 7. Experience with CM at Risk project delivery
- 8. Experience with multiple and early Construction Bid Packages

#### C. Funding / Estimated Budget

Total Project Cost	\$10,000,000.00	State Funding	\$0
Construction Cost	\$7,420,750.00	Other Funding	\$10,000,000.00
Estimated A/E Fee	6.0% to 6.8%		

NOTE: The A/E fee percentage for this project includes all professional design services, and consultant services necessary for proper completion of the Basic Services for the successful completion of the project, including but not limited to: review and verification of the Program of Requirements provided by the Owner, validation of existing site conditions (but not subsurface or hidden conditions), preparation of cost estimates and design schedules for the project. Fees may be negotiated and allocated for Additional Services (e.g., creation of a Program of Requirements, extensive evaluation or validation of site conditions, extensive pre-design investigations, code-required special inspection and testing, Quality Assurance testing during the construction period, and testing due to unforeseen conditions).

### D. Services Required (see note below)

#### E. Anticipated Schedule

Primary	Architecture	Professional Services Start (mm/yy) 04/2012
Secondary	Civil Engineering	Construction Contracts Start (mm/yy) 09/2012
	Landscape Architecture	Construction Contracts Completed (mm/yy) 07/2014
	Structural Engineering	Professional Services Completed (mm/yy) 09/2014
	MEP Engineering	
	IT/AV Engineering	F. EDGE Participation Goal
Other(s)		Percent of <i>initial</i> TOTAL A/E Fee 0%

NOTE: The primary A/E shall be (1) a registered architect holding a license and certificate of authorization issued by the Ohio Architects Board pursuant to O.R.C. Chapter 4703, (2) a landscape architect holding a license and certificate of authorization issued by the Ohio Landscape Architects Board pursuant to O.R.C. Chapter 4703, or (3) a professional engineer or professional surveyor holding a license and certificate of authorization issued by the Ohio Engineers and Surveyors Board pursuant to O.R.C. Chapter 4733.

#### G. Evaluation Criteria for Selection

The successful firm must be able to meet these factors:

- 1) Demonstrated ability to meet Owner's programmed project vision, scope, budget, and schedule on previous projects.
- 2) Ability and willingness to work with the Project/Facilities Planning staff to develop a Total, Overall Project Budget which includes the College's non-construction cost.
- 3) Previous experience working in the Post-Secondary and College areas, including knowledge of the State of Ohio's requirements as well as other government authorities having jurisdiction.
- 4) Relevant past work of prospective firm's proposed consultants.
- 5) Past performance of prospective firm and its proposed consultants.
- 6) Qualifications and experience of individuals directly involved with the project, including Project Manager, Design Lead, Technical Staff and Construction Administration Specialist.
- 7) Proposer's previous experience (numbers of projects, sizes of projects) when working with its proposed consultants; this shall be limited to the last five years.



Project Name Brown County Campus Project Number SOC-120001

- 8) Specification writing credentials and experience.
- 9) Experience and capabilities of creating or using Critical Path Method (CPM) schedules and of using CPM schedules as a project management resource.
- 10) Approach to and success of using partnering and Alternative Dispute Resolution.
- 11) Proximity of prospective firms to the project site.
- 12) Proposer's apparent resources and capacity to meet the needs of this project.

The selected A/E and all its consultants must have the capability to use the Internet within their normal business location(s) during normal business hours. It is currently not the intent of Southern State Community College to seek LEED certification for this project, but LEED certification will be considered and advantage as it is expected that sustainable design best practices will be a part of the project.

The EDGE participation goal for Southern State Community College is 0%, but the use of EDGE certified consultants is encouraged by Southern State Community College and the State Architect's Office.

Prior to executing the Architect/Engineer Agreement, the selected A/E must represent and warrant that it has not provided any material assistance, as that term is defined in O.R.C. Section 2909.33(C), to an organization that is identified by, and included on, the United States Department of State Terrorist Exclusion List and that it has truthfully answered "no" to every question on the Declaration Regarding Material Assistance/Non-Assistance to a Terrorist Organization, and that it has provided or shall provide such to the Contracting Authority and/or the Ohio Business Gateway (https://ohiobusinessgateway.ohio.gov/OBG/Membership/Security.mvc).

#### H. Submittal Instructions

Firms are required to submit the current version of Statement of Qualifications (SAO Form F110-330) available via the SAO website at <a href="http://ohio.gov/sao">http://ohio.gov/sao</a> (click on Forms).

Paper copies of the Statement of Qualifications should be stapled only. Do not use special bindings or coverings of any type. Cover letters and transmittals are not necessary.

Electronic submittals should be combined into one PDF file named with the project number listed on the RFQ and your firm's name. Use the "print" feature of Adobe Acrobat Professional or similar software for creating a PDF rather than using a scanner. If possible, please reduce the file size of the PDF. In Adobe Acrobat Professional, go to Advanced, then PDF Optimizer. Also, please label the CD and the CD cover with the project number and firm name.

Submit all questions regarding this RFQ in writing to The State Architect's Office at <a href="StateArchOff@das.state.oh.us">StateArchOff@das.state.oh.us</a> with the project number included in the subject line (no phone calls please). Questions will be answered and posted to the OAKS Capital Improvements (OAKS CI) website at <a href="http://ci.oaks.ohio.gov">http://ci.oaks.ohio.gov</a> on a regular basis until one week before the response deadline. The Question & Answer (Q&A) document can be found by downloading a new version of the RFQ or by clicking on the Q&A link to the right of the project listing. The name of the party submitting a question will not be included on the Q&A document.

### **Architect/Engineer Selection Rating**

OhioAS

State Architect's Office 4200 Surface Road, Columbus, Ohio 43228-1395

http://ohio.gov Phone 614-644-7969

Project Name	Brown County Campus	Proposer Firm	
Project Number	SOC-120001	City, State, Zip	

Sel	ection Criteria		Value	Score
	A/E Firm Location (5 points)			
	Proximity of primary A/E firm office where	Less than 50 miles	4 - 5	
	majority of work is to be performed in	50 to 100 miles	2 - 3	
	relationship to project site	More than 100 miles	0 - 1	
2.	A/E Firm Size (5 points)			
	Number of relevant licensed professionals within	Small = Less than 3 licensed professionals	0 - 1	
	primary A/E firm available to perform the work.	Medium = 3 to 6 licensed professionals	4 - 5	
		Large = More than 6 licensed professionals	2 - 3	
3.	Current Workload (5 points)			
	Amount of fees awarded by the Contracting	Less than \$100,000.00	4 - 5	
	Authority to the primary A/E Firm in the previous	\$100,000.00 to \$200,000.00	2 - 3	
	24 months (exclude projects on hold)	More than \$200,000.00	0 - 1	
4.	Primary A/E Qualifications (30 points)			
	a. Project Management Lead	Experience / ability of A/E project manager to	0 - 10	
	,	manage scope / budget / schedule / quality	0 - 10	
	b. Project Design Lead	Experience / creativity of lead designer to	0 - 5	
	c. Technical Staff	meet needs of owner Experience / ability of technical staff to		
	c. Technical Staff	develop quality construction documents	0 - 5	
	d. Construction Administration	Experience / ability of field representative to		
	d. Construction / turninstruction	identify / solve issues during construction	0 - 10	
5.	A/E Consultant Qualifications (10 points)			
	Key Discipline Leads	Experience / ability of all key discipline leads	0 10	
		to effectively perform the work	0 - 10	
6.	Project Team Qualifications (15 points)			
	a. Previous Team Collaboration	Less than 3 projects (Low)	0 - 1	
	Number of projects that a majority of the	3 to 5 projects (Average)	2 - 3	
	team members have worked together	More than 5 projects (High)	4 - 5	
	b. LEED* Experience within Team	LEED AP(s)** on Team	0 - 1	
		LEED Registered Project(s)	0 - 2	
		LEED Certified Project(s)	0 - 2	
		Satisfies ALL above Criteria	Sum = 0 - 5	
	c. Team Organization	Clarity of responsibility / communication	0 - 5	
7	Overall Project Team Experience (20 points)	demonstrated by table of organization		
7.	Overall Project Team Experience (30 points)  a. Budget and Schedule Management	Derformance in completing prejects within	<u> </u>	
	a. Budget and Schedule Management	Performance in completing projects within original budget and schedule limitations	0 - 5	
	b. Experience with Similar Project Type	Less than 4 projects (Low)	0 - 3	
	2. Experience with offinial Froject Type	4 to 6 projects (Average)	4 - 6	
		More than 6 projects (High)	7 - 10	
	c. Past Performance	Level of performance as indicated by past		
		A/E evaluations / letters of reference	0 - 5	
	d. Knowledge of State of Ohio Capital	Less than 3 projects (Low)	0 - 3	
	Project Administration Process	3 to 5 projects (Average)	4 - 6	
		More than 5 projects (High)	7 - 10	
	* LEED = Leadership in Energy & Environmental Design develope	ed by the U.S. Green Building Council	Subtotal	
	** LEED AP = LEED Accredited Professional credential by the Gr	een Building Certification Institute	Sublutal	

Notes:	Evaluator:	
	Name	
	 Signature	 Date

# **Brown County Campus Question and Answer List**



Ohio Department of Administrative Services
General Services Division
State Architect's Office • 4200 Surface Road • Columbus, Ohio 43228-1395

http://ohio.gov/sao StateArchOff@das.state.oh.us Phone 614.466.4761

Project Name	Brown County Campus	Project Number	SOC-120001
Project Location	Mount Orab / Brown County		

Date posted: January 30, 2012

Date revised: N/A

Below are the questions that have been received to date for the RFQ of the above-referenced project:

- 1. Is there a more detailed outline for the development of the construction and overall budget or was this developed from rule of thumb square footage costs?
  - A. The goal of Southern State Community College is to construct a 50,000 square foot building. The available project budget is \$10 million. The construction budget as advertised is based upon this information.
- 2. Has there previously been due diligence on the property with Phase one assessments, utility assessments/availability, zoning reviews, soil borings, easements, etc. or will some of this work be part of the Architects responsibilities?.
  - A. Some of the work described above will be the responsibility of the A/E.
- 3. Will the CM be on board at the same time as the Architect or will the Architect be part of the selection of the CM firm?
  - A. The CM will not be on board at the time the A/E selection is made. The A/E in an advisory role will be part of the CM interview.
- 4. Has there been any general outline programming of spaces completed?
  - A. No formal Program of Requirements (POR) has been created. Development of a POR will be part of the A/E scope of services as noted in the RFQ.
- 5. Are there any preferred consultants; MEP, structural, civil, landscape that have assisted the Community College in the past?
  - A. There are no preferred consultants for this project. Per Ohio Revised Code (ORC) 153 there cannot be predetermined consultants in a qualifications based selection process.
- 6. Will the State Architect's office be involved directly with this project or will the facilities office at SSCC drive and manage the project?
  - A. This project will be administered by the State Architect's Office.
- 7. The advertisement states that the architect will not be responsible for the campus master plan. Is there currently a site master plan available? By whom?
  - A. A formal Master Plan has not been developed, however a concept plan was created along with a feasibility study used to purchase the property.

SAO-F110-17v0209 Page 1 of 2

### Question and Answer List continued



Project Name Brown County Campus Project Number SOC-120001

- 8. What is the projected ultimate size of the campus? Number and size of buildings? Does this initial building need to be designed to expand?
  - A. The ultimate size of the SSCC Brown County Campus has not been determined, nor has it been determined that the building will require future expansion. Southern State purchased these 63 acres and projects significant growth in the Brown County area with respect to their future student population, so future expansion is expected. It has not been determined at this point in time whether the expansion in the near term is to this specific building or through additional buildings on the campus.
- 9. Has the academic program for this building been established? Are there special requirements for health and science laboratories, manufacturing technologies, etc. that may require special expertise?
  - A. The academic Program of Requirements has not been formally determined. Development of a POR will be part of the A/E scope of service as noted in the RFQ.
- 10. Will there be a central plant for the campus?
  - A. Building Systems considerations will be determined during the design process utilizing the recommendations and expertise of the selected design team.
- 11. I was told that some of the professional design services listed in the RFQ have actually already been performed, and the agency/institution for this project already has preferred consultants (those who did previous work) in mind for this RFQ.
  - A. A/E selection will follow the legislated requirements as defined in Ohio Revised Code (ORC) Chapter 153. Upon receipt of the A/E Statement of Qualifications (SAO F110-330), two representatives each from SAO and Southern State Community College will review and score the proposals independently using the A/E Selection/Rating Sheet included with the RFQ. Once the reviewers have completed scoring the proposals, the results are compiled and a minimum of 3 A/E firms will be "short-listed" and notified in writing that they will be interviewed. The interview process follows a similar scoring format as the SOQ process. An equal number of evaluators from SAO and SSCC (generally 2 each) will be present at all of the interviews and will each rank the interviewed AE teams from highest to lowest.
- 12. Can F110-330 be submitted electronically via email? Or, do you require 2 CDs and 2 paper copies delivered to the SAO office?
  - A. The SAO Form F110-330 should be submitted as noted on the RFQ. Two paper copies and one CD in PDF format (per instructions in Section H.) should be sent to the State Architect's Office, Attention Program Services, 4200 Surface Road, Columbus, Ohio 43228.

SAO-F110-17v0711 Page 2 of 2

### Request for Qualifications (A/E)

Kent State University Office of the University Architect, 615 Loop Road, 101 Harbourt Hall Kent, Ohio 44242-0001



www.kent.edu/universitvarchitect v: 330-672-3880 f:330-672-2648

### Administration of Project: Local Administration

	Renovations and Addition to Multiple		
Project Name	Science Buildings – Architectural Team	Response Deadline	Feb. 17, 2012 4:00 p.m. local time
			KSU-11B146, KSU-11B147,
Project Location	Kent State University	Project Number	KSU-11B148
City / County	Kent / Portage	Project Manager	Joseph (Jay) Graham
Agency/Institution	Kent State University	Contracting Authority	Kent State University
No. of paper copies	s requested (stapled, not bound) 1	No. of electronic copie	s requested on CD (PDF) 1
Submit the request	ed number of Statements of Qualifications (	SAO Form F110-330) dire	actly to Michael Bruder at Office of the
oubilit the request	ca number of otatements of Qualifications (	5AC 1 011111 110-550) alik	colly to Michael Diddel at Office of the

University Architect, 615 Loop Road, 101 Harbourt Hall, Kent, Ohio 44242. See Section H for additional submittal instructions.

#### **Project Overview**

### A. Project Description

This request is for an Architect of Record to provide Project Management for all phases of the project. The MEP Engineer and Teledata Engineer will be selected by the Owner under a separate RFQ process and contracted by the Architect of Record. A Construction Manager, Commissioning Authority and LEED Process Consultant will be selected and contracted directly with the University.

This project will renovate three existing science buildings as well as provide an addition for increased academic and research space. The renovations will address deferred maintenance needs in Williams Hall, Smith Hall and Cunningham Hall and at the same time will establish state of the art science facilities for teaching and research.

The 93,000 s.f. Williams Hall was built in 1967 and provides space for the Chemistry department. The 47,000 s.f. Smith Hall was built in 1968 and provides space for the Physics department. The 93,000 s.f. Cunningham Hall was built in 1968 and provides space for the Biology department. The renovation of all three buildings will be treated as a single project to provide a cohesive approach to science instruction and research space in these areas. Additionally, all three buildings and their associated programs will need to continue to operate portions of the buildings during construction. The sharing of temporary facilities in all three areas will be part of an overall sequencing plan for the construction period. Cunningham Hall also includes an addition that was completed in 2001. Work in this area is anticipated to be modest and limited to systems that interface with the original building such as building automation, security and fire alarm. In addition, HVAC system re-commissioning will be part of the project.

The anticipated scope of work will focus on replacement of aging and failing infrastructure and systems and reorganization of the program spaces within the existing building's general layout. The infrastructure and system needs will include new air handling equipment, heating and plumbing piping replacement, HVAC controls, fire suppression systems, electrical system upgrades or replacement, new fire alarm system, and telecommunication system upgrades. Although some changes to the interior building layout may be included, the general building organization is anticipated to remain intact. The space planning will consider the reassignment and reuse of existing spaces as much as practical. New finishes and laboratory casework are required in most areas of the buildings. A large (30,000 s.f. - 50,000 s.f.) addition is being considered to provide an improved architectural aesthetic to the Science Mall area of campus as well to provide swing space during the construction and increased teaching and research capacity.

Several lecture halls, classrooms, and labs have been renovated in recent years. These areas will remain intact (with new infrastructure where required) in the proposed project.

Repairs to failing roof systems and masonry and concrete envelopes are being addressed in a separate project but work will need to be coordinated with this concurrent project.

This project will be registered with the USGBC for minimum Silver LEED certification. Maximizing energy conservation is a critical component of the design goals. The LEED Process Consultant will act as the owner's representative under a separate contract. The Architect of Record and MEP Engineer must demonstrate a thorough understanding and commitment to LEED design and are responsible for the design and meeting LEED goals as set forth by the university.



Renovations and Addition to Multiple Science Buildings

Project Name Architectural Team

Project Number

KSU-11B146. KSU-11B147.

KSU-11B148

A pre-proposal meeting will be held on Thursday, February 2, 2012 10:00 a.m. at the Kiva, 1075 Risman Drive, Kent, Ohio 44242 for ALL disciplines (Arch. of Record, MEP Engineer) This meeting will provide an overview of the project and an opportunity for questions. Please park in the Student Center lot.

#### B. Scope of Services

The successful Architect of Record will be expected to have strong leadership skills and experience in the successful delivery of large complex laboratory projects in a dense campus setting. The successful Architect must have experience working in collaboration with a large consulting team and complex user groups.

The project is expected to be recognized for its quality of design, its sympathetic contextual response to the site and adjacent buildings, open spaces and its contribution to the quality of the civic space of the campus. The team will be expected to interface and collaborate with the University Architect and university administration in developing the design aesthetic.

The development of the space program will be a collaborative process and will be guided by the Physical Facilities Planners from the Office of the University Architect. The Architect's key customer will be the University and will be asked by the University to work with the office of Academic Affairs and the facility occupants to develop a program based on prioritized university space needs that will:

- 1) Provide state of the art instructional lab space
- 2) Optimize shared interdepartmental space and adjacencies including collaborative research opportunities
- 3) Maximize sustainable practices by the building and its occupants
- 4) Create flexibility in lab design with modularity
- 5) Provide efficient space utilization
- Increase energy efficiency

Separate Requests for Qualifications have been issued for the Engineering team and Construction Management team for this Project. Teledata Engineering, Commissioning Authority, and LEED Process Consultant for this project will be selected under a separate multiple building RFQ and bid process to provide consistency in these systems for the campus. The selected firm Architect will coordinate work with the selected companies.

As required by the Agreement, and as properly authorized, provide the following categories of services: Conceptual Development, Schematic Design, Design Development, Construction Document Preparation, Bid and Award Support, Construction Phase, Post-Construction Phase, and Extra Services and Additional Services of all types. Refer to The SAO Manual for additional information about the type and extent of services required for each.

During the construction period, provide: not less than sixteen (16) hours (excluding travel time) on-site each week (plus eight (8) eight hours per week from the selected MEP engineering team, including attendance at progress meeting(s), a brief written report of each site visit, on-site representation comprised of A/E and its consultant staff involved in the primary design of the project, all having relevant and appropriate type(s) of construction administration experience.

Participate in the Encouraging Growth, Diversity and Equity ("EDGE") Program as required by statute and the Agreement.

This project does not have a fully developed Program of Requirements. Upon award of the Agreement, commence by developing the Program of Requirements.

The selected Architect, as a portion of its required Scope of Services and prior to submitting its proposals, will discuss and clarify with the Owner and the State Architect's Office, the cost breakdown of the Architect/Engineer Agreement detailed cost components to address the Owner's project requirements. Participate in the Encouraging Growth, Diversity and Equity (EDGE) Program as required by statute and the Agreement.



Renovations and Addition to Multiple Science Buildings

Project Name Architectural Team Project Num

KSU-11B146, KSU-11B147,

Project Number KSU-11B148

For purposes of completing the Relevant Project Experience Matrix in Section F of the Statement of Qualifications (SAO Form #F110-330), below is a list of relevant scope of work requirements for this RFQ:

- 1. Programming for higher education science/ lab/ classroom facilities.
- 2. Higher Education Science/Lab teaching facilities
- 3. Higher Education Science/Lab research facilities
- 4. Higher Education Classroom facilities
- 5. Rehabilitation/ flexible retrofit of existing science/lab facilities
- Building assessment/ cost estimating
- 7. Multiple phase renovations of existing science facilities
- 8. Lab building additions that enhance and update campus architectural aesthetic
- 9. LEED certified lab projects
- 10. Experience with KSU projects and procedures- including OAKS-CI

The successful firm will demonstrate a willingness and experience to approach the programming and design of the lab spaces with flexibility and change in mind. Because of the intended, high-profile visual impact that the proposed addition will have at a major entry point to campus, the successful firm will also be considered based on their ability to design buildings that blend with the existing campus context and architecture.

### C. Funding / Estimated Budget

Total Project Cost	\$80,000,000	State Funding	\$To be determined
Construction Cost	\$60,000,000	Other Funding	\$80,000,000
	8% to 9% basic services total combined		
Estimated A/F Fee	with MFP		

NOTE: The A/E fee percentage for this project includes all professional design services, and consultant services necessary for proper completion of the Basic Services for the successful completion of the project, including but not limited to: review and verification of the Program of Requirements provided by the Owner, validation of existing site conditions (but not subsurface or hidden conditions), preparation of cost estimates and design schedules for the project. Fees may be negotiated and allocated for Additional Services (e.g., creation of a Program of Requirements, extensive evaluation or validation of site conditions, extensive pre-design investigations, code-required special inspection and testing, Quality Assurance testing during the construction period, and testing due to unforeseen conditions).

#### D. Services Required (see note below)

#### Primary Architecture A/E Services Start (mm/yy) 06 / 12 Secondary Construction Contracts Start (mm/yy) Laboratory Design 12 / 12 Construction Contracts Completed (mm/yy) Structural Design 08 / 15 A/E Services Completed (mm/yy) Hazardous Material 10 / 15 Interior Design Landscape Architecture F. **EDGE Participation Goal**

E.

**Anticipated Schedule** 

Percent of initial TOTAL A/E Fee

NOTE: The primary A/E shall be (1) a registered architect holding a license and certificate of authorization issued by the Ohio Architects Board pursuant to O.R.C. Chapter 4703, (2) a landscape architect holding a license and certificate of authorization issued by the Ohio Landscape Architects Board pursuant to O.R.C. Chapter 4703, or (3) a professional engineer or professional surveyor holding a license and certificate of

authorization issued by the Ohio Engineers and Surveyors Board pursuant to O.R.C. Chapter 4733.

SAO-F110-02v0111

Others

5%



Renovations and Addition to Multiple Science Buildings
Project Name Architectural Team

Project Number

KSU-11B146, KSU-11B147, KSU-11B148

### G. Evaluation Criteria for Selection

- Demonstrated ability to meet Owner's programmed project vision, scope, budget, and schedule on previous projects.
- Previous experience compatible with the proposed project (e.g., type, size).
- Relevant past work of prospective firm's proposed consultants.
- Past performance of prospective firm and its proposed consultants. Qualifications and experience of individuals directly involved with the project.
- Proposer's previous experience (numbers of projects, sizes of projects) when working with its proposed consultants.
- Specification writing credentials and experience.
- Experience and capabilities of creating or using Critical Path Method (CPM) schedules and of using CPM schedules as a project management resource.
- Approach to and success of using partnering and Alternative Dispute Resolution.
- Proximity of prospective firms to the project site.
- Proposer's apparent resources and capacity to meet the needs of this project.
- The selected A/E and all its consultants must have the capability to use the Internet within their normal business location(s) during normal business hours.
- The successful firm will demonstrate a willingness and experience to approach the programming and design of the lab spaces with flexibility and change in mind.
- Because of the intended, high-profile visual impact that the proposed addition will have at a major entry point to campus, the successful firm will also be considered based on their ability to design buildings that blend with the existing campus context and architecture.

Interested A/E firms are required to submit the Commitment to Participate in the Edge Business Assistance Program form in its Statement of Qualifications (SAO Form #F110-330) submitted in response to the RFQ, to indicate its intent to contract with and use EDGE-certified Business Enterprise(s), as a part of the A/E's team. The Intent to Contract and to Perform and / or waiver request letter and Demonstration of Good Faith Effort form(s) with complete documentation must be attached to the A/E's Technical Proposal. Both forms can be accessed via the SAO Web site at <a href="www.ohio.gov/sao">www.ohio.gov/sao</a> (click on Forms). The Intent to Contract and to Perform form is again required at the Fee Proposal stage.

Prior to executing the Architect/Engineer Agreement, the selected A/E must represent and warrant that it has not provided any material assistance, as that term is defined in O.R.C. Section 2909.33(C), to an organization that is identified by, and included on, the United States Department of State Terrorist Exclusion List and that it has truthfully answered "no" to every question on the Declaration Regarding Material Assistance/Non-Assistance to a Terrorist Organization, and that it has provided or shall provide such to the Contracting Authority and/or the Ohio Business Gateway (<a href="https://ohiobusinessgateway.ohio.gov/OBG/Membership/Security.mvc">https://ohiobusinessgateway.ohio.gov/OBG/Membership/Security.mvc</a>).contract with and use EDGE-certified Business Enterprise(s), as a part of the A/E's team. The Intent to Contract and to Perform and / or waiver request letter and Demonstration of Good Faith Effort form(s) with complete documentation must be attached to the A/E's Technical Proposal. Both forms can be accessed via the SAO website at <a href="http://ohio.gov/sao">http://ohio.gov/sao</a> (click on Forms). The Intent to Contract and to Perform form is again required at the Fee Proposal stage.

For all Statements of Qualifications, please identify the EDGE-certified Business Enterprises, by name, which will participate in the delivery of the proposed professional services solicited in the RFQ.

Prior to executing the Architect/Engineer Agreement, the selected A/E must represent and warrant that it has not provided any material assistance, as that term is defined in O.R.C. Section 2909.33(C), to an organization that is identified by, and included on, the United States Department of State Terrorist Exclusion List and that it has truthfully answered "no" to every question on the Declaration Regarding Material Assistance/Non-Assistance to a Terrorist Organization, and that it has provided or shall provide such to the Contracting Authority and/or the Ohio Business Gateway (<a href="https://ohiobusinessgateway.ohio.gov/OBG/Membership/Security.mvc">https://ohiobusinessgateway.ohio.gov/OBG/Membership/Security.mvc</a>).



Renovations and Addition to Multiple Science Buildings

Project Name Architectural Team

Project Number

KSU-11B146, KSU-11B147,

KSU-11B148

#### H. Submittal Instructions

Firms are required to submit the current version of Statement of Qualifications (SAO Form F110-330) available via the SAO website at <a href="http://ohio.gov/sao">http://ohio.gov/sao</a> (click on Forms).

Paper copies of the Statement of Qualifications should be stapled only. Do not use special bindings or coverings of any type.

Electronic submittals should be combined into <u>one</u> PDF file named with the project number listed on the RFQ and your firm's name. Use the "print" feature of Adobe Acrobat Professional or similar software for creating a PDF rather than using a scanner. If possible, please reduce the file size of the PDF. In Adobe Acrobat Professional, go to Advanced, then PDF Optimizer. Also, please label the CD and the CD cover with the project number and firm name.

Submit all questions regarding this RFQ in writing to Michael Bruder at <a href="mbruder@kent.edu">mbruder@kent.edu</a> with the project number included in the subject line (no phone calls please). Questions will be answered and posted to the Office of the University Architect website at <a href="www.kent.edu/universityarchitect">www.kent.edu/universityarchitect</a> on a regular basis until one week before the response deadline. The Question & Answer (Q&A) document can be found by downloading a new version of the RFQ or by clicking on the Q & A link to the right of the project listing. The name of the party submitting a question will not be included on the Q & A document.

### **Architect/Engineer Selection Rating**



Kent State University Office of the University Architect, 615 Loop Road, 101 Harbourt Hall Kent, Ohio 44242-0001

www.kent.edu/universityarchitect v: 330-672-3880 f:330-672-2648

Project Name	Renovations and Addition to Multiple Science Buildings – Architectural Team	Proposer Firm	
Project Number	KSU-11B146, KSU-11B147,KSU-11B148	City, State, Zip	

1. A/E Firm Location (5 points)  Proximity of primary A/E firm office where majority of work is to be performed in relationship to project site  2. A/E Firm Size (5 points)  Number of relevant licensed professionals within primary A/E firm available to perform the work.  Amount of fees awarded by the Contracting Authority to the primary A/E Firm in the previous 24 months (exclude projects on hold)  4. Primary A/E Qualifications (30 points)  a. Project Management Lead  b. Project Design Lead  C. Technical Staff  d. Construction Administration  Experience / ability of field representative to identify / solve issues during construction  Experience / ability of field representative to identify / solve issues during construction	
majority of work is to be performed in relationship to project site  2. A/E Firm Size (5 points)  Number of relevant licensed professionals within primary A/E firm available to perform the work.  Small = Less than 5 licensed professionals 0-1  Medium = 5 to 20 licensed professionals 2-3  Large = More than 20 licensed professionals 4-5  3. Current Workload (5 points)  Amount of fees awarded by the Contracting Authority to the primary A/E Firm in the previous 24 months (exclude projects on hold)  4. Primary A/E Qualifications (30 points)  a. Project Management Lead  Experience / ability of A/E project manager to manage scope / budget / schedule / quality  b. Project Design Lead  Experience / creativity of lead designer to meet needs of owner  c. Technical Staff  Construction Administration  Experience / ability of field representative to  Construction Administration  Experience / ability of field representative to	
relationship to project site  2. A/E Firm Size (5 points)  Number of relevant licensed professionals within primary A/E firm available to perform the work.  3. Current Workload (5 points)  Amount of fees awarded by the Contracting Authority to the primary A/E Firm in the previous 24 months (exclude projects on hold)  4. Primary A/E Qualifications (30 points)  a. Project Management Lead  b. Project Design Lead  C. Technical Staff  d. Construction Administration  More than 20 licensed professionals  10-1  Medium = 5 to 20 licensed professionals  10-1  Medium = 10	
2. A/E Firm Size (5 points)  Number of relevant licensed professionals within primary A/E firm available to perform the work.  3. Current Workload (5 points)  Amount of fees awarded by the Contracting Authority to the primary A/E Firm in the previous 24 months (exclude projects on hold)  4. Primary A/E Qualifications (30 points)  a. Project Management Lead  b. Project Design Lead  c. Technical Staff  d. Construction Administration  Small = Less than 5 licensed professionals  D-1  Medium = 5 to 20 licensed professionals  2-3  Large = More than 20 licensed professionals  4-5  Less than \$250,000  4-5  \$250,000 to \$500,000  9-1  Experience / ability of A/E project manager to manage scope / budget / schedule / quality  0-10  Experience / creativity of lead designer to meet needs of owner  Experience / ability of technical staff to develop quality construction documents  C. Technical Staff  Experience / ability of field representative to  0-10	
Number of relevant licensed professionals within primary A/E firm available to perform the work.  3. Current Workload (5 points)  Amount of fees awarded by the Contracting Authority to the primary A/E Firm in the previous 24 months (exclude projects on hold)  4. Primary A/E Qualifications (30 points)  a. Project Management Lead  b. Project Design Lead  C. Technical Staff  d. Construction Administration  Small = Less than 5 licensed professionals  Large = More than 20 licensed professionals  4-5  Large = More than 20 licensed professionals  Less than \$250,000  4 - 5  \$250,000 to \$500,000  2 - 3  More than \$500,000  3 - 1  Experience / ability of A/E project manager to manage scope / budget / schedule / quality  b. Project Design Lead  Experience / ability of lead designer to develop quality construction documents  Experience / ability of field representative to	
Number of relevant licensed professionals within primary A/E firm available to perform the work.  3. Current Workload (5 points)  Amount of fees awarded by the Contracting Authority to the primary A/E Firm in the previous 24 months (exclude projects on hold)  4. Primary A/E Qualifications (30 points)  a. Project Management Lead  b. Project Design Lead  C. Technical Staff  d. Construction Administration  Small = Less than 5 licensed professionals  Large = More than 20 licensed professionals  4-5  Large = More than 20 licensed professionals  Less than \$250,000  4 - 5  \$250,000 to \$500,000  2 - 3  More than \$500,000  3 - 1  Experience / ability of A/E project manager to manage scope / budget / schedule / quality  b. Project Design Lead  Experience / ability of lead designer to develop quality construction documents  Experience / ability of field representative to	
Large = More than 20 licensed professionals  4-5  3. Current Workload (5 points)  Amount of fees awarded by the Contracting Authority to the primary A/E Firm in the previous 24 months (exclude projects on hold)  4. Primary A/E Qualifications (30 points)  a. Project Management Lead  b. Project Design Lead  Experience / ability of A/E project manager to manage scope / budget / schedule / quality  Experience / creativity of lead designer to meet needs of owner  c. Technical Staff  Experience / ability of technical staff to develop quality construction documents  Experience / ability of field representative to  0 - 10  Experience / ability of field representative to	
3. Current Workload (5 points)  Amount of fees awarded by the Contracting Authority to the primary A/E Firm in the previous 24 months (exclude projects on hold)  4. Primary A/E Qualifications (30 points)  a. Project Management Lead  b. Project Design Lead  C. Technical Staff  C. Technical Staff  C. Construction Administration  Experience / ability of A/E project manager to meet needs of owner  Experience / ability of A/E project manager to manage scope / budget / schedule / quality  Experience / creativity of lead designer to meet needs of owner  Experience / ability of technical staff to develop quality construction documents  Experience / ability of field representative to	
Amount of fees awarded by the Contracting Authority to the primary A/E Firm in the previous 24 months (exclude projects on hold)  4. Primary A/E Qualifications (30 points)  a. Project Management Lead  b. Project Design Lead  C. Technical Staff  d. Construction Administration  Less than \$250,000  \$250,000 to \$500,000  Are than \$500,000  Contracting  \$250,000 to \$250,000  Contracting  \$250,000 to \$250,000  Contracting  \$250,000 to \$250,000  Contracting  \$250,000 to \$25	
Authority to the primary A/E Firm in the previous 24 months (exclude projects on hold)  4. Primary A/E Qualifications (30 points)  a. Project Management Lead  b. Project Design Lead  Experience / ability of A/E project manager to manage scope / budget / schedule / quality  Experience / creativity of lead designer to meet needs of owner  c. Technical Staff  Experience / ability of technical staff to develop quality construction documents  d. Construction Administration  S250,000 to \$500,000  Experience / ability of A/E project manager to manage scope / budget / schedule / quality  0 - 10  Experience / ability of technical staff to develop quality construction documents  Experience / ability of field representative to	
24 months (exclude projects on hold)  4. Primary A/E Qualifications (30 points)  a. Project Management Lead  b. Project Design Lead  Experience / ability of A/E project manager to manage scope / budget / schedule / quality  Experience / creativity of lead designer to meet needs of owner  c. Technical Staff  Experience / ability of technical staff to develop quality construction documents  d. Construction Administration  More than \$500,000  0 - 1  Experience / ability of A/E project manager to manage scope / budget / schedule / quality  0 - 10  0 - 5  Experience / ability of technical staff to develop quality construction documents  Experience / ability of field representative to	
4. Primary A/E Qualifications (30 points)  a. Project Management Lead  b. Project Design Lead  Experience / ability of A/E project manager to manage scope / budget / schedule / quality  Experience / creativity of lead designer to meet needs of owner  c. Technical Staff  Experience / ability of technical staff to develop quality construction documents  d. Construction Administration  Experience / ability of field representative to	
a. Project Management Lead  Experience / ability of A/E project manager to manage scope / budget / schedule / quality  b. Project Design Lead  Experience / creativity of lead designer to meet needs of owner  c. Technical Staff  Experience / ability of technical staff to develop quality construction documents  d. Construction Administration  Experience / ability of field representative to  0 - 10	
b. Project Design Lead  Experience / creativity of lead designer to meet needs of owner  c. Technical Staff  Experience / ability of technical staff to develop quality construction documents  d. Construction Administration  Experience / ability of field representative to	
b. Project Design Lead  Experience / creativity of lead designer to meet needs of owner  c. Technical Staff  Experience / ability of technical staff to develop quality construction documents  d. Construction Administration  Experience / ability of field representative to	
meet needs of owner  c. Technical Staff  Experience / ability of technical staff to develop quality construction documents  d. Construction Administration  Experience / ability of field representative to	
c. Technical Staff  Experience / ability of technical staff to develop quality construction documents  d. Construction Administration  Experience / ability of field representative to	
develop quality construction documents  d. Construction Administration  Experience / ability of field representative to	
d. Construction Administration Experience / ability of field representative to	
identity / solve issues during constituction	
5. A/E Consultant Qualifications (10 points)	
Key Discipline Leads Experience / ability of all key discipline leads 0 - 10	
to effectively perform the work	
6. Project Team Qualifications (15 points)	
a. Previous Team Collaboration Less than 3 projects (Low) 0 - 1	
Number of projects that a majority of the 3 to 7 projects (Average) 2 - 3	
team members have worked together More than 7 projects (High) 4 - 5	
b. LEED* Experience within Team LEED AP(s)** on Team 0 - 1	
LEED Registered Project(s) 0 - 2	
LEED Certified Project(s) 0 - 2	
Satisfies ALL above Criteria Sum = 0 - 5	
c. Team Organization Clarity of responsibility / communication	
demonstrated by table of organization  7. Overall Project Team Experience (20 points)	
7. Overall Project Team Experience (30 points)	
a. Budget and Schedule Management Performance in completing projects within original budget and schedule limitations 0 - 5	
b. Experience with Similar Project Type Less than 5 projects (Low) 0 - 3	
5 to 10 projects (Average)  4 - 6	
More than 10 projects (High) 7 - 10	
a Past Perfermance Level of perfermance as indicated by past	
C. Past Performance as indicated by past  A/E evaluations / letters of reference  0 - 5	
d. Knowledge of State of Ohio Capital Less than 5 projects (Low) 0 - 3	
Project Administration Process 5 to 10 projects (Average) 4 - 6	
More than 10 projects (High) 7 - 10	
* LEED = Leadership in Energy & Environmental Design developed by the U.S. Green Building Council  **LEED AD = LEED Accordited Professional condension by the Cross Building Contification Institute  **LEED AD = LEED Accordited Professional condension by the Cross Building Contification Institute  **LEED AD = LEED Accordited Professional condension by the Cross Building Contification Institute  **LEED AD = LEED Accordited Professional condension by the U.S. Green Building Council	

Notes:	Evaluator:	
	Name	
	Signature	 Date

### Request for Qualifications (A/E)

Kent State University
Office of the University Architect, 615 Loop Road, 101 Harbourt Hall
Kent, Ohio 44242-0001



www.kent.edu/universityarchitect v: 330-672-3880 f:330-672-2648

### Administration of Project: Local Administration

	Renovations and Addition to Multiple		
Project Name	Science Buildings – MEP Engineer Team	Response Deadline	Feb. 17, 2012 4:00 p.m. local time
			KSU-11B146, KSU-11B147,
Project Location	Kent State University	Project Number	KSU-11B148
City / County	Kent / Portage	Project Manager	Joseph (Jay) Graham
Agency/Institution	Kent State University	Contracting Authority	Kent State University
No. of paper copies	requested (stapled, not bound) 1	No. of electronic copie	s requested on CD (PDF) 1
Submit the requests	ed number of Statements of Qualifications (S	(AO Form F110-330) dire	ectly to Michael Bruder at Office of the

Submit the requested number of Statements of Qualifications (SAO Form F110-330) directly to Michael Bruder at Office of the University Architect, 615 Loop Road, 101 Harbourt Hall, Kent, Ohio 44242. See Section H for additional submittal instructions.

#### **Project Overview**

### A. Project Description

This request is for an **MEP Engineer** to provide Project Management for all phases of the project. The Architect of Record and Teledata Engineer will be selected by the Owner under a separate RFQ process and the MEP Engineer and Teledata Engineer will be contracted by the Architect of Record. A Construction Manager, Commissioning Authority and LEED Process Consultant will be selected and contracted directly with the University.

This project will renovate three existing science buildings as well as provide an addition for increased academic and research space. The renovations will address deferred maintenance needs in Williams Hall, Smith Hall and Cunningham Hall and at the same time will establish state of the art science facilities for teaching and research.

The 93,000 s.f. Williams Hall was built in 1967 and provides space for the Chemistry department. The 47,000 s.f. Smith Hall was built in 1968 and provides space for the Physics department. The 93,000 s.f. Cunningham Hall was built in 1968 and provides space for the Biology department. The renovation of all three buildings will be treated as a single project to provide a cohesive approach to science instruction and research space in these areas. Additionally, all three buildings and their associated programs will need to continue to operate portions of the buildings during construction. The sharing of temporary facilities in all three areas will be part of an overall sequencing plan for the construction period. Cunningham Hall also includes an addition that was completed in 2001. Work in this area is anticipated to be modest and limited to systems that interface with the original building such as building automation, security and fire alarm. In addition, HVAC system re-commissioning will be part of the project.

The anticipated scope of work will focus on replacement of aging and failing infrastructure and systems and reorganization of the program spaces within the existing building's general layout. The infrastructure and system needs will include new air handling equipment, heating and plumbing piping replacement, HVAC controls, fire suppression systems, electrical system upgrades or replacement, new fire alarm system, and telecommunication system upgrades. Although some changes to the interior building layout may be included, the general building organization is anticipated to remain intact. The space planning will consider the reassignment and reuse of existing spaces as much as practical. New finishes and laboratory casework are required in most areas of the buildings. A large (30,000-50,000 s.f.) addition is being considered to provide an improved architectural aesthetic to the Science Mall area of campus as well to provide swing space during the construction and increased teaching and research capacity.

Several lecture halls, classrooms, and labs have been renovated in recent years. These areas will remain intact (with new infrastructure where required) in the proposed project.

Repairs to failing roof systems and masonry and concrete envelopes are being addressed in a separate project but work will need to be coordinated with this concurrent project.

This project will be registered with the USGBC for minimum Silver LEED certification. Maximizing energy conservation is a critical component of the design goals. The LEED Process Consultant will act as the owner's representative under a separate contract. The Architect of Record and MEP Engineer must demonstrate a thorough understanding and commitment to LEED design and are responsible for the design and meeting LEED goals as set forth by the university.



Renovations and Addition to Multiple Science Buildings

Project Name MEP Engineer Team

Project Number

KSU-11B146, KSU-11B147,

nber KSU-11B148

A pre-proposal meeting will be held on <u>Thursday, February 2, 2012 10:00 a.m.</u> at the Kiva, 1075 Risman Drive, Kent, Ohio 44242 for <u>ALL</u> disciplines (Arch. of Record, MEP Engineer) This meeting will provide an overview of the project and an opportunity for questions. Please park in the Student Center lot.

#### B. Scope of Services

The successful MEP Engineer will be expected to have strong leadership skills and experience in the successful delivery of large complex laboratory projects in a dense campus setting. The successful MEP Engineer must have experience working in collaboration with a large consulting team and complex user groups.

The project is expected to be recognized for its quality of engineering, its innovative approach to scientific instruction and research and energy efficiency. The team will be expected to interface and collaborate with the University Architect, campus maintenance staff and university administration in developing the engineering solutions.

The development of the space program will be a collaborative process and will be guided by the Physical Facilities Planners from the Office of the University Architect. The MEP Engineer's key customer will be the University and will be asked by the University to work with the office of Academic Affairs and the facility occupants to develop a program based on prioritized university space needs that will:

- 1) Provide state of the art instructional lab space
- 2) Optimize shared interdepartmental space and adjacencies including collaborative research opportunities
- 3) Maximize sustainable practices by the building and its occupants
- 4) Create flexibility in lab design with modularity
- 5) Provide efficient space utilization.
- 6) Increase energy efficiency

Separate Request for Qualifications has been issued for the Architect of Record Team. Teledata Engineering, Commissioning Authority, and LEED Process Consultant for this project will be selected under a separate multiple building RFQ and bid process to provide consistency in these systems for the campus. The selected firm Architect will contract and coordinate work with the selected MEP and Teledata engineer companies.

As required by the Agreement, and as properly authorized, provide the following categories of services: Conceptual Development, Schematic Design, Design Development, Construction Document Preparation, Bid and Award Support, Construction Phase, Post-Construction Phase, and Extra Services and Additional Services of all types. Refer to The SAO Manual for additional information about the type and extent of services required for each.

During the construction period, provide: not less than sixteen (16) hours (excluding travel time) on-site each week, including attendance at progress meeting(s), a brief written report of each site visit, on-site representation comprised of A/E and its consultant staff involved in the primary design of the project, all having relevant and appropriate type(s) of construction administration experience. The MEP engineering team eight (8) hours per week is in addition to the eight (8) required of the architect of record.

Participate in the Encouraging Growth, Diversity and Equity ("EDGE") Program as required by statute and the Agreement.

This project does not have a fully developed Program of Requirements. Upon award of the Agreement, commence by developing the Program of Requirements.

The selected Architect, as a portion of its required Scope of Services and prior to submitting its proposals, will discuss and clarify with the Owner and the State Architect's Office, the cost breakdown of the Architect/Engineer Agreement detailed cost components to address the Owner's project requirements. Participate in the Encouraging Growth, Diversity and Equity (EDGE) Program as required by statute and the Agreement.



Renovations and Addition to Multiple Science Buildings Project Name MEP Engineer Team

Project Number

KSU-11B146. KSU-11B147.

KSU-11B148

For purposes of completing the Relevant Project Experience Matrix in Section F of the Statement of Qualifications (SAO Form #F110-330), below is a list of relevant scope of work requirements for this RFQ:

- 1. Programming for higher education science/ lab/ classroom facilities.
- 2. Higher Education Science/Lab teaching facilities
- 3. Higher Education Science/Lab research facilities
- 4. Higher Education Classroom facilities
- 5. Rehabilitation/ flexible retrofit of existing science/lab facilities
- Building assessment/ cost estimating
- 7. Multiple phase renovations of existing science facilities
- 8. Lab building additions that enhance and update campus architectural aesthetic
- 9. LEED certified lab projects
- 10. Experience with KSU projects and procedures- including OAKS-CI

The successful firm will demonstrate a willingness and experience to approach the programming and design of the lab spaces with flexibility and change in mind. Because of the intended, high-profile visual impact that the proposed addition will have at a major entry point to campus, the successful firm will also be considered based on their ability to design buildings that blend with the existing campus context and architecture.

### C. Funding / Estimated Budget

Total Project Cost	\$80,000,000	State Funding	\$To be determined
Construction Cost	\$60,000,000	Other Funding	\$80,000,000
	8% to 9% basic services total combined		
Estimated A/E Fee	with Architect of Record		

NOTE: The A/E fee percentage for this project includes all professional design services, and consultant services necessary for proper completion of the Basic Services for the successful completion of the project, including but not limited to: review and verification of the Program of Requirements provided by the Owner, validation of existing site conditions (but not subsurface or hidden conditions), preparation of cost estimates and design schedules for the project. Fees may be negotiated and allocated for Additional Services (e.g., creation of a Program of Requirements, extensive evaluation or validation of site conditions, extensive pre-design investigations, code-required special inspection and testing, Quality Assurance testing during the construction period, and testing due to unforeseen conditions).

### D. Services Required (see note below)

#### E. **Anticipated Schedule**

Primary	MEP Engineer	A/E Services Start (mm/yy)	06 / 12
Secondary	Civil Engineer	Construction Contracts Start (mm/yy)	
	Fire Suppression Engineer	Construction Contracts Completed (mm/yy)	08 / 15
	Fire Alarm Engineer	A/E Services Completed (mm/yy)	10 / 15
	Security System Engineer		
		F. EDGE Participation Goal	
Others		Percent of initial TOTAL A/E Fee	5%

NOTE: The primary A/E shall be (1) a registered architect holding a license and certificate of authorization issued by the Ohio Architects Board pursuant to O.R.C. Chapter 4703, (2) a landscape architect holding a license and certificate of authorization issued by the Ohio Landscape Architects Board pursuant to O.R.C. Chapter 4703, or (3) a professional engineer or professional surveyor holding a license and certificate of authorization issued by the Ohio Engineers and Surveyors Board pursuant to O.R.C. Chapter 4733.



Renovations and Addition to Multiple Science Buildings

Project Name MEP Engineer Team Project Number

KSU-11B146, KSU-11B147, KSU-11B148

### G. Evaluation Criteria for Selection

- -Demonstrated ability to meet Owner's programmed project vision, scope, budget, and schedule on previous projects.
- -Previous experience compatible with the proposed project (e.g., type, size).
- -Relevant past work of prospective firm's proposed consultants.
- -Past performance of prospective firm and its proposed consultants. Qualifications and experience of individuals directly involved with the project.
- -Proposer's previous experience (numbers of projects, sizes of projects) when working with its proposed consultants.
- -Specification writing credentials and experience.
- -Experience and capabilities of creating or using Critical Path Method (CPM) schedules and of using CPM schedules as a project management resource.
- Approach to and success of using partnering and Alternative Dispute Resolution.
- -Proximity of prospective firms to the project site.
- -Proposer's apparent resources and capacity to meet the needs of this project.
- -The selected A/E and all its consultants must have the capability to use the Internet within their normal business location(s) during normal business hours.
- -The successful firm will demonstrate a willingness and experience to approach the programming and design of the lab spaces with flexibility and change in mind.
- -Because of the intended, high-profile visual impact that the proposed addition will have at a major entry point to campus, the successful firm will also be considered based on their ability to design and engineer buildings that blend large pieces of exterior mechanical and electrical equipment with the existing campus context and architecture.

Interested A/E firms are required to submit the Commitment to Participate in the Edge Business Assistance Program form in its Statement of Qualifications (SAO Form #F110-330) submitted in response to the RFQ, to indicate its intent to contract with and use EDGE-certified Business Enterprise(s), as a part of the A/E's team. The Intent to Contract and to Perform and / or waiver request letter and Demonstration of Good Faith Effort form(s) with complete documentation must be attached to the A/E's Technical Proposal. Both forms can be accessed via the SAO Web site at <a href="www.ohio.gov/sao">www.ohio.gov/sao</a> (click on Forms). The Intent to Contract and to Perform form is again required at the Fee Proposal stage.

Prior to executing the Architect/Engineer Agreement, the selected A/E must represent and warrant that it has not provided any material assistance, as that term is defined in O.R.C. Section 2909.33(C), to an organization that is identified by, and included on, the United States Department of State Terrorist Exclusion List and that it has truthfully answered "no" to every question on the Declaration Regarding Material Assistance/Non-Assistance to a Terrorist Organization, and that it has provided or shall provide such to the Contracting Authority and/or the Ohio Business Gateway (<a href="https://ohiobusinessgateway.ohio.gov/OBG/Membership/Security.mvc">https://ohiobusinessgateway.ohio.gov/OBG/Membership/Security.mvc</a>).contract with and use EDGE-certified Business Enterprise(s), as a part of the A/E's team. The Intent to Contract and to Perform and / or waiver request letter and Demonstration of Good Faith Effort form(s) with complete documentation must be attached to the A/E's Technical Proposal. Both forms can be accessed via the SAO website at <a href="http://ohio.gov/sao">http://ohio.gov/sao</a> (click on Forms). The Intent to Contract and to Perform form is again required at the Fee Proposal stage.

For all Statements of Qualifications, please identify the EDGE-certified Business Enterprises, by name, which will participate in the delivery of the proposed professional services solicited in the RFQ.

Prior to executing the Architect/Engineer Agreement, the selected A/E must represent and warrant that it has not provided any material assistance, as that term is defined in O.R.C. Section 2909.33(C), to an organization that is identified by, and included on, the United States Department of State Terrorist Exclusion List and that it has truthfully answered "no" to every question on the Declaration Regarding Material Assistance/Non-Assistance to a Terrorist Organization, and that it has provided or shall provide such to the Contracting Authority and/or the Ohio Business Gateway (<a href="https://ohiobusinessgateway.ohio.gov/OBG/Membership/Security.mvc">https://ohiobusinessgateway.ohio.gov/OBG/Membership/Security.mvc</a>).



Renovations and Addition to Multiple Science Buildings

Project Name MEP Engineer Team

Project Number

KSU-11B146, KSU-11B147,

KSU-11B148

#### H. Submittal Instructions

Firms are required to submit the current version of Statement of Qualifications (SAO Form F110-330) available via the SAO website at <a href="http://ohio.gov/sao">http://ohio.gov/sao</a> (click on Forms).

Paper copies of the Statement of Qualifications should be stapled only. Do not use special bindings or coverings of any type.

Electronic submittals should be combined into <u>one</u> PDF file named with the project number listed on the RFQ and your firm's name. Use the "print" feature of Adobe Acrobat Professional or similar software for creating a PDF rather than using a scanner. If possible, please reduce the file size of the PDF. In Adobe Acrobat Professional, go to Advanced, then PDF Optimizer. Also, please label the CD and the CD cover with the project number and firm name.

Submit all questions regarding this RFQ in writing to Michael Bruder at mbruder@kent.edu with the project number included in the subject line (no phone calls please). Questions will be answered and posted to the Office of the University Architect website at <a href="www.kent.edu/universityarchitect">www.kent.edu/universityarchitect</a> on a regular basis until one week before the response deadline. The Question & Answer (Q&A) document can be found by downloading a new version of the RFQ or by clicking on the Q&A to the right of the project listing. The name of the party submitting a question will not be included on the Q&A document.

## **Architect/Engineer Selection Rating**



KEnt State University Office of the University Architect, 615 Loop Road, 101 Harbourt Hall Kent, Ohio 44242-0001

www.kent.edu/universityarchitect v: 330-672-3880 f:330-672-2648

Project Name	Renovations and Addition to Multiple Science Buildings – MEP Engineer Team	Proposer Firm	
Project Number	KSU-11B146, KSU-11B147,KSU-11B148	City, State, Zip	

		ion Criteria		Value	Score
1.	A/E	Firm Location (5 points)			
		oximity of primary A/E firm office where	Less than 100 miles	4 - 5	
	ma	jority of work is to be performed in	100 to 200 miles	2 - 3	
	rela	ationship to project site	More than 200 miles	0 - 1	
2.	A/E	Firm Size (5 points)			
		mber of relevant licensed professionals within	Small = Less than 5 licensed professionals	0 - 1	
	prir	mary A/E firm available to perform the work.	Medium = 5 to 20 licensed professionals	2 - 3	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Large = More than 20 licensed professionals	4 - 5	
3.	Cu	rrent Workload (5 points)			
		nount of fees awarded by the Contracting	Less than \$250,000	4 - 5	
	Aut	thority to the primary A/E Firm in the previous	\$250,000 to \$500,000	2 - 3	
	24	months (exclude projects on hold)	More than \$500,000	0 - 1	
4.	Pri	mary A/E Qualifications (30 points)			
		Project Management Lead	Experience / ability of A/E project manager to	0 10	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	manage scope / budget / schedule / quality	0 - 10	
	b.	Project Design Lead	Experience / creativity of lead designer to	0 - 5	
			meet needs of owner	0 - 3	
	C.	Technical Staff	Experience / ability of technical staff to	0 - 5	
	_1	O to A don't in tention	develop quality construction documents		
	d.	Construction Administration	Experience / ability of field representative to identify / solve issues during construction	0 - 10	
5.	Λ/Ε	Consultant Qualifications (10 points)	identity / solve issues during construction		
5.		y Discipline Leads	Experience / ability of all key discipline leads	I	
	ne:	y Discipline Leads	to effectively perform the work	0 - 10	
6.	Pro	pject Team Qualifications (15 points)	to encouvery periorin the work		
0.		Previous Team Collaboration	Less than 3 projects (Low)	0 - 1	
	a.	Number of projects that a majority of the	3 to 7 projects (Average)	2 - 3	
		team members have worked together	More than 7 projects (High)	4 - 5	
	b.	LEED* Experience within Team	LEED AP(s)** on Team	0 - 1	
	υ.	LLLD Experience within ream	LEED Registered Project(s)	0 - 1	
			LEED Registered Project(s)  LEED Certified Project(s)	0 - 2	
			Satisfies ALL above Criteria	Sum = 0 - 5	
	C.	Team Organization	Clarity of responsibility / communication		
	٥.	ream ergamzation	demonstrated by table of organization	0 - 5	
7.	Ov	erall Project Team Experience (30 points)			
	a.	Budget and Schedule Management	Performance in completing projects within	0.5	
			original budget and schedule limitations	0 - 5	
	b.	Experience with Similar Project Type	Less than 5 projects (Low)	0 - 3	
			5 to 10 projects (Average)	4 - 6	
			More than 10 projects (High)	7 - 10	
	C.	Past Performance	Level of performance as indicated by past	0 - 5	
			A/E evaluations / letters of reference		
	d.	Knowledge of State of Ohio Capital	Less than 5 projects (Low)	0 - 3	
		Project Administration Process	5 to 10 projects (Average)	4 - 6	
			More than 10 projects (High)	7 - 10	
	* LE	ED = Leadership in Energy & Environmental Design develop	ed by the U.S. Green Building Council	Cubtatal	
		EED AP = LEED Accredited Professional credential by the Gr		Subtotal	

Notes:	Evaluator:	
	Name	
	 Signature	Date

### Request for Qualifications (A/E)

Ohio University- Design and Construction Ridges Building 19, Suite 220 Athens, Ohio 45701



www.facilities.ohiou.edu/design\_construction v.740-593-9424 . f. 740-593-4081

### Administration of Project: Local Administration

Project Name	Heritage College of Osteopathic Medicine, Columbus Area Medical Facility	Response Deadline	02/8/2012	4:00 PM	local time
Project Location	Columbus Metropolitan Area	Project Number	OHU-121720		
City / County	TBD / TBD	Project Manager	Robin Faires		
Agency/Institution	Ohio University	Contracting Authority	Ohio University		
No. of paper copies	requested (stapled, not bound) 3	No. of electronic copie	s requested on C	D (PDF)	1

Submit the requested number of Statements of Qualifications (SAO Form F110-330) directly to Robin Faires at address for Design and Construction above. See Section H for additional submittal instructions.

#### **Project Overview**

### A. Project Description

Columbus Area Medical School Facility -

The site for this facility has yet to be determined but will be located within the Columbus Metropolitan Area. At this time the University will either renovate existing buildings or build new.

The building program contains essentially the following major areas: Classroom and Laboratory Space, Learning Resources Center, Clinical Training and Assessment, Information Technology, Administrative and Faculty Offices and Student and Faculty Lounges. There are also associated support spaces to be positioned throughout the building.

#### B. Scope of Services

The selected Architect/Engineer will review and verify standing Program of Requirements with design team. It is the goal of this project to be awarded LEED Gold certification.

The selected Architect/Engineer (A/E), as a portion of its required Scope of Services and prior to submitting its proposals, will discuss and clarify with the Owner and the Contracting Authority, the cost breakdown of the Architect/Engineer Agreement detailed cost components to address the Owner's project requirements. Participate in the Encouraging Growth, Diversity & Equity (EDGE) Program as required by statute and the Agreement.

As required by the Agreement, and as properly authorized, provide the following categories of services: Program Verification, Schematic Design, Design Development, Construction Document Preparation, Bid and Award Support, Conformed Documents, Construction Phase, Post-Construction Phase, and Extra Services and Additional Services of all types. Refer to *The SAO Manual* for additional information about the type and extent of services required for each.

During the construction period, provide not less than <u>8</u> hours (excluding travel time) on-site construction administration services each week, including (1) attendance at progress meetings, (2) a written field report of each site visit, (3) on-site representation comprised of the A/E and its consultant staff involved in the primary design of the project, all having relevant and appropriate types of construction administration experience.

For purposes of completing the Relevant Project Experience Matrix in Section F of the Statement of Qualifications (SAO Form #F110-330), below is a list of relevant scope of work requirements for this RFQ:

- 1. Higher Education Classroom and Laboratory Design
- 2. Building Renovation
- 3. LEED Project Design and Certification
- 4. Medical Simulation
- 5. Ohio Construction Reform The selected firm shall be experienced with alternative construction delivery methods; i.e., single prime, design build and CM at risk



Project Name	HCOM – Columbus Area Medical Facility	Pr	roject Number OHU-12172	0
C. Funding /	Estimated Budget			
Total Project C	Cost TBD	State Funding	\$0	
Construction C	Cost	Other Funding	TBD	
Estimated A/E	Fee 7% to 8%			
completion of the Program of Requ of cost estimates Program of Requ inspection and to	fee percentage for this project includes all professional e Basic Services for the successful completion of the project includes all professional e Basic Services for the successful completion of the project provided by the Owner, validation of existing and design schedules for the project. Fees may be neutron entry to extensive evaluation or validation of site concesting, Quality Assurance testing during the construction (see note below)	oject, including but site conditions (but gotiated and alloca ditions, extensive p n period, and testin	not limited to: review and verific t not subsurface or hidden condit ated for Additional Services (e.g., pre-design investigations, code-r	ation of the tions), preparation , creation of a equired special
Primary	Architectural	A/E Services S	tart (mm/yy)	05 / 12
Secondary	Mechanical Engineering	Construction Co	ontracts Start (mm/yy)	02 / 13
	Electrical Engineering	Construction Co	ontracts Completed (mm/yy)	01 / 14
		A/E Services C	ompleted (mm/yy)	06 / 14
		F. EDGE	Participation Goal	
Others		Percent of initia	al TOTAL A/E Fee	5%

NOTE: The primary A/E shall be (1) a registered architect holding a license and certificate of authorization issued by the Ohio Architects Board pursuant to O.R.C. Chapter 4703, (2) a landscape architect holding a license and certificate of authorization issued by the Ohio Landscape Architects Board pursuant to O.R.C. Chapter 4703, or (3) a professional engineer or professional surveyor holding a license and certificate of authorization issued by the Ohio Engineers and Surveyors Board pursuant to O.R.C. Chapter 4733.

### G. Evaluation Criteria for Selection

Demonstrated ability to meet Owner's programmed project vision, scope, budget, and schedule on previous projects. Previous experience compatible with the proposed project (e.g., type, size). Relevant past work of prospective firm's proposed consultants. Past performance of prospective firm and its proposed consultants. Qualifications and experience of individuals directly involved with the project. Proposer's previous experience (numbers of projects, sizes of projects) when working with its proposed consultants. Specification writing credentials and experience. Experience and capabilities of creating or using Critical Path Method (CPM) schedules and of using CPM schedules as a project management resource. Approach to and success of using partnering and Alternative Dispute Resolution. Proximity of prospective firms to the project site. Proposer's apparent resources and capacity to meet the needs of this project. The selected A/E and all its consultants must have the capability to use the Internet within their normal business location(s) during normal business hours.

Interested A/E firms are required to submit the Commitment to Participate in the EDGE Business Assistance Program form in its Statement of Qualifications (SAO Form #F110-330) submitted in response to the RFQ, to indicate its intent to contract with and use EDGE-certified Business Enterprise(s), as a part of the A/E's team. The Intent to Contract and to Perform and / or waiver request letter and Demonstration of Good Faith Effort form(s) with complete documentation must be attached to the A/E's Technical Proposal. Both forms can be accessed via the SAO website at <a href="http://ohio.gov/sao">http://ohio.gov/sao</a> (click on Forms). The Intent to Contract and to Perform form is again required at the Fee Proposal stage.

For all Statements of Qualifications, please identify the EDGE-certified Business Enterprises, by name, which will participate in the delivery of the proposed professional services solicited in the RFQ.

Prior to executing the Architect/Engineer Agreement, the selected A/E must represent and warrant that it has not provided any material assistance, as that term is defined in O.R.C. Section 2909.33(C), to an organization that is identified by, and included on, the United States Department of State Terrorist Exclusion List and that it has truthfully answered "no" to every question on the Declaration Regarding Material Assistance/Non-Assistance to a Terrorist Organization, and that it has provided or shall provide such to the Contracting Authority and/or the Ohio Business Gateway (https://ohiobusinessgateway.ohio.gov/OBG/Membership/Security.mvc).



Project Name HCOM - Columbus Area Medical Facility Project Number OHU-121720

#### H. Submittal Instructions

Firms are required to submit the current version of Statement of Qualifications (SAO Form F110-330) available via the SAO website at <a href="http://ohio.gov/sao">http://ohio.gov/sao</a> (click on Forms).

Paper copies of the Statement of Qualifications should be stapled only. Do not use special bindings or coverings of any type. Cover letters and transmittals are not necessary.

Electronic submittals should be combined into <u>one</u> PDF file named with the project number listed on the RFQ and your firm's name. Use the "print" feature of Adobe Acrobat Professional or similar software for creating a PDF rather than using a scanner. If possible, please reduce the file size of the PDF. In Adobe Acrobat Professional, go to Advanced, then PDF Optimizer. Also, please label the CD and the CD cover with the project number and firm name.

Submit all questions regarding this RFQ in writing to Robin Faires at <a href="mailto:fairesr@ohio.edu">fairesr@ohio.edu</a> with the project number included in the subject line (no phone calls please). Questions will be answered and posted to the OAKS Capital Improvements (OAKS CI) website at <a href="http://ci.oaks.ohio.gov">http://ci.oaks.ohio.gov</a> on a regular basis until one week before the response deadline. The Question & Answer (Q&A) document can be found by downloading a new version of the RFQ or by clicking on the Q&A link to the right of the project listing. The name of the party submitting a question will not be included on the Q&A document.

# Architect/Engineer Selection Rating Ohio University- Design and Construction



Ohio University- Design and Construction Ridges Building 19, Suite 220 Athens, Ohio 45701

 $www.facilities.ohiou.edu/design\_construction\\v.740-593-9424\ .\ f.\ 740-593-4081$ 

Project Name	Heritage College of Osteopathic Medicine Columbus Area Medical Facility	Proposer Firm	
Project Number	OHU-121720	City, State, Zip	

Selection	n Criteria		Value	Score
1. A/E F	Firm Location (5 points)			
	mity of primary A/E firm office where	Less than 50 miles	4 - 5	
maior	ity of work is to be performed in	50 to 100 miles	2 - 3	
	onship to project site	More than 100 miles	0 - 1	
	Firm Size (5 points)	More than ree mines	Ŭ I	
	per of relevant licensed professionals within	Small = Less than 5 licensed professionals	5	
nrima	ry A/E firm available to perform the work.	Medium = 5 to 15 licensed professionals	5	
priiria	Ty 702 IIIII available to perform the work.	Large = More than 15 licensed professionals	5	
3. Curre	ent Workload (5 points)			
Amou	int of fees awarded by the Contracting	Less than \$500,000	4 - 5	
	prity to the primary A/E Firm in the previous	\$500,000 to \$1,000,000	2 - 3	
	onths (exclude projects on hold)	More than \$1,000,000	0 - 1	
	ary A/E Qualifications (30 points)	More than \$1,000,000	Ŭ I	
	Project Management Lead	Experience / ability of A/E project manager to		
а. т	Toject Management Lead	manage scope / budget / schedule / quality	0 - 10	
b. F	Project Design Lead	Experience / creativity of lead designer to	0 5	
		meet needs of owner	0 - 5	
c. T	echnical Staff	Experience / ability of technical staff to	0 - 5	
		develop quality construction documents	0 - 5	
	Construction Administration	Experience / ability of field representative to identify / solve issues during construction	0 - 10	
5. A/E C	Consultant Qualifications (10 points)			
Key D	Discipline Leads	Experience / ability of all key discipline leads to effectively perform the work	0 - 10	
6. Proje	ct Team Qualifications (15 points)	•		
	Previous Team Collaboration	Less than 5 projects (Low)	0 - 1	
	Sumber of projects that a majority of the	5 to 10 projects (Average)	2 - 3	
	eam members have worked together	More than 10 projects (High)	4 - 5	
	EED* Experience within Team	LEED AP(s)** on Team	0 - 1	
D. L	ELB Experience within reality	LEED Registered Project(s)	0 - 2	
		LEED Certified Project(s)	0 - 2	
		Satisfies ALL above Criteria	Sum = 0 - 5	
C.	Feam Organization	Clarity of responsibility / communication		
		demonstrated by table of organization	0 - 5	
7. Overa	all Project Team Experience (30 points)			
	Budget and Schedule Management	Performance in completing projects within	0 - 5	
	Ç Ç	original budget and schedule limitations		
b. E	xperience with Similar Project Type	Less than 5 projects (Low)	0 - 3	
		5 to 10 projects (Average)	4 - 6	
		More than 10 projects (High)	7 - 10	
c. P	ast Performance	Level of performance as indicated by past A/E evaluations / letters of reference	0 - 5	
d. K	(nowledge of State of Ohio Capital	Less than 5 projects (Low)	0 - 3	
	Project Administration Process	5 to 10 projects (Average)	4 - 6	
	,	More than 10 projects (High)	7 - 10	
		1		
* I EED	= Leadership in Energy & Environmental Design develope	ed by the U.S. Green Building Council		
	D AP = LEED Accredited Professional credential by the Gre		Subtotal	

Notes:	Evaluator:	
	Name	
	 Signature	Date

### **Ohio University Heritage College of Osteopathic Medicine Columbus Area Medical Facility Question and Answer List**



Ohio Department of Administrative Services General Services Division

http://ohio.gov/sao StateArchOff@das.state.oh.us

State Architect's Office • 4200 Surface Road • Columbus, Ohio 43228-1395

Phone 614.466.4761

Project Name	Heritage College of Osteopathic Medicine Columbus Area Medical Facility	Project Number	OHU-121720
Project Location	TBD		

Date posted: 01/27/2012 Date revised: 02/01/2012

Below are the questions that have been received to date for the RFQ of the above-referenced project:

- 1. In the recently released RFQ for the college of osteopathic medicine in Columbus you have requested Mechanical and Electrical Engineering as secondary services required. Are you also looking for site oriented services as part of this RFQ as there is mention in the Project description that this could renovation or build new?
  - A Design teams for this project should be built around the fact that we may build a new building. Site oriented services would be needed if that route is taken.
- 2. Is there a facility size identified in the POR?
  - A Yes, we have identified the space requirements in the POR.
- 3. Can the desired location be identified any more than the Metropolitan Area?
  - A Not at this time.
- 4. Is it possible to obtain a copy of the POR?
  - A The selected firm will receive the POR. The RFQ is to assess the qualifications of the firms submitting.
- 5. Will the facility include research labs? If so, will these labs be both wet and dry, or one or the other?
  - A Yes there will be both types of labs.
- 6. Since this may be a new build we will include Civil and Structural Engineers. Can we assume that the owner will handle Geotechnical, or would you like us to add that to our scope too?
  - A Design teams for this project should include all services needed to design a new building on any given site, including but not limited to Geotechnical.

SAO-F110-17v0209 Page 1 of 1

### Request for Qualifications (A/E)

The Ohio State University 2009 Millikin Rd, 400 Central Classroom Building Columbus, OH 43210



Administration of Project: Local Administration

Project Name	Wilce- Addition and Renovation	 Response Deadline	02-24-2012	4:30pm	local time
Project Location	1875 Millikin Road	 Project Number	OSU-120136		
City / County	Columbus / Franklin	 Project Manager	Kristin Poldema	nn	
Agency/Institution	The Ohio State University	 Contracting Authority	The Ohio State	University	
No. of paper copies requested (stapled, not bound) 4		 No. of electronic copie	s requested on C	D (PDF)	1

Submit the requested number of Statements of Qualifications (SAO Form F110-330) directly to Kristin Poldemann at 2009 Millikin Road, room 400, Columbus, OH 43210. See Section H for additional submittal instructions.

#### **Project Overview**

### A. Project Description

This project is the planning and prioritization that will support a 21<sup>st</sup> century model of care to create new clinical spaces, incorporate new technologies and optimize patient flow. The project will begin with an initial planning and prioritization phase that will set the precedence for future improvements and will define the scope of work within the set budget. The team will plan the overall site, design and implement the new ground floor entrance which relates to the planning of a two story addition and plan for the demolition of the existing first floor ramp, stair, landing, and canopy. The two story addition will serve as the main entrance along the South side of the building and create a presence on the quadrangle formed by the Psychology Building, Cunz Hall, Thompson Library and RPAC. The increased square footage from the addition and enclosure of the patio on the ground floor will serve the renovation and planning of new patient registration, reception, patient intake/ exam spaces and the expanded pharmacy which will move from the First Floor to the Ground Floor along with the design of a new quick care clinic. The team will evaluate what expanded spaces will be fully renovated within this project and will work with the Wilce team and the OSU project manager to define which priorities will be implemented and which priorities should remain shell space or future development.

The scope includes hazardous materials abatement, possible replacement / modernization of the elevators, MEP upgrades, power distribution, data and communications, fire alarm replacement and connection to campus chilled water. The design will be required to meet healthcare standards for infection control, patient safety and privacy, and state of the art medical technology and telecommunications.

An existing POR developed in 2009 will be used as a guideline. The A/E team will receive additional funds to develop initial planning, programming, and estimating documents to define the scope that will define the best use of project funds.

The University may consider adding design expertise during the pre-design and schematic design phase.

### B. Scope of Services

The selected AE team will be expected to have strong architectural design experience, campus planning skills and medical planning. The OSU master plan and the One Ohio State Framework Plan will provide guiding campus planning design principals. The project is expected to be recognized for its quality of design, its relationship to the site and adjacent buildings. The team will interface with the University Architect and University Design Review Board.

The selected Architect/Engineer (A/E), as a portion of its required Scope of Services and prior to submitting its proposals, will discuss and clarify with the Owner, the cost breakdown of the Architect/Engineer Agreement detailed cost components to address the Owner's project requirements. Participate in the Encouraging Growth, Diversity & Equity (EDGE) Program as required by statute and the Agreement.

As required by the Agreement, and as properly authorized, provide the following categories of services: Program Verification, Schematic Design, Design Development, Construction Document Preparation, Bid and Award Support, Conformed Documents, Construction Phase, Post-Construction Phase, and Extra Services and Additional Services of all types. Refer to *The SAO Manual* for additional information about the type and extent of services required for each.

During the construction period, provide not less than 10 hours (excluding travel time) on-site construction administration services each week, including (1) attendance at progress meetings, (2) a written field report of each site visit, (3) on-site



Project Name	Project Name Wilce–Addition and Renovation		OSU-120136

representation comprised of the A/E and its consultant staff involved in the primary design of the project, all having relevant and appropriate types of construction administration experience.

For purposes of completing the Relevant Project Experience Matrix in Section F of the Statement of Qualifications (SAO Form #F110-330), below is a list of relevant scope of work requirements for this RFQ.

- 1. Medical Planning
- 2. University Academic / Staff Spaces
- 3. Design Excellence (Third Party Endorsement i.e. design award)
- 4. LEED Projects

Projects should represent the work of the primary firm, not consultants.

### C. Funding / Estimated Budget

Total Project Cost	\$3,700,000.00	State Funding	\$0
Construction Cost	\$2,628,343.00	Other Funding	\$3,700,000.00
Estimated A/E Fee	8%		

NOTE: The design fee percentage for this project includes all professional design services, and consultant services necessary for proper completion of the Basic Services for the successful completion of the project, including but not limited to: review and verification of the Program of Requirements provided by the Owner, validation of existing site conditions (but not subsurface or hidden conditions), preparation of cost estimates and design schedules for the project. Fees may be negotiated and allocated for Additional Services (e.g., creation of a Program of Requirements, extensive evaluation or validation of site conditions, extensive pre-design investigations, code-required special inspection and testing, Quality Assurance testing during the construction period, and testing due to unforeseen conditions). Required Professional Liability Insurance will be \$1,000,000 per claim and annual aggregate.

### D. Services Required (see note below)

### E. Anticipated Schedule

Primary	Architecture	A/E Services Start (mm/yy)	3 / 12
Secondary	Interior Design	4 / 13	
	Landscape Architecture	Construction Contracts Completed (mm/yy)	1 / 14
	MEP Engineering	A/E Services Completed (mm/yy)	1 / 14
	Hazardous Materials Consultant		
		F. EDGE Participation Goal	
Others		Percent of initial TOTAL A/E Fee	5%

NOTE: The primary A/E shall be (1) a registered architect holding a license and certificate of authorization issued by the Ohio Architects Board pursuant to O.R.C. Chapter 4703, (2) a landscape architect holding a license and certificate of authorization issued by the Ohio Landscape Architects Board pursuant to O.R.C. Chapter 4703, or (3) a professional engineer or professional surveyor holding a license and certificate of authorization issued by the Ohio Engineers and Surveyors Board pursuant to O.R.C. Chapter 4733.

#### G. Evaluation Criteria for Selection

Demonstrated ability to meet Owner's programmed project vision, scope, budget, and schedule on previous projects. Previous experience compatible with the proposed project (e.g., type, size). Relevant past work of prospective firm's proposed consultants. Past performance of prospective firm and its proposed consultants. Qualifications and experience of individuals directly involved with the project. Proposer's previous experience (numbers of projects, sizes of projects) when working with its proposed consultants. Design quality and demonstrated ability of prospective firm and its proposed consultants to provide design services which represent the University's *Design Values for Campus Development* (fod.osu.edu/proj\_del/ref/0200\_Design\_Values.pdf). Specification writing credentials and experience. Experience and capabilities of using Critical Path Method (CPM) schedules as a project management resource. Proximity of prospective firms to the project site. Proposer's apparent resources and capacity to meet the needs of this project. The selected A/E



Project Name Wilce-Addition and Renovation Project Number OSU-120136

will be required to sign the Professional Design Services Agreement, which can be accessed at <u>fod.osu.edu/vendor</u>. No modifications to the requirements in the contract will be accepted.

Interested A/E firms are required to submit the Commitment to Participate in the EDGE Business Assistance Program form in its Statement of Qualifications (SAO Form #F110-330) submitted in response to the RFQ, to indicate its intent to contract with and use EDGE-certified Business Enterprise(s), as a part of the A/E's team. The Intent to Contract and to Perform and / or waiver request letter and Demonstration of Good Faith Effort form(s) with complete documentation must be attached to the A/E's Technical Proposal. Both forms can be accessed at <a href="fod.osu.edu/vendor">fod.osu.edu/vendor</a>. The Intent to Contract and to Perform form is again required at the Fee Proposal stage.

For all Statements of Qualifications, please identify the EDGE-certified Business Enterprises, by name, which will participate in the delivery of the proposed professional services solicited in the RFQ.

Prior to executing the Architect/Engineer Agreement, the selected A/E must represent and warrant that it has not provided any material assistance, as that term is defined in O.R.C. Section 2909.33(C), to an organization that is identified by, and included on, the United States Department of State Terrorist Exclusion List and that it has truthfully answered "no" to every question on the Declaration Regarding Material Assistance/Non-Assistance to a Terrorist Organization, and that it has provided or shall provide such to the Contracting Authority (www.homelandsecurity.ohio.gov/dma/dma\_forms.asp).

#### H. Submittal Instructions

Firms are required to submit the current version of Statement of Qualifications (SAO Form F110-330) available via the SAO website at <a href="http://ohio.gov/sao">http://ohio.gov/sao</a> (click on Forms).

Paper copies of the Statement of Qualifications should be stapled only. Do not use special bindings or coverings of any type. Cover letters and transmittals are not necessary.

Electronic submittals should be combined into <u>one</u> PDF file named with the project number listed on the RFQ and your firm's name. Use the "print" feature of Adobe Acrobat Professional or similar software for creating a PDF rather than using a scanner. If possible, please reduce the file size of the PDF. In Adobe Acrobat Professional, go to Advanced, then PDF Optimizer. Also, please label the CD and the CD cover with the project number and firm name.

Submit all questions regarding this RFQ in writing via email to Kristin Poldemann at <u>poldemann.1@osu.edu</u> with the project number included in the subject line (no phone calls please).

# **Architect/Engineer Selection Rating**

The Ohio State University 2009 Millikin Rd, 400 Central Classroom Building Columbus, OH 43210



Project Name	Wilce–Addition and Renovation	Proposer Firm	
Project Number	OSU-120136	City, State, Zip	

1. AVE Firm Location (5 points)   Proximity of primary AVE firm office where majority of work is to be performed in relationship to project site   Less than 150 miles   2 - 3   relationship to project site   A + 5   More than 200 miles   2 - 3   relationship to project site   A + 5   More than 200 miles	Selection Criteria		Value	Score
majority of work is to be performed in relationship to project site  2. A/E Firm Size (5 points)  Number of relevant licensed professionals within primary A/E firm available to perform the work.  3. Current Workload (5 points)  Amount of fees awarded by the Contracting Authority to the primary A/E firm in the previous 24 months (exclude projects on hold)  Amount of fees awarded by the Contracting Authority to the primary A/E firm in the previous 24 months (exclude projects on hold)  Amount of fees awarded by the Contracting Authority to the primary A/E primary a/E Qualifications (30 points)  a. Project Management Lead  b. Project Design Lead  c. Technical Staff  d. Construction Administration  c. Technical Staff  d. Construction Administration  d. Construction Administration  Experience / ability of field representative to develop quality construction documents  Experience / ability of field representative to develop quality construction documents  Experience / ability of field representative to develop quality construction documents  Experience / ability of field representative to develop quality construction documents  Experience / ability of field representative to develop quality construction documents  Experience / ability of field representative to develop quality construction documents  Experience / ability of field representative to develop quality construction documents  Experience / ability of field representative to develop quality construction documents  Experience / ability of field representative to develop quality construction documents  Experience / ability of field representative to develop quality construction documents  Experience / ability of field representative to develop quality construction documents  Experience / ability of field representative to develop quality construction documents  Experience / ability of field representative to develop quality construction documents  Experience / ability of field representative to develop quality construction documents  Experience / ability of fie	A/E Firm Location (5 points)			
relationship to project site  2. A/E Firm Size (5 points)  Number of relevant licensed professionals within primary A/E firm available to perform the work.  3. Current Workload (5 points)  Amount of fees awarded by the Contracting Authority to the primary A/E Firm in the previous 24 months (exclude projects on hold)  4. Primary A/E Qualifications (30 points)  3. Project Management Lead  4. Primary A/E Qualifications (30 points)  3. Project Management Lead  5. Project Design Lead  6. Project Design Lead  7. Construction Administration  8. Experience / ability of fechnical staff to develog quality construction documents develog usily construction documents develog valiety of sold eveloged with construction Number of projects that a majority of the team members have worked together members have worked together became members have worked together became members have worked together for the team members have worked together of the team develoged by the U.S. Green Building Construction  5. A/E Consultant Qualifications (15 points)  6. Project Team Qualifications (15 points)  7. Overall Project Team Experience (30 points)  8. Budget and Schedule Management  9. Experience in Application on the team members have worked together for projects (Average)  10. Experience with Similar Project Type  11. Experience in Administration on the staff of the projects (Average)  12. Experience in Consultation on the project of the projects (Average)  13. Experience with Similar Project Type  14. Experience in Consultant on the project of the projects (Average)  25. Experience in Consultation on the project of the projects (Average)  26. Project Team Experience (30 points)  27. Overall Project Team Experience (30 points)  28. Budget and Schedule Management  29. Experience in completing projects within original budget and schedule limitations of the projects (Average)  40. Knowledge of State of Ohio Capital Project Administration Process  41. Experience in completing projects within original budget and schedule limitations or the projec	Proximity of primary A/E firm office where	Less than 150 miles	4 - 5	
2. A/E Firm Size (5 points)  Number of relevant licensed professionals within primary A/E firm available to perform the work.  3. Current Workload (5 points)  Amount of fees awarded by the Contracting Authority to the primary A/E firm in the previous 24 months (exclude projects on hold)  4. Primary A/E Qualifications (30 points)  a. Project Management Lead  b. Project Design Lead  c. Technical Staff  d. Construction Administration  Experience / ability of feed representative to developed yellow by locifications (10 points)  Experience / ability of feed representative to developed (11 points)  Experience / ability of feed representative to developed (11 points)  Experience / ability of feed representative to developed (11 points)  Experience / ability of feed representative to developed (11 points)  Experience / ability of feed representative to developed (14 points)  Experience / ability of feed representative to developed (14 points)  Experience / ability of feed representative to developed (14 points)  Experience / ability of feed representative to developed (14 points)  Experience / ability of feed representative to developed (14 points)  Experience / ability of feed representative to developed (14 points)  Experience / ability of feed representative to developed (14 points)  Experience / ability of feed representative to developed (14 points)  Experience / ability of feed representative to developed (14 points)  Experience / ability of feed representative to developed (14 points)  Experience / ability of feed representative to developed (14 points)  Experience / ability of feed representative to developed (14 points)  Experience / ability of feed representative to developed (14 points)  Experience / ability of feed representative to developed (14 points)  Experience / ability of feed representative to developed (14 points)  Experience / ability of feed representative to developed (14 points)  Experience / ability of feed representative to developed (14 points)  Experience / ability of feed representative to		150 to 200 miles	2 - 3	
Number of relevant licensed professionals within primary AVE firm available to perform the work.  3. Current Workload (5 points)  Amount of fees awarded by the Contracting Authority to the primary AVE Firm in the previous 24 months (exclude projects on hold)  4. Primary AVE Qualifications (30 points)  a. Project Management Lead  b. Project Design Lead  c. Technical Staff  d. Construction Administration  c. Technical Staff  d. Construction Administration  Experience / ability of feed representative to identify folder designer to mean age scope / budget / schedule / quality of each designer to mean age scope / budget / schedule / quality of each designer to mean age scope / budget / schedule / quality of each designer to mean each of work  c. Technical Staff  d. Construction Administration  Experience / ability of feed representative to identify / solve issues during construction  5. AVE Consultant Qualifications (10 points)  Key Discipline Leads  Experience / ability of feed representative to identify / solve issues during construction  Number of projects that a majority of the team members have worked together  b. LEED* Experience within Team  Less than 2 projects (Low)  10 - 1  LEED AP(s)** on Team 11 LEED Registered Project(s)  12 LeED AP(s)** on Team 12 LEED Registered Project(s)  23 Satisfes ALL above Criteria  24 - 5  25 AVE Consultant Project Team Experience (30 points)  a. Budget and Schedule Management  b. Experience with Similar Project Type  C. Past Performance  d. Knowledge of State of Ohio Capital  Arce valuations (10 points)  Less than 3 projects (Low)  10 - 5  11 Less than 2 projects (High)  10 - 5  11 Less than 2 projects (Low)  11 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10	relationship to project site	More than 200 miles	0 - 1	
Number of relevant licensed professionals within primary AVE firm available to perform the work.  3. Current Workload (5 points)  Amount of fees awarded by the Contracting Authority to the primary AVE Firm in the previous 24 months (exclude projects on hold)  4. Primary AVE Qualifications (30 points)  a. Project Management Lead  b. Project Design Lead  c. Technical Staff  d. Construction Administration  c. Technical Staff  d. Construction Administration  Experience / ability of feed representative to identify folder designer to mean age scope / budget / schedule / quality of each designer to mean age scope / budget / schedule / quality of each designer to mean age scope / budget / schedule / quality of each designer to mean each of work  c. Technical Staff  d. Construction Administration  Experience / ability of feed representative to identify / solve issues during construction  5. AVE Consultant Qualifications (10 points)  Key Discipline Leads  Experience / ability of feed representative to identify / solve issues during construction  Number of projects that a majority of the team members have worked together  b. LEED* Experience within Team  Less than 2 projects (Low)  10 - 1  LEED AP(s)** on Team 11 LEED Registered Project(s)  12 LeED AP(s)** on Team 12 LEED Registered Project(s)  23 Satisfes ALL above Criteria  24 - 5  25 AVE Consultant Project Team Experience (30 points)  a. Budget and Schedule Management  b. Experience with Similar Project Type  C. Past Performance  d. Knowledge of State of Ohio Capital  Arce valuations (10 points)  Less than 3 projects (Low)  10 - 5  11 Less than 2 projects (High)  10 - 5  11 Less than 2 projects (Low)  11 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10	2. A/E Firm Size (5 points)			
Medium = 10 to 20 licensed professionals   2 - 3   Large = More than 20 licensed professionals   0 - 1		Small = Less than 10 licensed professionals	4 - 5	
3. Current Workload (5 points) Amount of fees awarded by the Contracting Authority to the primary AFE Firm in the previous 24 months (exclude projects on hold) 4. Primary A/E Qualifications (30 points) a. Project Management Lead b. Project Design Lead C. Technical Staff C. Techn				
Amount of fees awarded by the Contracting Authority to the primary A/E Firm in the previous 24 months (exclude projects on hold)  A Primary A/E Qualifications (30 points)  a. Project Management Lead  Bexperience / ability of A/E project manager to ment needs of owner  C. Technical Staff  C. Technical Staff  Experience / ability of feet project manager to ment needs of owner  C. Technical Staff  Experience / ability of feet project manager to ment needs of owner  C. Technical Staff  Experience / ability of feet project manager to ment needs of owner  C. Technical Staff  Experience / ability of feet project manager to ment needs of owner  C. Technical Staff  Experience / ability of feet project manager to ment needs of owner  C. Technical Staff  Experience / ability of feet project manager to ment needs of owner  C. Technical Staff  Experience / ability of feet project manager to ment needs of owner  C. Technical Staff  Experience / ability of feet project manager to ment needs of owner  C. Technical Staff  Experience / ability of feet project manager to ment needs of owner  Experience / ability of feet project ment of the project feet project fe		Large = More than 20 licensed professionals	0 - 1	
Authority to the primary A/Ē Firm in the previous 24 months (exclude projects on hold)  4. Primary A/E Qualifications (30 points)  a. Project Management Lead  b. Project Design Lead  c. Technical Staff  c. Technical Staff  d. Construction Administration  Experience / ability of Ref project manager to manager to meet needs of owner  c. Technical Staff  d. Construction Administration  Experience / ability of fect project manager to manager to meet needs of owner  c. Technical Staff  Experience / ability of technical staff to develop quality construction documents  develop quality construction documents  Experience / ability of feld representative to identify / solve issues during construction  5. A/E Consultant Qualifications (10 points)  Key Discipline Leads  Experience / ability of feld representative to identify / solve issues during construction  Experience / ability of feld representative to identify / solve issues during construction  Experience / ability of feld representative to identify / solve issues during construction  Experience / ability of feld representative to identify / solve issues during construction  Experience / ability of feld representative to identify / solve issues during construction  Experience / ability of feld representative to identify / solve issues during construction  Experience / ability of feld representative to develop quality construction documents  Description of the variation of the variation of the variation of the variation of the develop quality of feld representative to identify / solve issues during construction  Experience / ability of feld representative to identify / solve issues during construction  Experience / ability of feld representative to identify / solve issues during construction of the variation of the va				
4. Primary A/E Qualifications (30 points)  a. Project Management Lead  Experience / ability of A/E project manager to manage sto manage stope / budget / schedule / quality  b. Project Design Lead  Experience / reativity of lead designer to meet needs of owner  c. Technical Staff  Experience / ability of schedule / quality  Experience / ability of lead designer to meet needs of owner  c. Technical Staff  Experience / ability of lead designer to meet needs of owner  develog quality construction documents  d. Construction Administration  Experience / ability of field representative to identify / solve issues during construction documents  Experience / ability of field representative to identify / solve issues during construction documents  Experience / ability of all key discipline leads to effectively perform the work  Experience / ability of all key discipline leads to effectively perform the work  Experience / ability of all key discipline leads to effectively perform the work  Experience / ability of all key discipline leads to effectively perform the work  Experience / ability of all key discipline leads to effectively perform the work  Experience / ability of all key discipline leads to effectively perform the work  Experience / ability of all key discipline leads to effectively perform the work  Experience / ability of all key discipline leads to effectively perform the work  Experience / ability of all key discipline leads to effectively perform the work  Experience / ability of all key discipline leads to effectively performs the work  Experience / ability of all key discipline leads to effectively performs the work  Experience / ability of all key discipline leads to effectively performs the work  Experience / ability of all key discipline leads to effectively performs the work  Experience / ability of all key discipline leads to effectively performs the work  Experience / ability of all key discipline leads to effectively performs the work  Experience / ability of all key discipline leads to effectively				
4. Primary A/E Qualifications (30 points)  a. Project Management Lead  b. Project Design Lead  c. Technical Staff  d. Construction Administration  Experience / ability of lead designer to meet needs of owner  c. Technical Staff  d. Construction Administration  Experience / ability of technical staff to develop quality construction documents  Experience / ability of fled representative to identify / solve issues during construction  Experience / ability of fled representative to identify / solve issues during construction  Fig. 2				
a. Project Management Lead  Experience / ability of A/E project manager to manage scope / budget / schedule / quality  b. Project Design Lead  Experience / creativity of lead designer to meet needs of owner  c. Technical Staff  Experience / ability of fethical staff to develop quality construction documents  d. Construction Administration  Experience / ability of field representative to identify / solve issues during construction  5. A/E Consultant Qualifications (10 points)  Key Discipline Leads  Experience / ability of all key discipline leads to effectively perform the work  6. Project Team Qualifications (15 points)  a. Previous Team Collaboration  Number of projects that a majority of the team members have worked together  b. LEED* Experience within Team  LEED AP(s)** on Team  Clarity of responsibility / communication  Clarity of responsibility / communication  Clarity of responsibility / communication  demonstrated by table of organization  7. Overall Project Team Experience (30 points)  a. Budget and Schedule Management  Performance in completing projects within original budget and schedule limitations  D. Satisfies ALL above Criteria  D. Ferogent Team Experience (30 points)  a. Budget and Schedule Management  Performance in completing projects within original budget and schedule limitations  D. Satisfies ALL above Criteria		More than \$200,000	0 - 1	
b. Project Design Lead  Experience / creativity of lead designer to meet needs of owner  C. Technical Staff  Experience / ability of flechnical staff to develop quality construction documents  d. Construction Administration  Experience / ability of fleid representative to identify / solve issues during construction  Experience / ability of fleid representative to identify / solve issues during construction  Experience / ability of fleid representative to identify / solve issues during construction  Experience / ability of fleid representative to identify / solve issues during construction  Experience / ability of fleid representative to identify / solve issues during construction  Experience / ability of fleid representative to identify / solve issues during construction  Experience / ability of fleid representative to identify / solve issues during construction  Experience / ability of fleid representative to identify / solve issues during construction  Experience / ability of fleid representative to identify / solve issues during construction  Experience / ability of fleid representative to identify / solve issues during construction  Experience / ability of fleid representative to identify / solve issues during construction  Experience / ability of fleid representative to identify / solve issues during construction  Experience / ability of fleid representative to identify / solve issues during construction  Experience / ability of fleid representative to identify / solve issues during construction  Less than 2 projects ((Low)  1 - 10  LeED Resistered Projects (S)  2 - 2  LEED AP(s)** on Team  0 - 1  LEED Registered Project(s)  0 - 2  LEED AP(s)** on Team  1 LEED Registered Project(s)  0 - 2  2 Satisfies ALL above Criteria  Sum = 0 - 5  Clarity of responsibility / communication  demonstrated by table of organization  7 Overall Project Team Experience (30 points)  a. Budget and Schedule Imitations  D - 5  C. Past Performance  D - 5  A - 6  More than 6 projects (High)  T - 10  Level of performance as indicated				
b. Project Design Lead  Experience / creativity of lead designer to meet needs of owner  c. Technical Staff  Experience / ability of field representative to identify / solve issues during construction  Experience / ability of field representative to identify / solve issues during construction  Experience / ability of field representative to identify / solve issues during construction  5. A/E Consultant Qualifications (10 points)  Key Discipline Leads  Experience / ability of field representative to identify / solve issues during construction  Experience / ability of field representative to identify / solve issues during construction  Experience / ability of field representative to identify / solve issues during construction  Experience / ability of field representative to identify / solve issues during construction  Experience / ability of field representative to identify / solve issues during construction  Experience / ability of field representative to identify / solve issues during construction  Experience / ability of field representative to identify / solve issues during construction  Experience / ability of field representative to identify / solve issues during construction  Experience / ability of field representative to identify / solve issues during construction  Experience / ability of field representative to identify / solve issues during construction  Experience / ability of field representative to identify / solve issues during construction  Experience / ability of field representative to identify / solve issues during construction  Experience / ability of field representative to identify / solve issues during construction  Experience / ability of field representative to identify / solve issues during construction  Experience / ability of field representative to identify / solve issues during construction  Experience / ability of field representative to identify / solve issues during construction  Experience / ability of field representative to identify / solve issues during construction  Experienc	a. Project Management Lead		0 - 10	
c. Technical Staff  c. Technical Staff  d. Construction Administration  Experience / ability of field representative to identify / solve issues during construction  5. A/E Consultant Qualifications (10 points)  Key Discipline Leads  Experience / ability of field representative to identify / solve issues during construction  5. A/E Consultant Qualifications (10 points)  Key Discipline Leads  Experience / ability of all key discipline leads to effectively perform the work  6. Project Team Qualifications (15 points)  a. Previous Team Collaboration Number of projects that a majority of the team members have worked together  b. LEED* Experience within Team  LEED AP(s)** on Team  LEED AP(s)** on Team  LEED Registered Project(s)  C. Team Organization  Clarity of responsibility / communication demonstrated by table of organization  7. Overall Project Team Experience (30 points)  a. Budget and Schedule Management  Deformance in completing projects within original budget and schedule limitations  Deformance with Similar Project Type  Less than 3 projects (Low)  3 to 6 projects (Average)  4 - 6  More than 6 projects (High)  7 - 10  C. Past Performance  Level of performance as indicated by past A/E evaluations / letters of reference  A/E evaluations / letters of reference  A/E evaluations / letters of reference  4 - 6  More than 6 projects (High)  7 - 10  *LEED = Leadership in Energy & Environmental Design developed by the U.S. Green Building Council			0 - 10	
c. Technical Staff  develop quality of technical staff to develop quality of technical staff to develop quality construction documents  d. Construction Administration  Experience / ability of field representative to identify / solve issues during construction  5. A/E Consultant Qualifications (10 points)  Key Discipline Leads  6. Project Team Qualifications (15 points)  a. Previous Team Collaboration Number of projects that a majority of the team members have worked together  b. LEED* Experience within Team  LEED AP(s)** on Team  LEED AP(s)** on Team  LEED AP(s)** on Team  Designed Project(s)  C. Team Organization  C. Team Organization  C. Team Organization  7. Overall Project Team Experience (30 points)  a. Budget and Schedule Management  D. Experience with Similar Project Type  Less than 3 projects (Low)  Less than 3 projects (Low)  10 - 1  Level of performance as indicated by past A/E evaluations / letters of reference  More than 6 projects (High)  7 - 10  Level of performance as indicated by past A/E evaluations / letters of reference  More than 6 projects (Low)  3 to 6 projects (Low)  3 to 6 projects (Low)	b. Project Design Lead		0 - 10	
develop quality construction documents  d. Construction Administration  Experience / ability of field representative to identify / solve issues during construction  5. A/E Consultant Qualifications (10 points)  Key Discipline Leads  Experience / ability of all key discipline leads to effectively perform the work  6. Project Team Qualifications (15 points)  a. Previous Team Collaboration Number of projects that a majority of the team members have worked together  b. LEED* Experience within Team  LEED AP(s)** on Team  LEED AP(s)** on Team  Clarity of responsibility / communication demonstrated by table of organization  7. Overall Project Team Experience (30 points)  a. Budget and Schedule Management  Budget and Schedule Management  Descripting Projects (Low)  Descripting Projects (Werage)  Clarity of responsibility / communication demonstrated by table of organization  Performance in completing projects within original budget and schedule limitations  Descripting Projects (Low)  Descripting Projects (High)  C. Past Performance  C. Past Performance  Level of performance as indicated by past A/E evaluations / letters of reference  B/ED = Leadership in Energy & Environmental Design developed by the U.S. Green Building Council	a Tachnical Stoff			
d. Construction Administration   Experience / ability of field representative to identify / solve issues during construction   0 - 5    5. A/E Consultant Qualifications (10 points)  Key Discipline Leads   Experience / ability of all key discipline leads to effectively perform the work   0 - 10    6. Project Team Qualifications (15 points)  a. Previous Team Collaboration   Less than 2 projects (Low)   0 - 1    Number of projects that a majority of the team members have worked together   LEED AP(s)** on Team   0 - 1    LEED AP(s)** on Team   0 - 1    LEED Registered Project(s)   0 - 2    LEED Certified Project(s)   0 - 2    LEED Certified Project(s)   0 - 2    LEED Certified Project (amount of the project of the projec	C. Technical Stail		0 - 5	
Section   Sect	d. Construction Administration		0 5	
Experience / ability of all key discipline leads to effectively perform the work	a. Condudation ranning auton		0 - 5	
Experience / ability of all key discipline leads to effectively perform the work	5. A/E Consultant Qualifications (10 points)			
to effectively perform the work		Experience / ability of all key discipline leads	0 10	
a. Previous Team Collaboration Number of projects that a majority of the team members have worked together  b. LEED* Experience within Team  LEED AP(s)** on Team  LEED AP(s)** on Team  LEED AP(s)** on Team  LEED Registered Project(s)  LEED Certified Project(s)  Satisfies ALL above Criteria  Clarity of responsibility / communication demonstrated by table of organization  7. Overall Project Team Experience (30 points)  a. Budget and Schedule Management  b. Experience with Similar Project Type  Less than 2 projects (Low)  LEED AP(s)** on Team  O - 1  LEED Registered Project(s)  O - 2  Satisfies ALL above Criteria  Sum = 0 - 5  Clarity of responsibility / communication demonstrated by table of organization  7. Overall Project Team Experience (30 points)  a. Budget and Schedule Management  Performance in completing projects within original budget and schedule limitations  0 - 5  Less than 3 projects (Low)  3 to 6 projects (Average)  4 - 6  More than 6 projects (High)  7 - 10  Level of performance as indicated by past A/E evaluations / letters of reference  d. Knowledge of State of Ohio Capital  Project Administration Process  3 to 6 projects (Low)  3 to 6 projects (Low)  0 - 3  3 to 6 projects (Low)  3 to 6 projects (Low)  7 - 10  Less than 3 projects (Low)  0 - 5  More than 6 projects (Low)  7 - 10		to effectively perform the work	0 - 10	
Number of projects that a majority of the team members have worked together  b. LEED* Experience within Team  LEED AP(s)** on Team  LEED Registered Project(s)  LEED Certified Project(s)  C. Team Organization  Clarity of responsibility / communication demonstrated by table of organization  7. Overall Project Team Experience (30 points)  a. Budget and Schedule Management  b. Experience with Similar Project Type  C. Past Performance  C. Past Perform				
team members have worked together  b. LEED* Experience within Team  LEED AP(s)** on Team  LEED Registered Project(s)  LEED Certified Project(s)  C. Team Organization  Clarity of responsibility / communication demonstrated by table of organization  7. Overall Project Team Experience (30 points)  a. Budget and Schedule Management  b. Experience with Similar Project Type  Less than 3 projects (Low)  C. Past Performance  C. Past Performance  Level of performance as indicated by past A/E evaluations / letters of reference  Description  Less than 3 projects (Low)  C. Past Performance  Level of performance as indicated by past A/E evaluations / letters of reference  Description  Less than 3 projects (Low)  Description  Level of performance as indicated by past A/E evaluations / letters of reference  Description  Less than 3 projects (Low)  Description		Less than 2 projects (Low)	0 - 1	
b. LEED* Experience within Team  LEED AP(s)** on Team  LEED Registered Project(s)  LEED Certified Project(s)  Satisfies ALL above Criteria  Clarity of responsibility / communication demonstrated by table of organization  7. Overall Project Team Experience (30 points)  a. Budget and Schedule Management  Performance in completing projects within original budget and schedule limitations  b. Experience with Similar Project Type  Less than 3 projects (Low)  3 to 6 projects (Average)  More than 6 projects (High)  7 - 10  C. Past Performance  Level of performance as indicated by past A/E evaluations / letters of reference  d. Knowledge of State of Ohio Capital Project Administration Process  * Less than 3 projects (Low)  3 to 6 projects (Low)  - 5  A/E evaluations / letters of reference  More than 6 projects (Low)  - 7 - 10  Less than 3 projects (Low)  - 7 - 10  Less than 3 projects (Low)  - 7 - 10  - 7 - 10  - 7 - 10  - 7 - 10  - 8 - 10  - 9 - 10  - 10		2 to 4 projects (Average)	2 - 3	
LEED Registered Project(s)  LEED Certified Project(s)  Satisfies ALL above Criteria  C. Team Organization  Clarity of responsibility / communication demonstrated by table of organization  7. Overall Project Team Experience (30 points)  a. Budget and Schedule Management  Performance in completing projects within original budget and schedule limitations  b. Experience with Similar Project Type  Less than 3 projects (Low)  3 to 6 projects (Average)  More than 6 projects (High)  7 - 10  C. Past Performance  Level of performance as indicated by past A/E evaluations / letters of reference  d. Knowledge of State of Ohio Capital Projects (Average)  A/E evaluations / letters of reference  3 to 6 projects (Average)  4 - 6  More than 3 projects (Low)  7 - 10  *LEED = Leadership in Energy & Environmental Design developed by the U.S. Green Building Council		More than 4 projects (High)	4 - 5	
LEED Certified Project(s)  Satisfies ALL above Criteria  C. Team Organization  Clarity of responsibility / communication demonstrated by table of organization  7. Overall Project Team Experience (30 points)  a. Budget and Schedule Management  Performance in completing projects within original budget and schedule limitations  b. Experience with Similar Project Type  Less than 3 projects (Low)  3 to 6 projects (Average)  More than 6 projects (High)  7 - 10  C. Past Performance  Level of performance as indicated by past A/E evaluations / letters of reference  d. Knowledge of State of Ohio Capital Project Administration Process  3 to 6 projects (Average)  4 - 6  More than 6 projects (Low)  3 to 6 projects (High)  7 - 10  *LEED = Leadership in Energy & Environmental Design developed by the U.S. Green Building Council	b. LEED* Experience within Team	LEED AP(s)** on Team	0 - 1	
C. Team Organization  Clarity of responsibility / communication demonstrated by table of organization  7. Overall Project Team Experience (30 points)  a. Budget and Schedule Management  b. Experience with Similar Project Type  Experience with Similar Project Type  Less than 3 projects (Low)  3 to 6 projects (High)  C. Past Performance  Level of performance as indicated by past A/E evaluations / letters of reference  d. Knowledge of State of Ohio Capital Project Administration Process  *Less than 3 projects (Low)  3 to 6 projects (High)  7 - 10  Level of performance as indicated by past A/E evaluations / letters of reference  d. Knowledge of State of Ohio Capital Project Administration Process  *Less than 3 projects (Low)  3 to 6 projects (Average)  4 - 6  More than 6 projects (High)  7 - 10		LEED Registered Project(s)	0 - 2	
C. Team Organization  Clarity of responsibility / communication demonstrated by table of organization  7. Overall Project Team Experience (30 points)  a. Budget and Schedule Management  b. Experience with Similar Project Type  Experience with Similar Project Type  Less than 3 projects (Low)  3 to 6 projects (Average)  More than 6 projects (High)  7 - 10  C. Past Performance  Level of performance as indicated by past A/E evaluations / letters of reference  d. Knowledge of State of Ohio Capital Project Administration Process  3 to 6 projects (Average)  4 - 6  More than 3 projects (Low)  3 to 6 projects (Low)  7 - 10  Less than 3 projects (Low)  7 - 10  Less than 3 projects (Low)  7 - 10  *LEED = Leadership in Energy & Environmental Design developed by the U.S. Green Building Council			0 - 2	
7. Overall Project Team Experience (30 points)  a. Budget and Schedule Management  b. Experience with Similar Project Type  Less than 3 projects (Low)  3 to 6 projects (Average)  More than 6 projects (High)  c. Past Performance  Level of performance as indicated by past A/E evaluations / letters of reference  d. Knowledge of State of Ohio Capital Project Administration Process  3 to 6 projects (Average)  Less than 3 projects (Low)  2 - 3  3 to 6 projects (High)  7 - 10  Level of performance as indicated by past A/E evaluations / letters of reference  d. Knowledge of State of Ohio Capital Project Administration Process  3 to 6 projects (Average)  A - 6  More than 6 projects (High)  7 - 10			Sum = 0 - 5	
7. Overall Project Team Experience (30 points)  a. Budget and Schedule Management  b. Experience with Similar Project Type  Less than 3 projects (Low)  3 to 6 projects (Average)  More than 6 projects (High)  c. Past Performance  Level of performance as indicated by past A/E evaluations / letters of reference  d. Knowledge of State of Ohio Capital Project Administration Process  3 to 6 projects (Average)  4 - 6  More than 3 projects (Low)  0 - 5  Level of performance as indicated by past A/E evaluations / letters of reference  4 - 6  More than 3 projects (Low)  0 - 5  More than 6 projects (Low)  7 - 10  *LEED = Leadership in Energy & Environmental Design developed by the U.S. Green Building Council	c. Team Organization		0 - 5	
a. Budget and Schedule Management  b. Experience with Similar Project Type  Less than 3 projects (Low)  3 to 6 projects (Average)  More than 6 projects (High)  c. Past Performance  Level of performance as indicated by past A/E evaluations / letters of reference  d. Knowledge of State of Ohio Capital Project Administration Process  3 to 6 projects (Average)  Less than 3 projects (Low)  3 to 6 projects (Low)  A/E evaluations / letters of reference  3 to 6 projects (Low)  A to 6 projects (Low)  The following than 3 projects (Low)  A to 6 projects (Average)  A to 6 projects (Average)  A to 6 projects (High)  The following than 6 projects (High)  * LEED = Leadership in Energy & Environmental Design developed by the U.S. Green Building Council	7 Overall Project Team Experience (20 points)	demonstrated by table of organization		
b. Experience with Similar Project Type  Less than 3 projects (Low)  3 to 6 projects (Average)  More than 6 projects (High)  c. Past Performance  Level of performance as indicated by past A/E evaluations / letters of reference  d. Knowledge of State of Ohio Capital Project Administration Process  3 to 6 projects (High)  Level of performance as indicated by past A/E evaluations / letters of reference  3 to 6 projects (Low)  Project Administration Process  3 to 6 projects (Average)  More than 6 projects (High)  *LEED = Leadership in Energy & Environmental Design developed by the U.S. Green Building Council		Derformance in completing projects within		
b. Experience with Similar Project Type  Less than 3 projects (Low)  3 to 6 projects (Average)  More than 6 projects (High)  c. Past Performance  Level of performance as indicated by past A/E evaluations / letters of reference  d. Knowledge of State of Ohio Capital Project Administration Process  3 to 6 projects (Low)  3 to 6 projects (Low)  4 - 6  More than 6 projects (High)  *LEED = Leadership in Energy & Environmental Design developed by the U.S. Green Building Council	a. Budget and Schedule Management		0 - 5	
3 to 6 projects (Average) 4 - 6  More than 6 projects (High) 7 - 10  c. Past Performance Level of performance as indicated by past A/E evaluations / letters of reference 0 - 5  d. Knowledge of State of Ohio Capital Less than 3 projects (Low) 0 - 3  Project Administration Process 3 to 6 projects (Average) 4 - 6  More than 6 projects (High) 7 - 10	h Experience with Similar Project Type		0 - 3	
More than 6 projects (High)  c. Past Performance Level of performance as indicated by past A/E evaluations / letters of reference  d. Knowledge of State of Ohio Capital Project Administration Process 3 to 6 projects (Average) More than 6 projects (Low)  7 - 10  - 3  - 4 - 6  More than 6 projects (High)  7 - 10	5. Experience with offiliar Froject Type	. , , ,		
c. Past Performance  Level of performance as indicated by past A/E evaluations / letters of reference  d. Knowledge of State of Ohio Capital Project Administration Process  3 to 6 projects (Average) A/E evaluations / letters of reference  0 - 5  4 - 6  More than 6 projects (High)  * LEED = Leadership in Energy & Environmental Design developed by the U.S. Green Building Council				
d. Knowledge of State of Ohio Capital Project Administration Process  3 to 6 projects (Average) 4 - 6 More than 6 projects (High)  * LEED = Leadership in Energy & Environmental Design developed by the U.S. Green Building Council	c Past Performance			
d. Knowledge of State of Ohio Capital Project Administration Process  3 to 6 projects (Average) 4 - 6 More than 6 projects (High)  * LEED = Leadership in Energy & Environmental Design developed by the U.S. Green Building Council	C. 1 ast 1 chomiance		0 - 5	
Project Administration Process  3 to 6 projects (Average)  4 - 6  More than 6 projects (High)  7 - 10  * LEED = Leadership in Energy & Environmental Design developed by the U.S. Green Building Council	d. Knowledge of State of Ohio Capital	Less than 3 projects (Low)	0 - 3	
* LEED = Leadership in Energy & Environmental Design developed by the U.S. Green Building Council			4 - 6	
* LEED = Leadership in Energy & Environmental Design developed by the U.S. Green Building Council			7 - 10	
		1 3 ( 6 /		
	* LEED = Leadership in Energy & Environmental Design develop	ed by the U.S. Green Building Council	0.14.4.1	
			Subtotal	

Notes:	Evaluator:	
	Name	
	Signature	 Date

### **Request for Qualifications (Planning Services)**

The Ohio State University 2009 Millikin Road, 400 Central Classroom Building Columbus, OH 43210



Administration of Project: Local Administration

_

Submit the requested number of Statements of Qualifications (SAO Form F110-330) directly to Kristin Poldemann at 2009 Millikin Road, room 400, Columbus, OH 43210. See Section H for additional submittal instructions.

#### **Project Overview**

### A. Project Description

This is a feasibility study for The Ohio State University Office of Research University Laboratory Animal Resources (ULAR) which currently resides in Wiseman Hall located on the Medical Center Campus of the University. A substantial part of the institution's research enterprise involves the use of animals. The University's Animal Care and Use Program provide the ethical framework and mission-critical support necessary for the success of the University's teaching and research missions. The OSU master plan and the One Ohio State Framework Plan will provide guiding campus planning principals which will be used when approaching options for a new ULAR building or renovating the current location. The Framework plan identifies the ULAR program to remain in the Health Sciences district. This district is home to the Medical Center and the Health Sciences Colleges: Dentistry, Medicine, Nursing, Optometry, Pharmacy, Public Health, and Veterinary Medicine. This study will focus on options of siting a new building and it will review the use or replacement of Wiseman Hall. The team selected will review and understand the connections between the ULAR program and other disciplines, understand the detailed infrastructure in the district, the challenges and potential of each building option and understand how each option benefits the future vision of the program, district, and University. The ULAR program is in need of a combined animal facility with vivarium, imaging, diagnostic, procedure, and experimental surgery space, the proposed facility may be trans-institutional in nature, shared to allow students from different specialties to learn together. This study will be used to inform the capital planning process.

#### B. Scope of Services

The study will focus on conceptual options for siting a new building and will include replacement of the current ULAR facility. Conceptual layouts, sizing, sustainability methods, code compliance, investigative reports / observations / recommendations on infrastructure and engineering, cost estimates should be included as part of this comprehensive study. The selected team will work with a core team from FOD – Design and Construction, Planning and Real Estate, ULAR and multiple stakeholders. The study should be delivered in book format (multiple copies will be needed) both hard copy and electronic will be required. Renderings and other graphics should be used to help inform the study and for the University's use following the completion of the study.

Participate in the Encouraging Growth, Diversity & Equity (EDGE) Program as required by statute and the Agreement.

For purposes of completing the Relevant Project Experience Matrix in Section F of the Statement of Qualifications (SAO Form #F110-330), below is a list of relevant scope of work requirements for this RFQ:

- 1. Laboratory Animal Facilities (Vivarium Facilities)
- 2. Research, Medical, and Surgery Facilities
- 3. University Academic and Staff Spaces
- 4. Urban Planning / Development of Master Planning Studies and Concepts

\*Relevant projects must be designed by the proposing primary firm.

### Request For Qualifications (Planning) continued



Project Nam	e <u>ULAF</u>	R Animal Facility Building Stud	ly	Pi	roject Number OSU-120192	2
C. Funding	g / Estir	mated Budget				
Total Project	Cost	\$107,000	State	Funding	\$NA	
Estimated Fe	ee	\$80,000-\$100,000	Othe	r Funding	\$107,000	
completion of not subsurface	the proje e or hidd Additiona ,).	s project includes all consultant se ect, including but not limited to: de en conditions), preparation of cos I Services (e.g., creation of an ext ired	velopment of the Prog t estimates and design	ram of Requ schedules alidation of s	irements, validation of existing s for the project. Fees may be neg	ite conditions (but otiated and
Primary	Archi	tectural	Profe	essional Se	ervices Start (mm/yy)	03 / 12
Secondary	MEP		Profe	essional Se	ervices Completed (mm/yy)	09 / 12
·	Urbai	n Planning				
	Cost	Estimating	F.	EDGE I	Participation Goal	
	Civil	Engineering				
	Lab F	Planning	Perc	ent of initia	/ TOTAL A/E Fee	5%

#### G. Evaluation Criteria for Selection

Demonstrated ability to meet Owner's programmed project vision, scope, budget, and schedule on previous projects. Previous experience compatible with the proposed project (e.g., type, size). Relevant past work of prospective firm's proposed Sub-consultants. Past performance of prospective firm and its proposed Sub-consultants. Qualifications and experience of individuals directly involved with the project. Proposer's previous experience (numbers of projects, sizes of projects) when working with its proposed Sub-consultants. Report writing credentials and experience. Experience and capabilities of creating or using schedules as a project management resource. Proximity of prospective firms to the project site. Proposer's apparent resources and capacity to meet the needs of this project.

Interested firms are required to submit the Commitment to Participate in the EDGE Business Assistance Program form in its Statement of Qualifications (SAO Form F110-330) submitted in response to the RFQ, to indicate its intent to contract with and use EDGE-certified Business Enterprise(s), as a part of the Consultant's team. The Intent to Contract and to Perform and / or waiver request letter and Demonstration of Good Faith Effort form(s) with complete documentation must be attached to the Consultant's Technical Proposal. Both forms can be accessed at <a href="fod.osu.edu/vendor">fod.osu.edu/vendor</a>. The Intent to Contract and to Perform form is again required at the Fee Proposal stage.

For all Statements of Qualifications, please identify the EDGE-certified Business Enterprises, by name, which will participate in the delivery of the proposed professional services solicited in the RFQ.

Prior to executing the Agreement, the selected Consultant must represent and warrant that it has not provided any material assistance, as that term is defined in O.R.C. Section 2909.33(C), to an organization that is identified by, and included on, the United States Department of State Terrorist Exclusion List and that it has truthfully answered "no" to every question on the Declaration Regarding Material Assistance/Non-Assistance to a Terrorist Organization, and that it has provided or shall provide such to the Contracting Authority (<a href="https://www.homelandsecurity.ohio.gov/dma/dma\_forms.asp">www.homelandsecurity.ohio.gov/dma/dma\_forms.asp</a>).

### Request For Qualifications (Planning) continued



Project Name	<b>ULAR Animal Facility</b>	Building Study	Project Number	OSU-120192

#### H. Submittal Instructions

Firms are required to submit the current version of Statement of Qualifications (SAO Form F110-330) available via the SAO website at <a href="http://ohio.gov/sao">http://ohio.gov/sao</a> (click on Forms).

Paper copies of the Statement of Qualifications should be stapled only. Do not use special bindings or coverings of any type. Cover letters and transmittals are not necessary.

Electronic submittals should be combined into <u>one</u> PDF file named with the project number listed on the RFQ and your firm's name. Use the "print" feature of Adobe Acrobat Professional or similar software for creating a PDF rather than using a scanner. If possible, please reduce the file size of the PDF. In Adobe Acrobat Professional, go to Advanced, then PDF Optimizer. Also, please label the CD and the CD cover with the project number and firm name.

Submit all questions regarding this RFQ in writing to Kristin Poldemann at poldemann.1@osu.edu with the project number included in the subject line (no phone calls please).

# **Planning Services Selection Rating**

The Ohio State University 2009 Millikin Road, 400 Central Classroom Building Columbus, OH 43210

OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY	
www.fod.osu.edu	Ĺ
Phone 614-292-4458	

Proje	ect N	lame	ULAR Animal Facility Building Study	Proposer Firm						
Proje	ect N	lumber	OSU-120192	City, State, Zip						
					T	1 _				
		ion Crit			Value	Score				
1.			Firm Location (5 points)							
			f primary firm office where	Less than 50 miles	4 - 5					
			work is to be performed in	50 to 100 miles	2 - 3					
	relationship to project location			More than 100 miles	0 - 1					
2.		Consultant Firm Size (5 points)								
	Number of relevant planning professionals within			Small = Less than 10 planning professionals	0 - 1					
			nsultant firm available to perform the	Medium = 10 to 20 planning professionals	2 - 3					
	work.			Large = More than 20 planning professionals	4 - 5					
3.		Current Workload (5 points)								
	Amount of fees awarded by the Contracting		ees awarded by the Contracting	Less than \$100,000	4 - 5					
	Aut	thority to	the primary Consultant Firm in the	\$100,000 to \$200,000	2 - 3					
	previous 24 months (exclude projects on hold)			More than \$200,000	0 - 1					
4.	Pri	Primary Consultant Qualifications (30 points)								
	а.			Experience / ability of project manager to	0.45					
			g	manage scope / budget / schedule / quality	0 - 15					
	b.	Project	Planning Lead	Experience / creativity of lead planner to meet	0 - 10					
			-	needs of owner	0 - 10					
	C.	Techni	cal Staff	Experience / ability of planning staff to develop quality planning reports	0 - 5					
5.	Sub-consultant Qualifications (10 points)					•				
			ine Leads	Experience / ability of all key discipline leads to	0.40					
		,		effectively perform the work	0 - 10					
6.	Pro	Project Team Qualifications (15 points)								
	a.	Previou	us Team Collaboration	Less than 2 projects (Low)	0 - 1					
		Numbe	er of projects that a majority of the	2 to 4 projects (Average)	2 - 3	1				
		team n	nembers have worked together	More than 4 projects (High)	4 - 5					
	b.		Experience within Team	LEED AP(s)** on Team	0 - 1					
			,	LEED Registered Project(s)	0 - 2					
				LEED Certified Project(s)	0 - 2					
				Satisfies ALL above Criteria	Sum = 0 - 5					
	C.	Team	Organization	Clarity of responsibility / communication	0 - 5					
				demonstrated by table of organization	0-5					
7.										
	a.	Budget	and Schedule Management	Performance in completing projects within original budget and schedule limitations	0 - 5					
	b.	Experie	ence with Similar Project Type	Less than 3 projects (Low)	0 - 5					
				3 to 6 projects (Average)	6 - 10					
				More than 6 projects (High)	11 - 15					
	C.	Past Pe	erformance	Level of performance as indicated by past						
	<u> </u>		5.101.11a1.100	evaluations / letters of reference	0 - 10					
			lership in Energy & Environmental Design develop			,				
	Subtotal									
Notes: Evaluator:										
				Name						
Signature										