

EIR


Executive Intelligence Review

May 13, 2011 Vol. 38, No. 19

www.larouchepub.com \$10.00

Bertrand Russell from the Grave: Schellnhuber & Holdren
Rejecting Nuclear Power 'Incomprehensible, Irrational'
Glass-Steagall Victory Would Oust Obama

**LaRouche Rebuts the WBGU:
'That Which Could Not Be Sustained'**



Founder and Contributing Editor:

Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

Editorial Board: *Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.,*

Antony Papert, Gerald Rose, Dennis Small,

Edward Spannaus, Nancy Spannaus, Jeffrey

Steinberg, William Wertz

Editor: *Nancy Spannaus*

Managing Editors: *Bonnie James, Susan Welsh*

Science Editor: *Marjorie Mazel Hecht*

Technology Editor: *Marsha Freeman*

Book Editor: *Katherine Notley*

Graphics Editor: *Alan Yue*

Photo Editor: *Stuart Lewis*

Circulation Manager: *Stanley Ezrol*

INTELLIGENCE DIRECTORS

Counterintelligence: *Jeffrey Steinberg, Michele Steinberg*

Economics: *John Hoefle, Marcia Merry Baker, Paul Gallagher*

History: *Anton Chaitkin*

Ibero-America: *Dennis Small*

Law: *Edward Spannaus*

Russia and Eastern Europe: *Rachel Douglas*

United States: *Debra Freeman*

INTERNATIONAL BUREAUS

Bogotá: *Javier Almaro*

Berlin: *Rainer Apel*

Copenhagen: *Tom Gillesberg*

Houston: *Harley Schlanger*

Lima: *Sara Madueño*

Melbourne: *Robert Barwick*

Mexico City: *Gerardo Castilleja Chávez*

New Delhi: *Ramtanu Maitra*

Paris: *Christine Bierre*

Stockholm: *Hussein Askary*

United Nations, N.Y.C.: *Leni Rubinstein*

Washington, D.C.: *William Jones*

Wiesbaden: *Göran Haglund*

ON THE WEB

e-mail: eirns@larouchepub.com

www.larouchepub.com

www.larouchepub.com/eiw

Webmaster: *John Sigerson*

Assistant Webmaster: *George Hollis*

Editor, Arabic-language edition: *Hussein Askary*

EIR (ISSN 0273-6314) is published weekly (50 issues), by EIR News Service, Inc., 709-A 8th St. SE, Washington, D.C. 20003. (703) 777-9451

European Headquarters: E.I.R. GmbH, Postfach 1611, D-65006 Wiesbaden, Germany; Bahnstrasse 9a, D-65205, Wiesbaden, Germany Tel: 49-611-73650 Homepage: <http://www.eirna.com> e-mail: eirna@eirna.com Director: Georg Neudekker

Montreal, Canada: 514-461-1557

Denmark: EIR - Danmark, Sankt Knuds Vej 11, basement left, DK-1903 Frederiksberg, Denmark. Tel.: +45 35 43 60 40, Fax: +45 35 43 87 57. e-mail: eirdk@hotmail.com.

Mexico City: EIR, Ave Morelos #60-A, Col Barrio de San Andres, Del. Azcapotzalco, CP 02240, Mexico, DF. Tel: 5318-2301, 1163-9734, 1163-9735.

Copyright: ©2011 EIR News Service. All rights reserved. Reproduction in whole or in part without permission strictly prohibited.

Canada Post Publication Sales Agreement #40683579

Postmaster: Send all address changes to EIR, P.O. Box 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390.

EIR

From the Managing Editor

In last week's issue, Helga Zepp-LaRouche broke the story of the British-sponsored green fascist program titled "World in Transition—Social Contract for a Great Transformation." Released by the German government's advisory council on environmental changes (WBGU), the document demands a complete shutdown of not only nuclear power plants—starting in June—but also of fossil-fuel power plants. The pretext is the alleged threat of "anthropogenic climate change," which *EIR*, among many others, has shown to be a hoax.

Zepp-LaRouche has now issued a petition to stop these insane policies, which we publish on p. 19.

This week's coverage makes clear that the WBGU report is by no means a "German problem," but a global one. We lead with Lyndon LaRouche's epistemological analysis of where such lunacy comes from; the documentation includes selections from the WBGU tract; the latest ravings of principal author Hans Joachim Schellnhuber, climate advisor to Chancellor Merkel; a report on who in the United States is in cahoots with the British Royals; and an update from Zepp-LaRouche on the battle over these policies in Europe.

What particularly strikes me in all this, is the almost-explicit attack by the WBGU nazis against LaRouche. LaRouche writes in this issue, as he has often done before, that the specific distinction of the creative human mind from the powers of lower species, is typified by "mankind's use of sundry forms of Promethean fire." Only by the use of successive, higher forms of "fire"—up to and including thermonuclear fusion—has mankind achieved "the majestic numbers and quality of individual development of the citizens of nations presently." Schellnhuber, writing in the *Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung*, attacks this Promethean notion head-on: "In the Age of Fire (*Pyrozän*)," he opines, "humanity has grown to become the determining planetary force, completely triumphal in the globalization frenzy of the late 20th Century," with the resultant pollution, overpopulation, and global warming.

Finally, on the crucial fight to pass the Glass-Steagall bill now before Congress, see our coverage of the organizing drive, and the declining support for President Obama. See also the report on Haiti, the almost-forgotten tragedy whose unspeakable suffering is the direct result of Obama's lack of interest, and the economic policies of Wall Street and the City of London.



Cover This Week

Germany's Kalkar fast breeder reactor, completed 1991, never went online; turned into an amusement park 1995.



4 Rebuttal of the WBGU: That Which Could Not Be Sustained

Lyndon LaRouche demolishes the corrupt, pseudo-scientific findings of the German Advisory Council on Global Change (WBGU) in its report, “World in Transition: A Social Contract for Sustainability,” which, he writes, is characterized by the Delphic, oligarchical tradition of “creative destruction,” and derived from the anti-human philosophy of the evil Bertrand Russell.

Were we to follow the prescriptions of the WBGU—to abandon industrialization, advanced agriculture, nuclear power, and space exploration, all aimed at reducing the human population by some 5-6 billion people—civilization would be plunged into the hell of a new dark age for centuries to come.

17 Documentation: The WBGU Master Plan for Imperial Eco-Fascism

18 Helga Zepp-LaRouche: A Resolution To Stop the WBGU

21 Schellhuber’s Media Propaganda Escalates

22 Bertrand Russell from the Grave: Schellhuber and John Holdren

Economics

25 Unsustainable Bailouts Bring Euro System to Its Knees

The Euro system is exploding, despite the fantasies of the finance ministers of the affected nations, exactly as the LaRouche movement warned it would. Nothing but a Glass-Steagall housecleaning will solve the crisis, as even some in Europe are admitting publicly.

27 Obama’s Jobs Fraud: Labor Force Vanishes

Contrary to the happy talk coming from the Obama Administration about the “recovery and private-sector job creation,” the truth is that between April 2009 and April 2011, the total U.S. labor force has shrunk by 1.5 million workers.

International

28 Rejecting Nuclear Power Is ‘Incomprehensible, Irrational’

Helga Zepp-LaRouche writes that the phaseout of nuclear energy and “decarbonization” demanded by the German government and its climate advisor Schellnhuber are a program for global genocide. Other countries consider the German program “incomprehensible” and are looking ahead to the next generation of nuclear technology.

31 Hamas-Fatah Reconciliation: Signs of Hope in a Troubled Region

The recent Palestinian unity agreement between Hamas and Fatah has put an end to the de facto civil war between the two factions. But the Israeli Prime Minister is doing all he can to sabotage this stride toward a long-hoped-for peace.

34 The ‘Haiti Treatment’: What It Looks Like

37 Bin Laden and Drugs: The Real Dope Pushers in Afghan War Are Still At Large

National

41 Glass-Steagall Win Would Oust Obama

A bill to reinstate Glass-Steagall is now before the House, backed by the campaign spearheaded by LaRouchePAC’s six Congressional candidates. This, combined with the growing number of defections from Obama among Democrats, means the time is ripe to invoke the 25th Amendment, and remove the mentally unstable President from office.

43 Glass-Steagall: Surviving A Potential Mass Extinction

A statement from Kesha Rogers, the LPAC Congressional candidate from Houston, Texas.

Editorial

44 Only Glass-Steagall Can Stop Genocide!

REBUTTAL OF THE WBGU:


That Which Could Not Be Sustained

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

May 3, 2011

I have just examined the English-language rendering of a printed, pseudo-scientific work, “World in Transition: A Social Contract for Sustainability,” issued by the German Advisory Council on Global Change (WBGU).

In that piece, there is nothing as much either scientific, or even truly novel, as a desperately vicious expression of the Delphic oligarchic tradition of “creative destruction” continued into modern European culture, by such as Friedrich Nietzsche, Werner Sombart, H.G. Wells, and the specifically Bertrand Russellite varieties of Cambridge Systems Analysis and the International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis (IIASA). I point to such examples as Bertrand Russell in his deep-rooted beliefs expressed as the author of such works as his 1946 proclamation of unprovoked “preventive nuclear warfare,”¹ or the closely related pseudo-scientific notions of a proposed, mass-murderous program of eugenics, such as those of the “World Wildlife Fund” project and The Club of Rome. All of which is to say, that there is nothing actually very new beyond an added touch of sheer horror for the properly civilized reader, in the content



1. *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists*, Oct. 1, 1946.

of the subject publication of the WBGU. Something much deeper than merely some particular corrections is required for the case of that WBGU publication's wild-eyed echo of a current British naked revival of the ancient oligarchical types of ideological cults.

Prefatory:

When qualified modern scientists have considered the evidence bearing upon the record of the expressions of human life among the generality of life on Earth within the bounds of the influences exerted by our galaxy, the fossil and related records have demonstrated that evidence which is necessary in considering the Riemannian basis for the revolutionary achievements of the great Russian and Ukrainian Academician of our recent century, V.I. Vernadsky. Not only does the fairly known fossil and related record present us with the scientific certainty of a principled nature of about a half-billions years of development of an anti-entropic principle of life within those premises, even despite the frequent mass-extinctions which the same fossil record presents; it shows the overpowering role of that universal principle of human cognition, to be recognized as specifically human individual creativity, which implicitly dominates a known universality of the presently available evidence for a principle of development to which the emergence of our human species attests still today.

When the relevant historical evidence were added to our considerations in this matter, the available historical record, as supplemented by elements of physical archeology, shows us that contrary opinions, such as those of the WBGU piece considered here, are consistent with what is a clearly documented history, the history of that infamous, oligarchical principle which is expressed by such as the World Wildlife Fund (WWF) of Britain's Prince Philip and the late Prince Bernhard, as by the so-called Club of Rome, and in the method of Bertrand Russell and his accomplices of the Cambridge School of Systems Analysis and its offspring, the International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis (IIASA). That is a tradition which reaches back much further in history than the oligarchical principle which is specific to the Delphic cult of Apollo-Dionysus. The British Isles' Jonathan Swift had not overlooked certain essential ironies of this matter.

It is notably relevant to the case of the referenced WBGU piece, that the standpoint of reference for their

argument in this matter, is not the evidence of physical science, but, rather, is rooted in the oligarchical tradition whose expression has been typified by the succession of four stages of a Roman Empire, that from that institution's birth on the notorious Isle of Capri, through the reincarnations of the original design for that empire, such as Byzantium, the horrors of the Crusader tradition, and what was given as an horrid birth-pang by the perpetual religious warfare rampant over the 1492-1648 interval. The hopeful success of the Peace of Westphalia on this account, was undermined, and spoiled by those so-called "Dutch Wars" which were heralded by the arrival of the New Venetian Party's William of Orange onto the soil of the British Isles.

My own United States was the outcome of the influence of a great representative of the great ecumenical Council of Florence, an outcome of the most notably included influence of one of the signal founders of both the conception of the modern sovereign form of nation-state, and modern European science, Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa. The included result of Cusa's discoveries in the creating of an authentic modern science, was an undertaking, as by Christopher Columbus, which Cusa had urged be taken for the purpose of salvaging the achievements of the Renaissance from the resurgence of the Venetian oligarchical influence which had turned Europe back into a long period of religious warfare and into new, Venice-centered oligarchical forms of monetarist systems.

Since that time, the United States itself has teetered between the great principle expressed by its Federal Constitution, and the alien influences of the European oligarchical tyrannies and warfares, such as the influence of the British empire.

It must be emphasized, that the present crisis of the trans-Atlantic region, is to be recognized by any one competently qualified in the history of science, as a general breakdown-crisis of a type which expresses, rather than merely imitating, those repeatedly catastrophic failures of European civilization which are typified by that collapse which the influence of the Delphi cult had imposed upon the leading cultural position of Ancient Greece, and the succession of horrid collapses of European civilization expressed by the ricocheting existential crises of the original Roman empire and its three principal successors thus far.

The implicit effect of the current of thinking presented in the text of the WBGU report, is nothing differ-



Were the civilization-killing agenda of the WBGU carried out, a dark age worse than any, since the Roman Empire's murder of Jesus Christ, would descend upon us. Shown: Rembrandt's "Ecce Homo," or "Christ Presented to the People."

ent, in principle, than a proposed pact expressed on behalf of a program for a great new dark age of civilization, which would be akin to, but worse than that experienced previously, since the rise of the original Roman Empire among Octavian, the priests of Mithra, and the horned goats of the Isle of Capri. In short, witness that struggle of Christianity against the Roman Empire, which was signaled by the order uttered personally from the Roman Emperor then sitting on the Isle of Capri for the crucifixion of Jesus Christ, and, by that emperor's successors, for the crucifixions of the Apostles Peter and Paul.

Such are, in summary, an outline of the facts of the issue to be considered here. It is the great principle which that pattern of facts expresses as the combined subjects of the evolutions of living creatures generally, and the evolution of the creative-mental powers of mankind, alone, which is the essential subject presented for consideration here.

The time has come, in which it is no longer possible to tolerate any such perpetuation of that ancient oligarchical tyranny which has dominated the existence of our human species. The image of mankind as made, and to be developed in the image of the Creator, must now be realized as the practice of freedom from

the old evil of the monetarist form of the oligarchical principle associated with Delphi, the principle of evil which is inherent in the argument of the referenced WBGU report. Freedom from the old evil of that oligarchical principle, must now be the practiced freedom, that as the only rule of law which civilized peoples are encouraged to practice upon this planet, and even beyond.

It is not sufficient, therefore, merely to denounce that ancient, inherent evil into which the promoters of the referenced WBGU statement have been lured. We must affirm the truth which must supersede and uproot such kinds of evil; the necessary action is not to simply denounce the errant proposition; the urgent need is to replace it with a truthful account of the nature of mankind.

The evil intent expressed in the referenced piece from the WBGU, is elaborated in fuller bloom as the mass-murderous doctrine of planet-wide genocide articulated for the pages of the **Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung** (FAZ) of May 4th by the current chief of the WBGU, Prof. Dr. Hans Joachim Schellnhuber CBE under the title "Generationenvertrag: Vorwärts zur Natur" ["Contract with Future Generations: Forward to Nature"]. Thus, it bears no difference in principle from the long-standing doctrine of Britain's Prince Philip, as set forth in the documents of the World Wildlife Fund (WWF), but expresses the inherent evil of the WWF in an even far more brutish form than even the founders of the WWF had presented earlier. This evil, which has been expressed as the underlying trend in British imperialist doctrine since the New Venetian Party's William of Orange invaded the British Isles, is also embedded as the implicit expression of the IASA with whose spokesman I had running quarrels on this matter of scientific method during the later part of the 1970s. This is a doctrine of genocide beyond anything actually prescribed by the Adolf Hitler regime.


In the meanwhile, if the current U.S. President, who is both a functional puppet of the British monarchy and a proponent of this same British policy, Barack Obama,

remains in office, there will probably be no human race worthy of that name for more than a generation or more to come. The documentation proposing the immediate (June 2011) installation of this new scheme for immediate launching of a planet-wide scheme of genocide, one far worse than anything proposed by the war-time Adolf Hitler regime, a scheme which is pouring out of a British-dominated western and central Europe today, portends such a destiny, unless there is an urgently needed return to the underlying principle of the creation of our special United States, very, very soon.

Read the unusually plain language of the two indicated WBGU reports identified here, that you might learn what the British monarchy's agents have dumped, for presently scheduled June 2011 installation, as a policy of genocide uttered against the people of the member-nations of the Euro organization, and, implicitly, a world beyond.

I. The Creative Principle

The study of life on Earth as by my associates, is based presently, to a large extent, on the accumulated fossil and other evidence over a preceding period of approximately a half-billion years. This scientific evidence presents us with a series of ontologically distinct phases of ostensibly self-development of the forms of life which the influence of our galaxy has guided in the development of successively qualitative phases of life and life's effects. The sum of that near billions of years of the relevant span of evidence, shows us that process which has occurred under conditions shaped by the superior power of this galaxy, a process which has been characteristically, systemically anti-entropic, from earlier points of inception, to the present last finding presented to us. As a matter of fact, as this evidence of a half-billion years has shown, the so-called "Second



The evidence of the past half-billion years of biospheric development shows that the so-called "Second Law of Thermodynamics" has always been a fraud against science. This image from the video "Economics of the Noösphere: The Hypersea Platform" (<http://larouhepac.com/node/18085>) indicates the self-development of plant life in Earth.

Law of Thermodynamics" has always been a fraud against science.² Unfortunately, human gulls for such rotten bait as that, have abounded, as to be seen among the premises of some leading universities, and elsewhere, especially since the middle to late Nineteenth Century

It is a matter of the totality of what is known to science up to the present day, that this galactically ordered process of change, has been characteristically anti-entropic in the ordering of successive species, as the notion of the ordering of species is defined for us, today, by the relevant applications of the succession highlighted by the basis which is located only in the discoveries of Bernhard Riemann, as in his 1854 habilitation dissertation. This provides the basis for grounding our insight into that thoroughly revolutionary succession of universally qualitative and profound advances in physical science whose fruits are associated, specifically, and most emphatically with the achievements of Academician V.I. Vernadsky.

When the scope of investigations classed under the titles of the works of Riemann and Vernadsky is applied to the case of mankind, we will have opened a new di-

2. An extensive video report on these findings is in preparation for early appearance by LPAC's leader of that team. Cf. the report on work by Max Planck already reported in a published LPAC video authored by basement team member Jason Ross (www.larouhepac.com/node/18081).

mension of qualitative change, beyond that of all other living species, which is now confronting the scientist qualified in such topical areas.

All processes of existence, when considered as predicates of a whole such process, are intrinsically anti-entropic; but, among all species known to us from present or past, at this time, only the human species has exhibited that principle of willful creativity which is a clearly demonstrated potential for the case of mankind.³

However, this fact does not end the matter of the deeper, more profound implications of our actual knowledge. To this end, consider the presently manifest token evidence of the possible extinction of our human species within the bounds of this Solar System, if mankind were, now, to accept the implicitly Satanic dogma adumbrated by that British-inspired, clearly genocidal doctrine of the WBGU which is now being dumped, for implied continent-wide imposition, upon the prospective destiny of the nations of the Euro system.

The Survival of Species

The already referenced record of evidence of past forms of life on this planet, includes a galactically-regulated pattern of progress of species, and, with that, systemic evidence of the waves of destructions of most of the species which have lived here, to make way for more advanced ones. As I have already stated above, the universe according to such authorities and Riemann and Vernadsky is inherently creative; but, only mankind is voluntarily creative. Should mankind cease, suddenly, to be creative, which is what the WBGU dogma prescribes in effect, and as the World Wildlife Fund (WWF) of Prince Philip proposes for today, the continued existence our species would be in rather immediate jeopardy. Those who have chosen the indicated WBGU dogma, which is nothing different in principle of intent from the doctrine of such as Britain's Prince Philip, and which was certainly dictated to some rather credulous Germans by British and related sources, have chosen the course leading into the extinction of mankind. The course charted by the WBGU, or any kindred course, is a program of global

3. When we consider the place of our Solar system as a late-comer to our galaxy, we can not assert that life-forms exhibiting our characteristic, apparently distinct, potential for willful creativity, do not exist even within some other part of our relatively vast galaxy.

genocide to be launched under present global political-economic and galactic trends of the coming galactic shift in progress.

No culture of this planet could survive those conditions specified by the WBGU design. Those who do not resist such British schemes as those represented by the published WBGU representations presently in hand, in whatever part of this planet, whether nominally Christian, Jewish, or Islamic, for example, could not survive a self-wrought destination of earned extinction implicit in the WBGU reports' stated implications.

The simplest among the crucial facts to be considered on this account, is the essential role of increase of the relative energy-flux-density required to prevent mankind from falling into the same terminal condition of existence as every other presently extinct species of animal life on this planet.

The point is illustrated in its relatively simplest aspects, by the record of upward progressive changes in relative energy-flux density required to maintain any human culture even in a fixed mode of energy-flux density of culture for the population of that culture as a whole. Zero energy-flux-density growth of society, is cultural death, a process which, when carried to a stubbornly persistent end set forth by the WBGU, is the recipe for the extinction of any variety of extant human culture, and, in the end, the extinction of the human species itself.

Given the deliberately orchestrated collapse in food supplies and other necessities of human existence under the existing cultures today, the physical-economic effect of the enactment of the WBGU policy for Europe, would be the immediate triggering of a general collapse of civilization, first in the trans-Atlantic regions, and then, soon after, the planet as a whole.

To secure the needed trend, upwards, contrary to the hideous fanaticism expressed by the WBGU proposition in this case, requires successively higher energy-flux densities in the per-capita output and consumption in the functional existence of societies. This means, today, emphasis on not merely improved forms of nuclear-fission power, but thermonuclear fusion, and, on the horizon, matter-anti-matter applications. It also means, as in the newly oncoming menace of the current phase-shift of our galaxy, an increase of the "density" of the power commanded by society to provide defenses against those new conditions which mankind, heretofore, has never faced, threatened con-

ditions already posed under the present shift in the relations of the Solar system to our galaxy at large.

The Source of that Danger

Contrary to the perverted opinions akin to the thrust of the present WBGU report of those who represent themselves as scientists, or who insist that earthquakes, volcanic eruptions, and patterns of tornadoes are *intrinsically* not predictable, the principle of successful forecasting is an echo of the uniquely original, and exhaustively documented, discovery of the principle of gravitation by Johannes Kepler. Two respectively distinct qualities of sense-perception, visual and harmonic, were required to define a value which was not internal to either of those two. Similarly, to forecast natural phenomena, or the like, it is essential to contrast the measurements of qualitatively different sets of sense-experience-like effects; the more the variety of such principle-like, contrasted considerations taken into account, the greater the accuracy achievable to competent forecasters.

My associates, and those relevant circles consulted, have shown that this also applies to the needed understanding of such subject-matters as tornadoes, and to the forecastable likelihood of an increasing abundance of such events under the present galactic considerations.

The facts so stated are new in the attention they have lately received; but, in principle, consideration of the evidence of just such dangerous developments, guides careful scientific inquiry not only into the causal aspects of such phenomena, but to some clever ways in which mankind might deal with such developments. The difficulty, of late, has been that all remedies for such challenges require some increased expenditure of effort by mankind, effort which were usually not available without an increase in the efforts and resources of energy-flux-density per capita and per square kilometer.

Thus, scientific forecasting of earthquakes, volcanic eruptions, and tornadoes, requires schemes and




The perverted opinion of the WBGU report, that earthquakes, volcanoes, and tornadoes are not predictable, was emphatically disproved by the method of Kepler's discovery of the principle of gravitation in the 17th Century. Here, an eruption of the Stromboli volcano (island of Stromboli in the Mediterranean), in February 1969.


means for moving endangered populations to safety, and the economic potential to repair the damage left behind, as a cost which can be absorbed, if we choose that course, within the enhanced productive capacity, per capita and per square kilometer by the relevant society. We have but to consider the catastrophes which the assassination of President John F. Kennedy, and the suppression of his sturdy opposition to launching an insane and prolonged war in Indo-China, caused for the morals and physical welfare of the United States, especially when the social effects of increasing indolence and depravity of expanding portions of our population are taken into account, the same existentialist social depravity which underlies the credulities, and, in effect, sheer madness, of those functionally crippled personalities who would tend to tolerate something akin to the WBGU proposals.

Of those latter susceptibles, we have the following, most relevant knowledge from history as known thus far today.

The genesis of the WBGU proposal, while immediately British in proximate origin, is also of a more ancient origin, as merely typified by the effects on Mediterranean-centered European cultures of such as ancient Babylon, the Achaemenid Empire, the Trojan War, the Peloponnesian War, and the rise of the successive Roman empires of Rome, Byzantium, the original Venetian monetarist form known as the Crusader system,



National Archives



Under the influence of Benjamin Franklin, the young genius Alexander Hamilton proposed that the war debts of the states be assigned to the credit of the Federal government, through a national bank. Thus did the concept of a “more perfect union” become the cornerstone of the U.S. Constitution. Shown, Hamilton, Franklin, and the First Bank of the United States.

depressed mass of humanity as virtual cattle, as such arrangements have been continued into modern times under the hegemony of that monetarist system which is the true essence of the British empire still, at the present moment, as through Africa today: that British empire is the true captor of the present Euro system of its continental European captives.

The attempt of the Empire, such as the monetarist British empire which is the only significant empire operating in the world today, has been the difficult task of balancing the desire for the power of the imperial oligarchy itself, by taking into consideration the advantages of scientific and related knowledge, but without letting the subjects operate in ways which would allow the ruled the notion of their having the ability to live and act in ways which would enable the general population to free itself from an assigned role as the virtual cattle-like property of the reigning oligarchy. The willing aspects of the submission of many of the African slaves transported, on behalf of the

and the British empire spawned as the “new, fourth” Roman Empire established through the Sarpian initiative of that New Venetian Flag of the invader William of Orange, and set fully into motion by the combined elements of the so-called Seven Years War and by the Roman-imperial modeled reforms set into motion under Lord Shelburne.

The shape of European history, in particular, since the times of what Solon of Athens, Socrates, and Plato abhorred as the reign of the Delphic Apollo-Dionysus cult, and such consequent, monstrous follies as the Trojan and Peloponnesian wars, has been a European history dominated by what is known as “the oligarchical principle,” an arrangement under whose reign the encumbrance known as the oligarchy kept a limited and

British empire by Britain’s royal Spanish lackey into the United States, and the fierce effort by the British empire to create and maintain the Confederacy with the assistance of London-controlled circles of such as an implicitly treasonous “Jacksonian democracy,” typify the case.

The United States has always been the principal source of the torment enjoyed by the imperial British ruling castes on this account. However, the difference now, is that the world at large has developed to the point that the ability to maintain the present rate of increase of world population could not be accomplished without raising the level of scientific and related culture to that of a society oriented to a succession of phases of nuclear-fission and to the prospect of thermonuclear fusion

as the dominant sources of power. This requires a development of the mental life of peoples to levels beyond the ability of what has been the presently globally extended British empire-in-fact. The punctum saliens of the existential crisis thus posed to the British empire and its Wall Street and comparable lackeydoms, demands the combined effects of the systematic destruction of our United States, and the thrust of the world population at large, into a relatively minuscule level of population-density, probably much less than two billions of population.

Combine such a British oligarchist design with the presently onrushing developments in the Solar system's relationship to its galaxy, and the prospects for the extinction of mankind were set potentially into motion.

Such is precisely the issue posed by the clear language of the WBGU draft policy.

II. The Economy and the Human Mind

The modern European adoption of the doctrine of the infamous Paolo Sarpi, introduced a modification on the earlier, Aristotelean sort of oligarchical principle of the Delphi cult, a doctrine under which a crude reductionism was embedded in the conditioned habits of the relevant populations. That is the doctrine of today's British empire, among other victims of such teachings, as the argument is presented in Adam Smith's 1759 **Theory of Moral Sentiments**. According to Smith's argument, human knowledge is limited to a pleasure-pain notion of sense-perceptual experience, with no regard for the existence of actually scientific principles.

Under this pathetic practice of what is often termed "empiricism," the case is, as Adam Smith himself emphasized in 1759: "Hunger, thirst, the passion which unites the two sexes, the love of pleasure, and the dread of pain, prompt us to apply those means for their own sakes, and without any consideration of their tendency to those beneficent ends which the great Director of nature intended to produce by them." When that point by Smith is considered more carefully, we are obliged to recognize that the notion of actual "truth" is excluded from considerations bearing on the definitions of lawfulness; truth, as an effective physical science serves as a standard for truthfulness, is put aside by the ideo-

logues associated with that Smith, to clear away all opposition to variously arbitrary forms of the mere opinion which is consistent with such modern practice as that of the Liberalism associated with the Venetian legacy of Paolo Sarpi.

That effective disregard for truthfulness, which is inherent in modern Liberalism as, earlier, in its ancient and medieval predecessors, is given its approximately universal expression under recklessly prevailing notions of law, as in the form of a virtual worship of Mammon, or what is called *monetarism*.

In any competent expression of physical science, for example, the increase, or mere maintenance of levels of existence of populations, is governed by adducible, universal physical principles, or by reasonable approximations of the effect of such principles. Monetarism excludes such considerations as a matter of the monetarist principle itself, as this is currently, and widely illustrated by the trends for moral and physical uselessness expressed as the London market and Wall Street speculation, as by what are essentially fictitious assertions of monetary wealth: merely nominal wealth, such as the trillions of U.S. dollars of what is fraudulently claimed to represent wealth added since the Autumn of 2007.

The adoption of such monetarist schemes, is to be traced to sundry ancient imperial and like sources, as, for example, in the successive phases of degeneration experienced by the once prosperous, pre-Semitic, ancient Sumer's degeneration from a "bow tenure," to monetarist system, as in the degeneration of Babylon later, and the Persian Empire after that. However, the matrix for the emergence of the ancient Mediterranean system is to be associated with the rise of the power and influence of specifically maritime cultures in the Mediterranean, as typified by the development of the profoundly influential frauds practiced by that Delphic cult which is, in fact, the core tradition of the oligarchical forms of European and extended European cultures and their monetarist systems since the fall of the Persian empire into the hands of an imperial form of maritime culture, and the consequent assimilation of the remnant of what had been Tyre and the Persian Empire, into the combination established in Capri, under the role of Octavian, as the Roman Empire. The role of the priests of Mithra in the negotiations with Octavian on Capri, makes that latter point.

In these ancient and later proceedings leading into the modern, implicitly bankrupt British empire of

today, the rule of monetarism, as such, has been the basis for the succession of empires which has been the character of European culture as such, to the present day. The arbitrary valuation associated with the imperially regulated use of money, as, for example, with, or without a gold or silver basis, has ruled a Mediterranean-centered culture up through the dates of the presently onrushing general collapse of the entirety of the currently trans-Atlantic aspect of the world's monetarist system. All four phases of monetarism, since the system of monetarism associated with the site of the cult of Delphi, and, most emphatically, the establishment of the first Roman empire, are to be understood by the contrast of the monetarist system to the uniqueness of the credit system, as the alternative to monetarism, a credit system first established in modern law under the pre-William of Orange British colony of Massachusetts, as the American principle was renewed in a more fulsome expression as the anti-monetarist design inherent in the design of the U.S. Federal Constitution as based on a credit system, rather than a monetarist system.

Since that latter time, the history of the trans-Atlantic world, and, also, beyond, has been centered on the systemic differences between European monetarist systems, and their broader extensions, and the contrary notion of economic valuations, a credit system, specific to the development of what became that underlying foundation of the entirety of the Constitution of the United States, the Preamble of that Constitution.

The connection between the Pinetree Shilling developed under the original charter of the Massachusetts Bay Colony, prior to the relevant rampage of William of Orange, and, later, the U.S. Federal Constitution, was, essentially, the following, as the records of the negotiations leading into the adoption of even the very idea of a Federal Constitution show, as follows.

The war-debts accumulated by the victorious former British colonies in America, were then monetary debts of what had become, now, the states of a Federal American union. In this situation, Alexander Hamilton, a young genius under the influence of Benjamin Franklin, proposed a solution: that the war debts of the respective states of the newly secured nation, be assigned to the credit of a created Federal government, rather than of the various states. This notion of the "more perfect union" emphasized by Hamilton's initiative, came to define an existential quality of remedy for the situa-

tion, as expressed in the form of what became the cornerstone of the creation of the U.S. Federal Constitution. The foundation of that Constitution is enshrined and its principal law, indeed, its principle of all law, expressed in the Preamble of that Constitution. Any contrary notion of the intent of that Constitution, is ignorant rubbish.⁴

The common feature thus located between the Massachusetts Pine Tree Shilling and the U.S. Federal system of the constitutional dollar, is located essentially in the systemic nature of the distinction between an American constitutional form of credit-system, and a typically European monetarist system.

To secure a competent understanding of these stated considerations, we must consider, as a most important factor, the difficulty of defending our newborn U.S. constitutional form of self-government under conditions introduced under the influence of the British East India Company's resident tyrant, Lord Shelburne. The rise of the implied British empire established by the 1763 Treaty of Paris, and set into consolidated organization as a British Empire, from 1782, under the leadership of the East India Company's Shelburne, became the principal source of difficulties in efforts to maintain the recently established U.S.A.

The French Revolution was the keystone of the operations centered in the role of Shelburne and such Shelburne lackeys as Adam Smith and in the leading sort of relevant role of Jeremy Bentham in the new, Shelburne-created British Foreign Office through which the crisis of the French Revolution was organized. The traitor Aaron Burr, who was the founder of the Bank of Manhattan and a lackey of Bentham operating treasonously within the framework of the new United States, is exemplary, as is the related case of British (Wall Street) puppet and one-time Aaron Burr side-kick Andrew Jackson, et al., et al. The bankers of Boston and Manhattan have been the typification of the British imperial hand (some would say "treasonous") in both the internal and foreign affairs of our United States, most of the time, including, most notably, the present U.S. Presidency, since the time of the 1789 crisis in France.

Once these just presented considerations have been

4. See Nancy Spannaus, "A Matter of Principle: Alexander Hamilton's Economics Created Our Constitution," *EIR*, Vol. 37, No. 48, Dec. 10, 2010.

stated, we are now freed sufficiently of the just stated types of considerations, to come to grips, here, with the issue of monetarism as an intrinsically imperialist system, that in the same tradition as the original Roman empire. It is not the colonies or their likeness which constitute that tradition of the Roman empire embodied in the present British system of imperialism centered now in the notion of a British Commonwealth. The imperial power lies ontologically within the monetarist system, a British imperial power once challenged most profoundly by President Franklin Roosevelt, and challenged afresh by the President John F. Kennedy who was assassinated for what the British and Wall Street interests considered a mortal affront to their shared traditions, just as the same British empire is acting now, through such instruments as the proposals of the WBGU, to carry out a long-prepared, presently stated, British scheme for the global destruction of civilization, all done in pursuit of the British imperial intention for a permanent one-world empire which is, at the moment, the leading enemy of all nations and their people presently. The current President of the United States is currently an exemplary, if definably insane under the provisions of the relevant U.S. law, tool of that British empire, just as the currently published WBGU draft expresses what is in specifically British, rather than German, monetarist, and also progenocidal interest. It expresses the standing interest and flagrantly proclaimed intentions of Britain's Prince Philip and the latter's nominal present political heir.

More recently, under the fraudulent presumptions of actual law associated with the British imperial dogma of the late, infamous Adam Smith and his dupes-in-waiting, no scientific truth exists under law. Like the trillions of fraudulent debt incurred under both the present and immediately previous President of the United States, the same dirty trick has been played upon the victims of that "Euro" system launched as a rape of Germany concocted under the combined authorities of Britain's Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher, France's President François Mitterrand, and the U.S.A.'s consenting accomplice, President George H.W. Bush, the latter the son of the same Prescott Bush who uttered the funds to secure the position of Adolf Hitler as the prospective, British-selected tyrant of Germany.

There was nothing which Hitler did, as dictator in Germany, which had not been a quality of behavior in-

tended initially, prior to the fall of France, by the British sponsors of Hitler's career in that position.⁵ That fact, however unpleasant a fact for some notables, is the hard truth of the rise of Hitler to power, the same truth inherent in the British doctrine put forward by the nominally German sponsors of the WBGU presently. It is a scheme for the incorporation of continental Europe (and also the United States) into a state of British puppetry under British puppet-President Barack Obama, a puppetry consistent, to an exacting degree, with the long-standing programs of British Prince Philip's "batty" World Wildlife Fund (WWF).

These matters, as I address here and now, are not matters of opinion, not of British fancy, but of scientific fact. Let us come now, as quickly as possible, to the kernel of the matter at hand.

Briefly: The Lunacy of Adam Smith

Adam Smith had then been the author of his 1759 **Theory of Moral Sentiments**. By 1763, Smith had been coopted by a triumphant Lord Shelburne, into Smith's role as a personal spy against the Americans and France on behalf of the British East India Company's imperial intentions. At a later point, about 1782, Shelburne used both Smith and Jeremy Bentham, as among the key tools used for targeting the nations which had supported the American cause, including France, Spain, and Russia, for destruction. Bentham was key in running the British operations against France on behalf of the British Foreign Office which

5. The British-French intention in this matter, had been to secure a Nazi commitment to a war between Germany and the Soviet Union first; but this had implications which the German military and related institutions would not accept even from the dictator Hitler whom the British had considered their creation and pre-selected puppet of the Bank of England circuits. After a prospective weakening of a German Wehrmacht in a conjectured blood-draining attack on the Soviet Union, the British intended to deal with the U.S.A. in accord with the early 1920s British-Japan treaty for the destruction of the Pearl Harbor base. The arranged collapse of France, placed Britain in a desperate situation. However, once President Franklin Roosevelt was deceased, Britain returned to its earlier intention for the destruction of the United States; the assassination of President John F. Kennedy (and, later, his brother Robert) was "made necessary" by President Kennedy's stubborn refusal to take the United States into a wasting war in Southeast Asia. That intention of the British empire against the United States, is the reigning British strategy for today; the British use of the nominally German WBGU operation under way presently is an expression of that turnabout in British policy, back to the intentions of the early 1920s now recrafted through aid of the massive British funding of the bought-and-paid-for election of the British-created puppet-President known as Barack Obama.



From Adam Smith's 1759 *Theory of Moral Sentiments*:

“Hunger, thirst, the passion which unites the two sexes, the love of pleasure, and the dread of pain, prompt us to apply those means for their own sakes, and without any consideration of their tendency to those beneficent ends which the great Director of nature intended to produce by them.”

had just been established by Shelburne during that same year.

Smith's and Bentham's target was chiefly the existence of the United States itself, but also those nations which had assisted in bringing the United States into a victorious existence. The role of the British Foreign Office in the affair of "The Queen's Necklace," was a crucial feature of that effort to strip the newly established United States of its European sympathizers from among the ranks of those continental nations which had been lured into the folly of that Seven Years Wars which had secured the birth of the actual British empire in that fashion.

That much of the relevant political history of the matter

now considered, we must now turn our attention to the science of the matter: the science of that which distinguishes the human species from all other known forms of life.

There is no adequate view of the subject of this present report other than the standpoint which I present, as follows, from this point in the account, onward. In brief, we must explore the principles of economy from the vantage-point of a galactic standpoint. That use of the term "galactic standpoint," signifies that the conditions of evolution, as over a fairly selected half-billion years of fossil and related evidence bearing upon the history of life on planet Earth, have been manifestly crafted by the known forms of principled actions of the galaxy within which our Solar system is situated. This role of the galaxy is extended by the role of our Solar system, to the implied history adduced by aid of accumulated fossil evidence, respecting the history of the rises and falls of the existence of various strata of life-forms on Earth.

The most remarkably startling evidence extracted from examination of this half-billion years of accumulated evidence, is the fact that, whereas, most of the formerly existing life-forms appearing over as long as a half-billion years have been repeatedly culled by mass extinctions, yet the evolution of living species, and the related ordering of the environments in which they had existed, has produced a relatively most recent appearance, over a few millions years, of a unique quality of species known as humanity.

The vast array of our own galaxy, includes a vast assortment of stellar and related phenomena, amid which our Solar system is a borderline newcomer in the main.

The most remarkable of the facts respecting that half-billion years of galactic history, is the peculiar manner of survival of the human species itself, up to the point that the WBGU put a British imperial spin on the matter of fact.

The unique experience of mankind's voluntary capacity to preserve the existence of its species, as no other form of life has been enabled to match this performance, is to be attributed to the specific distinction of the potential powers of the human mind, powers not known to be available to any other species inhabiting our planet. The most typifying expression of this distinction, is mankind's use of sundry forms of Promethean fire. It is, essentially, only by the use of succes-

sively higher forms of fire, as from burning of shrubbery and wasted wood, through thermonuclear fusion being developed currently, that mankind has been enabled not only to defend what might be considered as a primitive form of human population-density, up to the majestic numbers and quality of individual development of the citizens of nations presently.

No other known living species has been able to duplicate this capability. Nonetheless, we are inclined to be reasonably optimistic respecting the probability of a species like our own in behavioral characteristics on, perhaps, some solar systems within the vastness of this our own galaxy, or otherwise. The very notion that we might be the only species of our type, would tend to be a source of great pessimism among us, given present cultural trends in our planet, if we thought we had no ontological “cousins” elsewhere within this vast universe.

However, for the moment at hand, we gamble on nothing. The fate of humanity under present trends and known cases, must enjoy the full force of what we must generate as the creation of an optimal chance for our human species under now approaching, galactic circumstances which have never been, to our present knowledge, experienced by a species like our own, elsewhere.

Why the WBGU Scheme Now?

That much said on this subject this far. Granted that the role of the WBGU in this affair is that of what “The American Slanguage” defines as a “German Patsy” for a scheme launched in the interest of the British Royal dynasty. Why the British implicit scheme for such a sudden, and vastly extended policy of genocide against the human species at this time? Do not overlook the fact that this program identified by the WBGU means the slashing of the human population in the order of more than five billions human beings, in rapid order. Granted, that the British empire has always been an essentially predatory force of monetarist empire; why such a sweeping genocide against the British empire’s populations, as much as continental Europeans and Americans, among others?

The only credible answer to that question which the WBGU program clearly intends, is that the British empire has decided that it has run out of all other options for maintaining the empire itself. Looting the existing world’s population is apparently no longer a

choice of option for that empire. Granted, that a more or less deliberate practice of population control was the custom of the original Roman empire and its successors, why such a vast and deep destruction of the wealth which the British empire had worked so diligently to acquire by all means, formerly? Indeed, Prince Philip did specify a two billions ceiling on a permitted world population; why such a drastic and deep cut at this implied rapid pace, and so suddenly? Has the British monarchy gone absolutely mad, or is there some curiously evil intention lurking underneath the appearances presented as implications of the WBGU design? Certainly, the British are clearly quite mad; but, “that mad” is most provocative.

The certainties of the case indicate that the targets of this British policy of global genocide are not limited to Europe and the United States, but include implicit plans against the populations of the great nations of Asia. This is, indeed, an intentional program of genocide on such a vast scale. In fact, such a program poses a threat to the very continued existence of the human species. The question, “Why? Why? Why?” resounds endlessly.

The clear practical answer to such questions, is that this scheme, as outlined by the WBGU, must be eradicated definitively now, in any case. We hanged many accused Nazi accomplices for actual crimes which fell far short of any of the horrors which the WBGU argument implicitly proposes. Above all other considerations, the entirety of the kind of scheme presented by that report must be eradicated immediately as being the worst of the known as intended crimes against humanity known to history up to this point, not only the worst of all of the horrors known to the recorded history of mankind, but a truly Satanic dimension in crimes against humanity.

It must be remembered, that, after all, Adolf Hitler himself was a British creation. Patriotism is not an option when an implicitly satanic quality of empire considers the issues existential. Remember what the British East India Company did in India.

Most to the point; the already existing threat to the human population, even without the evil scheme described in considerable essential features by the WBGU, the presently pre-existing objective peril to mankind is already severe. We must take civilization in a directly opposite direction, away from such pro-Satanic imperial schemes rooted in the legacy of four suc-

cessive Roman empires, for an accelerated physical-economic recovery.


The Human Mind

The practical distinction of the function of the human mind, from all other presently known as living species, lies in the ironical fact, that all categories of existence in the known universe, as the categories were defined by the combined, interlocked work of Bernhard Riemann and Academician V.I. Vernadsky, have been intrinsically creative as categorical types. The distinction of mankind among these types, is that human creativity is essentially voluntary.

The key to an efficient understanding of this distinction, is to be located in the properly defined notion of the human “mind.” This quality of the human mind is located chiefly in what is fairly to be recognized as the Classical artistic imagination, the form of the activity of the Classical imagination which proceeds from such principles of artistic creativity into the domain of the discovery of what are to be recognized, as implicitly presented in such notable modern locations as Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa’s **De Docta Ignorantia** (1440). It were as if “play” precedes “physical science’s” role in the powers of the human imagination.

In former times, in Germany, for example, the great figures of early Twentieth-century physical science were participants in Classical modes of artistic composition, that to such effect that the Classical-artistic imagination empowered the creative potentials of the power of metaphor, as the means needed to discover an hitherto imaginary, but truly efficient reality formerly beyond the reach of the literal powers of sense-perception as such. This creative intellectual discipline of what we had known in European civilization, in particular, as Classical artistic composition, formed the basis for successful fundamental progress in science, as by such

early modern creative minds as that of Brunelleschi’s discovery of the elementary reality of the catenary principle of physics, and the broader creativity of Nicholas of Cusa and his celebrated principal followers in science. It was the related fact, that the develop-



Vernadsky’s three phase-spaces of the known universe: The universe is overall, inherently creative, but only human creativity is willful (see “The Hypersea Platform,” narrated by Basement researcher Oyang Teng: <http://larouchepac.com/node/18085>).

ment of those creative powers permitted the thinker to escape the dungeon-like captivity of primary belief in sense-certainty, to the creative imagination of the poet which provides the clues leading out of the dungeon of sense-certainties into the sunshine of freedom found in the scientific creativity fostered by the role of metaphor in the functions of the Classical artistic imagination.

Such has been the method underlying the principal discoveries of Academician V.I. Vernadsky, notably including the proof of the existence of such distinctly universal categories as the lithosphere, biosphere, and noösphere. The shadows of the artistic experience of the imagination, are thus transformed, by the power of experiment, into the substance of the usable scientific categories of physical existence.

By such means as those, mankind has created such categories of existence as man's ability to recognize and to employ powers such as nuclear fission and thermonuclear fusion. It is by categorical means comparable to those, that the human creative imagination adduces from the shadows cast as if on the walls, the sign of a presence of something by which mankind is freed from the kinds of limits of existence of other living species.

Then, that much said this far, we have three Vernadskyan categories: the lithosphere, the biosphere, and the noösphere. The question, therefore, is: what bounds these three as if one? The answer is: the creative powers accessible to the devoted human mind. The consequence of that, is that we must recognize the mind of man, as so expressed, as the principle we must recognize as the principle of the accord of that connection with the highest principle of which we know in the universe: the creative powers of the mind unique to mankind, which are in principled accord with the composition of the universe. That is what distinguishes the mind of man from that of the beasts. However, it is necessary to know that, before such powers might lie securely in one's hands.

We human beings have been given the power to act in accord with that great principle which subsumes the content of our universe. Master it, that we might use that power appropriately. Perhaps then, it will be granted, that mankind has become an expression of the immortal species existing in our universe, a species we love too much to permit its destruction by either the proponents of the theses presented currently by the WBGU, or any other.

Documentation

The WBGU Master Plan For Imperial Eco-Fascism

The German Advisory Council on Global Change (WBGU), which is headed by Dr. Hans Joachim ("John") Schellnhuber, Honorary Commander of the Most Excellent Order of the British Empire (CBE), on April 6 issued a report titled "World in Transition: A Social Contract for Sustainability." Schellnhuber is currently a "climate advisor" to German Chancellor Angela Merkel;¹ he calls the report "A Master Plan for Social Transformation." Although written in the abstruse and soporific language of the globalist eco-bureaucrat, it provides the reader who can stay awake through it, an explicit blueprint for imperial eco-fascism, a world in which sovereign nation-states, and their Constitutions, have been eliminated from the face of the Earth. And the authors make clear that their target is not only Germany.

What follows is EIR's synopsis of the 24-page "Summary for Policy-Makers" (available at <http://tiny.cc/x43uc>). The full report is scheduled for release in the Fall.

The Summary begins by demagogically portraying the WBGU plan for genocide as in the spirit of the "democratic" overthrow of dictatorial regimes, making the grandiose claim: "The transformation towards a low-carbon society is therefore as much an ethical imperative as the abolition of slavery and the condemnation of child labour." The authors absolutely reject nuclear energy, calling for its immediate termination, while stating, "The nuclear disaster in Japan makes it clear that we must choose the fast lane towards a low-carbon future without nuclear energy."

"The WBGU urgently advises against the use of nuclear energy. Existing plants should be replaced by sustainable energy technologies as soon as possible. The phase-out of nuclear energy must not be compensated by renewed or intensified brown or black coal-based energy generation." Any energy source based on fossil fuels is rejected.

1. See Helga Zepp-LaRouche, "No to Global 'Gleichschaltung': Make June 17 the Day of German Resistance," *EIR*, May 6, 2011.

A Resolution To Stop the WBGU

Helga Zepp-LaRouche issued this resolution on May 5, 2011; it is now circulating internationally for endorsements.

The public document issued by the Federal Scientific Advisory Board for Global Environmental Changes (WBGU), “World Transition—Social Contract for a Great Transformation” proposes the “decarbonization” of the world energy economy, that is, the complete elimination of oil, coal, and gas, as well as the renunciation of both nuclear fission as well as further research on thermonuclear fusion energy, and demands the exclusive utilization of so-called renewable energy by the year 2050.

This so-called “Great Transformation” is supposed to effect a change comparable to the transition from a

hunter-and-gatherer to an agrarian society, and from an agrarian to an industrial society. This time, however, through a dangerous step backward, in the course of which Germany would no longer exist as an industrial nation. On a worldwide scale, the low energy-flux density of so-called renewables corresponds to a maximum population potential of 1-2 billion people.

For the developing sector this transformation means quite simply a massive population reduction. For this reason, the developing nations rejected this “suicide pact” at the 2009 Copenhagen Climate Conference.

The “global social contract” proposed by the WBGU means nothing else than an imperial, global ecofascism, based on a view of humanity that is filled with contempt, and that proceeds from ideological, rather than scientific premises.

On these and further grounds we, the undersigned, condemn this malicious proposal and call for the dissolution of the WBGU.

To implement this program requires the elimination of national sovereignty, they write. “The transformation can only succeed if nation states put global cooperation mechanisms before their own short-term oriented interests. By the middle of the century the global energy systems must largely be decarbonised. At the center of any decarbonisation strategy must be the massive extension of the renewable energies, and the infrastructure they need.”

Not only does the WBGU propose this for the industrialized sector, but “even the poorer developing countries must veer towards a low-emission development path in the medium-term.”

The pretext, of course, is the danger posed by “anthropogenic climate change,” a pseudo-scientific concoction which the WBGU claims is universally accepted by scientists. They outline “three key transformation fields” which they intend to pursue, and lobby others to pursue:

1. *The transformation field “energy,”* which requires “renewable resources,” “energy efficiency,” and “lifestyle changes” to bring “the era of fossil energy carrier reliant economic growth . . . to an end.”

2. *The transformation field “urbanisation,”* because most people live in cities, and will increasingly do so.

3. *The transformation field “land-use,”* which focuses on agricultural land, with the peculiar argument that “one particular challenge here is the change in eating habits in favour of animal products in many regions of the world.”

The End of the Nation-State

To accomplish this fascist transformation, the WBGU proposes a “new social contract,” which eliminates the nation-state. “The nation state can no longer be considered the sole basis for the contractual relationship.”

The first target, not unexpectedly, is Germany: “The federal German administrative bodies should undergo a climate mainstreaming at federal, state and local level. . . . Legislative, executive and judiciary bodies are legally bound to act.

“National policies have reached their limits, both in terms of time and scope. We must therefore discuss how the (supposed) interests of future generations can be taken into account *in contemporary elections and polls, and how people beyond national borders can be included, in accordance with transnational democracy.* The WBGU proposes an extension to parliamentary legislative procedure in the form of a deliberative ‘future chamber’ to provide an institutional anchor for

future-oriented interests. *To avoid interest and party political interference, chamber membership could, for example, be decided by drawing lots*” (emphasis added). . . .

“The WBGU advises the German federal government to support augmentation of EU legislative authority to allow for the definition and implementation of a European energy strategy, including the determination of the respective energy carriers, and the extension and rebuilding of cross-border infrastructures, to achieve a decarbonised, EU-wide energy system by 2050 based on the largest possible share of renewables.”

While Germany is to be “mainstreamed” into submission (the Nazis called it *Gleichschaltung*, or “leveling,” the elimination of political opposition by mass brainwashing and other means), the sovereign state is to be subordinated to a new imperial super-state: “*Statehood transcends national borders and sovereignties*, particularly as far as climate, energy and the environment are concerned; this aspect also requires new supra- and transnational institutions” (emphasis added).

Globally, the report proposes to replace the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) with the International Organization of Renewable Energies (IRENA), which could then “step by step . . . be developed further into an International Sustainable Energy Agency.”

Further, “the World Bank should develop a measurable sophisticated strategy for low-carbon transformation.” Strategic “decarbonization partnerships” should also be created. “One concrete measure is the major extension of the Africa-Europe Energy Partnership and the potential expansion of Desertec⁷ further south.”

On agriculture, “the WBGU emphasizes the major significance of a rapid and more extensive liberalization of global agricultural trade under the WTO. The agricultural subsidies in the EU and the other OECD countries should soon be reduced further and market access for developing countries should be improved.”

“At national or EU level, the WBGU advocates the establishment of national Green Investment Banks.”

“Use the G20 as the driving force for a sustainable

FIGURE 1
Germany’s Nuclear Plants



Creative Commons/Lencer


The reactors closed since March 14, 2011 are in red (light tone in black and white); operating reactors are in blue (dark tone). Figures 1-3 are not from the WBGU report, but are supplied by EIR to illustrate the role of nuclear power in Germany’s economy. The WBGU wants to shut all the reactors down, beginning in June.

global energy and climate policy. . . . The German federal government should encourage the G20 in their determined pursuit of a sustainable energy political agenda, and the creation of the institutional foundations required for effective global cooperation.” Specifically, the “G20 could then even become the driving force behind modernizing the entire UN system.”

The WBGU proposes “a review of the UN Charter” and “a completely restructured United Nations organization.” This includes “establishment of a Council for Sustainable Development, on par with the UN Security Council,” and the establishment of a World Commission for Low-Carbon Urban Development

7. For what Desertec really means, see Hussein Askary, “Call To Kill Desertec Solar Power Project,” EIR, Oct. 15, 2010, <http://tiny.cc/efemp>.

FIGURE 2
Germany's Electricity Mix, 2009



Sources: Statistisches Bundesamt, BMWi, BDEW, AGEB, AGEE-Stat, www.unendlich-viel-energie.de.

About 24% of Germany's electricity came from nuclear power prior to the "moratorium" declared by the government after March 11. When seven nuclear plants were temporarily taken offline after the Japanese earthquake, Germany, which had been an electricity-exporter, was forced to import power. It is coming mainly from nuclear plants in France and the Czech Republic.

and a Global Commission for Sustainable Land Use.

The WBGU admits: "Politically, this requires a historically unprecedented transcending of established

release funds for higher priority tasks."


To promote the disappearance of the "carbon economy," the plan calls for using "feed-in tariffs"—prefer-

sovereignty concepts and purely power-driven global politics in favour of ensuring the long-term availability of global commons."

The plan targets developing nations that have resisted such genocidal, imperial policies as recently as the December 2009 Copenhagen Climate Change Conference: "For Germany and the EU, the major newly industrializing countries China, India and Brazil are particularly important in this respect."

Another feature of the plan is the elimination not only of fossil fuels and nuclear fission, but the sabotage of the development of fusion power, which is the single most essential energy source for the future. It is produced from seawater, and yields no radioactivity. But, suggests the WBGU: "Grants for energy generation through nuclear fusion could be stretched across a longer term to

FIGURE 3
Renewable Energies' Shares of the Energy Supply in Germany



Germany's use of so-called renewable energies is creeping up, year by year, with heavy subsidies. But take fossil fuels and nuclear power out of the equation, and Germany would cease to exist as an industrialized country.

ential pricing structures—to favor such wasteful technologies as solar and wind over fossil fuels; and the “cap and trade” system, whereby low-polluters may sell their pollution permits to high-polluters: “The WBGU considers cap and trade to be the more effective instrument,” compared to taxation. The document does not mention the billions that are currently being made, and will be made, by speculative trading in carbon futures!

Otherwise the WBGU proposes a total reorganization of the educational system of Germany to eliminate any opposition to its master plan: “Transformation education should be given a higher priority in the German sustainability strategy.” This includes “new combinations of bachelor and masters courses, teacher training modules for transformation of relevant systemic education and degree programs for transformation sciences . . . opportunities for life-long on-the-job training.” The document “suggests the establishment of low-carbon business schools and interdisciplinary faculties for low-carbon land use, energy science, urbanization and transformation-specific management in order to support the transformation process.”

And in conclusion, the WBGU report, after all its talk about democracy and scientific authority for its claims, declares: “The fossil-nuclear metabolism of the industrialized society has no future. . . . So nothing less than a new social contract must be agreed to. *Science will play a decisive, although subservient role here.* Ultimately, sustainability is a question of imagination” (emphasis added).

What do they mean by “imagination”? Just what Adolf Hitler promoted at his Nuremberg rallies.

Schellnhuber’s Media Propaganda Escalates

*Since the release of the preliminary WBGU report last month, co-author Hans-Joachim Schellnhuber has been on the stump to spread his fascist line through the German media. We quote and comment on a few particularly outrageous items from his article in the May 4 establishment daily **Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung**, titled “Contract for Future Generations: Forward to Nature.” The quotes are translated from German.*

While generally outlining the WBGU policy, the author uses more hysteria-inducing language, for what he pre-

sumably expects to be a larger audience. He describes coal, for example, as “a black mineral torn from the Earth” (ouch!) The massive use of coal, he writes, “produced the Age of Industry in Europe, and, thereby, domination of the world by ‘the West.’” The industrial revolution is therefore, according to him, “the result of that geochemical quirk of nature which took millions of years of sunlight and concentrated it into preserved fossil cemeteries of organic material.”

Does man’s creative discovery have anything to do with revolutions in technology? Nah, these are “quirks of nature.”

Take nuclear power: The United States achieved hegemony, he writes, in part “through its utilization of yet another quirk of nature, namely the instability of certain heavy atomic nuclei.”

In a distant (and perverted) echo of V.I. Vernadsky and Lyndon LaRouche, the author writes: “In the Age of Fire (*Pyrozän*), humanity has grown to become the determining planetary force. . . .” But don’t think that’s a good thing: “Most aspects of the realities of life today remain straitjacketed within the borders of nation-states.”

Furthermore, “with the Fukushima explosion on March 11, 2011, the underlying trust which a technology-worshipping comfort society used to place in nuclear energy production, has now melted into so much residual waste.” *Explosion?* Of course, Schellnhuber knows perfectly well that there was no nuclear explosion at Japan’s Fukushima power plant. There were explosions of *hydrogen* gas, but no “mushroom cloud,” no radioactive fallout, no deaths. Do Schellnhuber’s readers know that? Unlikely.

Then, there’s the WBGU program for a “new social contract.” The author claims that “world energy consumption will be only slightly reduced, without impinging on living standards”—which is the opposite of what the organization’s report actually says (see accompanying *Documentation*).

He elaborates a bit on the report’s advocacy of (fascist) electoral and constitutional changes: “We should also consider innovative formats for democratic participation, such as possible constitutional challenges to non-future-oriented policies [!], plebiscites with obligatory participation [!] on special occasions, or the election of special ombudsmen to advocate the rights of future generations—perhaps even with a seat in parliament.”

Any population that would accept that certainly does lack the moral fitness to survive.

—Susan Welsh

Bertrand Russell from the Grave: Schellnhuber and John Holdren

by Nancy Spannaus

May 9—It would be a dangerous illusion to think of Hans Joachim (called “John” from childhood) Schellnhuber, lead author of the genocidal tract on deindustrializing the world we discuss above, as a “German” problem, or even a product of Germany. Schellnhuber, like his close colleague John Holdren, President Obama’s Science Advisor, is the spawn of a global genocide cult established by Lord Bertrand Russell at the beginning of the 20th century. As with Britain’s Hitler project of that century, his aim is “first Germany, then the world.”

The essential content of the psychotic proposals of both Schellnhuber and Holdren, directly echoes that of Russell: that mankind is merely a consuming beast whose numbers must be controlled, by harsh and disgusting methods, if necessary. Russell was speaking for the oligarchy into which he was bred—and he was open about it, going so far as to advocate lying to manipulate the population to accept the oligarchy’s policy. Schellnhuber’s and Holdren’s only excuses are that they were born into a culture dominated by Russell’s anti-human ideas—and, through their ambitions and high-level sponsorship, have become spokesmen for the oligarchy’s genocidal policy.

Should you doubt the insanity of these “experts,” a quote Schellnhuber from a March 13, 2009 pre-meeting of the Copenhagen Climate Change Conference should dramatize the point. While lying that unless all fossil

fuel and nuclear power consumption were shut down immediately, the Earth’s temperature would rise by 9°F soon—unless his deindustrialization policy were carried out, Schellnhuber declared:


“In a cynical way, it’s a triumph for science, because at last we have stabilized something—namely the estimates for the carrying capacity of the planet, namely below 1 billion people. What a triumph. On the other hand, do we want this alternative? I think we can do much, much better.”

Schellnhuber’s crazy estimate of a carrying capacity of 1 billion people corresponds to what would happen if mankind were to actually adopt the anti-nuclear, anti-fossil fuel, anti-advanced agriculture, anti-science policies which he and a core group of environmentalist “experts” are pushing around the globe. That’s the opposite of what he claims; but whether he’s ignorant or lying is not the point. The authors of such tracts have to be removed from positions of power immediately, if the human race is to survive.

mediately, if the human race is to survive.


Not a ‘German’ Problem

Schellnhuber’s international training began in the early 1980s, when he did his post-doctoral work in mathematical physics at the Institute of Theoretical Physics at the University of California at Santa Barbara. His specialty, as befits a budding member of a global genocide cult, was “chaos theory.”



UN Photo

Bertrand Russell (1872-1970) spawned several generations of malthusians, systems analysts, one-worldists, and all-around genocidalists.



<http://nachhaltigkeit2009.commerzbank.de>

Hans Joachim Schellnhuber's work with anti-industry malthusians in many countries underlines the point that this is not a "German" operation, though Germany is a key target.

When he returned to Germany, Schellnhuber went into systems analysis, and eventually, lawfully, found himself in the "global warming" business. From his Potsdam Institute for Climate Research, he was seconded to the Tyndall Centre for Climate Change Research from 2002 to 2005, where he became an advisor to then-Prime Minister Tony Blair on climate.

It was during that period that Queen Elizabeth herself sent "John" to see President George W. Bush, and convince him that he had to go along with the global warming fraud. In 2004, he also became a Commander of the Most Excellent Order (CBE) of the British Empire—an "honor" bestowed in Berlin by Queen Elizabeth personally.

Schellnhuber has reportedly been called upon to testifying before Congress a number of times, and in the Fall of 2009, he was in the United States to meet with members of the Obama Administration, and possibly Obama himself, in preparation for the Copenhagen conference, which he considered the "most important meeting in the history of the human species." Upon telling the Obama crew that he thought the U.S. would have to bring itself down to zero carbon emissions by 2020, Schellnhuber was informed that his proposals were "not grounded in political reality," and the Senate would never approve them. He concluded that the

United States was "climate illiterate," but has obviously not given up on ramming his program through.

An Alter-Ego in Holdren

Schellnhuber's closest U.S. connection appears to a very highly placed co-thinker, President Obama's head of the Office of Science and Technology, John Holdren. Holdren also began his career in physics, but very early on, he hooked up with one of the most radical environmentalist kooks on the U.S. scene, Paul Ralph Ehrlich. Holdren co-authored an article with Ehrlich in 1969, arguing that, "if the population control measures are not initiated immediately, and effectively, all the technology man can bring to bear will not fend off the misery to come."

While Holdren may claim that he no longer believes in coercive population control—including through world government measures—as he later espoused, his environmentalist policies say the opposite. Most notable is his opposition to the development of thermonuclear fusion energy, on the grounds that its cheapness and abundance (it uses seawater as a fuel) would encourage people to consume freely! Appropriately, he has a long history of involvement with the Pugwash Conferences on Science and World Affairs, the world-government brainchild of genocidalist Russell; Holdren actually received a Nobel Prize on its behalf in 1995.

Schellnhuber and Holdren are longtime collaborators, rubbing shoulders long before Holdren was appointed by Obama. They are both affiliated with the Sustainability Science Program at Harvard's Kennedy School of Government's Center for International Development. Together, they participated in the American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS) Annual Meeting on Feb. 17, 2007, in a symposium on "Grand Challenges in Sustainability Science." At the time, Holdren was the AAAS president. Schellnhuber became an associate member of the AAAS in 2005.

The mid-2000s marked a heyday for what has come to be known as the Climate Mafia, those so-called scientists who have determined to ignore all evidence contradicting their assertion that man, through his creation of a modern agro-industrial society and the growth of population, has created a climate crisis. Al Gore was



U.S. State Department

John P. Holdren is President Obama's principal advisor on science and technology. Here, he gives a presentation at the Copenhagen Climate Conference, Dec. 16, 2009.



EIRNS/Michelle Rasmussen

Former Vice President Al Gore beats the drums for the "climate change" mafia at world business summit on climate change in Copenhagen, May 24, 2009.

given a Nobel Prize in 2006 for his flim-flam film, "An Inconvenient Truth," and a worldwide mobilization got underway in anticipation for the 2009 UN Framework Convention on Climate Change, better known as the Copenhagen Conference, where the genocidal nutcases like Prince "I want to be reincarnated as a deadly virus" Philip, who direct the environmental movement from the top, hoped to get agreement on massive cutbacks in industrial activity—and thus, population.

Part of that buildup was carried out by Schellnhuber's Potsdam Institute, which pulled together (with Prince Philip's World Wildlife Fund as a "partner") a conference in October 2007 on "Global Sustainability: A Nobel Cause," and got dozens of "experts" to sign on to a policy for a "Great Transformation" for deindustrialization. This was a precursor to Schellnhuber's recent "master plan for a Great Transformation," now issued from his position as Environmental Advisor to Chancellor Angela Merkel.

The Aspen Institute's "2009 Energy Solutions Summit" brought Schellnhuber together with various Obama Administration officials, including Holdren and two top U.S. Department of Energy officials, as well as other environmentalists from academia and private think tanks, in hopes of forming the "consensus" for Copenhagen. Behind closed doors (no reporters or transcripts allowed, per Chatham House rules), they forged a "Global GreenPrint," from which a "to-do" list was compiled, including a letter to President Obama, and

policies for government departments.

In that same year, Schellnhuber participated in a conference pulled together by no less a genocidalist than Britain's Prince Charles, who assembled 60 Nobel laureates at the St. James Palace to issue a memorandum that demanded an end to "carbon-intensive economies." While Holdren does not seem to have been present, U.S. Secretary of Energy Steven Chu participated in this effort.

For a number of years, a group calling itself the "Climate Change Emergency Medical Response" has frequently cited Schellnhuber and Holdren in the same breath. The group identifies itself as follows: "We are professionals concerned about climate change and anyone else who agrees with Al Gore, UN Secretary-General Ban Ki-moon, eminent senior climate change scientists such as James Hansen, John Holdren, Hans Schellnhuber, and Bill Hare, that we are beyond dangerous interference with the climate system, and have reached a state of planetary emergency."

A recent statement by this outfit calls upon the medical profession to treat climate change, that is, "dangerous anthropogenic interference (DAI) with the climate system," as a medical emergency. "Obviously the world is now far beyond DAI," the statement declares, "and fortunately this has been acknowledged by long-standing climate change scientists such as James Hansen, John Holdren, Hans Schellnhuber, Bill Hare, and Andrew Glickson."

Unsustainable Bailouts Bring Euro System to Its Knees

by Our Wiesbaden Bureau

May 10—On May 6, the finance ministers of the largest European Union nations celebrated the successful imposition of a bailout package on Portugal—at the same time that they were trying to avoid burying the Greek bailout, one year after its birth. The ceremonies occurred at a “secret” meeting in Luxembourg, where the finance ministers of at least the six nominally solvent members of the European Union—Germany, France, Netherlands, Austria, Finland, and Luxembourg—met at Senningen Castle to discuss the fact that, under the terms of the bailout regime, the Greek economy is about to blow apart, and with it, the euro system as a whole.

If anyone was expecting consoling words to come out of the meeting, they were sorely disappointed. Amid widespread rumors that Greece would simply pull out of the euro system, Luxembourg’s Finance Minister Jean-Claude Juncker issued a vehement denial: “We are not discussing the exit of Greece from the euro area; this is a stupid idea and an avenue we would never take. . . . We don’t want to have the euro area exploding without any reason.”

But exploding is exactly what the European system is doing, precisely as the LaRouche movement had warned from the beginning. From the outset, the European Union and European Central Bank adopted a policy of guaranteeing the bad gambling debts of the major private banks in the bankrupt Inter-Alpha Group-dominated system, and then transferring the obligation to pay for them to the national governments. This action guaranteed the collapse of the physical economy of

those governments, and thus created an increasingly worsening crisis—which *cannot* be solved under the current system.

In fact, the euro system should be disbanded, and nations throughout the continent should return to sovereign national currencies and economies, *without* the burden of the massive debts which have been dumped on them by private speculative interests, and with the imposition of a banking separation based on a Glass-Steagall standard. Realistically, such action is likely to occur *after* the reimposition of Glass-Steagall in the United States, rather than before.

The Greek Crisis

Over the course of the last year, Greece has been subjected to the full brunt of the EU program. Financial rescue packages amounting to as much as EU110 billion have been promised, while draconian austerity programs imposing cuts in wages, pensions, and government services have been demanded and implemented. Serious social unrest, including strikes, have failed to derail the process.

Not surprisingly, Greece has fallen into a deep economic crisis, and now needs to borrow more money in order to meet its payments. Estimates go as high as an additional EU50 billion.

But it is becoming increasingly obvious that this money will simply go into a black hole. Some EU finance ministers have asked Greece to offer assets as collateral for the loans. This means, in case of a default,

such assets (mostly land and real estate properties) would be seized. “But,” as a reporter for Italy’s *Corriere della Sera* wrote, “for such an option, the Papan-dreou government should resign and be replaced by a government of national unity.”

A default seems unavoidable. Greece must collect EU40 billion on the market next year, and even more the following year. Markets are betting on the default, with two-year yields on Greek bonds up to 25%, indicating that the bet is on a default between 2012 and 2013.

So, not surprisingly, rumors are circulating widely that Greece will demand a “restructuring” of the terms of its payments—a “haircut”—or else it will leave the euro system. But such an action would threaten the whole house of cards, especially in an environment where debtors and creditors alike are in virtual despair, and calls for a return to sovereign currencies are becoming more and more frequent.

Questioning the System

As the system unravels, discussion of the dissolution of the euro system is less and less taboo. The head of Germany’s IFO economic institute, Hans-Werner Sinn, called on Greece to leave the euro in order to avoid destruction. If Greece tries to cut wages by 20%, as required, the country “would slide towards civil war,” Sinn said in an interview with the May 8 *Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung*. A restructuring of Greek debt is unavoidable, he believes, whether Greece stays in the Eurozone or leaves, the difference being that the country will survive without the euro.

Sinn was attacked by Industry Minister Rainer Brüderle, but received support from the economics spokesman of Brüderle’s party, the FDP, Frank Schaeffler.

Meanwhile, a similar debate has developed in Ireland around the proposal by economist Morgan Kelly to give the toxic assets back to the banks. “National survival requires that Ireland walk away from the bailout,” Kelly wrote in the *Irish Times* on May 7. The government-owned bad bank, NAMA, should be returned to the banks, and this would become a problem for the ECB, “not ours.”

In Italy, some are awakening to the fact that the country, despite carrying a 120% debt over GDP, has to borrow EU125 billion for the so-called European Stabilization Mechanism (ESM). Sergio Cesaratto, a professor from the University of Siena, and Lanfranco Turci, the former governor of Emilia-Romagna, point out in *Il Riformista* May 3 that “Italy will be called on to pay for responsi-

bilities which Italy does not have,” since the ESM is aimed at saving British, German, and French banks.

No Leadership

Despite such voices, including the consistent clarification call from the European LaRouche movement on the nature of the solution, no country in Europe has the leadership to resist the process. So, the bailouts of the bankers, *by the taxpayers*, go on, as do the vicious cuts in living standards. After Greece’s EU110 billion bailout, came Ireland, with EU85 billion, and now Portugal, with EU78 billion. And in all of these cases, the figures are set to rise.

Take the Portuguese deal, allegedly just concluded—although there is *no* elected government with the authority to commit to the conditions. The Portuguese government has committed to reducing the deficit to 5.9% of GDP this year, and to 4.5% next year, to reach a level of 3% in 2013. Currently, the deficit is over 7% of Portugal’s GDP.

Caretaker Prime Minister José Socrates—Portugal’s voters just threw out his government for proposing austerity packages to save the banks—has claimed that there will not be any cuts in public sector wages or the national minimum wage, nor any dismissals of state workers. However, Portuguese media report that a special tax on pensions above EU1,500 a month is being discussed, as well as a freeze in public sector pay and pensions until 2013.

Unemployment benefits will not be paid for any longer than 18 months (compared with three years currently), and will not exceed a maximum of EU1,048 a month (down from EU1,258). Major state infrastructure projects along with public-private partnerships are to be suspended while their viability is reassessed. And the government is supposed to pay EU12 billion to “re-capitalize” the banks.

On May 17, the Ecofin committee of the EU is expected to approve the deal. However, Finland might not sign, which means that the EU has to find an expedient, such as an abstention formula.

If this whole process sounds familiar, it should. It’s not only a repeat of Greece and Ireland—but also of U.S. states such as California, which have put themselves through one round of budget cuts after another, only to find their deficits larger and larger, their debt growing, and their people devastated. What is needed is a different principle—the Glass-Steagall principle—and now.

Obama's Jobs Fraud: Labor Force Vanishes

by Paul Gallagher

May 9—In its Sept. 3, 2010 issue, as claims of “recovery and private-sector job creation” were flogged by the Obama White House, *EIR* published this shocking fact about the real shrinkage of the American labor force:

“As late as the decade of the 1990s, about 80% of the civilian, non-institutionalized U.S. residents who entered the working age range of 16-65 years, or immigrated into it, joined and stayed in the workforce. (Being “in the workforce” essentially means that besides being capable of working, a person is employed or immediately seeking work.) But in the Bush-Obama decade now ending, only 30% did so, and one in six of that 30% are now officially unemployed or forced to work only part-time. Obviously, the great majority of the other 70%, some 17.5 million new working-age Americans since 2000, did not stay out of the workforce by choice. The thorough economic collapse closed the door to it against them, or booted them out of it, usually after six months to two years or more of unemployment and inability to find work. Those 17 million are the underwater part of the iceberg of unemployment, beyond the 23 million or so currently officially unemployed and underemployed.

“The real ‘disappeared employment’ in the collapsed U.S. economy is in the range of 35-40 million, relative to what it must be—and will be, starting with a new President, and a Glass-Steagall credit system.”

The Labor Department’s reports on which that conclusion was based, went up to July 1, 2009. Has this destruction of the labor force changed, now that the government reports “growing private sector job gains for 10 straight months,” as high as 244,000 in April 2011?

No, it has gotten worse. Between April 2009 and April 2011, the “civilian, non-institutionalized” population referred to above, has grown by 3.8 million. But during those two years, 5.3 million more U.S. residents have exited the labor force! The total labor force has shrunk by a further 1.53 million. Employment and participation in the labor force, as percentages

of population, have both dropped in that period to 50-year record lows. And these developments continued right up through this much-crowded-about April 2011, when another 131,000 Americans left the labor force.

Deliberate Fakery

The Obama Administration is not uninformed of this; it is faking the dismal employment picture. The fact that the reports above come from the same source—the Labor Department’s Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS)—as the ten straight monthly reports of “growing private sector job creation,” is evidence that this is not statistical incompetence, but fraud.

The most recent report, for April 2011, claimed net creation of 268,000 jobs in the private sector (and a loss of 24,000 in governments at all levels, which have eliminated 350,000 jobs since August 2010). But 175,000 jobs created in April were “virtual.” These “jobs” are not found by the BLS’s surveys, but “imputed” by the its computers, according to a program which continually assumes that new, small businesses, which the government hasn’t found yet, are hiring employees at a certain flexible rate.

If it sounds incredible that this “virtual hiring” would be two-thirds of all job creation, it is. If it seems that such anomalies would even out over months and years, they have not. In the BLS’s last two “annual revisions,” in which the agency matches actual tax, payroll, and other records to its earlier month-by-month blind imputation of new businesses, it has had to drop a total of 1.4 million jobs—i.e., to admit that they did not exist. So the BLS had been overestimating new employment by 50-70,000 jobs every month, over a full two years, ending in March 2010. The most recently published “revision,” covering April-September 2010, found that *more* jobs were actually eliminated by small firms going under, than created by new start-ups. There are other, “seasonal adjustment” manipulations as well: “The entire [BLS] report is seasonally adjusted to be positive, while the non-adjusted data is just awful,” said economist Jim Fitzgibbons.

But the mere fact that the government *tripled down* on “virtual” job creation this month, after its own revisions showed it to be false for years on end, shows that this has gone over to deliberate fraud, by and for the Obama White House. As Lyndon LaRouche said, “The President has no connection to truth. Everything he does is a lie. Let’s get him out of there.”

Rejecting Nuclear Power Is 'Incomprehensible, Irrational'

by Helga Zepp-LaRouche

This article was translated from German.

Wiesbaden, May 7—The earthquakes hitting the international financial system are rising higher and higher on the Richter scale: an impending breakup of the Eurozone, the new threat of a financial super-crisis, the collapse of the dollar, disintegration of the United States, rapidly rising inflation. In view of the impending catastrophe, demand for a two-tier banking system is growing louder in many countries; only in Germany are the established parties continuing to afford themselves the luxury of a special German option: the ruinous phase-out of nuclear energy. On the contrary, other states, such as France, China, Poland, and many others, are accelerating their expansion of fission power and development of nuclear fusion.

Since citizens of this country are truly living in the Valley of the Clueless, thanks to the lock-step media coverage and the almost unanimous chorus of the politicians, it is useful to take a look at the debate on this subject in other countries. During his visit to a nuclear power plant in Gravelines on May 3, French President Nicolas Sarkozy affirmed in no uncertain terms France's "strategic decision" in favor of nuclear energy: "I want to make things clear, when responding to the anxiety of so many observers who know nothing about nuclear power, and who mix everything up and say things that are disrespectful of our engineers and technicians: As head of state, I have full trust in the French nuclear park. . . . I would like to say to those who say that we

need a moratorium in the future, that their position is incomprehensible. . . . And some say that because there was a tsunami in Japan, we should give up what makes France strong, France's pride, France's nuclear independence. That would be irrational and unreasonable." Without nuclear power, the price of energy would quadruple, Sarkozy stressed.

In China, Duan Xuru, the head of a 200-member team of scientists at the National Center for Fusion Research at the South-West Institute of Physics, said that the prospects for the development of advanced nuclear power plants as well as nuclear fusion have greatly improved since the accident in Fukushima, Japan because now the whole world is looking at China, which has already invested on a large scale in these new technologies, and which will now expand the funding for them even more. Because of the problems in Japan, the government hopes that nuclear fusion can be achieved in the near future, said Duan.

In our eastern neighbor, Poland, the media is making fun of the hysteria in Germany, saying that Germans, unlike Poles, are dominated by *Angst*. Polish Prime Minister Donald Tusk categorically refused to agree to the demand of Brandenburg Minister President Matthias Platzeck to cancel the planned nuclear power plant near Gdansk, saying that this is not in an earthquake zone and furthermore is 300 km east of the German border. Teresa Kaminska, technical director of the project, expressed her incredulity about the reaction in Germany, because the events in Fukushima demonstrated,



Présidence de la République/L. Blevenec



polska.re.pl

Among the many world leaders who are reaffirming their commitment to nuclear power: French President Nicolas Sarkozy (left) said in a speech at the nuclear plant at Gravelines on May 3, that he has full trust in France's nuclear program, and has no intention of abandoning it. Polish Prime Minister Donald Tusk (right) refused to cancel the planned nuclear plant near Gdansk, on the demand of a German state governor.

contrary to the hysteria, that “even in such an emergency situation, no major radioactive fallout occurred in Japan.”

This list of reactions in other countries that intend, now more than ever, to invest rationally in research on more advanced fission power reactors and in the exploration of nuclear fusion, could be extended with many more examples.

The German Exception

How, then, is the unprecedented hysteria and irrationality in Germany to be understood? One very important reason for it is that the uniform media coverage has, for decades, but especially since March 11, allowed almost no serious scientist to get a word in edgewise; instead, the media publicizes a barrage of claims by Greenpeace activists, environmentalist “experts,” and green “physicists.”

Thus, the *Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung* on May 4 made its pages available to the outrageous high priest of a religious-fundamentalist sect associated with the British monarchy, a self-confessed member of the Gaia cult, Hans Joachim Schellnhuber. [See *Feature*, in this issue.] Under the headline “Forward to Nature,” Schellnhuber manages to compress an astonishing amount of pathological distortion of history into a confined space. He actually blames the Industrial Revolution of the 18th and 19th centuries for the colonial policies of the imperial powers of Europe, while in truth, the British Empire, for example, used well-known methods to try to sup-

press any industrialization in its colonies. The American Revolution occurred precisely for this reason. But, of course, when it comes to Schellnhuber, he who pays the piper, calls the tune. And, by the way, George III lost his mind because he lost the American colonies.

Schellnhuber goes on with an indigestible cocktail of prejudices, tendentious claims, and manipulative and specious arguments about the United States, and mud-slinging against German scientists, finally making the ludicrous claim that the fact that “humanity has grown to become the determining planetary force” reaches its triumphant climax “in the globalization frenzy of the late 20th Century, which came about primarily through the removal of barriers and restrictions on international financial, raw materials, and capital goods markets.”

Probably the glasses through which Mr. Schellnhuber views the world are so plastered over with dollar bills and pound notes that he can only perceive the activities of the financial sector, but not man’s creative reason as the basis of his role not only on the planet, but in the universe.

He further asserts that “with the Fukushima explosion on March 11, 2011, the underlying trust which a technology-worshipping comfort society used to place in nuclear energy production, has now melted into so much residual waste.” Obviously, he is hoping that his readers do not know the difference between a nuclear explosion and the burning of mixtures of explosive gas, and that they are not interested in the nuclear policies of

China, France, Poland, and many other countries. He seems to be unaware that the future “roughly 5 billion” cars he is so worried about could be avoided, if we built a modern infrastructure program, for example, one based on the Transrapid and other maglev train techniques for underground transport. But he admits that he is living in a half-wit society.

And of course, he again touts his WBGU¹ report on a “social contract for a Great Transformation,” which contains a detailed proposal for a social contract of global eco-dictatorship. The proposed full transfer of national sovereignty to a world imperium violates the German Constitution, and certainly the so-called Lisbon decision of the Constitutional Court in Karlsruhe. The proposal made by one of his WBGU colleagues, Claus Leggewie, for so-called “future chambers” as the third pillar of parliamentary democracy, which would take precedence over Parliament, and would approve or disapprove laws based on their “sustainability,” is also not provided for in the Constitution. Maybe all these proposals should be scrutinized by the Federal Office for Protection of the Constitution (the Verfassungschutz).

The WBGU’S unscientific views are nothing more

than the desperate and malicious expression of a delphic, oligarchical tradition that goes back to Cambridge System Analysis and the International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis (IIASA). What is being proposed, namely the so-called “decarbonization” of the world energy economy—i.e., its full conversion to exclusively renewable energies—would sustain a potential population of no more than 1-2 billion people. The Swedish climate realist Pierre Gosselin called the WBGU study a green *Mein Kampf*, which is now lying on the desk of every Environment Minister of the EU countries [<http://tiny.cc/w3uh6>]. It is highly questionable whether a German Chancellor can afford to be associated with a “scientific” advisor like Schellnhuber.

So why has there not been a single challenge to the content of this monstrous document in Germany, aside from this author’s Appeal [published in last week’s *EIR*]? Do we really want to put our freedom and potentially the lives of billions of people at risk?

The Real Alternative

It is extremely doubtful at this time that the troika of the EU Commission, the European Central Bank, and the IMF will be able to force Greece to accept even greater austerity, because that policy has already reduced living standards there by 25%. The dramatic emergency summit of European finance ministers in Luxembourg yesterday only managed to force Greece not to leave the euro system or to carry out debt restructuring—but probably only for the next few days. If the deal with Greece collapses, not only will the agreement with Portugal end up as wastepaper as well, but we would then be looking at a financial crisis that could overshadow even the bankruptcy of Lehman Brothers, as ECB chief economist Jürgen Stark correctly observed on April 23.

In the United States, Rep. Marcy Kaptur (D-Ohio) has introduced into the House of Representatives a bill for a two-tier banking system in the tradition of Glass-Steagall, H.R. 1489, which is supported by a growing number of Congressmen. A similar bill is going to be introduced into the Senate.

The only way that the abovementioned earthquakes in the world financial system can be overcome, is the immediate introduction of a two-tier banking system in Europe also, before it is too late—and that could even be within this month.

That is the real alternative, and not the pathological nightmare of the high priest of the very system that is right now going irreversibly bankrupt.

LAROUCHE	
THE FOREMOST	
ECONOMIST AND	
PHILOSOPHER	
OF OUR TIME:	
HOW COGNITION	
CHANGES HISTORY.	
<h1>The Power Of Reason</h1> <p>An Autobiography by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.</p>	
<p>Order from EIR News Service, Inc. \$10 P.O. Box 17390 Washington, D.C. 20041-0390 plus shipping OR Order by phone, (\$4.00 for first copy, \$1.00 for toll-free: 1-800-278-3135 each additional book). Virginia residents add 4.5% sales tax. We accept MasterCard and Visa.</p>	

Signs of Hope in a Troubled Region

by Dean Andromidas

May 6—As long as President Barack Obama is in the White House and Benjamin Netanyahu is Prime Minister of Israel, the prospects for Mideast peace remain largely hopeless. But for many of the people of the region—hope is all they have, to face what has been an uncertain future, fraught with poverty, the humiliation of occupation, and a denial of sovereignty, that has lasted for more than seven decades.

In the face of all this, a glimmer of hope has broken through with the recent Palestinian unity agreement between Hamas and Fatah, brokered by Egypt and Turkey. The agreement, signed by Palestinian President and Fatah leader Mahmoud Abbas, and Hamas leader Khaled Meshaal on May 4, put an end to the de facto civil war between the factions, and the division between the Fatah-controlled West Bank and the Hamas-controlled Gaza Strip that has existed since 2007.

In an indication of support for the agreement, the signing ceremony was attended by representatives of the United Nations, the European Union, and the Arab League, as well as Egyptian officials and Turkish Foreign Minister Ahmet Davutoglu. Also attending, were Israeli Arab Knesset members Mohammed Barakeh, Talab al-Sana, and Ahmed Tibi, and former member Wasal Tah.

The agreement calls for putting together a caretaker government until elections are to be held next year.

“We are certain of success so long as we are united,” Abbas said. “Reconciliation clears the way not only for putting the Palestinian house in order, but also to a just peace.”

Meshaal declared that the Palestinians were “closing ranks in order to create one entity, one organization and one decision, in order to realize the shared national aim of a sovereign, independent Palestinian state in the West Bank and Gaza . . . without one settler and without giving up one piece of land or the right of return.”

“We don’t want to declare war on any one,” Me-

shaal said. “We want to wrench our rights and draft a new strategy for ourselves, to master all forms of power that will force Netanyahu to withdraw from our lands and to recognize our rights,” he added. “We are telling the world: stand with us.”

Egyptian Foreign Minister Nabil al-Arabi also announced that steps will be taken to lift the blockade imposed on the Gaza Strip by the Israelis and the international community, and supported by the previous Mubarak government. Once the border crossing is open, according to Hamas Economy Minister Alaa al-Rafati, as quoted in the Israeli media, the Gaza government plans to build an industrial zone on the Egyptian-Palestinian border. He added that 1,300 manufacturing plants have recently been reactivated, after some 3,500 of them were closed following the blockade.

Al-Rafati stated that the industrial center will take a year or two to build. Until now, only humanitarian goods have been able to cross into Gaza, and a few hundred people a week, mostly students and people seeking medical treatment, have been able to come and go. If the crossing is opened for trade, this would benefit Gaza tremendously.

The Egyptian Revolution and Peace

The Palestinian agreement paves the way for recognition of Palestine as an independent state, when the Palestinians bring the issue before the UN General Assembly in September. More importantly, it presents Israel, and all those who desire peace, a united Palestinian polity through which a permanent peace agreement can be achieved.

What made this all possible, is the mass-strike process that has been sweeping across the Arab world, whose most important accomplishment has been the revolution in Egypt. The level of prestige and credibility that the new Egyptian leadership has achieved throughout the Arab world for its role in bringing the reconciliation about, belies the fragile state of Egypt’s economy and political institutions.

The deal was brokered by Egypt’s new intelligence chief Mourad Mouafi and Foreign Minister Nabil al-Arabi. The latter is a highly respected international jurist, and a former Egyptian Ambassador to the United Nations, who played a key role mediating between the Egyptian youth in Tahrir Square and the military, to force out the regime of former President Hosni Mubarak.

Underlining the key role of Egypt, Hany al-Masri, a Palestinian political commentator who took part in the talks, is quoted by Reuters as saying, “This agreement is possible because the Egyptian regime has changed. The new administration is taking a balanced position.”

Another crucial player in the deal was Turkey, who, in the last years, has offered its good offices to mediate not only between Palestinian factions, but also between Israel and Syria, as well as Iran and Western powers over the nuclear issue. Since early April, Turkish Foreign Minister Ahmet Davutoglu was carrying out shuttle diplomacy between Damascus, the Gaza Strip, and Cairo, as part of the effort to broker the deal. Davutoglu not only met with Hamas and Fatah leaders, but Syrian President Bashar Assad, Egyptian Prime Minister Essam Sharaf, and Foreign Minister al-Arabi.

Speaking on the importance of Egypt, which he visited three times in the last two months, Davutoglu told *Today’s Zaman* (May 5), “We are attaching much importance to the success of Egypt, both for maintaining regional peace and for the continuation of the Arab revolutions. Egypt needs to emerge as a success story. A strong Egypt will be the best partner for Turkey.”

The Economic Question

Ultimately, the success of Egypt’s own political development, and its ability to help create a secure peace in the region, depends upon freeing itself from the last 30 years of free-trade monetarism, to which the Mubarak government had submitted, and instead, embracing a new, just world economic order based on fixed exchange rates, and credit for long-term investments in basic economic infrastructure.

Initial steps by the interim government toward making a priority of food self-sufficiency, and toward improved cooperation on water development in the region, show an intention in the right direction. Leader-



Wordpress
A long hoped-for Palestinian unity agreement has been signed by Palestinian President and Fatah leader Mahmoud Abbas (above right) and Hamas leader Khaled Meshaal. London’s Israeli puppet Netanyahu is not pleased. Also shown: a recent demonstration in the West Bank city of Ramallah.

Alternative Information Center

ship from the trans-Atlantic community, particularly the United States, will obviously be required for Egypt to follow through.

Back in 1982, the movement of American economist Lyndon LaRouche, was cooperating closely with members of the Egyptian government on water, energy, and agricultural development projects, which need to be revived today. LaRouche had become famous among the nations of the Non-Aligned Movement for his development of a plan for a “debtor’s cartel” to declare a debt moratorium on the IMF’s usurious and genocidal debt. Now, a review and update of these economic projects has been produced by Helga Zepp-LaRouche’s Schiller Institute, in the form of a video called “North Africa: The Blue Revolution.” It is being closely studied among leadership circles in Africa and the Middle East, and can be found at www.schiller-institut.de in English and German, and will soon be available in Arabic at arabic.larouchepub.com.

A Sign of Hope in Israel

Israeli Prime Minister Netanyahu’s reaction was to declare the agreement to be a “tremendous blow to

peace and a great victory for terrorism.” Coherent with the fact that he is a top British agent, he made the statement while on a visit to London. The next day, in Paris, he made a similar statement. He was joined in his denunciations by his fascist Foreign Minister Avidgor Lieberman, while Finance Minister Yuval Steinitz has threatened to cut off transfer of the customs duties that Israeli collects, and is obligated to turn over to the Palestinian Authority, for imports into the Palestinian territories that transit through Israel.

By contrast, the very day that Abbas first announced a preliminary agreement with Hamas had been reached on April 28, the Palestinian President met with a delegation from Israel representing the newly created Israeli Peace Initiative.

Created earlier this month, the new private Israeli peace initiative was initiated by 40 top retired security and military officers, business people, and seasoned peace activists.

Among the signers of the initiative are former Shin Bet chiefs Yaakov Peri and Ami Ayalon; the latter was also commander of the Israeli Navy, a former member of the Knesset, and initiator of earlier peace initiatives. Others include former Mossad chief Danny Yatom, former Chief of Staff Gen. Amnon Lipkin Shahak, and former Labor Party Minister Moshe Shahal. Another signatory is retired general and former leader of the Labor Party Amram Mitzna, who has recently announced his intention to run again for the leadership of the Labor Party. Dalia Rabin, the daughter of slain Prime Minister Yitzhak Rabin, and head of the Israel Corporation Idan Ofer also signed.

The initiative emerged following the release of a letter last November, co-authored by another signatory, Yuval Rabin, the son of Yitzhak Rabin, proposing an Israeli answer to the 2002 Arab Peace Initiative. Its successful launching last month was a response to the absence of a peace process and the developments in the region, especially in Egypt.

The initiative follows closely the so-called Clinton peace plan, announced by President Bill Clinton just before he left office in 2000. This includes a Palestinian state based on the 1967 borders, with limited exchanges of land with its capital in East Jerusalem. It calls for a peace with Syria, and its withdrawal from the Golan Heights.

Israel Corporation head Ofer told Ynet that Israel must have its own peace initiative ready when the Palestinians unilaterally declare a Palestinian state. He

fears that if Israel doesn't back a Palestinian state, it will end up like South Africa under apartheid, which had sanctions slammed against it. Ofer, whose Israel Corporation is deeply involved economically with the Palestinian Authority, wants to see a peace agreement with the Palestinians as a way of opening up economic projects with the other Arab states, including the building of desalination plants.

For these hopeful signs to bear fruit, both Netanyahu and Obama will have to be thrown out of office. Even now, Netanyahu's days as prime minister could be numbered. His ragtag coalition of right-wing parties could fall if Lieberman, who has been under investigation for corruption and fraud, is indicted, as expected. As he is leader of the second-largest faction in Netanyahu's government, his replacement could touch off a coalition crisis.

The far bigger problem is in Washington. Obama has to go. It is only through a strong American Presidency, prepared to extend tremendous economic support to the region as a whole, that there can be any real hope for peace in the region.

Lyndon LaRouche ON Glass-Steagall AND NAWAPA:

The North American Water and
Power Alliance



"The greatest project that mankind has ever undertaken on this planet, as an economic project, now stands before us, as the opportunity which can be set into motion by the United States now launching the NAWAPA* project, with the preliminary step of reorganizing the banking system through Glass-Steagall, and then moving on from there."

"Put Glass-Steagall through now, and I know how to deliver a victory to you."

Subscribe to **EIR Online** www.larouchepub.com/eiw
1-800-278-3135

For subscription rates: <http://tiny.cc/9odpr>

The ‘Haiti Treatment’: What It Looks Like

by Cynthia R. Rush

May 3 (EIRNS)—If Americans want to know what kind of emergency assistance they can expect from Barack Obama in the event of a natural disaster—victims of recent flooding and savage tornadoes that have swept through parts of the U.S. have already gotten a glimpse of this—look at how the Narcissist-in-Chief responded to Haiti, following its horrific January 2010 magnitude-7 earthquake and subsequent cholera epidemic, which broke out later that year.

Sixteen months after the earthquake, which killed almost 300,000 people, and six months after the October 2010 cholera outbreak, the crisis in that impoverished nation of 9.6 million is more dire than ever. Bereft of health and sanitation infrastructure—especially clean water—as well as safe housing, jobs or food, Haitians are as defenseless against another earthquake as they are against the rapidly rising number of cholera cases, that have already begun with the advent of the rainy season. Haiti gets 40% of its average annual rainfall, or 18 inches, between March and May.

Food prices in the nation are soaring. Reflecting the international wave of oil-price speculation, the domestic price of gasoline has doubled to \$5 a gallon, while the price of corn in March was double the \$0.68 per kilogram that it was right after the 2010 quake. Today, Haitians must pay \$8 for a whole chicken—double what Peruvians pay. Argentines, who enjoy the highest living standards in Ibero-America, pay less per kilogram of rice than Haitians do. Desperate parents are still forced to feed their hungry children the infamous, but “affordable” concoction known as *bonbon tear*—mud cookies, made of mud mixed with vegetable oil and a little salt.

Why has so little improved, after 16 months? Ask Obama. To immediately address the horrendous death and destruction wrought by the quake, the U.S. President could have accepted the proposal of knowledgeable experts, including economist and statesman Lyndon LaRouche, and offered an emergency deploy-

ment of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers to Haiti. With its decades of experience, and with a collaborative arrangement with the Haitian government, the Army Corps could have relocated 2 million homeless and displaced people out of the capital, Port-au-Prince, to higher ground before the rainy season began, also providing clean water and decent temporary housing.

The Policy Is Genocide

But Obama refused to act, and the result is genocide. At least 1.5 million were left to live in squalid “displaced persons” camps in Port-au-Prince, with only precarious tents as shelter, and no clean water supply other than what is provided by hundreds of non-governmental organizations (NGOs). In fact, some NGOs used the specious argument that providing clean water for free fostered a sense of “dependence” among the displaced Haitians, thus encouraging them to rely on hand-outs, rather than seeking work.

Work? Unemployment, which stood at 80% prior to the earthquake, is now so high the government doesn’t even attempt to count it. The minimum wage is officially \$5 day, but the few jobs to be had pay far less.

When the rains came in 2010, the population had no defense against cholera, a water-borne disease. Breaking out initially in the Artibonite River Delta in late October, cholera quickly spread to the capital, whose filthy camps were ideal breeding grounds for the disease. Proper waste disposal and bathing facilities were non-existent—this is still the case—with an average of 392 people in the camps sharing one latrine. When it rained, the canals bordering the camps into which human waste is dumped, overflowed, endangering the camp population. To date, 5,000 people have died from cholera, and over 250,000 have been infected.

Although the Pan American Health Organization (PAHO) had estimated that 400,000 people would be infected by October of this year, recent studies by Harvard Medical School and the University of California at San Francisco predicted that the number of infected will be double that figure by November, with over 11,000 deaths.

Newly elected President Michael Martelly has stated that preventing a new cholera outbreak will be his top priority; but he has no resources to effectively combat the epidemic. Addressing the UN Security Council on April 6, Secretary General Ban Ki-moon made the obvious point. “Only large-scale investments in Haiti’s water and sanitation system will protect



UNICEF/Marco Dominio

Here is what Americans can expect—and some are already experiencing—from their President, in the event of a natural disaster. This photo shows a refugee camp in Port au Prince in November 2010. Things have only gotten worse since then.

against another outbreak.” At the same meeting, outgoing President René Préval added that Haiti can’t rebuild without “bulldozers and engineers.”

‘Drowning in Sewage’

Investigative reporters who have spent considerable time on the ground over the past year describe Haiti as, literally, “drowning in sewage.”

Look at the following.

The Truttier Waste Disposal dump, located near the giant Cité Soleil slum in the capital, contains thousands of gallons of feces, much of it most certainly infected with cholera. In an article published April 25 in *OpEd-News.com*, investigative reporter Mac McKinney reported that toxic raw sewage from cholera treatment centers (CTCs) is discharged into a giant, open-air, unlined holding pond in the middle of the dump, from where it can potentially leach into the Plaine Cul-de-Sac aquifer underneath, which *supplies most of the water used by private companies that bottle and sell water in Port-au-Prince*. Discarded needles and syringes, bags full of vomit, and excrement from the CTCs also end up in the dump.

In an April 25 *Huffington Post* blog, investigative reporter and former Haiti relief worker Georgianne Nienaber pointed out that the Water, Sanitation and Hygiene Cluster, known as WASH, has received only 19%,

or \$32 million, of its \$175 million funding requirement for water and sanitation systems. Overall, only 17% of the \$915 million requested by the UN’s Office of the Coordinator of Humanitarian Affairs (OCHA) for its Haiti Appeal has been met.

Contrary to the assertion that the cholera epidemic is “waning,” with a new rainy season, the number of cases has begun to ratchet upward again, at a time when many medical NGOs that were treating cholera patients have left the country. This is especially true in rural areas where transportation infrastructure is primitive at best, and health clinics few and far between. Rains cause dangerous flooding, sometimes making roads impassable, in addition to leaving large pools of standing water that provide ideal conditions for cholera’s spread.

While the overall cholera mortality rate officially stands at 1.7%, it is 7.9% in the rural department of Sud Est, and 5.4% in Grande Anse.

Kathryn Mahoney, spokeswoman for the NGO Partners In Health (PIH), which has operated in Haiti for two decades, reported on May 3 that the number of new cholera patients at CTCs in Mirebalais in the Central Plateau, has tripled in recent weeks. PIH physician David Walton, who runs another CTC in Mirebalais, warned that “given what I’ve seen, we could be overwhelmed again at the cholera centers.” In Ste. Therese

Hinche, in the same region, cases of malaria and typhoid have also been reported.

It costs \$1 million to run a 200-bed cholera treatment center for three months, including 45 nurses, 80 support staff, and 9 doctors. Yet, there are insufficient funds to set up cholera units everywhere they are needed. As OCHA reported in February, Médecins Sans Frontières (MSF) and Oxfam NGOs planned to stop chlorination of 11 wells in the Port-au-Prince area that provided safe water for 374,500 people.

In rural areas generally, there is a dangerous shortage of water purification tablets. In the village of Chinchion, where Nienaber visited, residents were often travelling miles to market to buy bleach for water purification. Other villages were paying for the tablets on the black market.

MSF, one of the more effective medical NGOs treating cholera in remote rural areas, reportedly pulled out of Haiti because it ran out of funds; the Haitian government lacks the resources to take over the job it was doing.

What Housing?

The fact that “only” 680,000 people, instead of 1.5 million, still live in the filthy tent cities in the capital, is not “progress,” as experts like to boast. The housing situation is a nightmare, at best.

Most of those who have left the camps were forced out, either by the rampant violence and lack of sanitation, or by landowners who evicted them. *Evictions, in fact, account for the majority of departures from the camps*, with residents ending up in improvised or dilapidated dwellings that are as bad, or worse, than what they lived in prior to the earthquake!

A recent report by the International Organization of Migration (IOM) notes that only 4.7% of people who’ve left the camps did so because their homes had been rebuilt. A survey of 1,033 heads-of-household who left the camps in recent months revealed that 50% moved into equally precarious housing situations. They sometimes squeezed into already overcrowded family dwellings, set up tents on family property strewn with rubble, or took over abandoned housing in damaged shantytowns.

Priscilla Phelps, senior advisor for Housing and Neighborhoods for the Interim Haiti Recovery Commission (IHRC), bluntly told the Haiti Grassroots Watch group last January that, “with a few exceptions, the reconstruction is not going to make people homeowners

who were not homeowners before.” Given that only 43,100 “transitional shelters,” or “T-Shelters,” had been built as of Feb. 1, out of 111,240 scheduled to be built, the approximately 192,154 families that lived in rented homes prior to the quake, and now live in the camp hell-holes, will have no option but to remain there, or try to find housing on their own.

The new T-Shelters *do not include sanitation infrastructure*. People continue to defecate into plastic bags, or on the ground or in streams. The Shelter Cluster of NGOs reported that as of April 7, of the 56,107 temporary shelters, housing 235,649 people, 7.4% received no WASH services. Nienaber reports that an estimated 42% of an additional 116,000 temporary shelters scheduled to be built this year, *will not receive any sanitation and water services either*.

So much for abiding by the emergency response manual of the UN High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR). It recommends the installation of one latrine per family, set at a maximum distance of 100 meters from a shelter to a water point, plus two garbage containers per community of 80 to 100 people.

The Rim of Fire

Although there is disagreement among seismologists and geologists as to exactly what triggered the 2010 earthquake, no one disagrees that with its complex geological formations, geographical location on tectonic plates, and seismic history, Haiti is ripe for another very powerful earthquake, possibly in the not-too-distant future. An array of seismic instruments have been installed across the island in an effort to gather data about the origin of the fault, or faults, that may have played a role in the quake.

In the meantime, geologists and engineers recommend that Haiti’s reconstruction incorporate rigorous “risk mitigation” standards, that will improve the resilience of infrastructure and reduce the risk for Haitians in poor housing.

That would be fine if reconstruction were actually occurring. Purdue University geologist Eric Calais, who has been studying post-quake Haiti, was more forthright in remarks he made in January. “I haven’t seen a lot of rebuilding,” he said. “I’ve seen a lot of patching, which gives you the false impression that something is fixed when it is just hidden. There will be a bigger, more powerful, more damaging earthquake closer to Port-au-Prince, and it will likely occur within the lifetimes of buildings being built now.”

The Real Dope Pushers in Afghan War Are Still at Large

by Ramtanu Maitra

May 8—Viktor Ivanov, the director of Russia’s anti-drug agency, announced to reporters on May 5, that “Osama [bin Laden] launched the heroin drug trafficking [in Afghanistan]. . . . He is gone; that is to say, he was killed. This is a major success of our U.S. partners. However, the drug problem is not solved,” as quoted in Itar-Tass May 6.

Was Ivanov correct, if quoted correctly? He was right in claiming that the drug problem is not solved, but he was only partially correct, if indeed he had claimed that bin Laden launched the heroin drug trafficking: There were many other international players, whose active collaboration made Osama’s venture a “success.” When it comes to bin Laden, the entire herd of policymakers, administrators, academics, news media, and common people, resorts to wild exaggeration without providing a shred of evidence for holding the man (now, dead) in such “high esteem.”

As Ivanov should know, the only way that the opium trade is going to be stopped is by going after the financial powers who god-fathered it, and sustain it, from the top: the British financier network, which funds and launders the trade for its geopolitical, as well as economic reasons. Within this nexus, bin Laden was just a cog, if a flamboyant one. The main task, of crushing the British international criminal network behind the drug trade, lies ahead.

Opium in Afghanistan

*I hate this City, seated on the Plain,
The clang and clamour of the hot Bazaar,
Knowing, amid the pauses of my pain,
This month the Almonds bloom in Kandahar.*

—From an unknown Indian poet,
translated into English by Laurence Hope in 1906

At present, almonds are not the only flowers in bloom; the provinces of Kandahar and Helmand are awash with

opium sap-producing poppies, as well. After two years of steady decline, the United Nations Office of Drugs and Crime (UNODC) predicts a significant growth in opium production in Afghanistan this year. This means one thing, and one thing only: All the international forces—from the largely British offshore bankers to the dollar-a-trip drug mules—who benefit from the multibillion-dollar opium/heroin business that flourishes under the cover of the ongoing war in Afghanistan are alive and kicking, and the insurgents are assured that their financing will remain undisturbed.

That is, however, not to say that opium production in Afghanistan began only with the arrivals of the “foreign devils.” It existed before, but the production was nowhere near the level it is today, or what we have seen during the last ten years. At the time the Red Army launched its brutal cam-



Wikimedia Commons

Viktor Ivanov faces a bitter reality: The elimination of Osama bin Laden, who was instrumental in the massive expansion of the Afghan opium trade, has not shut down the traffickers, whose protectors reach into the uppermost inner sanctums in London and its offshore banking havens. There are an estimated 2 million addicts in Russia.

paign to annex Afghanistan at the end of 1979, the country was producing less than 100 tons a year, as compared to the 8,000 tons it produced in 2007. In that year, the opium-producing fields of southern Afghanistan were not only thick with poppies, but also with foreign troops, particularly those who serve Britain's Royal Majesty.

Following the Soviet invasion of Afghanistan, history recalls the gearing up of the anti-Soviet machinery by the U.S., in particular, and the West in general, to organize the Afghans to give the Red Army a black eye; the West saw an opportunity to bring down the Bolsheviks. But how to finance this? Afghan opium became the mainspring for generating cash to pay for the war.

A deal was struck between Washington and the late Pakistani President Zia ul-Haq, to build a slate of mujahideen groups to fight the Red Army. They found, in Gulbuddin Hekmatyar, who headed the Hezb-e-Islami group, a reliable and ardent drug handler. Pakistan and the mujahideen needed a lot of cash. So, Hekmatyar, who now operates in the northeastern part of Afghanistan against the American troops, and is projected widely as a potential arbiter in the resolution of the Afghan conflict, became the Washington man on the scene. A Pushtun, Hekmatyar operated during those days in the opium-growing southern part of Afghanistan on behalf of Washington and Islamabad.

Under Hekmatyar's stewardship, Afghan opium production rose from 250 tons in 1982, to 2,000 tons in 1991, coinciding with Washington's support and funding of the mujahideen. Alfred McCoy, a professor of Southeast Asian history at the University of Wisconsin, says that U.S. and Pakistani intelligence officials sanctioned the rebels' drug trafficking because of their fierce opposition to the Soviets: "If their local allies were involved in narcotics trafficking, it didn't trouble [the] CIA. They were willing to keep working with people who were heavily involved in narcotics." For instance, Hekmatyar, the mujahideen leader who received about half of all the CIA's covert weapons, was known to be a major heroin trafficker. Charles Cogan, who directed the CIA's operation in Afghanistan at that time, later claimed he was unaware of the drug trade: "We found out about it later on," he told the *Atlantic Monthly* in May 1996.

The other major drug warlord-mujahid was Yunus Khalis. He headed his own faction of the fundamentalist Hezb-e-Islami party and was operating along the borders of eastern Afghanistan. His objective was to get control of Nangarhar province, where poppy had been grown for centuries. While President George W. Bush

was braying for Osama's blood, it was reportedly Yunus Khalis who had brought bin Laden into hiding in the Tora Bora mountains bordering Pakistan.

Although both Hekmatyar and Khalis relied on opium-generated money to become powerful, they carefully confined their interactions with the local druglords who would pay them cash, and carry the stuff away. In other words, compared to what happened in Osama's time, and the International Security Assistance Forces' (ISAF) sojourn in Afghanistan, Khalis and Hekmatyar were indulging in a penny-ante game.

At the time, the Afghan opium, being converted into heroin in labs operated mostly by U.K.-born and -educated chemists, was finding its way to the outside world through Pakistan, the Indian Ocean, and Iran. In 1996, almost seven years after the defeated Red Army trudged back home through the Salang Tunnel, the Afghan Taliban, aided by Saudi Arabia and Pakistan, came to power under Mullah Omar. Those seven years (1989-96) were a terrible period in Afghanistan, where mujahideen, set up, armed, and trained by the West to draw Russian blood, killed each other in large numbers. The arrival of the Taliban, a laboratory product funded by the Saudis and trained by the Pakistani Army, brought a semblance of "order" in Afghanistan. But, that also brought in Osama bin Laden and the building of the drug-trafficking network.

Bin Laden, a Saudi citizen, who first came to Pakistan in 1984, and formed an organization called Makhtab al-Khidamat (MaK), recruited and trained Muslim volunteers from the Arab world who were willing to fight the Soviet Army. The West had funded MaK in its anti-Soviet phase; after the Soviets left Afghanistan, Mukhtab became al-Qaeda, and began targetting U.S. interests around the world. Riyadh revoked bin Laden's citizenship in 1994 because of his terrorism activities, and under pressure from the United States, Sudan expelled him in 1996. When Saudi Arabia barred his return, bin Laden returned to Afghanistan, where the newly installed Taliban regime, funded by Saudi Arabia and militarily protected by Pakistan, welcomed him.

Osama's Heroin Express: Bout, and Dubai

Hekmatyar, who had opposed the Taliban and fled the country after they took control, was out of the Afghan opium loop in 1996. After his arrival, Osama set about to expand heroin trafficking, most likely with two things in mind. First: to generate enough cash to arm the Taliban against future invaders and protect his



The Taliban, who were recruited by the West to fight the Soviets in Afghanistan, now oversee the vast production of opium poppy. Shown, an AK-47-toting Taliban militant supervises farmers harvesting opium resin from poppies, in Helmand province.

own al-Qaeda group; and second, to tap the wide international demand for heroin.

In setting up his network, Osama brought in Dawood Ibrahim, a mafia don from Mumbai, India, in the 1980s and early 1990s. Dawood, with strong links to Mumbai's political and social milieu, was a gold and drug trafficker. He fled India for Dubai following the March 12, 1993 bombings of the Bombay Stock Exchange, which killed hundreds of Indians, and injured over a thousand more. Dawood's syndicate, which was under control of the Pakistani Inter-Services Intelligence (ISI), became a part of British MI6, operating hand-in-glove with money-launderers in Dubai and with bin Laden.

Why was the recruitment of Dawood necessary? While in Afghanistan, one of bin Laden's first moves was to link up his jihadi group with the cash-generating and money-laundering international underground. He took control of the Afghan national airlines, Ariana Airlines. As a former U.S. National Security Council official told a reporter, Ariana Airlines became a "key node in al-Qaeda's infrastructure. The network used Ariana to move everything that was useful—money, personnel, and matériel."

Soon, Ariana Airlines shifted from carrying passengers to carrying cargo. "The planes would come back from the UAE loaded with weapons," said Julie Sirrs, an Afghanistan specialist at the U.S. Defense Intelli-

gence Agency during the Clinton Administration. "It was mostly Soviet weapons, small arms—Kalashnikovs and RPG-7s." While the weapons came in from Dubai, and later from Sharjah, the planes carried tons of opium back to Dubai. Agents of al-Qaeda and Dawood's men would take control of the drugs in Dubai, contact the drug-trafficking network, and purchase weapons using some of that money.

A frequent stop was Sharjah, one of the Emirates, whose international airport, former U.S. and Afghan officials said, became a hub for drug and arms smuggling by al-Qaeda. The emirate, 20 miles from Dubai, is run by a fundamentalist Islamic regime. Sharjah's airport is studded with numerous "fly-by-night" cargo operations willing to take on any comers, U.S. analysts point out.

In Sharjah, al-Qaeda's benefactor was the notorious Russian mafioso and gun-runner, Victor Bout. Bout, who was arrested in Thailand, and extradited to the United States in November 2010, had developed contacts with the Taliban in August 1995, when the Taliban was in opposition to then-President Burhanuddin Rabbani's government in Kabul. One of Bout's planes, flying under the banner of the Trans Aviation Network (TAN), from Albania, via Sharjah, and transporting small arms and military equipment to Rabbani, was intercepted by a MiG-21 and forced to land in Taliban-controlled territory, according to the International Consortium of Investigative Journalists (ICIJ) investigation.

According to the UN and various intelligence reports, in March 1995, Bout and a Frenchman, Michel-Victor Thomas, founded TAN. Between 1995 and 1997, TAN's operating base was Ostend, in Belgium, an airport frequently cited by human rights groups for hosting companies and individuals involved in arms trafficking. TAN also opened offices in Sharjah, one of the Gulf Emirates.

The Ilyushin-76 used to deliver weapons to Afghanistan belonged to Aerostan, a company based in Tatarstan, but leased by Transavia, one of Bout's companies operating out of the UAE. Transavia had started making cargo flights to Kabul, Kandahar, and Jalalabad in May 1995, at the behest of Afghani traders in the Emirates, according to Agence France Presse. Intelli-

gence agencies suspect that arms supplies were transported on an airline, Flying Dolphin, run by one of Bout's business associates, which ran scheduled flights from the UAE into Taliban-ruled Afghanistan, between October 2000 and Jan. 21, 2001, according to reports in the UAE media. Flying Dolphin is owned by Sheikh Abdullah bin Zayed bin Saqr al-Nayhan, a former UAE ambassador to the United States, and a member of the ruling family in Abu Dhabi, who has been described by the UN as a "close business associate of Bout." According to the Dec. 20, 2000 UN report, Zayed's company is registered in Liberia, but its operations office was in Dubai.

The U.S. Treasury Department's description of Dawood says, in part, that his criminal syndicate "is involved in large-scale shipments of narcotics in the U.K. and Western Europe.... The syndicate's smuggling routes from South Asia, the Middle East and Africa are shared with Osama bin Laden and his terrorist network.... Successful routes established over recent years by Ibrahim's syndicate have been subsequently utilized by bin Laden. A financial arrangement was reportedly brokered to facilitate the latter's usage of these routes.... In the late 1990s, Ibrahim traveled in Afghanistan under the protection of the Taliban.... Information, from as recent[ly] as Fall 2002, indicates that Ibrahim has financially supported Islamic militant groups working against India, such as Lashkar-e-Tayyiba (LeT)."

Following the U.S. invasion of Afghanistan, and after the poppy fields were transferred from Osama's watch to the British troops' watch, Dawood Ibrahim became a major transporter of drugs from Afghanistan to Dubai by means of his "mules," protected by the intelligence agencies and his beneficiaries. It is said that the containers that carry large equipment to Dubai, from Kandahar and elsewhere in southern Afghanistan, for "repair," also contain drugs.

The drugs were converted to cash in Dubai. The tax-free island-city, sitting at a strategic crossroads of the Persian Gulf, South Asia, and Africa, is a major offshore banking center. With the development of the Dubai International Financial Centre (DIFC), the latest free-trade zone there, flexible and unrestricted offshore banking has become big business. Many of the world's largest banks already have a significant presence in Dubai—Abbey National Offshore, HSBC Offshore, ABN Amro, ANZ Grindlays, Banque Paribas, Banque de Caire, Barclays, Dresdner, and Merrill Lynch all have offices there.

The Money-Launderers

Besides Dubai, most of the offshore banks are located in former British colonies, and all are involved in money laundering: Legitimizing cash generated from drug sales and other contraband for the "respectable banks" is the lifeblood of these offshore institutions.

Arguably the most important of the Caribbean offshore financial centers is the Cayman Islands, a British Overseas Territory run by a royal governor appointed by Queen Elizabeth II. The Caymans are mainly a mail drop and regulation-free zone, a place where hot money is welcome, and few questions are asked.

On Oct. 10, 2001, John Henley of the London *Guardian*, reporting from Paris, pointed to a French parliamentary report which said that up to 40 companies, banks, and individuals based in Britain can legitimately be suspected of maintaining direct or indirect relations with Osama bin Laden. "The convergence of financial and terrorist interests, apparent particularly in Great Britain and in Sudan, does not appear to have been an obstacle with regard to the objectives pursued [by bin Laden]," the report concluded. "The conjunction of a terrorist network attached to a vast financing structure is the dominant trait of operations conducted by bin Laden."

The report, titled "The City of London, Gibraltar and the Crown Dependencies: Offshore Centers and Sanctuaries for Dirty Money," said that criminal organizations "have been able to exploit to their profit the reckless financial deregulation of the 1980s," while Britain has failed to accompany with "the security measures demanded for all too long by the battle against money laundering and financial crime."

It is likely that Osama bin Laden died a pauper, holed up in a house for years under the protection of Pakistani security. Once he went into hiding in 2000, his heroin-generated financial network was taken over by others, and he no longer had access to it.

Viktor Ivanov should note that, while Osama was gone already in 2001, the entire heroin-trafficking infrastructure has not only remained intact, but it has been strengthened. The only difference between Osama's days in Afghanistan and the post-2001 Afghanistan, is that a new set of profit-makers has emerged. During the period that the Taliban was running the heroin-for-cash-and-arms, Russia was not much of a target for them. Now, Russia is a victim of Afghan heroin, with more than 2 million addicts, and it is likely that that will not change, unless firm steps are taken to eradicate this evil.

The heroin has too many powerful patrons.

Glass-Steagall Win Would Oust Obama

by Harley Schlanger

May 2—After two years of making excuses for President Barack Obama, a number of traditional Democratic Party constituencies are turning against him, and his British imperial policies. Even the lying government statistics cannot cover up the increasingly visible collapse of the U.S. economy, now in a free-fall characterized by surging unemployment, a continuing crash of housing markets, and an increase in the rate of inflation, verging on hyperinflation.

The rejection of Obama by traditional Democrats is fueled by the high-powered campaign spearheaded by LaRouchePAC and its six Congressional candidates, which is centered on support for a return to Glass-Steagall banking standards. A bill to reinstate Glass-Steagall, H.R. 1489, was introduced in the Congress by Ohio Democrat Marcy Kaptur, with two initial co-sponsors, Virginia Democrat Jim Moran and North Carolina Republican Walter Jones. (Since then, Reps. John Conyers (D-Mich.) and Jesse Jackson, Jr. (D-Ill.) have signed on as co-sponsors—ed.) The drive by LaRouchePAC to win more cosponsors, so that Glass-Steagall can be rammed through the Congress, despite the objection of Wall Street flunkeys in both parties, picked up steam over the Easter Congressional recess, as elected officials came face-to-face with angry voters in their districts back home.

They found that the terrible economic news, combined with the bankruptcy of state and local governments, is triggering growing militancy among voters in both parties. Despite efforts to contain the anger, it has become clear that voters are fed up with fascist auster-

ity, to be imposed through budget cuts of essential services, and the continuing bailout of the swindlers of Wall Street. They are searching for an alternative to the austerity policies, whether they are being pushed by the Obama Administration and its allies, or Republicans, promoting Austrian School “free trade,” anti-government policies, in an alliance with Obama.

Obama Support Crumbling

Among the constituencies abandoning Obama is organized labor. With union members in the public sector, such as teachers, police, nurses, and firefighters, under assault by right-wing Republican governors such as Scott Walker in Wisconsin, Rick Snyder in Michigan, and Rick Scott in Florida, Obama not only turned his back on the unions, but has shown support for the governors’ anti-union policies, saying he “understands” the need for budget cuts. He even cut \$650 million from the Federal budget that was targeted to rehire unemployed firemen, in a deal with House Speaker John Boehner. This led to a denunciation of Obama by Harold Schaitberger, president of the International Association of Firefighters, who said he would oppose any union support for Obama’s reelection in 2012.

The teachers union, AFT, is also expressing anger at Obama, whose “Race to the Top” educational program would save money by eliminating experienced teachers, on the basis of how their students scored on multiple-choice tests.

Although none of these labor leaders has yet to an-



The six LaRouche Democratic candidates for Congress in 2012 have made the fight for Glass-Steagall the number one priority for the nation. Here, Texas candidate Kesha Rogers (fourth from left), campaigns at a labor rally in Austin, April 2011.

LPAC-TV

nounce support for the Glass-Steagall bill, a leading member of the AFL-CIO executive board told this news service that he is organizing support on the board for an endorsement of H.R. 1489.

Support for Obama is collapsing among so-called Progressives as well, on a host of issues, including a sense of betrayal over his continued bowing down to Wall Street. This has been covered in formerly pro-Obama blogs, such as firedoglake.com, which now posts frequent denunciations of Obama, and articles in support of Glass-Steagall. The anti-Obama sentiment of the Progressives was also on display in San Francisco, when a number of women stood up at a fundraiser—for which they had paid \$5,000 each to attend—and sang a song protesting the jailing, by the Obama Administration, of alleged WikiLeaks source Bradley Manning. As they sang, Obama became increasingly enraged, humiliating House Democratic leader Nancy Pelosi, by accusing her of organizing the disruption!

There are other defections from Obama, as well, mostly on economic grounds. There is growing disenchantment among African-Americans, including Rep. Jesse Jackson, Jr., and Dr. Cornel West, who said the only group Obama has helped has been Wall Street bankers! Likewise, his support is disappearing among students and youth generally, as unemployment rates remain at record levels among those under 30, while college tuition costs skyrocket, as aid and loans dry up. It was youth, who were energized in 2008 by Obama—and showered with ample funds by fascist moneybags George Soros—who served as the shock troops at caucuses across the country, helping him to seize the Democratic Party nomi-

nation from Sen. Hillary Clinton, in a virtual coup d'état.

The Obama re-election team is privately steaming over the rejection of Obama by a growing majority among youth, while student leaders of the California State University System Student Government Association will be meeting to consider endorsing Glass-Steagall at their regular meeting in May.

Drama at Town Meetings

With the collapse of the economy and financial system, and the subsequent collapse of Obama's "popularity," town meetings have again become a venue for protest. When there are no LaRouche organizers present, what tends to be expressed is legitimate, but unfocused anger. The leading Republican austerity-pushers are encountering an angry electorate. For example, Rep. Paul Ryan, a leader of the anti-government Schachtians from Wisconsin, was shouted down at town meetings, over his attacks on Medicare. The same treatment was given to numerous freshmen Republicans, who expected to be hailed as heroes, only to find that the voters who elected them in November 2010 thought they were voting for Congressmen to oust Obama, not to impose fascist austerity!

The response is very different when LaRouche organizers are present, as at recent meetings held by members of Congress in California and Texas. In the Northern California district of Rep. John Garamendi, a Democrat, LaRouche candidate Summer Shields had a very positive discussion with the Congressman, while leaflets on Glass-Steagall were distributed to everyone at the meeting. Garamendi expressed strong support for

Glass-Steagall, and pledged to join with Kaptur upon his return to Washington. A similar exchange with Rep. Xavier Becerra, a Democrat from Los Angeles, resulted in a less-than-definite commitment from him, but the audience was very supportive of Glass-Steagall.

And in Houston, former Democratic Party Congressional nominee, Keshia Rogers, challenged Rep. Sheila Jackson Lee, a Democrat, to join Kaptur as a sponsor of Glass-Steagall. Lee expressed support for the bill, and, as other LaRouche organizers pressed the point, she spoke strongly of her commitment to not just ending the bailouts, but expanding funding for NASA. She currently has a bill to reinstate a program for a manned mission to the Moon, a program eliminated by Barack Obama!

LaRouche has emphasized that passage of Glass-Steagall would have two immediate, positive effects. The first would be the long overdue bankruptcy reorganization of the financial system, ending the bailout, and cleaning out the toxic, speculative assets that have jammed up the banks, allowing for the reorganized banks to become instruments for the utterance of credit, to fund programs which would create jobs and real, physical wealth.

The second benefit is that it would force the removal of Barack Obama from the White House, as his reaction against Glass-Steagall, in defense of the bankrupt City of London-Wall Street system, would expose him, as the mentally unstable puppet of those criminal, pro-genocidal, speculative predators. As it is becoming evident that fewer and fewer traditional Democrats can tolerate Obama in office, those with the power to invoke Article 4 of the 25th Amendment—which allows for removal of the President on grounds of mental incapacity—should use the authority of the Constitution, to remove him, freeing the nation from the coup of 2008, and putting the U.S. back in line with the Constitutional principles of the American System.

Glass-Steagall

Surviving a Potential Mass Extinction

The following statement was issued on May 3 by Keshia Rogers, LaRouche Democratic candidate from Texas. It has been slightly abridged for EIR.

The reenactment of Franklin Roosevelt's 1933 Glass-Steagall Act is immediately necessary to solve the current economic crisis, and hyperinflationary collapse of the system—which now threatens the entire planet—by ending the trillions of dollars in taxpayer bailouts to the bankrupt Inter-Alpha Group of banks. H.R. 1489, introduced by Rep. Marcy Kaptur (D-Ohio), and her Democratic and Republican co-sponsors, is a bill which will restore the Glass-Steagall firewall, by separating Wall Street's too-big-to-fail banks, and kindred parasites, away from legitimate banking practices, and the Federally protected credit system of the U.S. Constitution.

The human race now stands poised to enter a new phase of existence, a new historic age, defined by a commitment to furthering the physical and scientific blossoming of man throughout the galaxy, expressed by the Promethean concept of mankind as the rightful co-Creator of this universe. Those who value human life and their nation, understand this is why we must ram through Glass-Steagall (H.R. 1489) now.

Without Glass-Steagall banking, mankind is totally unprepared to investigate the role of galactic cosmic radiation in natural disasters such as great earthquakes, tornadoes, volcanoes, and hurricanes. The bill (H.R. 1641) to establish a sustained human presence on the Moon is a good first step back toward looking at events on Earth from the standpoint of the galaxy. But, for mankind to survive, we must fully fund NASA's ability to research and develop, by extending our visible picture of the galaxy with an army of satellites and space stations, so as to eventually prepare ourselves for dealing with such predictable crises as 62-million-year cycle of mass extinctions; the 100,000-year cycle of ice ages, and reversals of the planet's magnetic field. Only then will we be able to understand our galactic environment, and mobilize adequate emergency preparations to deal with natural disasters, as in the tornadoes that hit the South, and the major earthquakes that are soon to hit California.

...NASA cannot be saved or restored to its legitimate place as a leader in space exploration and scientific development, without the reenactment of Glass-Steagall, and by removing that NASA budget-cutting, anti-science President Obama, by invoking the 25th Amendment, Section 4 of our U.S. Constitution. Any legislator who claims that they are leading the fight to save NASA, is not serious unless they are pushing for the passing of Glass-Steagall now!

Only Glass-Steagall Can Stop Genocide!

In 1925, an imprisoned political figure published an obscure book with the not-so-interesting title: *Mein Kampf*. Tragically, the world paid little heed to its contents, and a horrible price was paid.

As German BüSo chairwoman Helga Zepp-LaRouche has warned, the world cannot afford to make the same mistake with the recent release of the program of the German Advisory Council on Global Change (WBGU), entitled, “World in Transition: A Social Contract for Sustainability.” Zepp-LaRouche has aptly described this report as, “a blunt proposal for global eco-fascism, a green world dictatorship in the tradition of Thomas Hobbes, H.G. Wells, and Carl Schmitt.” In her article, “No to Global ‘Gleichschaltung’: Make June 17 the Day of German Resistance” (*EIR*, May 6), she urges that not only Germans, but citizens of all nations mobilize to defeat a British Empire’s agenda, to reduce the world’s population by *billions* over the next generation.

The British imperial monetary system has now reached a point of no return, with the entire system of monetarism going belly-up, and hyperinflation well underway. In an insane, self-destructive attempt to stay in power, the British Empire pushed its green world dictatorship at the Copenhagen Conference in 2009. Since the failure of that attempt, Britain’s Prince Charles, and now, WBGU chair, Professor Schellnhuber, are using the WBGU to push their post-carbon, anti-science, anti-nuclear, zero-growth dictatorship, first, over Europe, and then, the rest of the world.

LaRouchePAC and the six LaRouche Democratic candidates, along with their counterparts in Germany, are calling for all-out war against the global genocide schemes of the WBGU and its British agents. This insane policy must be stopped, once and for all!

The policy outlined by the WBGU, as Lyndon LaRouche stated bluntly, “makes Hitler look tame.”

The British Empire has always been out to destroy the U.S., as well as the republican tradition wherever it can be found. The modern sovereign nation-state, in which the directive of mankind, to subdue the Earth and replenish it, to be fruitful and multiply, is best expressed by the development of the Massachusetts Bay Colony and the credit system of our U.S. Constitutional republic. Restoring that system, with Glass-Steagall, is the unique means for stopping the Empire’s schemes.


The weapon in our hands which will defeat this fascist agenda, is H.R. 1489—the return to FDR’s Glass-Steagall Act. This will return the U.S. to its republican principles, by ending the bailout of international finance (which funds the WBGU and its agenda). As LaRouche emphasized in an address this week, “People must understand, you cannot save the world, you cannot save the U.S., and you can’t save anything else, except through Glass-Steagall. By going back to a credit system, away from the monetarist, which is a pile of junk today, we can save the United States. If we don’t do that, you can’t save the United States, and you’re now in a fish bowl, or the sewage system, which is this system from Germany, this WBGU. . . . So it’s a matter of life or death: Kill that system or die. Glass-Steagall, or die!”

If you don’t want to sit helplessly by, starving to death in the dark, while the United States and the rest of the world disintegrates under a super-national dictatorship, act now to mobilize your neighbors, as well as your local and Federal elected representatives. Glass-Steagall now! No to the British Empire’s Green Genocide!

SUBSCRIBE TO

EIR Executive Intelligence Review

EIR Online



EIR Online gives subscribers one of the most valuable publications for policymakers—the weekly journal that has established Lyndon LaRouche as the most authoritative economic forecaster in the world today. Through this publication and the sharp interventions of the LaRouche Youth Movement, we are changing politics in Washington, day by day.

EIR Online

Issued every Tuesday, EIR Online includes the entire magazine in PDF form, plus up-to-the-minute world news.



I would like to subscribe to **EIR Online**

(e-mail address must be provided.)

- \$360 for one year
 \$180 for six months
 \$120 for four months
 \$90 for three months
 \$60 for two months
- Send information on receiving **EIR** by mail.

Name _____

Company _____

Address _____

City _____ State _____ Zip _____ Country _____

Phone (_____) _____

E-mail address _____

—EIR Online can be reached at:

www.larouchepub.com/eiw

e-mail: **fulfillment@larouchepub.com**

Call **1-800-278-3135** (toll-free)

I enclose \$ _____ check or money order

Make checks payable to

EIR News Service Inc.

P.O. Box 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390

Please charge my MasterCard Visa

Card Number _____

Signature _____

Expiration Date _____