## Integrated Watershed Management Programme

# Format for Preliminary Project Report (PPR) 

Department of Land Resources, Ministry of Rural Development, Government of India

## Format for Preliminary Project Report

I. Institutional Structures.
I. A. State Level Nodal Agencies
I. B. District Level Watershed Units
II. Selection of Watershed Projects
III. Profile of the each selected watershed project
IV. Agro-climatic condition of project area.
V. Demography \& land distribution
VI. Livelihoods
VII. Expected project out comes
VIII. Mandatory certificates
IX. Status of on-going projects
X. Abstract of projects proposed for sanction

## I. Institutional Structures

## I. A. State Level Nodal Agencies (SLNAs):

Table-PPR 1: Details of SLNA

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S. <br> No. | State | Type of SLNA\# | Date of <br> Notification | Date of MoU <br> with DoLR | Total no. of <br> members of <br> SLNA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

\#Whether it is a Department/ Mission/ Society/ Authority/ Others (pl. specify)

## Table-PPR 1: Details of SLNA (Contd..)

| 7 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chairperson |  | CEO |  |  |  |  |  |
| Name | Designation\# | Name | Designation | Date of <br> Appointment | Nature of <br> appointment <br> $\$$ | Tenure <br> (No. of <br> years) | Contact Ph. <br> No./ Fax/E- <br> mail |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^0]Table-PPR 2: Details of functionaries in the SLNAs*

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { S. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Total no. of persons working in the SLNA for IWMP | Names \& Designation | Qualifi -cation | Experience | Work allocation | Monthly remuneration (Rs.) | Total budget of SLNA (Rs.) |  | Funding expected from DoLR (Rs.) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | R | NR | R | NR |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## R - Recurring; NR- Non-recurring

* From column no. 3, totals no. of persons, from column no. 7 to 9, totals, may be indicated for the State at the end of the table.

Table-PPR 3: Details of State Level Data Cell (SLDC) functionaries*

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { S. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Total no. of persons working in the SLDC for IWMP | Names \& Designation | Qualifi <br> -cation | Experience | Work allocation | Monthly remuneration (Rs.) | Total budget of SLDC (Rs.) |  | Funding expected from DoLR (Rs.) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | R | NR | R | NR |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## R - Recurring; NR- Non-recurring

* From column no. 3, totals no. of persons, from column no. 7 to 9, totals, may be indicated for the State at the end of the table.


## I. B. District Level Watershed Units:

Table-PPR 4: Details of functionaries in the DRDA Watershed Cell*
$\left.\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 7 & 6 & 8 & 9 & 10 \\ \hline \begin{array}{c}\text { S. } \\ \text { No. }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Name } \\ \text { of the } \\ \text { District }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Name of } \\ \text { the } \\ \text { executing } \\ \text { Agency\# }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Status of } \\ \text { Chairman } \\ @\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Date of } \\ \text { signing of } \\ \text { MoU with } \\ \text { SLNA }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Total no. of persons } \\ \text { working for } \\ \text { Watershed } \\ \text { programme }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Names \& } \\ \text { Designation }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Qualifi } \\ \text {-cation }\end{array} & \text { Experience }\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Work } \\ \text { allocation }\end{array}\right]$

Table-PPR 4: Details of functionaries in the DRDA Watershed Cell ..Contd.

| 11 | 12 |  | 13 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monthly <br> remuneration <br> (Rs.) | Total budget of Watershed Cell <br> (Rs.) |  | Funding expected from DoLR (Rs.) |  |
|  | R | NR | R | NR |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## R - Recurring; NR- Non-recurring

*From column no. 2, no. of districts; from column no. 3, no. of executive agencies; from column no. 6, no. of persons working in the executive agencies of watershed programmes; from column no.7, total no. of personnel engaged in the watershed cells, from column no. 11 to 13 , totals for the State may be indicated at the end of the table.
\#DWDU/ DRDA/Zilla Parishad (ZP)/ State Department (please specify)/ Any other (Please specify)
@collector/CEO ZP/ CDO/ DDO/ PD/ Any other (please specify)

## II. SELECTION OF WATERSHED PROJECTS

Table-PPR 5: Status of District-wise area covered under the watershed programme* (MIS Table-M(SP)2)

| 1 | 2 |  | 3 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { S. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Names of Districts | Total microwatersheds in the District |  | Micro-watersheds covered so far |  |  |  |  |  | Net <br> watersheds to be covered |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Land ces | Othe | tries/ | Total watersheds covered |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pre-IWMP projects } \\ \text { (DPAP +DDP } \\ \text { +IWDP) } \end{gathered}$ |  | Any other watershed project |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | No. | Area <br> (ha.) | No. | Area <br> (ha.) | No. | Area <br> (ha.) | No. | Area <br> (ha.) | No. | Area <br> (ha.) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*from column no.2, total no. of districts; from columns 3 to 5 , the totals for the entire State may be given at the end of the table.

Table-PPR 6: Prioritized list of projects proposed for sanction during the financial year $\qquad$ *

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | No. of |  | Type of |  | Weightage under the criteria\# |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S. No. | District | Name of the project | watersheds proposed to be covered | project <br> area <br> (ha) | project <br> (Hilly/ <br> Desert/ <br> Others) | Proposed cost (Rs. in lakh) | i | ii | iii | iv | $v$ | vi | vii | viii | ix | x | xi | xii | xiii | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* From column no. 2, total no. of districts, from column no. 3, total no. of projects selected for sanction, from column no. 4, total no. of micro-watersheds to be covered, from column no. 5, total project area proposed, from column no. 7, total cost proposed, may be indicated for the entire State at the end of the table.
\# Criteria and weightage for selection of watershed

| S. | Criteria | Maximum score | Ranges \& scores |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| i | Poverty index (\% of poor to population) | 10 | Above 80 \% (10) | 80 to 50 \% (7.5) | 50 to 20 \% (5) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Below } 20 \text { \% } \\ & \text { (2.5) } \end{aligned}$ |
| ii | \% of SC/ ST population | 10 | More than 40 \% (10) | 20 to 40 \% (5) | Less than 20 \% (3) |  |
| iii | Actual wages | 5 | Actual wages are significantly lower than minimum wages (5) | Actual wages are equal to or higher than minimum wages (0) |  |  |
| iv | \% of small and marginal farmers | 10 | More than 80 \% (10) | 50 to 80 \% (5) | Less than 50 \% (3) |  |
| v | Ground water status | 5 | Over exploited (5) | Critical (3) | Sub critical (2) | Safe (0) |
| vi | Moisture index/ DPAP/ DDP Block | 15 | -66.7 \& below (15) DDP Block | $\begin{aligned} & -33.3 \text { to }-66.6(10) \\ & \text { DPAP Block } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0 to -33.2 (0) <br> Non DPAP/ DDP Block |  |
| vii | Area under rain-fed agriculture | 15 | More than 90 \% (15) | 80 to 90 \% (10) | 70 to 80\% (5) | Above 70 <br> \% (Reject) |


| viii | Drinking water | 10 | No source (10) | Problematic village (7.5) | Partially covered (5) | Fully <br> covered (0) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ix | Degraded land | 15 | High - above 20\% (15) | Medium - 10 to $20 \%$ <br> $(10)$ | Low- less than 10 \% of <br> TGA (5) |  |
| x | Productivity potential of the <br> land | 15 | Lands with low production <br> \& where productivity can be <br> significantly enhanced with <br> reasonable efforts (15) | Lands with moderate <br> production \& where <br> productivity can be <br> enhanced with <br> reasonable efforts (10) | Lands with high <br> production \& where <br> productivity can be <br> marginally enhanced <br> with reasonable efforts <br> (5) |  |
| xi | Contiguity to another <br> watershed that has already <br> been developed/ treated | 10 | Contiguous to previously <br>  <br> contiguity within the <br> microwatersheds in the <br> project (10) | Contiguity within the <br> microwatersheds in the <br> project but non <br> contiguous to previously <br> treated watershed (5) | Neither contiguous to <br> previously treated <br> watershed nor <br> contiguity within the <br> microwatersheds in the <br> project (0) |  |
| xii | Cluster approach in the <br> plains (more than one <br> contiguous micro-watersheds <br> in the project) | 15 | Above 6 micro-watersheds <br> in cluster (15) | 4 to 6 microwatersheds <br> in cluster (10) | 2 to 4 microwatersheds <br> in cluster (5) |  |
|  | Cluster approach in the hills <br> (more than one contiguous <br> micro-watersheds in the <br> project) |  | Above 5 micro-watersheds <br> in cluster (15) | 3 to 5 microwatersheds <br> in cluster (10) | 2 to 3 microwatersheds <br> in cluster (5) |  |

## III) PROJECT WISE PROFILE OF THE SELECTED WATERSHED PROJECT Table -PPR 7: Project at a Glance

| 1 | Name of the State |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Name \& type <br> of (hilly/ Desert/ Others) |  |
| 3 | Name of the District |  |
| 4 | Names of the Blocks |  |
| 5 | Names of Gram Panchayats | 1. <br> 6Names \& Census Code of Villages <br> covered |
| 7 | Names \& Codes of the micro- <br> watersheds |  |
| 8 | Four major reasons for selection of <br> watershed |  |
| 9 | Area of the Project (ha.) |  |
| 10 | Area proposed to be treated (ha.) |  |
| 11 | Project Cost (Rs. in Lakhs) |  |

\# for definition of "type" please see Appendix-I

Table-PPR 8: Details of previously identified DPAP/ DDP areas proposed under IWMP (ha) during the financial year*

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S. <br> No. | Names <br> of <br> Districts | Name of the <br> project <br> proposed | Name of <br> Block(s) | Type of Block <br> (DPAP/ <br> DDP/IWDP) | Area <br> of <br> Block <br> (ha) | No. of pre- <br> IWMP projects <br> sanctioned in <br> the Block | Area of <br> sanctioned <br> projects | Net <br> area to <br> be <br> covered | Area of <br> the <br> proposed <br> project | Area proposed <br> to be covered <br> by schemes <br> other than <br> DoLR | Net <br> balance <br> area |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* From column no. 2, total no. of districts, from column no. 3, total no. of projects proposed, from column no. 4, total no. of blocks, from column no. 6 to 12, totals, may be indicated for the entire State at the end of the table.

Table-PPR 9: Land Use pattern of the project*
(Area in ha)

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S. No. | Name of watersheds | Names of villages | Geographical Area of the village | Forest Area | Land under agricultural use | Rainfed area | Permanent pastures | Wasteland |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Cultiva ble | Noncultivable |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source of data:

* From column no. 2, total no. of microwatersheds, from column no. 3, total no. of villages, from column no. 4 to 9 , totals, may be indicated for the project at the end of the table.


## IV. AGRO-CLIMATIC CONDITION

Briefly describe the agro-climatic condition of project area including the Agro-climatic zone of project area, soil types, rainfall, major crops, etc.

Table - PPR 10: Details of Agro-climatic condition*

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  | 7 | 8 |  | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { S. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Name of the Project | Name of the Agro-climatic zone covers project area | Area in ha | Names of the villages | Major soil types |  | Topography \# | Average rainfall in mm (preceding 5 years average) | Major crops |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | a)Type | b) Area in ha |  |  | a) Name | b) Area in ha |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* From column no. 5, total no. of villages, from column no. 6, total area, from column no. 9, total no. of crops and total cropped area, may be indicated for the project at the end of the table.
\# Flat, undulating, moderate slope, Steep slope

Table-PPR 11: Details of flood and drought in the project area*

| 1 | 2 | 3 |  | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SI. No. | Particulars | Villages |  | Periodicity | Not affected |
|  |  |  | Annual | Any other (please specify) |  |
| 1 | Flood | No. of villages |  |  |  |
|  |  | Name(s) of villages |  |  |  |
| 2 | Drought | No. of villages |  |  |  |
|  |  | Name(s) of villages |  |  |  |

* From column nos. 4 \& 5, total no. of villages, category wise, for the project may be given at the end of the table.

Table-PPR 12: Details of soil erosion in the project area

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cause | Type of erosion | Area affected <br> (ha) | Run off (mm/ year) | Average soil loss (Tonnes/ ha/ year) |
| Water erosion |  |  |  |  |
| a | Sheet |  |  |  |
| b | Rill |  |  |  |
| c | Gully |  |  |  |
| Sub-Total |  |  |  |  |
| Wind erosion |  |  | NA |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |

## V. DEMOGRAPHY AND LAND DISTRIBUTION

Growth in population during the last three census', per capita availability of land, sex ratio, population age group in the project area, literacy level, migration, workforce available in different sectors of the economy, demography of SC, ST, BPL and landless families in the project area in the last ten years, etc.

## VI. LIVELIHOODS

Briefly describe the existing livelihoods, raw material available, possible livelihood interventions, scope for capacity building etc. in the project area

Table-PPR 13 Summary of livelihoods

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S. No. | Names of <br> the <br> villages | Existing <br> livelihood <br> activities | Possible livelihood <br> interventions under <br> the project | Current status of <br> migration <br> (No. of people) | Main reasons for <br> migration |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## VII. EXPECTED PROJECT OUTCOMES

## VII. (i). Expected employment related outcomes:

## Table-PPR 14: Employment generation

| 1 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Names | Wage employment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Self employment |  |  |  |  |
|  | of the | No. of mandays |  |  |  |  | No. of beneficiaries |  |  |  |  | No. of beneficiaries |  |  |  |  |
|  | villages | SC | ST | Others | Women | Total | SC | ST | Others | Women | Total | SC | ST | Others | Women | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* From column no. 2, total no. of villages, from column no. $3 \& 4$, category-wise totals may be given at the end of the table for the project.

Table-PPR 15: Details of migration from Project area

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SI. | Names <br> of <br> No. <br> villages | No. of <br> persons <br> migrating | No. of days <br> per year of <br> migration | Major <br> reason(s) for <br> migrating | Expected reduction <br> in no. of persons <br> migrating |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

* From column no. 2, total no. of villages; from column no. 3, total no. of persons migrating; from column no. 4, average no. of days for annual migration; from column no. 6, total expected reduction on no. of persons migrating, for the project may be given at the end of the Table.


## VII. (ii). Water related outcomes:

Table-PPR 16: Details of average ground water table depth in the project areas (in meters)

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S. <br> No. | Names of <br> villages | Sources | Pre-Project <br> level | Expected <br> post-project <br> level | Remarks |
|  |  | Open <br> wells |  |  |  |
| Bore <br> wells |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Others <br> (specify) |  |  |  |

Source of data:

## Table-PPR 17: Status of Drinking water*

| 1 | 2 | 3 |  | 4 |  | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{c}\text { S. } \\ \text { No. }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Names of } \\ \text { the } \\ \text { villages }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Availability of drinking water } \\ \text { (no. of months in a year) }\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Quality of drinking water } \\ \text { project }\end{array}$ |  | Expected Post-project | \(\left.\begin{array}{c}Pre- <br>

project\end{array} \quad $$
\begin{array}{c}\text { Expected Post- } \\
\text { project }\end{array}
$$\right)\)

* from column no. 2, total no. of villages implementing the programme, from column no. 3, average no. of months may be given at the end of the table for the entire project.


## VII. (iii). Crop related outcomes:

## Table-PPR 18- Major crops grown and their productivity in the project area

| 1 | 2 | 3 |  | 4 |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| s. <br> No. | Name of the <br> Crop | Current status |  | Expected post project <br> status |  |
|  | Area (ha) | Productivity <br> (kg/ ha) | Area (ha) | Productivity <br> (kg/ ha) |  |
|  | Kharif |  |  |  |  |
|  | Rabi |  |  |  |  |
|  | Zaid/Other <br> season |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^1]
## VIII. MANDATORY CERTIFICATION

"It is certified that the State Government of $\qquad$ will abide by the following mandatory conditions laid down by DoLR"

| 1 | The area of the proposed projects are not covered under assured irrigation |
| :---: | :--- |
| 2 | The area of the proposed project is not covered or overlapping with any other watershed <br> projects sanctioned by the central govt./ state govt./ autonomous bodies \& others |
| 3 | The State must sign all the mandatory MoUs before implementing the project |
| 4 | The timeframes and milestones of the projects will be followed |$|$| 5 | The Budget requested for must follow the criteria laid down in the Common Guidelines, 2008 |
| :---: | :--- |
| 6 | The State must release matching State Share within 15 days from release of each installment of <br> central funds |
| 7 | Purchase of vehicles and other equipments are not permitted and nor is construction of buildings <br> allowed. Only purchase of computers and related software is permitted |
| 8 | Savings, if any, in each component of the project cost can be utilized only for activities in the <br> Watershed works |
| 9 | The DWDU will have one Member exclusively responsible for monitoring <br> 10All works will be evaluated after each phase of completion. Fund release will depend on <br> favourable reports received from evaluators |
| 11 | Evaluators must include only institutions and agencies and not individuals <br> 12 <br> 13CoLR State and DRDA cell will furnish monitoring reports and periodical reports as desired by <br> at the time of the WDT musing of the MoU clearly spelt out and the team Memberst between the PIA and DRDA Cell |
| 14 | That DRDA shall release the funds to the PIAs and the watershed committees within 15 day place <br> receipt of the funds |
| 15 | The Watershed Committee must be a registered society under the Societies Registration Act, <br> 1860 |
| 16 | At least one of the WDT Members must be a woman |
| 17 | The Gram Sabhas of the proposed project areas have passed resolutions for people's <br> contribution towards WDF |

18 Resource-use agreements on the principles of equity and sustainability must be worked out among the User Groups prior to the concerned work being undertaken
19 The DPR must give detailed justification for the proposed project duration
20 No works on private lands will be repaired/ maintained from the WDF
21 The PIA will start project work within three months of the receipt of first installment by DWDU/agency or else it can come under the purview of foreclosure
22 The State will not undertake unnecessary foreclosure of the projects. In the event of foreclosure, the State will refund the amount and furnish all necessary documents as desired by DoLR. The State shall also take administrative and legal action against any defalcation, misappropriation, mis-utilization, deliberate negligence and laxity which has caused foreclosure of the project.

Date:
Signature of officer authorized by State Govt.* NAME OF OFFICER (IN CAPITAL LETTERS) DESIGNATION
*Letter of Authority from Secretary of the concerned Department, authorizing the concerned officer to sign the above undertaking, should be enclosed with PPR.

## IX. STATUS OF ON-GOING PROJECTS (DPAP/ DDP / IWDP)

Table- PPR 19: Details of pending UCs: Statewise*

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |  | 8 | 9 <br> Date of submission of UC |  | 10 |  | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { S. } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | District | Project | Instal -ment no. | Financial year of release of fund | Amount released (Rs. in lakh) | Amount utilized (Rs.in lakhs) | Submission of UC |  |  |  | Reasons for not submitting/ delayed submission of UC | Pending UCs |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Due date | Amount (Rs. in lakhs) | Date | Amount (Rs. in lakhs) |  | Period | Amount (Rs. in lakhs) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*From column No. 2, total no. of Districts, from column No. 3, total no. of projects, from column no. 6, total amount released, from column No. 7, total amount utilized, from column No. 8, total amount due, from column no. 9, total amount for which UCs submitted, from column No. 11, total amount of the pending UCs, may be mentioned at the end of the table for the entire State.

Table- PPR 20: Details of Unspent balance as on $\qquad$ : Districtwise*

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Name of <br> S. <br> No. | District | Total cost <br> the <br> (Rs. in lakh) | Total funds <br> released <br> (Rs. in lakh) |  |
|  |  | Unspent <br> balance <br> (Rs. in lakhs) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*From column No. 2, total no. of Districts, from column No. 3, total no. of projects, from column no. 4 to 6 , totals, may be mentioned at the end of the table for the entire State

## X. ABSTRACT OF PROJECTS PROPOSED FOR SANCTION DURING FINANCIAL

 YEAR........| 1 | Number of districts to be covered under the present <br> proposal |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | No. of Watersheds projects proposed to be taken up <br> under IWMP | Hilly/Desert | Others | 3 | Total area to be covered under proposed projects <br> (000' ha) <br> (a) Hilly \& Desert areas\# <br> (b) Others |
| :---: | :--- |
| 4 | Total cost of the proposed Watershed projects (Rs. in <br> lakhs) <br> (a) Hilly \& Desert areas\# <br> (c) Others |
| 5 | Total |
| First installment required from central funds for the <br> proposed watershed projects |  |
| \# For details refer Appendix-I |  |


[^0]:    \# APC/ ACS/ Dev. Commissioner/ Others (pl. specify)
    \$ Deputation/ Contract

[^1]:    * From column no. 2, total no. of crops; from columns no. 3 \& 4, total cropped area, average productivity, for the project may be given at the end of the Table.

