APPENDIX A: Proposal Forms

TfL Proposal Summary Sheet and Delivery Forms

The following list of proposal forms are contained within this Appendix. The 'Form 2' LIP Proposal Summary Sheet is located after this list and the proposal forms are contained after the 'Form 2'.

- 1a Local Safety Schemes
- 1b Speed Reduction
- 1c Road Safety Education and Training
- 1d School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to School
- 1d1 Work Travel Plans (Business Travel Plans)
- 1e Community Safety, Security and Partnerships
- 2a Principal Road Maintenance
- 2b Local Roads Maintenance
- 2c Street Lighting
- 2d Pedestrian Crossing Programme
- 2e Bridges and Structures
- 2f Bus Priority
- 2g Freight
- 3a Area Based Schemes
- 3b Signs, lines & street clutter rationalisation
- 3c Parking and Enforcement Action Plan
- 3c1 Provision of Secure Motor-Cycle Parking Facilities and Motor-Cycle Signing
- 3d Taxi's and Private Hire Vehicles
- 4a Local Area Accessibility
- 4a2 Local Area Accessibility- signage deficiencies
- 4b Walking
- 4c Cycling- Cycle Training; Cycle Parking; and Cycling Strategy update
- 4c1 Cycling- Non LCN+
- 4c2 Cycling- LCN+
- 4d Bus Stop Accessibility
- 5a Travel Awareness
- 5a1 STAN Study
- 5b Environmental Measures
- 5c Water
- 6 Parallel Initiatives
- 7 Sweltrac Programme
- 7a Sweltrac- Non-borough Specific Programme

LB RICHMOND UPON THAMES LIP PROPOSAL SUMMARY SHEET **PROPOSALS, POLICIES & TARGETS CATEGORIES AS PER MATRIX Priority** FORM 1 Topic **Proposal Policy Target** (APPENDIX B) Area Ref 5a Travel Awareness Strategies 3.Po5 3.Po6 5b **Environmental Measures** 3.Po7 3.Po8 3a Area Based Schemes Work Travel Plans (Business 1d1 Travel Plans) Community Safety, Security and 1e Partnerships 3.Po9 2d Pedestrian Crossing Programme 3d Taxis and Private Hire Vehicles Local Area Accessibility 4a Travel Awareness 5a 5a1 STAN Study ٧ 3.Pr1 10, 11 **Area Based Schemes** За 1d School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools 3a Area Based Schemes Parking & Enforcement Plan 3c VΙ 3.Pr2 7, 12 Action Plan Walking 4b **Environmental Measures** 5b 5c Water 1d School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools Area Based Schemes За Parking & Enforcement Plan 3c VI 3Pr4 7, 12 Action Plan 4b Walking **Environmental Measures** 5b 5c Water School Travel Plans/Safer 1d Routes to Schools Area Based Schemes 3a Parking & Enforcement Plan 3c VΙ 3.Pr5 7, 12 Action Plan 4b Walking

5b

5c

Environmental Measures

Water

CATEGORIES	PROPO	SALS, POLIC	CIES & TAF	RGETS		
AS PER MATRIX (APPENDIX B)	Priority Area	Proposal	Policy	Target	FORM 1 Ref	Topic
	VI	3.Pr6		7, 12	1d 3g 3a 3c 4b 5b 5c	School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools Freight Area Based Schemes Parking & Enforcement Plan Action Plan Walking Environmental Measures Water
		3.Pr7			4a	Local Area Accessibility
Underground		4C.Pr12			5a1	STAN Study
		4D.Pr3		-		
DLR & Tramlink		4D.Pr4				
	V	4D.Pr5				
	V	4D.Pr6 4D.Pr7		-		
		4E.Pr7 4E.Pr8				
	V	4E.Pr9		10, 11	3a 5a1 7	Area Based Schemes STAN Study Sweltrac Programme
Rail		4E.Pr10			7 7a	Sweltrac Programme Non-Borough specific Sweltrac proposals
	V	4E.Pr13		10, 11	3a 7	Area Based Schemes Sweltrac Programme
		4E.Pr14				
			4E.Po3			
	=	4F.Pr2		3, 4	2f 4d	Bus Priority Bus Stop accessibility
	II	4F.Pr3		3, 4	2f 4d	Bus Priority Bus Stop accessibility
D	II	4F.Pr6		3, 4	2f 3a 4d 6	Bus Priority Area Based Schemes Bus Stop accessibility Parallel Initiatives
Bus	=	4F.Pr7		3, 4	2f 4d	Bus Priority Bus Stop accessibility
	III	4F.Pr8		3, 4	2f 4d 6	Bus Priority Bus Stop accessibility Parallel Initiatives
	V	4F.Pr11		10, 11	3a 4d	Area Based Schemes Bus Stop Accessibility
	IV	4F.Pr21		7, 9		See Appendix I
Streets	IV	4G.Pr1		7, 9	3c1	Provision of Secure Motor-Cycle Parking Facilities and Motor- Cycle Signing

CATEGORIES	PROPO	SALS, POLI	CIES & TAF	RGETS		
AS PER MATRIX (APPENDIX B)	Priority Area	Proposal	Policy	Target	FORM 1 Ref	Topic
	III		4G.Po2		2b 6	Local Roads Maintenance Parallel Initiatives
		4G.Pr2			2c	Street Lighting
		4G.Pr3			2d	Pedestrian Crossing Programme
	II	4G.Pr4		3, 4	2f 3a 4d	Bus Priority Area Based Schemes Bus Stop accessibility
	I	4G.Pr7		1, 2	1a 1b 1c 1d 2c 3b	Local Safety Schemes Speed Reduction Road Safety Education and Training School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools Street Lighting Signs, lines & street clutter rationalisation
	I	4G.Pr9		1, 2	1a 1b 1c 1d 2c 2e 3b	Local Safety Schemes Speed Reduction Road Safety Education and Training School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools Street Lighting Bridges and Structures Signs, lines & street clutter rationalisation
	VI	4G.Pr10		7, 12	1d 3a 4b 5b 5c	School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools Area Based Schemes Walking Environmental Measures Water
	VI	4G.Pr11		7, 12	1b 1d 2c 3a 3b 4b 5b 5c 7 7a	Road Safety Education and Training School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools Street Lighting Area Based Schemes Signs, lines & street clutter rationalisation Walking Environmental Measures Water Sweltrac Non-Borough specific Sweltrac proposals
		4G.Pr12		5, 6, 7, 8	1b 1d 6	Road Safety Education and Training School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools Parallel Initiatives

CATEGORIES	PROPO	SALS, POLIC	CIES & TAF	RGETS		
AS PER MATRIX (APPENDIX B)	Priority Area	Proposal	Policy	Target	FORM 1 Ref	Topic
	III	4G.Pr14		5, 6, 7, 8	1d 3b	School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools Signs, lines & street clutter rationalisation
			4G.Po5		3c	Parking & Enforcement Plan Action Plan
	IV	4G.Pr15		7, 9	6	Parallel Initiatives
			4G.Po6		2a 2b 3c	Principal Road Maintenance Local Roads Maintenance Parking & Enforcement Plan Action Plan
	IV	4G.Pr16		7, 9	3c	Parking & Enforcement Plan Action Plan
	IV	4G.Pr17		7, 9	3c	Parking & Enforcement Plan Action Plan
	III	4G.Pr18		5, 6, 7, 8	1d 6	School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools Parallel Initiatives
	III	4G.Pr19		5, 6, 7, 8	1d 5b 6	School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools Environmental Measures Parallel Initiatives
	III	4G.Pr20		5, 6, 7, 8	1d 6	School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools Parallel Initiatives
		4G.Pr22			4a2	Local Area Accessibility- signage deficiencies
		4G.Pr23				
	III	4G.Pr24		5, 6, 7, 8	1d	School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools
	VIII	4G.Pr25		14	2a 2b	Principal Road Maintenance Local Roads Maintenance
		4G.Pr26		14		See Appendix L
		4H.Pr1				
	IV	4H.Pr2		7, 9		See PEP- Chapter 7
Car	III	4H.Pr3		5, 6, 7, 8	1d 1d1	School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools Work Travel Plans (Business Travel Plans)

CATEGORIES	PROPO	SALS, POLIC	CIES & TAF			
AS PER MATRIX (APPENDIX B)	Priority Area	Proposal	Policy	Target	FORM 1 Ref	Topic
Walking	VI	41.Pr2		7, 12	1d 1d1 1e 2c 2d 3a 3b 4a 4b 5a 5a1 5b 5c	School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools Work Travel Plans (Business Travel Plans) Community Safety, Security and Partnerships Street Lighting Pedestrian Crossing Programme Area Based Schemes Signs, lines & street clutter rationalisation Local Area Accessibility Walking Travel Awareness STAN Study Environmental Measures Water
	VI	4I.Pr3		7, 12	1d 2c 3a 3b 4b 5b 5c	School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools Street Lighting Area Based Schemes Signs, lines & street clutter rationalisation Walking Environmental Measures Water
	VI	4I.Pr4		7, 12	1d 3a 4b 5b 5c	School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools Area Based Schemes Walking Environmental Measures Water
	VI	4I.Pr6		7, 12	1d 3a 4b 5b 5c	School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools Area Based Schemes Walking Environmental Measures Water
	VI	4I.Pr7		7, 12	1d 3a 4b 5b 5c 6	School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools Area Based Schemes Walking Environmental Measures Water Parallel Initiatives

CATEGORIES	PROPO	SALS, POLIC				
AS PER MATRIX (APPENDIX B)	Priority Area	Proposal	Policy	Target	FORM 1 Ref	Topic
	VI	4I.Pr8		7, 12	1d 1d1 1e 2c 2d 3a 3b 4a 4b 5a 5b 5c	School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools Work Travel Plans (Business Travel Plans) Community Safety, Security and Partnerships Street Lighting Pedestrian Crossing Programme Area Based Schemes Signs, lines & street clutter rationalisation Local Area Accessibility Walking Travel Awareness Environmental Measures Water
Cycling			4J.Po1		4c 4c1 4c2	Cycling: Cycle Training, Cycle Parking; and Cycling Strategy update Cycling- Non LCN+ Cycling- LCN+
	VII	4J.Pr1		13	1d 3a 4c 4c1 4c2 5a	School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools Area Based Schemes Cycling: Cycle Training, Cycle Parking; and Cycling Strategy update Cycling- Non LCN+ Cycling- LCN+ Travel Awareness
	VII	4J.Pr3		13	1d 3a 4c 4c1 4c1 4c2	School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools Area Based Schemes Cycling: Cycle Training, Cycle Parking; and Cycling Strategy update Cycling- Non LCN+ Cycling- LCN+
	VII	4J.Pr4		13	1d 3a 4c 4c1 4c2	School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools Area Based Schemes Cycling: Cycle Training, Cycle Parking; and Cycling Strategy update Cycling- Non LCN+ Cycling- LCN+

CATEGORIES	PROPO	SALS, POLIC	CIES & TAF	RGETS		
AS PER MATRIX (APPENDIX B)	Priority Area	Proposal	Policy	Target	FORM 1 Ref	Topic
	VII	4J.Pr5		13	1a 1d 3a 4c 4c1 4c2 6	Local Safety Schemes School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools Area Based Schemes Cycling: Cycle Training, Cycle Parking; and Cycling Strategy update Cycling- Non LCN+ Cycling- LCN+ Parallel Initiatives
	VII	4J.Pr6		13	1d 3a 4c 4c1 4c2	School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools Area Based Schemes Cycling: Cycle Training, Cycle Parking; and Cycling Strategy update Cycling- Non LCN+ Cycling- LCN+
	VII	4J.Pr7		13	1d 1d1 3a 4c 4c1 4c1 4c2	School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools Work Travel Plans (Business Travel Plans) Area Based Schemes Cycling: Cycle Training, Cycle Parking; and Cycling Strategy update Cycling- Non LCN+ Cycling- LCN+
		4J.Pr8		13	1c 1d 3a 4c 4c1 4c1 4c2 5a	Road Safety Education and Training School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools Area Based Schemes Cycling: Cycle Training, Cycle Parking; and Cycling Strategy update Cycling- Non LCN+ Cycling- LCN+ Travel Awareness
Freight		4K.Pr1			2g 2g	Freight Freight
	III	4K.Pr2 4K.Pr3		5, 6, 7, 8	7 1d 2g	Sweltrac Programme School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools Freight

CATEGORIES	PROPO	SALS, POLIC	CIES & TAF			
AS PER MATRIX (APPENDIX B)	Priority Area	Proposal	Policy	Target	FORM 1 Ref	Topic
		4K.Pr4		7, 12	1d 2g 3a 4b 5b 5c	School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools Freight Area Based Schemes Walking Environmental Measures Water
		4K.Pr5			2g 7a	Freight Non-Borough specific Sweltrac proposals
International Issues			4L.Po6		7 7a	Sweltrac programme Non-Borough specific Sweltrac proposals
\\/ator		4M.Pr2			5c	Water
Water			4M.Po2		5c	Water
			4N.Po2		3c	Taxis and Private Hire Vehicles
		4N.Pr1			3d 5a1	Taxi and Private Hire Vehicles STAN Study
Taxi	V	4N.Pr5		10, 11	3a 7 7a	Area Based Schemes Sweltrac Programme Non-Borough specific Sweltrac proposals
Accessible Transport			4O.Po1		1d1 1e 2d 4a 4a2 5a	Work Travel Plans (Business Travel Plans) Community Safety, Security and Partnerships Pedestrian Crossing Programme Local Area Accessibility Local Area Accessibility- signage deficiencies Travel Awareness
	V	40.Pr1		10, 11	1e 3a 4a	Community Safety, Security and Partnerships Area Based Schemes Local Area Accessibility
			4O.Po2		1d1 1e	Work Travel Plans (Business Travel Plans) Community Safety, Security and Partnerships
					2d 4a 5a	Pedestrian Crossing Programme Local Area Accessibility Travel Awareness
	V	40.Pr3		10, 11	3a 4a	Local Area Accessibility Area Based Schemes
	V	40.Pr4		10, 11	1e 3a	Community Safety, Security and Partnerships Area Based Schemes
	V	40.Pr5		10, 11	3a	Area Based Schemes

CATEGORIES	PROPO	SALS, POLIC	CIES & TAF			
AS PER MATRIX (APPENDIX B)	Priority Area	Proposal	Policy	Target	FORM 1 Ref	Topic
	V	40.Pr6		10, 11	1e 3a	Community Safety, Security and Partnerships Area Based Schemes
	V	40.Pr9		10, 11	3a	Area Based Schemes
	V	40.Pr12		10, 11	3a	Area Based Schemes
	V	40.Pr13		10, 11	3a	Area Based Schemes
		40.Pr14		10, 11	3a	Area Based Schemes
			4P.Po2		4a2 6 7 7a	Local Area Accessibility- signage deficiencies Parallel Initiatives Sweltrac Programme Non-Borough specific Sweltrac proposals
		4P.Pr3				
Integration	III	4P.Pr4		5, 6, 7, 8	1d 5a 7	School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to School School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools Sweltrac Programme
	V	4P.Pr5		10, 11	3a 3d 5a1	Area Based Schemes Taxis and Private Hire Vehicles STAN Study
Major Projects			4Q.Po1			
		4Q.Pr7				

Form Number RUTLIP 1a

London Borough of Richmond upon Thames

LIP PROPOSAL DELIVERY FORM						
Summary of Proposal:	Local Safety Schemes					
Location:	Borough-wide					
Dates:	Annual Programme aimed to achieve national and regional targets by 2010.					

Description of Main Elements:

Scheme Details

An annual accident and remedial programme aimed at reducing the number of road traffic accident casualties. Measures may include:

- Traffic calming
- New and enhanced signals
- Junction improvements
- Pedestrian facilities
- Cycle and powered two wheeler facilities

Scheme Element Objectives

To achieve the national targets of reducing the number of people killed or injured in road accidents by 2010. To implement the LB Richmond upon Thames Road Safety Plan

Prioritisation Criteria

Road accidents are monitored on a continuous basis, and the local safety measures programme is prepared annually to target locations with the highest number and greatest severity of accidents. Schemes are designed in consultation with the police and emergency services. The council will continue to deliver a prioritised programme of at least 3 to 4 accident remedial schemes a year. Prioritisation will be given to schemes which will deliver a good first year rate of return.

2007/08

Schemes for 2007/08 are proposed for Hampton Court Road, Mortlake High Street, Cross Deep and Strawberry Vale, Twickenham Town Centre (East Side), Rocks Lane/Mill Hill Road Junction, Sheen Road and Richmond Road. The nature of works on these locations is in line with the measures described above although it is noted that the proposal for Hampton Court Road is reasonably high cost (£300k) due to the heritage location.

Beyond 2009/2010

The programme will continue until all reasonable measures have been implemented to reduce casualties to beyond target levels.

The LB Richmond upon Thames Road Safety Plan will be reviewed for its' effectiveness and therefore a package of mitigating measures including any impact on adjacent areas may be needed.

Key Delivery Partners	Dependencies and Risks
Partners for this proposal include: term contractor for delivery	Dependencies and risks identified for this proposal include:
Transport for London	Notification requirements of the Traffic Management
neighbouring boroughs	Act 2004Where the works are related to other projects or
	planned improvements, e.g. major bus priority works

Delivering the Mayor's Transport Strategy in Richmond upon Thames						
The purpose of the borough LSS programme is to: Reduce the number of people killed or seriously injured by 40%	MTS Priority Area	LIP Guidance Target Number	Relevant MTS Proposals and Policies			
Reduce the number of children killed or seriously injured by 50%		Number	Proposal 4G.Pr7 /			
Reduce the number of children slightly injured by 10% This will: Enhance safety and security across all means of travel	I	Target 1	Proposal 4G.Pr9			

Mode	Impact
Pedestrians and mobility impaired persons	positive
Cyclists	positive
Bus passengers	positive
Rail and Underground	neutral
Community transport and taxis	positive
Powered two-wheeler users	positive
Car users	positive
Freight	positive

Cross Cutting Goal	Impact
Promoting safety & perception of safety for all travel modes	positive
Encouraging sustainable means for travel	positive
Balanced road space allocation	positive
Requirements for sustainable developments	neutral
Equality and inclusion impacts*	positive
Environmental impacts**	positive

FUNDING REQUIRED TO DELIVER PROPOSAL						
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	Total (£K)	
FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	0	850	675	75	1600	
FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	0	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	0	850	675	75	1600	
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	AMOUNT	STATUS		COMMENTS		
TFL OUTSIDE BSP	£0k	N/A		N/A		
BOROUGH RESOURCES	£0k	N/A		N/A		
PARTNERS (specify here	£0k	N/A		N/A		
OTHER (specify here)	£0k	N/A		N/A		

Form Number RUTLIP 1b

London Borough of Richmond upon Thames

LIP PROPOSAL DELIVERY FORM		
Summary of Proposal:	Speed reduction measures	
Location:	Borough-wide	
Dates:	Annual programme subject to funding	

Description of Main Elements:

Scheme Details

Measures may include:

- Entry treatments
 - · New and reviews of existing traffic signals
 - Speed & Junction tables
 - Speed cushions
 - Pedestrian improvements
 - Road closures and One-Way working
 - Non-physical measures such as camera enforcement
 - 20mph zones

Scheme Element Objectives

The purpose of the LB Richmond upon Thames speed reduction measures programme is to reduce accidents and improve the local street environment by reducing vehicle speeds and deterring through traffic. They may also serve to reduce traffic flow.

Key Delivery Partners	Dependencies and Risks
Partners for this proposal include:	Dependencies and risks identified for this proposal include: Notification requirements of the Traffic Management Act 2004 Where the works are related to other projects or planned improvements, e.g. major bus priority works Accidents may fluctuate year to year Potential impact from situations beyond borough control. E.G. Changes to the TLRN in terms of both accidents and programming. Consultation outcome.

Delivering the Mayor's Transport Strategy in Richmond upon Thames				
The purpose of these zones is to reduce accidents and improve the local street environment by reducing vehicle speeds and deterring through traffic. This will enhance safety and security across all means of travel.	MTS Priority Area	LIP Guidance Target Number	Relevant MTS Proposals and Policies	
	I	Target 1	Proposal 4G.Pr7 / Proposal 4G.Pr9	

Mode	Impact
Pedestrians and mobility impaired persons	positive
Cyclists	positive
Bus passengers	positive
Rail and Underground	neutral
Community transport and taxis	positive
Powered two-wheeler users	positive
Car users	positive
Freight	positive

Cross Cutting Goal	Impact
Promoting safety & perception of safety for all travel modes	positive
Encouraging sustainable means for travel	positive
Balanced road space allocation	positive
Requirements for sustainable developments	neutral
Equality and inclusion impacts*	positive
Environmental impacts**	positive

FUNDING REQUIRED TO DELIVER PROPOSAL						
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	200910	Total (£K)	
FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	0	680	400	350	1430	
FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	0	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	0	680	400	350	1430	
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	AMOUNT	STATUS		COMMENTS		
TFL OUTSIDE BSP	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/		N/A		
BOROUGH RESOURCES	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/		N/A		
PARTNERS (specify here	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/A		N/A		
OTHER (specify here)	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/A		N/A		

Form Number RUTLIP 1c

London Borough of Richmond upon Thames

LIP PROPOSAL DELIVERY FORM				
Summary of Proposal:	Road Safety Education and Training			
Location:	Borough-wide			
Dates:	This programme is expected to continue annually beyond the LIP planning cycle of 2005-09			

Description of Main Elements:

Scheme Details

Measures may include:

- School Travel Plan and Travel Awareness Toolkit
- 'Safe Cycling Courses'
- Practical pedestrian training
- Walk to School Week
- Bike Week
- Richmond upon Thames Junior Citizen Scheme
- 'Safer Steps'
- Motorcycle Safety Campaign

Scheme Element Objectives

Measures to complement on-street physical measures to help reduce the disproportionate number of casualties suffered by vulnerable road users identified in the Richmond upon Thames Road Safety Plan.

Key Delivery Partners	Dependencies and Risks
Partners for this proposal include:	Dependencies and risks identified for this proposal include: • Accidents may fluctuate year to year • Encouraging and maintaining buy in from local groups, residents and vulnerable groups.

Delivering the Mayor's Transport Strategy in Richmond upon Thames			
Road safety education and training programmes are designed to complement on-street physical measures. This will enhance safety and security across all means of travel.	MTS Priority Area	LIP Guidance Target Number	Relevant MTS Proposals and Policies
	I	Target 1	Proposal 4G.Pr7 4J.Pr8

Modal Impact Cross Cutting Goals

Mode	Impact
Pedestrians and mobility impaired persons	positive
Cyclists	positive
Bus passengers	positive
Rail and Underground	positive
Community transport and taxis	positive
Powered two-wheeler users	positive
Car users	positive
Freight	positive

Cross Cutting Goal	Impact
Promoting safety & perception of safety for all travel modes	positive
Encouraging sustainable means for travel	positive
Balanced road space allocation	positive
Requirements for sustainable developments	positive
Equality and inclusion impacts*	positive
Environmental impacts**	positive

FUNDING REQUIRED TO DELIVER PROPOSAL						
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2005/06	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	Total (£K)	
FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	0	68	40	40	148	
FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	0	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	0	68	40	40	148	
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	AMOUNT	STATUS	_	COMMENTS		
TFL OUTSIDE BSP	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/A		N/A		
BOROUGH RESOURCES	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/		N/A		
PARTNERS (specify here	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/A		N/A		
OTHER (specify here)	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/		N/A		

Form Number RUTLIP 1d

London Borough of Richmond upon Thames

LIP PROPOSAL DELIVERY FORM					
Summary of Proposal: School Travel Plans					
Location:	Borough-wide				
Dates: This programme is expected to continue annually beyond the LIP planning cycle of 2005-09					

Description of Main Elements:

Scheme Details

School Travel Plan (also known as Safer Routes to School) engineering measures will be designed to

- Reduce road traffic accidents
- Reduce speed and rat running around the school
- Promote healthy and sustainable travel habits

The use of School Travel Plans is a vital component of the Road Safety Plan and a contributory factor for the reduction of road traffic and modal shift. Only schools that have adopted will be able to benefit from TfL funding for School Travel Plan engineering measures. Thus, the school travel plan programmes will be planned, prioritised and delivered in close co-ordination.

Subject to obtaining support from key partners and adequate funding, to pursue specific pilot initiatives such as local hoppa type buses to provide residents with a further safe and secure means of local travel. One such example is a walking bus from Richmond Station to Richmond Hill. The Borough would look to work closely with London Buses on this proposal.

Key Delivery Partners	Dependencies and Risks
Partners for this proposal include: Richmond upon Thames Council Education Department Schools Local residents and businesses Term contractor for delivery Transport for London Neighbouring boroughs	 Dependencies and risks identified for this proposal include: Notification requirements of the Traffic Management Act 2004 Where the works are related to other projects or planned improvements, e.g. major bus priority works Potential impact from situations beyond borough control. E.G. Changes to the TLRN in terms of traffic management, road layout and programming. Consultation outcome. Accidents may fluctuate year to year

Delivering the Mayor's Transport Strategy in Richmond upon Thames				
 This programme will deliver a number of the objectives of the Mayor's Transport Strategy including: Improving road safety Relieving traffic congestion and improving journey time reliability including through the use of travel demand measures Encourage walking by improving the street environment, conditions for pedestrians and through the use of travel demand measures. 	MTS Priority Area	LIP Guidance Target Number	Relevant MTS Proposals and Policies	
 Encourage cycling by improving conditions for cyclists and through the use of travel demand measures. Other issues include: Healthier pupils Greater modal shift Improved pedestrian environment Travel Plan adoption: a managed approach to travel demand and sensitivity to local traffic and congestion issues. 	I, III, VI & VII	Target 1 & 2	3.Pr2, 3.Pr4-6, 4G.Pr7, 4G.Pr9-12, 4G.Pr14, 4G.Pr18- 20, 4G.Pr24, 4H.Pr3, 4I.Pr1-8, 4J.Pr1, 4J.Pr3-8, 4K.Pr3-4, 4P.Pr4	

Mode	Impact
Pedestrians and mobility impaired persons	positive
Cyclists	positive
Bus passengers	neutral
Rail and Underground	neutral
Community transport and taxis	neutral
Powered two-wheeler users	neutral
Car users	positive
Freight	neutral

Cross Cutting Goals

Cross Cutting Goal	Impact
Promoting safety & perception of safety for all travel modes	positive
Encouraging sustainable means for travel	positive
Balanced road space allocation	positive
Requirements for sustainable developments	positive
Equality and inclusion impacts*	positive
Environmental impacts**	positive

FUNDING REQUIRED TO DELIVER PROPOSAL TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K) 2006/07 2007/08 2008/09 2009/10 Total (£) 300 **FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP** 0 300 300 900 0 0 0 0 0 **FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES** 0 **TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED** 300 300 300 900 **OTHER FUNDING SOURCES AMOUNT STATUS COMMENTS** (Requested/ TFL OUTSIDE BSP £0k N/A Approved/N/A) (Requested/ **BOROUGH RESOURCES** £0k N/A Approved/N/A) (Requested/ £0k N/A PARTNERS (specify here Approved/N/A) (Requested/ £0k N/A OTHER (specify here) Approved/N/A)

Form Number RUTLIP 1d1

London Borough of Richmond upon Thames

LIP PROPOSAL DELIVERY FORM				
Summary of Proposal: Work Travel Plans (Business Travel Plans)				
Location:	Borough-wide			
Dates:	This programme is expected to continue annually beyond the LIP planning cycle of 2005-09			

Description of Main Elements:

Business Travel Plans will be promoted as an all-encompassing initiative for a business, with the aim of reducing its transport impact on the local road network. Business Travel Plans will cover the following aspects of a businesses travel and transport needs: -

- Commuter journeys
- Business travel
- Visitors
- Deliveries
- Business fleet

It is planned to undertake monitoring of business travel plans through the use of a business travel plan database, which will come into operation this financial year.

In order to progress business travel plans within Richmond upon Thames a range of measures aimed at encouraging cycling, walking, public transport, car sharing, car clubs or reducing the need to travel for work will be promoted. These can include: -

- Setting up a car sharing initiative (using <u>www.sharethecar.org</u>, a SWELTRAC project).
- Providing cycle facilities. E.g. showers, secure cycle parking.
- Providing information to staff on rail, tube and bus services, in conjunction with the travel information services and leaflets provided by TfL
- Low interest or interest free public transport season ticket loans.
- Offering attractive flexible-working practices.
- Car park management
- Home working
- Tele-working
- Pool vehicles
- Using alternative fuels for fleet vehicles
- City Car Club
- Use of Safer Travel at Night principles

Key Delivery Partners	Dependencies and Risks
Local businessSWELTRAC	Partnership workingTechnological changes
TfLCar Club service provider	

Delivering the Mayor's Transport Strategy in Richmond upon Thames			
These programmes will help deliver improvements in: Modal shift Work travel- modal share	MTS Priority Area	LIP Guidance Target Number	Relevant MTS Proposals and Policies
Business satisfaction	VI	7 & 12	3.Po9, 4O.Po1, 4O.Po2, 4I.Pr2, 4I.Pr8

Impact
positive
neutral
positive
positive
positive
neutral
positive
neutral

Cross Cutting Goal	Impact
Promoting safety & perception of safety for all travel modes	positive
Encouraging sustainable means for travel	positive
Balanced road space allocation	positive
Requirements for sustainable developments	positive
Equality and inclusion impacts*	positive
Environmental impacts**	positive

FUNDING REQUIRED TO DELIVER PROPOSAL						
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2008/09 2009/10 Total (£K)		
FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	0	35	50	50 50 135		
FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	0	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	0	35	50	50	135	
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	AMOUNT	STATUS		COMMENTS		
TFL OUTSIDE BSP	£0k	(Requested Approved/N//		N/A		
BOROUGH RESOURCES	£0k	(Requested Approved/N//		N/A		
PARTNERS (specify here	£0k	(Requested Approved/N//		N/A		
OTHER (specify here)	£0k	(Requested Approved/N/		N/A		

Form Number Prop 1
Form Number Frop i

London Borough of Richmond upon Thames

LIP PROPOSAL DELIVERY FORM				
Summary of Proposal:	Community Safety, Security and Partnerships			
Location:	Borough-wide			
Dates:	This programme is expected to continue annually beyond the LIP planning cycle of 2005-09			

Description of Main Elements:

Scheme Details

The Council has established a Community Safety Team and joint liaison arrangements are in place with the police to deal with safety and security issues, including crime and fear of crime. The scheme requires funding for safety improvements in the public realm bought to the Council's attention through the Community Safety team including: CCTV

Improved street lighting

Rationalisation of street furniture and signage

Key Delivery Partners	Dependencies and Risks
 Partners for this proposal include: Council Mobility Forum Council Accessible Transport Department 	 Partnership working Technological changes Bus route changes by TfL London Buses
Transport for LondonNeighbouring boroughsSWELTRAC	

Delivering the Mayor's Transport Strategy in Richmond uopn Thames

Measures to provide: Improved public perception of personal safety Better conditions for pedestrians To make the street environment more accessible Particularly for those with mobility impairment. By encouraging walking in this way a consequent modal shift is expected.	MTS Priority Area	LIP Guidance Target Number	Relevant MTS Proposals and Policies
	V & VI	7, 10 & 12	3.Po9, 4O.Po1, 3 Pr1, 2, 4 & 6 4O.Po2, 4I.Pr2, 4I.Pr8

Modal Impact		Cross Cutting Goals		
Mode	Impact	Cross Cutting Goal	Impact	
Pedestrians and mobility impaired persons	positive	Promoting safety & perception of safety	positive	
Cyclists	neutral	for all travel modes		
Bus passengers	positive	Encouraging sustainable means for	positive	
Rail and Underground	positive	travel		
Community transport and taxis	positive	Balanced road space allocation	positive	
Powered two-wheeler users	neutral	Requirements for sustainable	positive	
Car users	positive	developments		
Freight	neutral	Equality and inclusion impacts	positive	
<u> </u>		Environmental impacts	positive	

FUNDING REQUIRED TO DELIVER PROPOSAL						
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2005/06	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	Total (£K)	
FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	0			0		
FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	0	0	150	150 150		
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	0	0	150	150	300	
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	AMOUNT	STATUS		COMMENTS		
TFL OUTSIDE BSP	£0k	(Requested Approved/N//		N/A		
BOROUGH RESOURCES	£300k	(Requested/	No forma	No formal request has been made as yet		
PARTNERS (specify here	£0k	(Requested Approved/N//		N/A		
OTHER (specify here)	£0k	(Requested		N/A		

Form Number RUTLIP 2a

London Borough of Richmond upon Thames

LIP PROPOSAL DELIVERY FORM				
Summary of Proposal:	Principal Road Maintenance			
Location:	Borough-wide			
Dates:	Planned Maintenance is expected to continue annually beyond the LIP planning cycle of 2005-09			

Description of Main Elements:

Scheme Details

To restructure and/or resurface the Borough's Principal Roads down to concrete road base level where it is needed to prevent further deterioration. To clear the backlog of Principal Roads in the UKPMS 70 and over category. All programmed works are consistent with bringing carriageway conditions in line with BVPI96 targets.

Scheme Element Objectives

To achieve the BVPI96 target the Borough requires a funding settlement of approximately £3 million each year to bring the remaining 8 Principal Roads up to standard.

Prioritisation Criteria

The programming of these roads will be dependent on a number of factors:

- Planned utilities work
- The implications and notification requirements of the Traffic Management Act 2004
- Efficiency savings: where synergies between existing funded projects can be identified and delivery programmed to achieve all objectives with one works programme

LBRUT will prioritise those roads with the worst UKPMS condition of both carriageway and footway. Where roads are of equally serious condition those with particularly poor footway condition will be prioritised.

The following table lists the Principal Roads that require work in current priority order:

Beyond 2009/2010

Once the Principal Roads have been brought up to standard, a continuous maintenance element will be required. This funding is anticipated to be required annually to ensure roads are maintained to:

- BVPI96 target standards
- Ensure that Borough Principal Roads are maintained to the acceptable UKMPS condition index
- Allow appropriate levels of revenue funding to protect past levels of capital investment and ensure Best Value

The 09/10 proposals need to be built on and will be provided in a subsequent Annual LIP Update

Key Delivery Partners	Dependencies and Risks
Partners for this proposal include: term contractor for delivery Transport for London neighbouring boroughs	Dependencies and risks identified for this proposal include: Notification requirements of the Traffic Management Act 2004 Where the works are related to other projects or planned improvements, e.g. major bus priority works

Delivering the Mayor's Transport Strategy in Richmond upon Thames					
 The purpose of the borough principal road programme is to: To resurface roads where this is needed to prevent further deterioration. To reconstruct roads where this is needed. 	MTS Priority Area	LIP Guidance Target Number	Relevant MTS Proposals and Policies		
 This will: Clear the backlog of principal roads in the 70 and over category by 2009/10; and Prevent 50-70 condition roads from entering the 70+ condition in this period. 	VIII	Target 14	Proposal 4G.Pr25 / Policy 4G.Po6		

Mode	Impact
Pedestrians and mobility impaired persons	positive
Cyclists	positive
Bus passengers	positive
Rail and Underground	neutral
Community transport and taxis	positive
Powered two-wheeler users	positive
Car users	positive
Freight	positive

Cross Cutting Goal	Impact
Promoting safety & perception of safety	positive
for all travel modes	
Encouraging sustainable means for travel	positive
Balanced road space allocation	positive
Requirements for sustainable	neutral
developments	
Equality and inclusion impacts*	positive
Environmental impacts**	positive

FUNDING REQUIRED TO DELIVER PROPOSAL					
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	Total (£K)
FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	0	3 3050.85	3009.3	3537.95	9598.1
FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	0	3050.85	3009.3	3537.95	9598.1
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	AMOUNT	STATUS		COMMENTS	
TFL OUTSIDE BSP	£0k	N/A		N/A	
BOROUGH RESOURCES	£0k	N/A		N/A	
PARTNERS (specify here	£0k	N/A		N/A	
OTHER (specify here)	£0k	N/A		N/A	

Form Number RUTLIP 2b

London Borough of Richmond upon Thames

LIP PROPOSAL DELIVERY FORM		
Summary of Proposal:	Local Roads Maintenance	
Location:	Borough-wide	
Dates:	Planned Maintenance is expected to continue annually beyond the LIP planning cycle of 2005-09/10	

Description of Main Elements:

Scheme Details

To restructure and/or resurface the Borough's Local Roads down to concrete road base level where it is needed to prevent further deterioration. To clear the backlog of Local Roads in the UKPMS 70 and over category. All programmed works are consistent with bringing carriageway conditions in line with BVPI96 targets.

Scheme Element Objectives

To achieve the BV97a & b target the Borough requires a funding of approximately £23million to bring the Local Roads up to standard.

Prioritisation Criteria

The programming of these roads will be dependent on a number of factors:

- Planned utilities work
- The implications and notification requirements of the Traffic Management Act 2004
- Efficiency savings: where synergies between existing funded projects can be identified and delivery programmed to achieve all objectives with one works programme

Richmond upon Thames Council will prioritise those roads with the worst UKPMS condition of both carriageway and footway. Where roads are of equally serious condition those with particularly poor footway condition will be prioritised.

The following table lists the Local Roads that require work in current priority order:

Beyond 2009/2010

Once the Local Roads have been brought up to standard, a continuous maintenance element will be required. This funding is anticipated to be required annually to ensure roads are maintained to:

- BV97 target standards
- Ensure that Borough Local Roads are maintained to the acceptable UKMPS condition index
- Allow appropriate levels of revenue funding to protect past levels of capital investment and ensure Best Value

Key Delivery Partners	Dependencies and Risks
Partners for this proposal include:	Dependencies and risks identified for this proposal include: Notification requirements of the Traffic Management Act 2004 Where the works are related to other projects or planned improvements, e.g. major bus priority works

Delivering the Mayor's Transport Strategy in Richmond upon Thames			
The purpose of the borough Local road programme is to: To resurface roads where this is needed to prevent further deterioration. To reconstruct roads where this is needed.	MTS Priority Area	LIP Guidance Target Number	Relevant MTS Proposals and Policies
 This will: Clear the backlog of Local roads in the 70 and over category by 2009/10; and Prevent 50-70 condition roads from entering the 70+ condition in this period. 	VIII	Target 14	Proposal 4G.Pr25 / Policy 4G.Po6/ 4G.Po2

Mode	Impact
Pedestrians and mobility impaired persons	positive
Cyclists	positive
Bus passengers	positive
Rail and Underground	neutral
Community transport and taxis	positive
Powered two-wheeler users	positive
Car users	positive
Freight	positive

Cross Cutting Goal	Impact
Promoting safety & perception of safety for all travel modes	positive
Encouraging sustainable means for travel	positive
Balanced road space allocation	positive
Requirements for sustainable developments	neutral
Equality and inclusion impacts*	positive
Environmental impacts**	positive

FUNDING REQUIRED TO DELIVER PROPOSAL					
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2005/06	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	Total (£K)
FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	0	0	0	0	0
FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	0	6500	6500	6500	18000
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	0	6000	6000	6000	18000
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	AMOUNT	STATUS	_	COMMENTS	
TFL OUTSIDE BSP	£0k	N/A		N/A	
BOROUGH RESOURCES	£6500k	Requested		N/A	
PARTNERS (specify here	£0k	N/A		N/A	
OTHER (PFI)	£0k	N/A		N/A	

Form Number	LIP 2c

London Borough of Richmond upon Thames

	LIP PROPOSAL DELIVERY FORM
Summary of Proposal:	Street Lighting
Location:	Borough-wide
Dates:	Annual programme subject to Borough funding.

Description of Main Elements:

Scheme Details

Enhancing and rationalising street lighting, street furniture, trees and signage. There are a large number of lamp columns in the Borough that are overdue for replacement. The priority goes to the longest overdue. A column is estimated to have a 30-year lifespan. This Form sets out a programme for replacement of street lighting Need more comment on how this scheme meets the Mayoral objectives detailed in 41.Pr2, 3, and 8

Key Delivery Partners	Dependencies and Risks
Partners for this proposal include:	Dependencies and risks identified for this proposal include: • Notification requirements of the Traffic Management Act 2004 • Where the works are related to other projects or planned improvements, e.g. major bus priority works

Delivering the Mayor's Transport Strategy in Richmond upon Thames

 The purpose of the borough street lighting programme is to: Maintain good lighting levels on all borough roads. Improve accessibility of borough streets. Improve visibility and road safety. 	MTS Priority Area	LIP Guidance Target Number	Relevant MTS Proposals and Policies	
	 Improve perceptions of safety and security. Encourage street activity. 	I, VI	N/A	Proposal 4G.Pr7, 4G.Pr9, 4G.Pr11, 4I.Pr2-3, & 4I.Pr8, 4G.Pr2

Modal Impact		Cross Cutting Goals		
Impact	Cross Cutting Goal	Impact		
positive	Promoting safety & perception of safety for all travel modes	positive		
positive	Encouraging sustainable means for	positive		
positive	Balanced road space allocation	positive		
positive positive	Requirements for sustainable developments	neutral		
positive	Equality and inclusion impacts* Environmental impacts**	positive positive		
	positive positive positive positive positive positive positive positive	Impact positive		

FUNDING REQUIRED TO DELIVER PROPOSAL						
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	Total (£)	
FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	0	0	0	0 0 0		
FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	150	250	250	250	900	
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	150	250	250	250	900	
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	AMOUNT	STATUS		COMMENTS		
TFL OUTSIDE BSP	£0k	N/A		N/A		
BOROUGH RESOURCES	£0k	N/A		N/A		
PARTNERS (specify here	£0k	N/A		N/A		
OTHER (specify here)	£0 k	N/A	N/A			

Form Number RUTLIP 2d

London Borough of Richmond upon Thames

LIP PROPOSAL DELIVERY FORM				
Summary of Proposal: Pedestrian Crossing Programme				
Location:	Borough-wide			
Dates: This programme is expected to continue annually beyond the LIP planning cycle of 2005-09				

Description of Main Elements:

Scheme Details

By the end of 2005 most controlled pedestrian crossings in Richmond upon Thames will comply with the Disability Discrimination Act (DDA) regulations. The next step will be to continue to raise the standard of all crossings and junctions in the borough, and to introduce new crossings or move existing crossings where necessary. The council will regularly review and audit pedestrian facilities to identify locations where changes to crossing arrangements are needed.

Proposed measures include: Pelican Crossings; zebra crossings; and, other new/relocated crossings. Some locations proposed for specific measures between 2007/08 and 2009/10 are:

- Hanworth Road near Mill Farm- pedestrian crossing
- Strawberry Vale near Waldegrave Road- crossing facility
- Warren Road near Nelson Road- new crossing facility
- London Road either side of the A316- Pelican crossings
- Hampton Hill High Street- relocation of crossing
- Lonsdale Road Junction with Walnut Tree Close- raised zebra crossing
- Petersham Road outside the Dysart Arms- Pelican crossing

Key Delivery Partners	Dependencies and Risks
Partners for this proposal include:	Partnership working
Richmond upon Thames Borough Council Mobility	Technological changes
Forum	
Richmond upon Thames Council Accessible	
Transport Department	
Transport for London	
Neighbouring boroughs	

Delivering the Mayor's Transport Strategy in Richmond upon Thames

Measures to provide:		LIP Guidance Target Number	Relevant MTS Proposals and Policies
encouraging walking in this way a consequent modal shift is expected.	VI	7 & 12	3.Po9, 4O.Po1, 4O.Po2, 4l.Pr2, 4l.Pr8, 4G.Pr3

Modal Impact		Cross Cutting Goals		
Mode	Impact	Cross Cutting Goal	Impact	
Pedestrians and mobility impaired persons Cyclists	positive neutral	Promoting safety & perception of safety for all travel modes	positive	
Bus passengers Rail and Underground	positive positive	Encouraging sustainable means for travel	positive	
Community transport and taxis	positive	Balanced road space allocation	positive	
Powered two-wheeler users Car users	neutral positive	Requirements for sustainable developments	positive	
Freight	neutral	Equality and inclusion impacts*	positive	
		Environmental impacts**	positive	

FUNDING REQUIRED TO DELIVER PROPOSAL							
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2005/06	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	Total (£K)		
FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	0	60	180	220	460		
FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	0	0	0	0	0		
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	0	60	180	220	460		
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	AMOUNT	STATUS		COMMENTS			
TFL OUTSIDE BSP	£0k	(Requested		N/A			
BOROUGH RESOURCES	£0k	(Requested Approved/N//		N/A			
PARTNERS (specify here	£0k	(Requested Approved/N//		N/A			
OTHER (specify here)	£0k	(Requested Approved/N/		N/A			

Form Number RUTLIP 2e

London Borough of Richmond upon Thames

LIP PROPOSAL DELIVERY FORM					
Summary of Proposal: Bridges and Structures					
Location:	Borough-wide				
Dates: This programme is expected to continue annually beyond the LIP planning cycle of 2005/06-09/10					

Description of Main Elements:

Scheme Details

This proposal outlines the Borough programme of maintenance to bridges and structures. From time to time it is likely that there may be the need for new bridges to be built and these will be detailed when required. Work usually commences with an assessment of the condition of an existing bridge or a study on the need for a new bridge. The second phase comprises detailed design (depending on the outcome of the assessment) followed by construction of a new bridge or repairs being made to the existing. Some work also relates to a programme of work on bridges over Network Rail land (i.e. railway lines) to ensure they are constructed to certain weight standards.

A load assessment of all the 20 Network Rail highway bridges in the Borough has been commissioned and to date 18 have been completed, the current outcome is that 8 will require strengthening. A Feasibility study for interim measures and design for strengthening is in progress at present for 3 bridges. It is anticipated that work on site for the strengthening of High Street bridge will start in 2008/09 and that the cost of the strengthening contract will be around £450k. However the final programme is yet to be determined, as it will have to be coordinated with funding and coordination with Network Rail regarding "possession" on the line.

This will help improve the movement of freight across the Borough including in some cases, the movement of buses.

Cost projections have been provided for 07/08 and based on a programme of strengthening one bridge each financial year it is estimated that a sum of £450k will be required annually for 2008/09 and 2009/10, the exact costs will be known when the feasibility studies are completed. The assessment and strengthening programme for 2007/08 comprises the following locations:

- Hill View Road bridge (Strengthening)
- Uxbridge Road bridge (Strengthening)
- Network Rail assessment
- High Park Road bridge
- Kew Road
- London Road
- Park Road
- Queens Road
- Tudor Road

Key Delivery Partners	Dependencies and Risks
Partners for this proposal include:	Network Rail funding and support (funding could be
Richmond upon Thames Borough Council Mobility Forum	partial or total Outcome(s) of assessments
Network Rail	
Richmond upon Thames Council Accessible	
Transport Department	
Transport for London	
Neighbouring boroughs	

Delivering the Mayor's Transport Strategy in Richmond upon Thames

- Safer bridges for road users
- Improved movement of freight and buses across the Borough

MTS Priority Area	LIP Guidance Target Number	Relevant MTS Proposals and Policies
		4G.Pr9

Modal Impact

Cross Cutting Goals

Mode	Impact	Cross Cutting Goal	Impact
Pedestrians and mobility impaired persons	positive	Promoting safety & perception of safety	positive
Cyclists	neutral	for all travel modes	
Bus passengers	positive	Encouraging sustainable means for	positive
Rail and Underground	positive	travel	
Community transport and taxis	positive	Balanced road space allocation	positive
Powered two-wheeler users	neutral	Requirements for sustainable	positive
Car users	positive	developments	
Freight	positive	Equality and inclusion impacts*	positive
		Environmental impacts**	positive

FUNDING REQUIRED TO DELIVER PROPOSAL

TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	Total (£K)	
FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	0	384	450	450	1284	
FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	0	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	0	384	450	450	1284	
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	AMOUNT	STATUS		COMMENTS		
TFL OUTSIDE BSP	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/A		N/A		
BOROUGH RESOURCES	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/A		N/A		
PARTNERS (specify here	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/A		N/A		
OTHER (specify here)	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/A		N/A		

Form Number RUTLIP 2f

London Borough of Richmond upon Thames

LIP PROPOSAL DELIVERY FORM				
Summary of Proposal:	Bus Priority			
Location:	Borough-wide			
Dates: This programme is expected to continue annually beyond the LIP planning cycle of 2005/06-09/10				

Description of Main Elements:

Scheme Details

The Council will continue its programme to develop and implement bus priority measures across the Borough. The Council programme comprises 7 spending priorities:

- 1. Incomplete/Committed schemes
- 2. Essential works for new and/or modified bus routes
- 3. Pilot routes/schemes
- 4. Other new schemes
- 5. Mitigation measures to protect buses
- 6. Review of existing schemes
- 7. Feasibility studies of future programmes and schemes

The Bus Priority Programme comprises measures such as traffic signals, red surfacing where appropriate, Bus Scoot extensions; bus lanes; pelican crossings; bus stands; waiting and loading restriction reviews, lane marking amendments, bus lane lengthening, parking restrictions, and bus cages.

The proposed Bus Priority programme for Richmond is determined on a year-by-year basis and whilst it is detailed in this form, is formally proposed through the lead Borough for Bus Priority- the London Borough of Bromley. The following are the proposed locations for 2007/08:

A308 (Hampton Court Road); Twickenham town centre, London Road (between A316 and Whitton Road), Kew Road, Hampton Court Roundabout, Albany Road, Wakefield Road, Sheen Road junction with Church Road, Upper Richmond Road West (from Sheen Court Road to Clifford Avenue), Hampton Court Road junction with Hampton Wick High street, Heath Road, Hospital Bridge Road (between Staines Road and the A316), and Percy Road.

Despite the programme being determine don a year-by-year basis it is anticipated that funding will increase slightly over the coming years and this has been indicatively shown on the proposal form.

Key Delivery Partners	Dependencies and Risks			
Partners for this proposal include: London Bus Initiative & L B Bromley (see also LBPN Common Statement in Appendix G of the LIP) Local residents and businesses Term contractor for delivery Transport for London SWELTRAC Neighbouring boroughs	Dependencies and risks identified for this proposal include: Notification requirements of the Traffic Management Act 2004 Where the works are related to other projects or planned improvements, e.g. major bus priority works Potential impact from situations beyond borough control. E.G. Changes to the TLRN in terms of traffic management, road layout and programming. Consultation outcome. Cost implication of moving stats			

Delivering the Mayor's Transport Strategy in Richmond upon Thames				
All measures are designed to: Improve bus journey times and reliability Reduce bus excess wait times	MTS Priority Area	LIP Guidance Target Number	Relevant MTS Proposals and Policies	
Improve accessibilityPromote modal shift	II, V	Target 3,4 & 7	Proposal 4F.Pr2-3, 4F.Pr6-8, 4G.Pr4 /	

Mode	Impact
Pedestrians and mobility impaired persons	positive
Cyclists	positive
Bus passengers	positive
Rail and Underground	neutral
Community transport and taxis	positive
Powered two-wheeler users	neutral
Car users	neutral
Freight	neutral

Cross Cutting Goal	Impact
Promoting safety & perception of safety	positive
for all travel modes	n noitiu (n
Encouraging sustainable means for travel	positive
Balanced road space allocation	positive
Requirements for sustainable developments	positive
Equality and inclusion impacts*	positive
Environmental impacts**	positive

FUNDING REQUIRED TO DELIVER PROPOSAL						
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	Total (£K)	
FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	0	1725	1700	1750	5175	
FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	0	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	0	1725	1700	1750	5175	
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	AMOUNT	STATUS		COMMENTS		
TFL OUTSIDE BSP	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/		N/A		
BOROUGH RESOURCES	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/A)		N/A		
PARTNERS (specify here	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/A)		N/A		
OTHER (specify here)	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/A) N/A		N/A		

Form Number RUTLIP 2g

London Borough of Richmond upon Thames

LIP PROPOSAL DELIVERY FORM				
Summary of Proposal: Freight				
Location:	Borough-wide			
Dates:	This programme is expected to continue annually beyond the LIP planning cycle of 2005-09			

Description of Main Elements:

Scheme Details

The Council is keen to participate with the following initiatives to improve the relationship between business needs and residents concerns:

- London Sustainable Distribution Partnership (LSDP)
- London Lorry Control System

Freight Quality Partnership (FQP)

The issues of freight management are not unique to Richmond upon Thames. The Council is part of a Freight Quality Partnership (FQP) managed by SWELTRAC. The aim is to develop the FQP with its neighbouring Boroughs and:

- local businesses and business groups;
- distribution companies including the Royal Mail;
- Tfl
- local environmental groups;
- local residents groups; and
- other key stakeholders.

Objectives of the FQP could include:

- 1. A communication plan to maximise involvement of sub-regional businesses and operators.
- Supporting and developing the role of London's town centres. This includes the provision of adequate information for delivery operators/drivers including loading regulations, weight, height and width restrictions, street works information and identifying hotspots and developing loading plans that identify how legal deliveries can be undertaken.
- 3. Introducing a best practice code to include developing a consistent approach over parking/loading regulations and enforcement between boroughs and linking this to best practice from industry in following regulations. Best practice will include driver training, risk management and fuel economy.

Lorries can cause nuisance such as noise, vibration, nitrogen dioxide, particulates, visual intrusion, physical obstruction and damage to the infrastructure. Council will continue programmes directly and in partnership that include:

- The London-wide Night Time and Weekend Lorry Controls prohibit the movement of vehicles over 18 tonnes unless permitted or on exempted roads (A316, A205 and the A308);
- The London 5-tonne overnight parking ban prohibits commercial vehicles and coaches between the hours of 6.30 p.m. and 8 a.m., except in exempted streets;
- Local 7.5 tonne lorry bans allow access only for loading or unloading at premises within the area;

Specific proposals for Freight include:

- Kew Road weight restriction (10k 07/08)
- Boroughwide directional signage (£15k 07/08)
- Lorry ban on residential road- measures (£60k 07/08-08/09)
- Vehicle hard standing for transfer of waste by water (£60k 07/08-08/09)

Key Delivery Partners	Dependencies and Risks

Partners for this proposal include:

- Local businesses
- Sweltrac
- Distribution and logistics operators
- Local residents and businesses
- Metropolitan Police
- Transport for London
- Association of London Government
- Neighbouring boroughs

in Town Centres

Dependencies and risks identified for this proposal include:

- Potential impact from situations beyond borough control. E.G. Changes to the TLRN in terms of traffic management, road layout and programming.
- Future legislation
- Consultation outcome.
- Partnership working.
- Technological changes
- Parking and road space demand

Delivering the Mayor's Transport Strategy in Richmond upon Thames

•	Relieving traffic congestion and improving journey time reliability through rationalised and managed freight movements Encouraging walking by improving the street environment, achieved through lower air and noise pollution level particularly	MTS Priority Area	Guidance Target Number	F P

III & VI Target 5, 6

Relevant MTS Proposals and Policies

4K.Pr1-4

Modal Impact

Mode	Impact
Pedestrians and mobility impaired persons	positive
Cyclists	positive
Bus passengers	positive
Rail and Underground	neutral
Community transport and taxis	positive
Powered two-wheeler users	positive
Car users	positive
Freight	positive
Car users	positive

Cross Cutting Goals

Cross Cutting Goal	Impact
Promoting safety & perception of safety	positive
for all travel modes	
Encouraging sustainable means for	positive
travel	
Balanced road space allocation	positive
Requirements for sustainable	positive
developments	
Equality and inclusion impacts*	neutral
Environmental impacts**	positive

FUNDING REQUIRED TO DELIVER PROPOSAL

TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	Total (£K)
FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	0	45	100	100 50 19	
FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	0	45	100	50	195
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	AMOUNT	STATUS		COMMENTS	
TFL OUTSIDE BSP	£0k	(Requested Approved/N/		N/A	
BOROUGH RESOURCES	£0k		(Requested/ pproved/N/A)		
PARTNERS (specify here	£0k	(Requested Approved/N//		N/A	
OTHER (specify here)	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/A) N/A			

Form Number RUTLIP 3a

London Borough of Richmond upon Thames

LIP PROPOSAL DELIVERY FORM			
Summary of Proposal:	Area Based Schemes		
Location:	Borough-wide		
Dates:	Depending on success of step 1 bids submitted to TfL 05-06		

Description of Main Elements:

Scheme Details

Objectives of the overall ABS programme are to:

- To ensure all the facilities are easily accessible;
- To make the public realm a safe and secure place to be:
- To improve public transport availability and connections.
- Create a safer, cleaner environment
- Assess barriers to pedestrians

The town centres are as follows:

- Richmond Town Centre (06/07-08/09)
- East Sheen (tba)
- Teddington (tba)
- Twickenham (06/07-09/10))
- Whitton. (tba)

Richmond has unique village environments where a **'Streets for People'** type programme is appropriate. It provides the opportunity to enhance the holistic environment of local shops or green space improving safety and accessibility to enable all stakeholders to fully benefit. The following areas are to be considered for this programme:

- Barnes (to be determined)
- Kew (to be determined)
- Ham (to be determined)
- Hampton Hill- Burtons Road and Links View Road (07/08-08/09)
- Marchmont Road- South Richmond (07/08-08/09)
- Cole Park Road North (07/08-08/09)
- Whitton Road (07/08-08/09)
- Park Road junction with Hampton High Street (07/08-08/09)
- Courtlands Ave- Hampton North (07/08-08/09)
- Hospital Bridge Road (between Staines Road and A316)
- Queens Road and Holly Road (Twickenham Riverside) (08/09-09/10)

Station Access

The Council will work in partnership with SWELTRAC in continuing the TfL funded programme to improve accessibility at rail and underground stations located within Richmond upon Thames.

All schemes will be looking for combination funding including TfL, S106.

2007/08- Station Access (Borough)- improved signage at Stations (starting with Hampton Wick)- (£10k pa)

Key Delivery Partners	Dependencies and Risks			
Partners for this proposal include:	Dependencies and risks identified for this proposal include:			
term contractor for delivery	 Notification requirements of the Traffic Management Ac 			
Transport for London	2004			
neighbouring boroughs	Where the works are related to other projects or planned			
Sweltrac (Station Access)	improvements, e.g. major bus priority works			

Delivering the Mayor's Transport Strategy in Richmond upon Thames

The purpose of the programme is to: Address the barriers to pedestrian movement created by the main road network Improve the safety, security, amenity, accessibility and convenience of	MTS Priority Area	LIP Guidance Target Number	Relevant MTS Proposals and Policies	
pedestrian and cycle routes	V, VI,VII,I	Targets	Proposal 3Pr1-6	l
Improve service and delivery	III	7,10,12,13	4D.Pr3, Pr6	l
Encourage use of public transport			4E.Pr9, Pr13	l
			4F.Pr11	l
This will:			4G.Pr4, Pr10,	l
Provide access for all including the mobility impaired			Pr11, 4I.Pr1-8,	l
Reduce the number of people killed or injured in traffic accidents			4J.Pr1, Pr3-8	l
Reduce car dependence and impact of car use – traffic volumes,			4K.Pr4, 4N.Pr5	l
congestion, environmental impacts			40.Pr1-14	l
Improve access to public transport			4P.Pr5, Policy	l
Improve personal security			3.Po8	l
Support redevelopment and regeneration				l

Modal Impact

Mode	Impact
Pedestrians and mobility impaired persons	positive
Cyclists	positive
Bus passengers	positive
Rail and Underground	neutral
Community transport and taxis	positive
Powered two-wheeler users	positive
Car users	positive
Freight	positive

Cross Cutting Goals

Cross Cutting Goal	Impact
Promoting safety & perception of safety for all travel modes	positive
Encouraging sustainable means for travel	positive
Balanced road space allocation	positive
Requirements for sustainable developments	neutral
Equality and inclusion impacts*	positive
Environmental impacts**	positive

FUNDING REQUIRED TO DELIVER PROPOSAL

TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	Total (£K)
FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	0	1670	2350 260		4280
FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	0				
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	0	1670	2350	260	4280
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	AMOUNT	STATUS		COMMENTS	
TFL OUTSIDE BSP	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/A)			
BOROUGH RESOURCES	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/A)			
PARTNERS (EC1 New Deal	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/A)			
OTHER (S106)	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/A)			

Form Number RUTLIP 3b

London Borough of Richmond upon Thames

LIP PROPOSAL DELIVERY FORM			
Summary of Proposal:	Signs, lines & street clutter rationalisation		
Location: Borough-wide (details below)			
Dates:	Annual programme subject to funding.		

Description of Main Elements:

Scheme Details

To complement main borough programme, enhancing and rationalising street furniture, rising bollards, trees and signage.

There are many locations across the Borough that are cluttered with too much signage and general street furniture. The Borough prides itself on its leafy appearance and intends to reduce the amount of street clutter across the locale and plant additional trees in appropriate locations. Where street furniture such as bollards is required then they can be designed to minimize visual impact. A proposal for a rising bollard at Water Street is one such proposal for 2007/08 (£30k).

Key Delivery Partners	Dependencies and Risks
Partners for this proposal include:	Dependencies and risks identified for this proposal include: Notification requirements of the Traffic Management Act 2004 Where the works are related to other projects or planned improvements, e.g. major bus priority works

Delivering the Mayor's Transport Strategy in Richmond upon Thames

 The purpose of the borough street lighting programme is to: Maintain good lighting levels on all borough roads. Improve accessibility of borough streets. Improve visibility and road safety. 	MTS Priority Area	LIP Guidance Target Number	Relevant MTS Proposals and Policies	
•	Improve perceptions of safety and security. Encourage street activity.	I, VI	N/A	Proposal 4G.Pr7, 4G.Pr9, 4G.Pr11, 4G.Pr14, 4I.Pr2-3, & 4I.Pr8

Impact

positive

positive

positive

neutral

positive

positive

Modal Impact			Cross Cutting Goals		
Mode	Impact		Cross Cutting Goal		
Pedestrians and mobility impaired persons	positive		Promoting safety & perception of safety		
Cyclists	positive		for all travel modes		
Bus passengers	positive		Encouraging sustainable means for		
Rail and Underground	positive		travel		
Community transport and taxis	positive		Balanced road space allocation		
Powered two-wheeler users	positive		Requirements for sustainable		
Car users	positive		developments		
Freight	positive		Equality and inclusion impacts*		
			Environmental impacts**		

FUNDING REQUIRED TO DELIVER PROPOSAL						
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2005/06	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	Total (£)	
FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	0	0	0	0	0	
FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	0	196	196	196	588	
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	0	196	196	196	588	
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	AMOUNT	STATUS	_	COMMENT	·s	
TFL OUTSIDE BSP	£0k	N/A		N/A		
BOROUGH RESOURCES	£0k	N/A	N/A			
PARTNERS (specify here	£0k	N/A	N/A			
OTHER (specify here)	£0 k	N/A	N/A			

Form Number RUTLIP 3c

London Borough of Richmond upon Thames

LIP PROPOSAL DELIVERY FORM				
Summary of Proposal:	Parking & Enforcement Plan Action Plan			
Location:	Borough-wide (details below)			
Dates:	CPZ programme due to complete implementation stage by 2007 with further ongoing review			

Description of Main Elements:

Scheme Details

To deliver the plan there are significant financial implications. The plan is not just about allocating and managing scarce on-street and off-street space, it is also about enabling the safe and efficient movement of traffic.

Key Delivery Partners	Dependencies and Risks
Partners for this proposal include: term contractor for delivery Transport for London neighbouring boroughs	Dependencies and risks identified for this proposal include: Notification requirements of the Traffic Management Act 2004 Where the works are related to other projects or planned improvements, e.g. major bus priority works

Delivering the Mayor's Transport Strategy in Richmond-upon-Thames

More efficient Parking and Enforcement will contribute to improving the traffic flow, safer public realm and the movement of public transport.	MTS Priority Area	LIP Guidance Target Number	Relevant MTS Proposals and Policies
	IV	9 & 7	3.Pr2, 3.Pr4-6 4G.Po5-6, 4G.Pr16-17

Modal Impact		Cross Cutting Goals		
Mode	Impact	Cross Cutting Goal	Impact	
Pedestrians and mobility impaired persons	positive	Promoting safety & perception of safety	positive	
Cyclists	positive	for all travel modes		
Bus passengers	positive	Encouraging sustainable means for	positive	
Rail and Underground	neutral	travel		
Community transport and taxis	positive	Balanced road space allocation	positive	
Powered two-wheeler users	positive	Requirements for sustainable	neutral	
Car users	positive	developments		
Freight	positive	Equality and inclusion impacts*	positive	
		Environmental impacts**	positive	

FUNDING REQUIRED TO DELIVER PROPOSAL					
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2005/06	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	Total (£K)
FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	0				
FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	0	1150	1150	1200	3500
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	0	1150	1150	1200	3500
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	AMOUNT	STATUS		COMMENTS	
TFL OUTSIDE BSP	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/A		N/A	
BOROUGH RESOURCES	£3500k	(Requested	Not forr	Not formally requested at this time	
PARTNERS (specify here	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/A		N/A	
OTHER (specify here)	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/A		N/A	

London Borough of Richmond-upon-Thames

Form Number RUTLIP 3c1

London Borough of Richmond upon Thames

LIP PROPOSAL DELIVERY FORM				
Summary of Proposal:	Provision of Secure Motor-Cycle Parking Facilities and Motor-Cycle Signing			
Location:	Throughout the Borough			
Dates:	2006-07 & 2007-08			

Description of Main Elements:

Scheme Details

To provide secure facilities – rails, floor-anchors, chains, etc as to be determined after initial study of potential solutions – at all of the boroughs on- and off-street designated motor-cycle parking areas. The borough currently has 24 such areas on-street and 10 off-street. Additional areas are being provided where this meets a need and space exists. Motor-cycle parking areas mainly serve town and local shopping and business centres, or railway stations.

Notice will be taken of the various recent documents dealing with this area or work, viz the "Government's Motorcycling Strategy", Feb 2005 (DfT); "IHIE Guidelines for Motorcycling", April 2005; "Motorcycle Parking Facilities", Technical Guidance B27, (in Streetscape Design Manual), TfL, April 2005: "Motor Cycle Parking", Traffic Advisory Leaflet 2/02, DTLR, March 2002; "Powered Two-Wheeler Vehicle Parking", Guideline 1/2004, European Parking Association; "Parking for Powered Two Wheelers", Position Paper No 8, The Parking Forum; and "A Guide to the Design and Provision of Secure Parking for Motorcycles", Motorcycle Action Group, 2002.

There are currently no secure facilities for motor-cycle parking in the borough.

At the same time motor-cycle spaces will be signed in accordance with Diags 801/804.4 of the Traffic Sign Regulations and General Directions 2002.

Scheme Element Objectives

To provide facilities to allow motor-cyclists to leave their machines at designated motor-cycle parking areas in the borough in a secure manner.

To reduce motor-cycle thefts. The police database shows that 74 motorbikes and 150 mopeds and scooters were stolen in the borough between 01/01/2005 and 31/12/2005

Parking for motor-cyclists will remain free of change.

This proposal will encourage travel by motor-cycle, hopefully reducing travel by private car. At the same time it should add to those travelling onwards by train.

The proposal would support one of the few comments received during consultation on the draft Parking and Enforcement Plan, from the Richmond and Twickenham Primary Care Trust, on the inadequacy of motor-cycle parking facilities in the borough.

Beyond 2009/2010

None.

Dependencies and Risks
Dependencies and risks identified for this proposal
include:
Funding

Cross Cutting Goals

Delivering the Mayor's Transport Strategy in Richmond upon Thames

 Improving the parking and loading arrangements to provide fair, reasonable and effective enforcement of regulations, recognising the needs of businesses for servicing and delivery as well as other road users, thus contributing to easing congestion and improving access to town centre and regeneration areas.

MTS Priority Area	LIP Guidance Target Number	Relevant MTS Proposals and Policies	
IV	Target 9	4G Pr1	

Modal Impact

	ı
Mode	Impact
Pedestrians and mobility impaired persons	Neutral
Cyclists	Neutral
Bus passengers	Neutral
Rail and Underground	Positive
Community transport and taxis	Neutral
Powered two-wheeler users	Very
	Positive
Car users	Small
Freight	Neutral

TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)

Cross Cutting Goal	Impact
Promoting safety & perception of safety for all travel modes	Neutral
Encouraging sustainable means for	Positive
travel	
Balanced road space allocation	Neutral
Requirements for sustainable	Neutral
developments	
Equality and inclusion impacts*	Neutral
Environmental impacts**	Neutral

2008/09

Total (£K)

2007/08

FUNDING REQUIRED TO DELIVER PROPOSAL

2006/07

2005/06

FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	0	0	25	0	25
FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	0	5	0	0	5
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	0	5	25	25 0 30	
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	AMOUNT	STATUS		COMMENTS	
TFL OUTSIDE BSP	-	-		-	
BOROUGH RESOURCES	-	-		-	
PARTNERS (specify here	-	-		-	
OTHER (specify here)	-	-			

Local Implementation Plan London Borough of Richmond-upon-Thames

Form Number RUTLIP 3d

London Borough of Richmond upon Thames

LIP PROPOSAL DELIVERY FORM		
Summary of Proposal:	Taxis and Private Hire Vehicles	
Location:	Borough-wide	
Dates:	On-going subject to funding	

Description of Main Elements:

Scheme Details

The Council will also look at the positioning of taxi waiting areas for both safety and efficiency.

In line with TfL's Safer Travel at Night, the Council will be looking at the issue of personal safety of the users of taxis and private hire vehicles. The Council run a Safer Travel at Night campaign each year through work on Travel Awareness. However, it is considered that more can be undertaken in this area. One scheme is proposed in the Richmond LIP:

Taxi Rank Study- audit of existing taxi ranks, consideration of suitable locations for additional ranks, and improving existing ranks. This study would be mindful of the STAN principles in order to ensure new facilities are designed and located appropriately and it would be linked to the STAN study proposed in RUTLIP Form 5a1. (Proposed for 08/09 at £20k). Implementation to follow but the cost is unknown at this stage.

Key Delivery Partners	Dependencies and Risks
Partners for this proposal include:	Dependencies and risks identified for this proposal
Public carriage office	include:
 Local residents and businesses 	Consultation outcome.
Transport for London	
Neighbouring Boroughs	
Rail Authority	

Delivering the Mayor's Transport Strategy in Richmond upon Thames

•	 To make the street environment more accessible Particularly for those with mobility impairment. By encouraging walking in this way a consequent modal shift is expected. 		LIP Guidance Target Number	Relevant MTS Proposals and Policies
		IV & V	10	3.Po9, 4P.Pr5, 4N.Po2

Modal Impact	Cross Cutting Goals		
Mode	Impact	Cross Cutting Goal	Impact
Pedestrians and mobility impaired persons	positive	Promoting safety & perception of safety	positive
Cyclists	positive	for all travel modes	
Bus passengers	positive	Encouraging sustainable means for	positive
Rail and Underground	neutral	travel	
Community transport and taxis	positive	Balanced road space allocation	positive
Powered two-wheeler users	neutral	Requirements for sustainable	positive
Car users	neutral	developments	
Freight	neutral	Equality and inclusion impacts*	positive
		Environmental impacts**	positive

FUNDING REQUIRED TO DELIVER PROPOSAL							
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	Total (£K)		
FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	0	0	20	100	120		
FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	0	0	0	0	0		
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	AL FUNDING REQUIRED 0 0		20	100	120		
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	AMOUNT	STATUS		COMMENTS			
TFL OUTSIDE BSP	£0k	(Requested Approved/N/) N/A			
BOROUGH RESOURCES	£0k	(Requested Approved/N/	Requested/ oproved/N/A) N/A				
PARTNERS (specify here	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/A) (Requested/ Approved/N/A) N/A		N/A			
OTHER (specify here)	£0k						

Form Number RUTLIP 4a

London Borough of Richmond upon Thames

LIP PROPOSAL DELIVERY FORM				
Summary of Proposal: Local Area Accessibility				
Location: Borough-wide				
Dates:	On-going subject to funding			

Description of Main Elements:

The Council aims to provide improved and more efficient accessible transport services. Both residents and visitors to the Borough will benefit from a more accessible public realm. However it is most important to work towards improving the transport environment for those members of the community who have mobility impairments.

The Council wishes to identify funding for accessibility specific schemes which could include:

- Measures necessary to complement accessible transport services
- Signing for different modes
- Bus scheme in partnership with Royal Borough of Kingston.
- Drop kerbs for improved access to hospitals, shopping areas and points of interest

The fundamental objective in enhancing community transport is to support TfL's Equality and Inclusion commitment to "a city for people, an accessible city and a fair city."

The Borough is also willing to consider schemes in the future when the TfL Door-2-Door Strategy has been fully developed.

The Council with SWELTRAC will continue the programme for the Richmond and Kingston Accessible Transport (RAKAT) Community Transport Scheme to assist with the capital cost of vehicle replacement. It is the intention to bid for more funds in future years so that all vehicles are replaced based on 8-year intervals. This has also been identified in the Royal Borough of Kingston-upon-Thames' approved LIP.

Initial programme for next 3 years for work at various locations comprises:

- New ramps and stairs,
- Footbridge replacement
- Footbridges over railway land
- Drop kerbs
- Rotating signal cones
- Ramps
- Pedestrian Crossings
- Widened footpaths
- Tactile Paving

Note: proposed scheme for 07/08 at Riverdale Gardens is proposed to be match funded by 'London's Arcadia' for a total cost of £140k. Work involves new ramps (DDA) and stairs to provide cycle access and wheelchair access to Riverdale Gardens from the towpath.

Key Delivery Partners	Dependencies and Risks
 Partners for this proposal include: Richmond upon Thames Borough Council Mobility Forum Richmond upon Thames Council Accessible Transport Department Transport for London Neighbouring boroughs Arcadia 	Dependencies and risks identified for this proposal include: Notification requirements of the Traffic Management Act 2004 Where the works are related to other projects or planned improvements, e.g. major bus priority works Partnership working Technological changes

Delivering the Mayor's Transport Strategy in Richmond upon Thames

This programme is designed to improve Accessibility Modal shift	MTS Priority Area	LIP Guidance Target Number	Relevant MTS Proposals and Policies
	IV, V & VI	7, 10	3.Po9, 3.Pr7, 4l.Pr2, 4l.Pr8, 4O.Po1

Modal Impact

Mode	Impact
Pedestrians and mobility impaired persons	positive
Cyclists	positive
Bus passengers	positive
Rail and Underground	positive
Community transport and taxis	positive
Powered two-wheeler users	neutral
Car users	positive
Freight	neutral
Powered two-wheeler users Car users	neutra positiv

Cross Cutting Goals

Cross Cutting Goal	Impact
Promoting safety & perception of safety	positive
for all travel modes	
Encouraging sustainable means for	positive
travel	
Balanced road space allocation	positive
Requirements for sustainable	positive
developments	
Equality and inclusion impacts*	positive
Environmental impacts**	positive

FUNDING REQUIRED TO DELIVER PROPOSAL

TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2005/06	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	Total (£K)
FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	NDING REQUIRED FROM BSP 0 220 71		71	362	
FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	0	70	0	0	70
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	0	290	71	71	432
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	SOURCES AMOUNT STATUS COMMEN		COMMENT	rs .	
TFL OUTSIDE BSP	£0k	(Requested Approved/N/		N/A	
BOROUGH RESOURCES	£0k	(Requested Approved/N//		N/A	
PARTNERS (London's Arcadia)	£70k	(Requested Approved/N/A		Match funde	ed
OTHER	£k	(NA)			

Form Number RUTLIP 4a2

London Borough of Richmond upon Thames

LIP PROPOSAL DELIVERY FORM					
Summary of Proposal: Local Area Accessibility- signage deficiencies					
Location:	Borough-wide				
Dates:	This programme is expected to continue annually beyond the LIP planning cycle of 2005-09/10				

Description of Main Elements:

Through informal public feedback the Borough receives correspondence on the quality and lack of signage and street naming across the Borough.

In order to address this, it is proposed to undertake a study in 2008/09 to undertake an audit of street naming and general signage deficiencies and to consider locations for Advanced Direction Signage for Accessible Routes. From this study a strategy and timetable can be developed which prioritises key locations for improvements first. The budget for the study is £25k with implementation is to be set at an estimated £50k pa to start, which can be reviewed depending on the outcome of the study.

Improved signage will help both visitors and residents find their way about in the Borough. Advanced Direction Signage is particularly useful to road users to help them get into the correct lane early and to let people know when a major junction is due.

Signage is also important to help people with mobility difficulties to find their way around. Larger script signs may be useful for those who are moderately visually impaired.

Key Delivery Partners		Dej	pendencies and Risks
•	TfL	•	Resourcing
•	Borough	•	Funding
•	Mobility Forum	•	Findings of the Audit and Study

Delivering the Mayor's Transport Strategy in Richmond upon Thames

•	Improving the ability of people to move around the Borough Improving the ability of people with mobility difficulties to move through the Borough	MTS Priority Area	LIP Guidance Target Number	Relevant MTS Proposals and Policies
•	Improving road users ability to move through the Borough	-	-	40.Po1
•	Enhancing the safety and accessibility of the Borough	-	-	4G.Pr22

Cross Cutting Goals

Modal Impact

positive
positive

Cross Cutting Goal	Impact
Promoting safety & perception of safety	positive
for all travel modes	
Encouraging sustainable means for	neutral
travel	
Balanced road space allocation	neutral
Requirements for sustainable	positive
developments	
Equality and inclusion impacts*	positive
Environmental impacts**	positive

FUNDING REQUIRED TO DELIVER PROPOSAL						
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	Total (£K)	
FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	0	0	25	50	75	
FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	0	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	0	0	25	50	75	
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	AMOUNT	STATUS	_	COMMENTS		
TFL OUTSIDE BSP	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/A		N/A		
BOROUGH RESOURCES	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/A		N/A		
PARTNERS (specify here	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/A		N/A		
OTHER (specify here)	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/A		N/A		

Form Number RUTLIP 4b

London Borough of Richmond upon Thames

LIP PROPOSAL DELIVERY FORM					
Summary of Proposal:	Walking				
Location:	Borough-wide				
Dates:	This programme is expected to continue annually beyond the LIP planning cycle of 2005-09				

Description of Main Elements:

Scheme Details

The Council will encourage more people to make more journeys by foot, in particular encouraging walking as a viable and sustainable mode for short journeys. Measures may include:

- Directional boards and maps and other pedestrian signage
- · Development of existing and new walking routes across the Borough
- Walking audits
- Improve the pedestrian environment
- Promotion of Walking (see also Travel Awareness proposals)

Areas of special interest for Walking include:

- Public Rights of Way include public footpaths, bridleways and byways open to all traffic. These paths are legally highways under the Highways Act 1980, and the Council has a legal duty to sign them from metalled roads, maintain them and protect their routes. The Council has successfully carried out ¾ of the programme to sign many of the Borough's 260 public rights of way.
- London's Arcadia spans the stretch of the Thames from Teddington to Kew, passing through the London Borough of Richmond upon Thames. It provides free access to the river and the Council is keen to continue the access improvements to this area.

Key Delivery Partners	Dependencies and Risks
Partners for this proposal include:	 Dependencies and risks identified for this proposal include: Success is likely to be dependent on sustained funding to preserve any positive modal shift to walking Where the works are related to other projects or planned improvements, e.g. major bus priority works

Delivering the Mayor's Transport Strategy in Richmond upon Thames				
The scheme elements will encourage walking by improving the street environment, conditions for pedestrians and through the use of travel demand measures.	MTS Priority Area	LIP Guidance Target Number	Relevant MTS Proposals and Policies	
This will support the TfL and borough target to achieve an increase of at least 10% in journeys made on foot per person in London between 2001 and 2015. This will also contribute to targets for modal shift to maintain or increase the proportion of personal travel made by means other than car.	1 & VI	Target 12 & 7	Proposal 3.Pr2, 3.Pr4-6, 4G.Pr10, 4GPr11, 4I.Pr1-8, 4K.Pr4	

Cross Cutting Goals

Modal Impact

	1
Mode	Impact
Pedestrians and mobility impaired persons	positive
Cyclists	neutral
Bus passengers	positive
Rail and Underground	neutral
Community transport and taxis	neutral
Powered two-wheeler users	neutral
Car users	neutral
Freight	neutral

Cross Cutting Goal Impact Promoting safety & perception of safety positive for all travel modes Encouraging sustainable means for travel

Balanced road space allocation positive
Requirements for sustainable neutral developments

Equality and inclusion impacts* positive
Environmental impacts** positive

FUNDING REQUIRED TO DELIVER PROPOSAL						
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2006/07	20007/08	20008/09	2009/10	Total (£K)	
FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	0	90	220	255	565	
FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	0	0	0	0		
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	0	90	220	255	565	
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	AMOUNT	STATUS		COMMENTS		
TFL OUTSIDE BSP	£0k	(Requested		N/A		
BOROUGH RESOURCES	£0k	(Requested Approved/N//		N/A		
PARTNERS (specify here	£0k	(Requested Approved/N//		N/A		
OTHER (specify here)	£0k	(Requested Approved/N//		N/A		

Form Number RUTLIP 4c

London Borough of Richmond upon Thames

LIP PROPOSAL DELIVERY FORM						
Summary of Proposal:	Cycling: Cycle Training, Cycle Parking; and Cycling Strategy update					
Location:	Borough-wide					
Dates:	This programme is expected to continue annually beyond the LIP planning cycle of 2005-09/10					

Description of Main Elements:

Scheme Details:

Cycle Training

Cyclists are particularly vulnerable in traffic. The Borough's cycle training programme is vital to improving the safety of cyclists and the minimising of points of conflict with all other modes. This also cross references to the schemes detailed in Safety Education (RUTLIP 1c)

Cycle Parking

With indications of increased numbers of residents and visitors cycling to the borough continuing the cross borough cycle parking programme is important. This also cross references to the schemes detailed in Work Travel Plans (RUTLIP 1d1)

Cycling Strategy- update

To update the Cycling Action Plan in recognition of recent changes to Borough Transport Policy and to include an action plan of non-LCN+ cycling measures in the Borough. (This will link in with RUTLIP 4c1)

Key Delivery Partners	Dependencies and Risks
Partners for this proposal include: London Cycling Campaign Local residents and businesses Term contractor for delivery Transport for London Neighbouring Boroughs	 Dependencies and risks identified for this proposal include: Notification requirements of the Traffic Management Act 2004 Where the works are related to other projects or planned improvements, e.g. major bus priority works Potential impact from situations beyond Borough control. E.G. Changes to the TLRN in terms of traffic management, road layout and programming. Consultation outcome.

Delivering the Mayor's Transport Strategy in Richmond upon Thames

All measures are designed to:

- Encourage cycling in Richmond upon Thames by improving conditions for cyclists and through the use of travel demand measures
- Achieve the Mayor's Transport Strategy target of a 10% modal share for cycling by 2012

MTS Priority Area	LIP Guidance Target Number	Relevant MTS Proposals and Policies
1 & VII	Target 1 & 13	Proposal 4J.Pr1, 4J.Pr3-9, 4J.Po1

Modal Impact

Mode	Impact
	•
Pedestrians and mobility impaired persons	neutral
Cyclists	positive
Bus passengers	positive
Rail and Underground	positive
Community transport and taxis	positive
Powered two-wheeler users	neutral
Car users	neutral
Freight	neutral

Cross Cutting Goal	Impact
Promoting safety & perception of safety	positive
for all travel modes	
Encouraging sustainable means for	positive
travel	
Balanced road space allocation	positive
Requirements for sustainable	positive
developments	
Equality and inclusion impacts*	positive

positive

Cross Cutting Goals

Environmental impacts**

FUNDING REQUIRED TO DELIVER PROPOSAL							
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	Total (£K)		
FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	0	60	45	45 35 140			
FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	0	0	0	0 0 0			
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	0	60	45	35	140		
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	AMOUNT	STATUS		COMMENTS			
TFL OUTSIDE BSP	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/A		N/A			
BOROUGH RESOURCES	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/A		N/A			
PARTNERS (specify here	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/A		N/A			
OTHER (specify here)	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/A		N/A			

Form Number RUTLIP 4c1

London Borough of Richmond upon Thames

LIP PROPOSAL DELIVERY FORM					
Summary of Proposal: Cycling- Non LCN+					
Location:	Borough-wide				
Dates:	This programme is expected to continue annually beyond the LIP planning cycle of 2005-09/10				

Description of Main Elements:

Scheme Details

The Council will seek funding for the enhancement of existing routes and the continuing to development of the boroughs local cycling routes (non LCN+) with measures and objectives that could include:

- Advanced stop lines
- Toucan crossings
- Mandatory and Advisory cycle lanes
- Improved signing
- Improved safety and access
- Shared use walking and cycling tracks

Locations for work could comprise, but not be limited to:

- Approaches to Richmond Park
- Towpath between Richmond and Twickenham (Match funded by London's Arcadia in 2007/08)
- Other Riverside towpaths
- Cycling counts

NB: The update to the Cycling Strategy proposed in RUTLIP 4c will help to develop more proposals for 08/09 and beyond.

Key Delivery Partners	Dependencies and Risks
Partners for this proposal include: London Cycling Campaign Local residents and businesses Term contractor for delivery Transport for London Neighbouring Boroughs	 Dependencies and risks identified for this proposal include: Notification requirements of the Traffic Management Act 2004 Where the works are related to other projects or planned improvements, e.g. major bus priority works Potential impact from situations beyond Borough control. E.G. Changes to the TLRN in terms of traffic management, road layout and programming. Consultation outcome.

Delivering the Mayor's Transport Strategy in Richmond upon Thames All measures are designed to: LIP MTS **Relevant MTS** Encourage cycling in Richmond upon Thames by Guidance **Priority** Proposals and Target improving conditions for cyclists and through the use of Area **Policies** Number travel demand measures Achieve the Mayor's Transport Strategy target of a 10% Target 1 & Proposal 4J.Pr1, 1 & VII modal share for cycling by 2012 13 4J.Pr3-9, 4J.Po1

Modal Impact

Mode	Impact
Pedestrians and mobility impaired persons	neutral
Cyclists	positive
Bus passengers	positive
Rail and Underground	positive
Community transport and taxis	positive
Powered two-wheeler users	neutral
Car users	neutral
Freight	neutral

Cross Cutting Goals

Cross Cutting Goal	Impact
Promoting safety & perception of safety for all travel modes	positive
Encouraging sustainable means for travel	positive
Balanced road space allocation	positive
Requirements for sustainable developments	positive
Equality and inclusion impacts*	positive
Environmental impacts**	positive

FUNDING REQUIRED TO DELIVER PROPOSAL							
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	Total (£K)		
FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	0	205	310	310 10 525			
FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	0	100	0	0 0 0			
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	0	305	310	10	625		
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	AMOUNT	STATUS		COMMENTS			
TFL OUTSIDE BSP	£0k	(Requested Approved/N/		N/A			
BOROUGH RESOURCES	£0k	(Requested Approved/N//		N/A			
PARTNERS (London's Arcadia)	£100k	(Requested		This is for the towpath between Richmond and Twickenham			
OTHER (specify here)	£0k	(Requested		N/A			

Form Number RUTLIP 4c2

London Borough of Richmond upon Thames

LIP PROPOSAL DELIVERY FORM					
Summary of Proposal:	Cycling- LCN+				
Location:	Borough-wide				
Dates:	This programme is expected to continue annually beyond the LIP planning cycle of 2005-09/10				

Description of Main Elements:

Scheme Details

The Council will seek funding for the enhancement of existing routes and the continuing to development of the boroughs LCN + with measures that could include:

- Advanced stop lines
- Toucan crossings
- Mandatory and Advisory cycle lanes
- Improved signing

A programme for the period 07/08- 09/10 has been drafted and comprises work to Links 167, 168, 170, 173/176, 174 and 175. Some of these locations are at the implementation phase, whilst others are proposed for feasibility followed by implementation.

Key Delivery Partners	Dependencies and Risks
Partners for this proposal include: London Cycle Network London Cycling Campaign Local residents and businesses Term contractor for delivery Transport for London Neighbouring Boroughs	 Dependencies and risks identified for this proposal include: Notification requirements of the Traffic Management Act 2004 Where the works are related to other projects or planned improvements, e.g. major bus priority works Potential impact from situations beyond Borough control. E.G. Changes to the TLRN in terms of traffic management, road layout and programming. Consultation outcome.

Delivering the Mayor's Transport Strategy in Richmond upon Thames

All measures are designed to:	MTS Priority Area	Guidance Propo	Relevant MTS Proposals and Policies
 Achieve the Mayor's Transport Strategy target of a 10% modal share for cycling by 2012 	1 & VII	Target 1 & 13	Proposal 4J.Pr1, 4J.Pr3-9, 4J.Po1

Modal Impact		Cross Cutting Goals			
Mode	Impact	Cross Cutting Goal	Impact		
Pedestrians and mobility impaired persons	neutral	Promoting safety & perception of safety	positive		
Cyclists	positive	for all travel modes			
Bus passengers	positive	Encouraging sustainable means for	positive		
Rail and Underground	positive	travel			
Community transport and taxis	positive	Balanced road space allocation	positive		
Powered two-wheeler users	neutral	Requirements for sustainable	positive		
Car users	neutral	developments			
Freight	neutral	Equality and inclusion impacts*	positive		
		Environmental impacts**	positive		

FUNDING REQUIRED TO DELIVER PROPOSAL						
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	Total (£K)	
FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	0	770	425	425 700 1895		
FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	0	0	0	0 0 0		
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	0	770	425	700	1895	
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	AMOUNT	STATUS	_	COMMENTS		
TFL OUTSIDE BSP	£0k	(Requested Approved/N/		N/A		
BOROUGH RESOURCES	£0k	(Requested Approved/N/		N/A		
PARTNERS (specify here	£0k	(Requested Approved/N/		N/A		
OTHER (specify here)	£0k	(Requested Approved/N/		N/A		

Form Number RUTLIP 4d

London Borough of Richmond upon Thames

LIP PROPOSAL DELIVERY FORM		
Summary of Proposal:	Bus Stop accessibility	
Location:	Borough-wide	
Dates:	This programme is expected to continue annually beyond the LIP planning cycle of 2005-09	

Description of Main Elements:

Scheme Details

The Council will continue its programme to develop and implement the bus stop accessibility programme include

- Reviewing all bus stops to improve passenger safety, security and comfort
- Reviewing all bus movements on the approach and exit from bus stops

These reviews are likely to include 3 main areas for improvements:

Bus to Stop – Alterations to arrangements to allow buses to stop close to the kerb at bus stops, ensuring buses can be positioned parallel and allowing accessible access to both sets of doors. This may be done by:

- Extending bus stop clearways and installing new clearways where none are present
- Relocating stops to better positions or in some cases
- Re-arranging parking close to the bus stop position and changing parking restrictions

Passenger to Bus – Alterations to arrangements to allow passengers to board or alight from the bus with ease and without delay. This will involve in some cases:

- Raising the kerb, to aid ramp deployment and reduce step height.
- Laying the footway in new materials to allow changes to the kerb height.
- Installing new bus shelters and moving existing shelters, rubbish bins or other street furniture to remove obstructions to passengers boarding and alighting.

Passenger to Stop.

- Improvements to footways and to street lighting on the immediate pedestrian routes to bus stops to allow people, especially the disabled, to reach the stop without risk and without the problems associated with uneven footways or street clutter.
- Improving the lighting at the bus stop

It is the Council's intention to carry out these improvements on a 'route' basis with the aim of ensuring that the entire bus route is made accessible. The major bus routes will be targeted first as follows:

- Bus route 111 2006/07
- Bus route 281 2007/08
- Bus route 33 2008/09

Key Delivery Partners	Dependencies and Risks			
Partners for this proposal include: London Bus Initiative Local residents and businesses Term contractor for delivery Transport for London SWELTRAC Neighbouring boroughs	Dependencies and risks identified for this proposal include: Notification requirements of the Traffic Management Act 2004 Where the works are related to other projects or planned improvements, e.g. major bus priority works Potential impact from situations beyond borough control. E.G. Changes to the TLRN in terms of traffic management, road layout and programming. Consultation outcome. Cost implication of moving stats			

Delivering the Mayor's Transport Strategy in Richmond upon Thames				
All measures are designed to:	MTS Priority Area	LIP Guidance Target Number	Relevant MTS Proposals and Policies	
Promote modal shift	II, V	Target 3,4	Proposal 4F.Pr2-3, 4F Pr6-8 4G Pr4 /	

Modal Impact

Impact
positive
positive
positive
neutral
positive
neutral
neutral
neutral

Cross Cutting Goals

Cross Cutting Goal	Impact
Promoting safety & perception of safety for all travel modes	positive
Encouraging sustainable means for travel	positive
Balanced road space allocation	positive
Requirements for sustainable developments	positive
Equality and inclusion impacts*	positive
Environmental impacts**	positive

FUNDING REQUIRED TO DELIVER PROPOSAL					
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	Total (£K)
FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	0	188	200	200	588
FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	0	188	200	200	588
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	AMOUNT	STATUS COMMENTS		s	
TFL OUTSIDE BSP	£0k	(Requested/	N/A		
	~~	Approved/N/A	A)	IN/A	
BOROUGH RESOURCES	£0k	Approved/N/A (Requested/ Approved/N/A	′	N/A N/A	
BOROUGH RESOURCES PARTNERS (specify here		(Requested/	A)		

Form Number RUTLIP 5a

London Borough of Richmond upon Thames

LIP PROPOSAL DELIVERY FORM			
Summary of Proposal:	Travel Awareness		
Location:	Borough-wide		
Dates:	This programme is expected to continue annually beyond the LIP planning cycle of 2005-09/10		

Description of Main Elements:

Travel Awareness is a key means by which the Borough intends to encourage and educate residents and visitors about the alternative ways and means of travelling through and about the Borough. It is aligned with a programme of travel awareness being undertaken throughout London by other Borough's and by Transport for London. It is closely aligned to the programmes being undertaken in relation to School Travel Plans (RUTLIP 1d), Work Travel Plans (RUTLIP 1d1), and, Safety Education and Training (RUTLIP 1c).

The Borough is an enthusiastic participant in the London-wide Good Going campaign, which aims to encourage walking and cycling as practical alternatives to the car. A programme of travel awareness is undertaken in line with this campaign which comprises:

Good Going Walk to Work Campaign Good Going Week

Other activities may include encouraging greater awareness and use of Public Transport and provision of Public Transport Maps.

An annual Safer Travel at Night campaign is also held in the Borough which aims to increase awareness of safety at night for women and other vulnerable groups.

For 2007/08 a programme of personalised travel planning is also proposed to help provide information to individuals on the best way to get to work. It is currently proposed as a one off programme but if successful may be rolled out in subsequent years.

Key Delivery Partners	Dependencies and Risks			
 Local businesses Schools SWELTRAC TfL Car Club service provider 	 Partnership working Technological changes 			

Delivering the Mayor's Transport Strategy in Richmond upon Thames

These programmes will help deliver improvements in: Modal shift Work travel- modal share	MTS Priority Area	LIP Guidance Target Number	Relevant MTS Proposals and Policies
Business satisfaction	VI	7 and 12	3.Po5, 3.Po9, 4O.Po1, 4O.Po2, 4l.Pr2, 4l.Pr8

Modal Impact		
Impact	Cross Cutting Goal	Impact
positive positive	Promoting safety & perception of safety for all travel modes	positive
positive	Encouraging sustainable means for travel	positive
positive	Balanced road space allocation	positive
neutral	Requirements for sustainable developments	positive
neutral	Equality and inclusion impacts* Environmental impacts**	positive positive
	positive positive positive positive positive positive neutral neutral	positive positive positive positive positive positive positive positive positive neutral neutral neutral neutral neutral

FUNDING REQUIRED TO DELIVER PROPOSAL					
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09		Total (£K)
FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	0	70	35	40	145
FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	0	70	35	40	145
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES AMOUNT STATUS COMMENTS		rs			
TFL OUTSIDE BSP	£0k	(Requested Approved/N/		N/A	
BOROUGH RESOURCES	£0k	(Requested Approved/N/) N/A	
PARTNERS (specify here	£0k	(Requested Approved/N/		N/A	
	£0k	(Requested Approved/N/	INI/A		

Form Number RUTLIP 5a1

London Borough of Richmond upon Thames

LIP PROPOSAL DELIVERY FORM		
Summary of Proposal:	STAN Study	
Location:	Borough-wide	
Dates:	On-going subject to funding	

Description of Main Elements:

Scheme Details

This proposal is in response to the lack of clear guidance or proposals on how best to consider STAN initiatives in various locations across the Borough. Therefore it is proposed to undertake a STAN study:

STAN Study- Policy study of how best to implement STAN initiatives in the Borough and to focus the Council on those locations that most require STAN initiatives. (Proposed for 08/09 at £20k). Implementation is likely to occur in other work areas and the cost in unknown at this stage. This is likely to look closely at STAN initiatives at Underground Stations, National Rail Stations and Bus Stations, together with safer walking at night and principles around using Taxi's and Private Hire Vehicles.

The STAN Study would build on the initiatives undertaken through Travel Awareness (RUTLIP 5a) and is also linked to the Station Access programme undertaken by Sweltrac (and the Borough in the future). Work Travel Plans also include STAN measures from time to time depending on the nature of the Travel Plan. The Policy study would help to build on the measures used in such Travel Plans.

Key Delivery Partners	Dependencies and Risks
Partners for this proposal include: Public carriage office Local residents and businesses Transport for London Neighbouring Boroughs Rail Authority Sweltrac	Dependencies and risks identified for this proposal include: • Funding • Potential for high cost depending on findings of the study

 Programme to reduce fear of crime Improving safety at Railway Stations Safety around Underground Stations 	MTS Priority Area	LIP Guidance Target Number	Relevant MTS Proposals and Policies
 Improving safety for vulnerable groups (e.g. women) Safety for taxi and private hire vehicle users Safety at night for bus users 	3.Po9 - V VI V	- 10 7, 12 10 -	3.P09 4N.Pr1 4P.Pr5 4I.Pr2 4E.Pr9 4C.Pr12

Modal Impact		Cross Cutting Goals	
Mode	Impact	Cross Cutting Goal	Impact
Pedestrians and mobility impaired persons Cyclists	positive positive	Promoting safety & perception of safety for all travel modes	positive
Bus passengers Rail and Underground	positive positive	Encouraging sustainable means for travel	positive
Community transport and taxis	positive	Balanced road space allocation	positive
Powered two-wheeler users Car users	neutral neutral	Requirements for sustainable developments	positive
Freight	neutral	Equality and inclusion impacts*	positive
- 3		Environmental impacts**	positive

FUNDING REQUIRED TO DELIVER PROPOSAL					
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	Total (£K)
FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	0	0	20	100	120
FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	0	0	20	100	120
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	AMOUNT	STATUS			
TFL OUTSIDE BSP	£0k	(Requested Approved/N/		N/A	
BOROUGH RESOURCES	£0k	(Requested Approved/N//		N/A	
PARTNERS (specify here	£0k	(Requested Approved/N//		N/A	
OTHER (specify here)		(Requested Approved/N//		N/A	

Form Number RUTLIP 5b

London Borough of Richmond upon Thames

LIP PROPOSAL DELIVERY FORM				
Summary of Proposal:	Environmental Measures			
Location:	Borough-wide			
Dates:	This programme is expected to continue annually beyond the LIP planning cycle of 2005-09/10			

Description of Main Elements:

Scheme Details

As part of the LIP the council has carried out a Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA). The Council will have to monitor all schemes delivered from the LIP to keep an eye on their environmental impacts. There will be funding required to sustain this requirement. The transport measures relating to each of the main environmental areas can be linked to air quality, noise, energy, waste and biodiversity or a combination.

Air Quality

The Council's Air Quality Action Plan (AQAP) includes measures to both reduce the pollution emitted from the vehicles on the borough's roads and to reduce the amount of traffic on the roads. In particular he council wishes to be able to monitor its air pollution hotspots both for emissions and traffic congestion.

The Council is interested in the possible establishment of a Low Emission Zone (LEZ) for London, and is working with the Greater London Assembly to ensure that any LEZ that is established is the most effective and feasible model taking into account London boroughs' capacity and resources. The Borough has prepared a submission on the draft LEZ proposals.

Waste Programme

The Council will seek funding to deliver the transport elements of its Waste Strategy Action Plan.

Noise Programme

Richmond upon Thames suffers noise from a variety of different sources, including road traffic, railways and aircraft. The Council will seek funding for monitoring noise levels and piloting noise reduction schemes.

Aircraft Noise Monitoring

For the 2007/08 funding period, the Borough intends to take a lead role for a joint proposal with Ealing, Hounslow and Wandsworth Council's to undertake Aircraft Noise Monitoring on a joint basis. An estimated cost of £20k is required for this in the first year although ongoing monitoring will be undertaken.

• Congestion Hotspot Monitoring

The Council intends to monitor for congestion hotspots across the Borough with particular reference to improving Bus journey times but also to improving other sources of congestion such as at level crossings and certain junctions. The monitoring is proposed for the 07/08 and 08/09 periods for a total of £15k over the two-year period. Cross reference to RUTLIP form 3a for footbridge study.

• Permanent Traffic Counters

These form part of an ongoing programme of traffic counters across the Borough to monitor the volume of traffic and to assess areas that need remedial action. The £10k pa funding is used for new traffic counters but also to replace older counters as required.

Tree Planting

This is proposed in various locations throughout the Borough to help reduce the effects of air pollution. It is intended that this would be an ongoing programme commencing in 07/08 at £15k and increasing to £50k pa.

Key Delivery Partners	Dependencies and Risks
Partners for this proposal include: Local environmental groups Countryside Agency English Heritage English Nature Environment agency Health Sector, National Health Service and Primary Care Trusts Local residents and businesses Transport for London Neighbouring boroughs	 Dependencies and risks identified for this proposal include: Potential impact from situations beyond borough control. E.G. Changes to the TLRN in terms of traffic management, road layout and programming. Consultation outcome. Partnerships working.

By designing schemes to reduce the ecological footprint this should improve walking, cycling and general modal shift.	MTS Priority Area	LIP Guidance Target Number	Relevant MTS Proposals and Policies
	VI & VII	12 & 13	Proposal 3.Po6, 3.Pr2, 3.Pr4-6, 4G.Pr10, 4GPr11, 4I.Pr1-8, 4K.Pr4

Modal Impact

Mode	Impact
Pedestrians and mobility impaired persons	positive
Cyclists	positive
Bus passengers	positive
Rail and Underground	positive
Community transport and taxis	positive
Powered two-wheeler users	positive
Car users	positive
Freight	positive

Cross Cutting Goals

Cross Cutting Goal	Impact
Promoting safety & perception of safety for all travel modes	positive
Encouraging sustainable means for travel	positive
Balanced road space allocation	positive
Requirements for sustainable developments	positive
Equality and inclusion impacts*	positive
Environmental impacts**	positive

FUNDING REQUIRED TO DELIVER PROPOSAL

TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2006/07		2008/09	2009/10	Total (£K)	
FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	0	85	167.5	160	412.5	
	0		0	0	0	
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED		85	167.5	160	412.5	
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	AMOUNT			COMMENTS		
TFL OUTSIDE BSP	£0k	(Requested		N/A		
BOROUGH RESOURCES	£0k	(Requested		N/A		
PARTNERS (specify here	£0k	(Requested Approved/N//		N/A		
OTHER (specify here)	£0k	Approved/N//	A)	N/A	_	

Form Number RUTLIP 5c

London Borough of Richmond upon Thames

LIP PROPOSAL DELIVERY FORM			
Summary of Proposal:	Water		
Location:	Borough's waterways		
Dates: Annual programme subject to funding			

Description of Main Elements:

Scheme Details

Both residents and visitors benefit from the extensive waterways in Richmond upon Thames. The Council wants to balance the need for safe access for pedestrians and cyclists with the need to protect the waterways biodiversity. The Council will continue to work with Thames Waterways, TfL to support sustainable use of all its waterways. Funding is required for small mitigating measures programme.

Key Delivery Partners	Dependencies and Risks
Partners for this proposal include:	Dependencies and risks identified for this proposal include:
Term contractor for delivery	
Transport for London	Notification requirements of the Traffic Management
Neighbouring boroughs	Act 2004
British Waterways	Where the works are related to other projects or
-	planned improvements, e.g. major bus priority works

 Improving access to the borough's waterways will benefit Modal Shift and accessibility. Developing freight movement on water in line with the council's planning policy will contribute to reducing congestion. 	MTS Priority Area	LIP Guidance Target Number	Relevant MTS Proposals and Policies
	V & VI	10	Proposal 3.Pr2, 3.Pr4-6, 4G.Pr10, 4GPr11, 4I.Pr1-8, 4K.Pr4 4M.Po2, 4M.Pr2

Modal Impact	
	1
Mode	Impact
Pedestrians and mobility impaired persons	positive
Cyclists	neutral
Bus passengers	neutral
Rail and Underground	neutral
Community transport and taxis	neutral
Powered two-wheeler users	neutral
Car users	neutral
Freight	neutral

Impact
positive

Cross Cutting Goals

Encouraging sustainable means for	positive
travel	
Balanced road space allocation	positive
Requirements for sustainable	neutral
developments	
Equality and inclusion impacts*	positive
Environmental impacts**	positive

FUNDING REQUIRED TO DELIVER PROPOSAL					
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	Total (£K)
FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	0	0	5	5	10
FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	0	0	5	5	10
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	AMOUNT	STATUS COMMENTS		s	
	£0k	(Requested Approved/N//		N/A	
BOROUGH RESOURCES	£0k	(Requested Approved/N//) N/A		
	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/A)			
OTHER (specify here)	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/A) N/A			

Form Number RUTLIP 6

London Borough of Richmond upon Thames

LIP PROPOSAL DELIVERY FORM			
Summary of Proposal:	Parallel Initiatives		
Location:	Borough-wide		
Dates:	This programme is expected to continue annually beyond the LIP planning cycle of 2005/06-09/10		

Description of Main Elements:

In accordance with Transport for London guidance on Parallel Initiatives, the Borough is proposing a number of works that fall within the definition of Parallel Initiatives. Parallel Initiatives involve splitting the network into homogenous sections to provide workable areas, which can be considered holistically. Generally these will relate to various sections of 'A' Roads and busy bus routes and ideally, these will have consistent land use and flows along the sections and will be suitable for designing comprehensive schemes.

The following locations/schemes are proposed in the Richmond LIP in response to this requirement:

2007/08- Develop a programme of parallel initiatives to cover a 5-year period. This will look at bus hotspots and congestion areas (£50k), and undertake feasibility studies for Parallel Initiatives along the Strategic Road Network, on the A307, A310 and A311 (Hampton Hill)

2007/08- Delivery of two schemes for parallel initiatives (ongoing schemes bid for under Streets for People- see RUTLIP Form 3a)

2007/08- Delivery of one bus lane scheme at Kew Road (feasibility to be undertaken in 06/07) – (see RUTLIP Form 2f for more detail on this scheme as it is included as a part of the Bus Priority proposals)

2008/09- Implementation of programme developed in 2007/08 (£250k)

2009/10- Ongoing implementation of programme developed in 2007/08 (£250k)

The nature of Parallel Initiatives is that they may include aspects of all or some of the following:

- Addressing Bus Hotspots and congestion bottlenecks (on Busy Bus Routes and 'A' Roads)- see also RUTLIP 5b- Environmental Measures for details of hotspot monitoring
- Bus Priority measures such as Selective Vehicle Detection measures and bus lanes
- Pedestrian crossings
- Measures to improve cycling and walking
- Improving interchange and integration between modes
- Encouraging a balanced use of street space
- Environmental improvements

Parallel Initiatives may also be provided for as a part of other programme areas with the principle overarching theme comprising a holistic approach- ie looking at all aspects.

Key Delivery Partners	Dependencies and Risks
 TfL Bus operators Borough Bus Priority Bus Priority Lead Borough- Bromley 	ConsultationFundingResourcing

Delivering the Mayor's Transport Strategy in Richmond upon Thames				
 Bus Hotspots Bus standing facilities and stops Bus Priority on busy bus routes and 'A' routes Balanced use of street space 	MTS Priority Area	LIP Guidance Target Number	Relevant MTS Proposals and Policies	
Traffic Growth	II	3, 4	4F.Pr6	
Parking and LoadingCycling, Walking, Road Safety	III	5, 6, 7	4F.Pr8	
	III	5, 6, 7	4G.Po2	
Congestion Bottlenecks	III	5, 6, 7	4G.Pr12	
 Pedestrian Phasing- Selective Vehicle Detection Measures Interchange and Integration 	IV	7	4G.Pr15	
	III	5, 6, 7	4G.Pr18	
	III	5, 6, 7	4G.Pr20	
	VI	7	4I.Pr7	
	VII	13	4J.Pr5	
	-		4P.Po2	

Modal Impact Cross Cutting Goals

Impact
positive
positive
positive
neutral
positive
positive
positive
positive

Cross Cutting Goal	Impact
Promoting safety & perception of safety for all travel modes	positive
Encouraging sustainable means for travel	positive
Balanced road space allocation	positive
Requirements for sustainable developments	positive
Equality and inclusion impacts*	positive
Environmental impacts**	positive

FUNDING REQUIRED TO DELIVER PROPOSAL					
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	Total (£K)
FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	0	10	250	250	510
FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	0	10	250	250	510
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	AMOUNT	STATUS		COMMENTS	
TFL OUTSIDE BSP	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/A)			
BOROUGH RESOURCES	£0k	(Requested Approved/N//		N/A	
PARTNERS (specify here	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/A)			
OTHER (specify here)	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/A)			

Form Number RUTLIP 7

London Borough of Richmond upon Thames

LIP PROPOSAL DELIVERY FORM		
Summary of Proposal:	Sweltrac Programme	
	Borough-wide	
Dates:	This programme is expected to continue annually beyond the LIP planning cycle of 2005-09/10	

Description of Main Elements:

A common statement on Sweltrac which outlines it's purpose and objectives is detailed in Appendix G- Common Statements. For the purpose of outlining schemes in the Borough that are proposed by Sweltrac, it was considered best to put them into one Form in a similar manner as Bus Priority and LCN+ proposals. See also RUTLIP form 7a.

The Sweltrac programme is comprehensive and covers a number of programme areas across the Borough. The programme with scheme details from 07/08 through to 08/09 is provided as follows:

2007/08	£'s
People Movement	
Bus Service to Richmond Park	100,000
Extension of North London Line	25,000
Hampton Hill- Station Feasibility	25,000
Area Based Schemes- Station Access	
Mortlake- implementation	100,000
Barnes- implementation	100,000
North Sheen- feasibility and design	25,000
Hampton Wick- feasibility	12,000
Hampton- feasibility	12,000
Area Based Schemes- Streets for People	
Kew- feasibility and design	30,000
Cycling	
River Crane- joint bid with adjacent Sweltrac Boroughs	100,000
2008/09	
Community Transport	
RAKAT Community Transport (with Kingston)	80,000
Area Based Schemes- Station Access	80,000
North Sheen- implementation	100,000
Hampton Wick- implementation	100,000
Hampton- implementation	100,000
Fulwell- feasibility	12,000
Area Based Schemes- Streets for People	12,000
Kew- implementation	100,000
Cycling	100,000
River Crane- joint bid with adjacent Sweltrac Boroughs	100,000
	,
2009/10	
Area Based Schemes- Station Access	
Fulwell- implementation	100,000
Teddington- feasibility	12,000
St Margarets- feasibility	12,000
Area Based Schemes- Streets for People	
Kew- implementation	100,000

Across all the Sweltrac Borough's, Sweltrac propose to undertake work in the following areas:

- Rail/Freight distribution centres and interchanges
- Electric Charging Points
- Transport Links to Heathrow Airport
- Travel Plan Co-ordinators
- Workplace Travel Plans
- Travel Plan Support (Challenge Funds)
- Area Based Travel Plan for Teddington
- Travel Plan monitoring
- NHS Network
- Travel Awareness at the Workplace

	Dependencies and Risks			
SweltracTfL	 Funding being available over consecutive years Approvals from SW Trains and Network Rail (if 			
South West TrainsNetwork Rail	required)			

Delivering the Mayor's Transport Strategy in Richmond upon Thames

•	To improve access to Stations To improve the ability of people with mobility difficulties to move around	MTS Priority Area	LIP Guidance Target Number	Relevant MTS Proposals and Policies
•	 To enhance neighbourhoods To enhance accessibility to Public Transport 	III; V; VI; VII VIII	7, 8, 10, 12, 14	3.Po8; 3.Pr1-2, 4-6; 4E.Pr9-10, 13; 4F.Pr6, 11; 4G.Pr4, 10-11; 4l.Pr2-4, 6- 8; 4J.Po1; 4J.Pr1, 3-8; 4K.Pr4-5; 4L.Po5; 4N.Pr5; 4O.Pr1-14; 4P.Po2, 4P.Pr4-5

Modal Impact Cross Cutting Goals

Mode	Impact
Pedestrians and mobility impaired persons	positive
Cyclists	positive
Bus passengers	positive
Rail and Underground	positive
Community transport and taxis	positive
Powered two-wheeler users	neutral
Car users	neutral
Freight	neutral

Cross Cutting Goal	Impact
Promoting safety & perception of safety for all travel modes	positive
Encouraging sustainable means for travel	positive
Balanced road space allocation	positive
Requirements for sustainable developments	positive
Equality and inclusion impacts*	positive
Environmental impacts**	positive

TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2006/07		2008/09	2009/10	Total (£K)	
FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	0	0	0	0		
FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	0	529		224	1345	
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED		529	592	224	1345	
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	AMOUNT	STATUS		COMMENTS		
TFL OUTSIDE BSP	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/A)	N/A		
BOROUGH RESOURCES	£0k	Approved/N/A)	N/A		
PARTNERS (Sweltrac)	£1145k	(Requested)		Submission		
OTHER (specify here)	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/A) N/A				

Form Number RUTLIP 7a

London Borough of Richmond upon Thames

LIP PROPOSAL DELIVERY FORM				
Summary of Proposal: Sweltrac Programme – Non Borough Specific				
Location:	SWELTRAC sub region			
Dates:	This programme is expected to continue annually beyond the LIP planning cycle of 2005-09/10			

Description of Main Elements:

A common statement on SWELTRAC which outlines it's purpose and objectives is detailed in Appendix G: Common Statements. For the purpose of outlining schemes within the Sub Region that are proposed by SWELTRAC, it was considered appropriate to put them all in one form in a similar manner as Bus Priority and LCN proposals. These proposals cover schemes that are project managed by SWELTRAC and which are not tied to one Borough of particular geographic location.

Across all the Sweltrac Borough's, Sweltrac propose to undertake work in the following areas:

Freight – Efficient distribution of goods assists all sections of the local economy and SWELTRAC is well placed to address the issue of sub regional freight movement, particularly regarding the important freight hub that is Heathrow. SWELTRAC will undertake a variety of projects under this heading to maximise the efficiency of freight distribution, minimise the adverse effects of freight and develop strategies and solutions for the future. In 2007/08, SWELTRAC will bid for a study for rail freight distribution centres and interchanges.

Environment - SWELTRAC will work with the Boroughs and TfL to develop new and innovative approaches to environmental schemes, taking advantage of the associated benefits of economies of scale and consistency in design. The problems in this area are not confined to one Borough and neither should the solutions be. In 2007/08, SWELTRAC will be bidding for a programme of electric charging points throughout the sub region.

Travel Plans – Travel Plans will continue to be an integral part of the SWELTRAC programme. The SWELTRAC travel plan co-ordinators provide a framework for travel plan activities, act as liaison between the Boroughs and TfL, provide economies of scale and Borough support. The main area of work for the co-ordinators is the promotion, development and monitoring of workplace travel plans. SWELTRAC will continue to produce literature and guidance, work with specific sectors, offer a range of support and incentives to organisations, set up networks, provide a monitoring framework. SWELTRAC must consider all the options to encourage sustainable transport including personalised travel planning, residential travel planning, car sharing, car clubs, alternative fuel technology and other innovative approaches.

SWELTRAC will continue to seek funding on 2007/08 onwards for its two travel plan co-ordinators, as well as funding to support their work in the development of workplace travel plans, including the provision of challenge funds, monitoring and networking projects as well as new initiatives such as area based travel plans.

Travel Awareness – SWELTRAC will also continue to support the promotion of the London-wide good going campaign at workplaces as well as seeking to address reluctance to modal shift

People Movement – Although not a specific programme area, the issue of people movement is key for SWELTRAC – orbital travel, north-south, east-west links and strategic studies are an important part of the SWELTRAC programme. A key issue for SWELTRAC has always been Transport Links to Heathrow Airport and in 2007/08, SWELTRAC will be bidding for work to undertake a study in this area.

Ochomo	0007/00 CI-	0000/00 CI-	0000/40 CI-
Scheme	2007/08 £k	2008/09 £k	2009/10 £k
Rail/Freight distribution	30	TBC	TBC
centres and interchanges			
Electric Charging Points	105	100	110
Transport Links to	50	TBC	TBC
Heathrow Airport			
Travel Plan Co-ordinators	95	95	100
Workplace Travel Plans	20	20	20
Travel Plan Support	20	20	20
(Challenge Funds)			
Area Based Travel Plan	50	146	64
for Teddington			
Travel Plan monitoring	20	20	20
NHS Network	10	25	25
Travel Awareness at the	15	15	15
Workplace			
Total	415	441	374

In addition to the programme set out above, SWELTRAC will continue to work with its Borough partners to deliver projects across a number of transport areas, including walking, cycling, regeneration, accessibility, town centres, streets for people and station access. Where a SWELTRAC scheme is linked to a particular borough, details of that scheme will be contained in the respective Boroughs LIP. For example, the SWELTRAC/Richmond schemes are detailed in RUTLIP 7.

Key Delivery Partners	Dependencies and Risks
 Sweltrac Boroughs TfL Relevant Train Operating Companies Network Rail 	 Funding being available over consecutive years Approvals from Train Operating Companies and Network Rail (if required)

Delivering the Mayor's Transport Strategy

 To improve access to Stations To improve the ability of people with mobility difficulties to move around To enhance neighbourhoods 	MTS Priority Area	LIP Guidance Target Number	Relevant MTS Proposals and Policies
To enhance accessibility to Public Transport	III; IV; V; VI; VIII	7, 8, 10, 12, 14	The full list of the links between the SWELTRAC proposals and the MTS is contained in Appendix 2 of the SWELTRAC LIP Reporting and Funding Submission for 2007/08

Modal Impact		Cross Cutting	Goals			
Mode	Impact	Cross Cuttin	g Goal		Impact	
Pedestrians and mobility impaired persons		Promoting sa	~	tion of safety	positive	
Cyclists	positive	for all travel n	nodes		·	
Bus passengers	positive	Encouraging	sustainable m	neans for	positive	
Rail and Underground	positive	travel				
Community transport and taxis	positive	Balanced roa			positive	
Powered two-wheeler users	neutral	Requirements		ble	positive	
Car users	neutral	developments			***	
Freight	positive	Equality and		acts	positive	
		Environmenta	ai impacts		positive	
FUNDING REQUIRED TO DELIVER PROPOSAL						
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	Total (£K)	
FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	0	415	441	374	1230	
FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	0	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	0	415	441	374	1230	
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	AMOUNT	STATUS		COMMENTS		
TFL OUTSIDE BSP	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/A		N/A		
BOROUGH RESOURCES	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/A		N/A		
PARTNERS (Sweltrac)	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/A	()	N/A		
OTHER (specify here)	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/A		N/A		