IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF _	COUNTY, ALABAMA
*	
*	
Plaintiff, *	
*	CIVILLA CTIONING
VS. *	CIVIL ACTION NO.:
*	CV
* Defendant. *	
MOTION TO TRANSFE CASES FOR A	
	Company ("")
respectfully moves this Court, pursuant to	Ala.R.CIV.P 42(a), to transfer and to
consolidate the following cases (the "Laws	uits") for all purposes with the above-
styled action:	
[List all cases to be consolidated] <sup>1</sup>	
In support of this motion,	states the following:
The above-styled action and to	the Lawsuits have all been filed and are
now	
pending in the Court of	County, Alabama and arise out of
the same subject matter, to-wit, plaintiffs'	allegations of tortuous conduct arising
out of the sale and/or servicing of life insu	rance policies issued by American
General.	
1 In filing this motion, does not to arbitrate under any applicable arbitration	t waive, and specifically retains, its right on agreement.

		 enth Judicial Circuit's Administrative Policy on Case Consc	lidation states
counse	l,	(ir	nsurance
4	1.	All of the plaintiffs in each Lawsuit is represented by the	same
the Cou	urt.		
duplica	tion c	of discovery costs' expenses, and effort by the parties, the	e lawyers, and
consoli	datio	n of these Lawsuits with the above-styled action will avoid	d unnecessary
3	3.	This action is the oldest case of the actions to be consoli	dated.² A
		"This policy would eventually lapse if additional and higher premiums were not paid by Plaintiff;"	
		"The Plaintiff would have to deposit much more mone into this policy than the premiums he/she was told he/sh could pay per month, or the policy would lapse";	
		"The cost of insurance over the years was and is increasing;"	
		"The flexible [universal] life policy sold by [agent] was no a form of permanent life insurance, but was actually a form of term life insurance;"	ot
		"The [year] policy was a flexible [universal] life policy no whole life or whole life type (permanent type), which did not have level, permanent premiums and death benefits	
"suppre	essior	n" claims:	
the san	ne Pla	aintiffs' counsel. Many of the claims are identical such as	the following
servicir	ng of	life insurance policies by All	cases involve
represe	entati	ion and suppression and conspiracy arising out of the sale	and/or
Each a	ction	is based upon allegations of willful, reckless, and mistake	n fraudulent
fact.			
2	2.	All of these actions undeniably involve common question	s of law and

The Thirteenth Judicial Circuit's Administrative Policy on Case Consolidation states that a motion to consolidate cases for all purposes shall be decided by the judge "on whose docket is pending the oldest case proposed for consolidation."

company) is represented by	in all of the
lawsuits. The agent defendants in all of the lawsuits to	be consolidated with the
present action are represented by the same counsel, _	

- 5. In light of the similarity of the claims and the undeniable common question of law and Act, a transfer and consolidation of the Lawsuits with the above-styled action would be in the best interests of judicial economy.
- 6. Rule 42(a) of the Alabama Rules of Civil Procedure grants the trial court discretion to consolidate actions involving common questions of law and fact. See Rule 42(a); see also Owens-Corning Fiberglass Corp. v. James, 646 So. 2d 669, 674 (Ala. 1994) (Rule 42(a) "specifically recognizes the propriety of consolidation, as well as a trial court's discretion to order consolidation as necessary to reduce costs or delay."). In addition, the trial court may make orders as may tend to avoid unnecessary costs or delay involved in litigation. See Rule 42(a). The purpose of the rules of civil procedure is to promote the efficient functioning of judicial administration and to secure the "just, speedy, and inexpensive" resolution of disputes. See Ala.R.Civ.P. 1.
- 7. Consolidation of these action is proper in light of the common questions of law and fact Ala.R.Civ.P. 42(a); *League v. Motes*, 330 So. 2d 434, 437 (Ala. 1976) ("Consolidation of cases does not require mutuality of parties but mutuality, in some degree, of issues and law. The instant case is a classic example of proper consolidation. Though the parties are different, the claims for relief arise from the same occurrence and involve the same issues . . . "); *see also Owens-Corning*, 646 So. 2d at 669 (ordering consolidation of three separate lawsuits brought by three plaintiffs against the same defendant where common issues of law and fact were presented).

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that I have on this the foregoing by mailing same by U. S. properly addressed, to the following:	served a copy of epaid and
(List counsel for all parties)	

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT (	OF	COUNTY, ALABAMA		
			*	
	* Plaintiff, *		*	
	VS.	CIVIL ACTION NO.:	k	k
	*		k	K
	Defendant.		*	

## **ORDER**

This matter came before the Court upon
Company's Motion to Transfer and
Consolidate the cases listed hereinbelow for discovery and other pre-trial purposes.
The Court, having read the briefs of the parties and having considered the
arguments of counsel in open court, finds that transfer and consolidation of these
cases for discovery and other pre-trial purposes is desirable to promote judicial
economy, expedite litigation and save time and money. Accordingly, it is ORDERED
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the following cases shall be transferred and
consolidated into this action before this Court for discovery and other pre-trial
purposes:

## [Here List Styles of all Cases to be Consolidated Including Civil Action Numbers]

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that when parties submit motions or other filings pertaining to any of these cases, the document shall bear the instruction "TO BE

DECIDED BY JUDGE	_" in bold-face and all-capital type beneath the civil
action number in the caption.	
DONE this day of	, 2000.
	CIRCUIT JUDGE