IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI

AND , COMPLAINANTS

VS. CASE NO.

AND , DEFENDANTS

DATE:

ANSWER OF DEFENDANTS AND

COME NOW defendants, by and through their counsel, and by way of answer to the Complaint in this matter, state to the Court as follows:

1.

The Complaint.

2.

Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 2 of the Complaint insofar as they allege negligence by any defendant.

3.

Defendants admit the allegations of paragraph 3 of the Complaint.

4.

Defendants admit the allegations in paragraph 2 of in this matter.

5.

Defendants have no knowledge as to the allegations in paragraph 5 of the Complaint but demand strict proof thereof.

6.

Defendants have no knowledge of the allegations in paragraph 6 of the Complaint but demand strict proof thereof. Defendants deny that any defendants were negligent.

Defendants have no knowledge of the allegations in 8 of the Complaint but demand strict proof thereof.
8.
For further defense, defendants allege that plaintiffs are guilty of comparative negligence, which will bar or reduce his claim.
WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, defendants ask that this case be dismissed with prejudice, with costs assessed against plaintiffs.
Respectfully submitted,
By:
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of the foregoing has been mailed, postage prepaid to , Attorney at Law, this day of , .