IN T		COURT (TATE OF		COUNTY	
	Petitione Vs. Respondent/)) r/Plaintiff,))) Defendant)	NO		
			nother and lega	l representative of l death against the	
	_ and	, and stat	es as follows:		
		1.			
The pla	intiff is an	adult resident	citizen of		_ County,
	_•	2.			
Defendant		, is a foreign	corporation or	ganized and existin	g under the
laws of a state of	her than	, but i	s qualified to o	lo and is doing bus	iness in the
state of	Sai	d defendant can b	e served with	process through its	s registered
agent,	, of	,			
		3.			

Jurisdiction and venue are proper in this court.

4.

	On or abou	it the	day of		_, 20	_, at	appr	oximately		_ p.m.,	, the
decea	sed,		was traveling i	n a			c	n		F	₹oad
in	County,				which	runs	in	a northerl	y and	south	erly
direct	ion.										
				5.							
	As the	decedent,		, t	raveled	in	a	southerly	dir.	ection	on
		Road, he a	pproached a rai	lroad cr	ossing t	that ii	nters	ected said	road a	at a nin	ety-
degre	e angle. The	!	's vehi	cle was	struck	by a	trai	in owned	and o	perateo	l by
		. As a resu	lt of the collision	on betw	een the	vehi	icle	and the _			
train (operated by a	n employee	of	,				was kil	led.		
				6.							
	The death	of	was	a direc	t result	of th	e ne	gligence	of the	defend	lant,
the _		and	its employee	drive	of tl	he tr	ain	who wa	s an	agent	of
		at all releva	ant times.								
				7.							
	The defend	lant was gui	lty of the follow	ving act	s of neg	gligen	ice:				
	a.	Operation	ng the train at a	n exces	sive rate	e of s	peed	;			
	b. Reckless operation of the train;										
	c. Failure to keep a proper lookout for oncoming traffic;										
	d.	Failure	to have proper	warnir	ıg signs	and	sigr	nals at a k	nown	dange	rous
		railroad	crossing;								

e.	Maintaining	an	extra-hazardous	grade	crossing	at	the	location	on
			Road in		Coun	ty,			
	in that:								
	(1) There we	ro tr	oog chrubbory br	uch and	other wea	otat	ion o	n the rails	o a d

- (1) There were trees, shrubbery, brush and other vegetation on the railroad right of way which obstructed the visibility of motorists along the railroad tracks;
- (2) There were trees, shrubbery, brush and other vegetation on the railroad right of way which obstructed the visibility of the train crew looking for motorists approaching and crossing the railroad tracks;
- (3) There were no gates, proper flashing lights or other protective devices necessary to warn motorists of an approaching train;

8.

As a result of the negligence described above of the defendant, the plaintiff's decedent was killed and his automobile was destroyed.

medical and funeral bills, the total destruction suffering, hedonic damages, and loss of enjoymen	-
WHEREFORE, the plaintiff demands	judgment from and against the defendant,
and in the a	amount of
(\$) for actual and punitive dam	ages.
	Respectfully submitted,
Dated:	
Name: Title: Address: Address:	
City, State, Zip: Phone: Fax:	
E-Mail: Attorney No.:	
CERTIFICATE	OF SERVICE
I,, (do hereby certify that I have this day mailed,
U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, a true and cor	rect copy of the above and foregoing to
,	at the following address;
THIS the day of, 20)

The plaintiff demands judgment for loss of society and companionship of the decedent,