Report Evaluation Form

SYDE 361 - Introduction to Design

Department of Systems Design Engineering DEMONSTRATION EVALUATION SCHEME: PROJECT NAME: GROUP #: : MEMBERS:

University of Waterloo

COMPONENT MISSING F С SCORE D B-В B+ A-Α A+ A++ 100% 65% 70% 90% 95% 45% 55% 75% 80% 85% Content (80%) 1. Display board of Problem Statement 0 1 2 3 3.5 4 4.5 5 /5 2. Display board of Prototype Solution 0 3 /5 1 2 3.5 4 4.5 5 3. Function – demo of proof of concept/prototype 0 9 11 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 /204. Form – final form demonstration 0 4.5 5.5 6.5 7.0 7.5 8.0 8.5 9.0 9.5 10 /10 (a) Total Mark= Sum (1 to 4) /40

Content: evaluate the quality of engineering judgment that is in evidence, and the completeness of analysis including its evaluation and justification as per guidance given in the Final Report Scheme. For the demonstration this is evidenced by the explanation provided by the group when engaging with visitors to their booth.

Component	Missing	F	D	С	В-	В	B+	A-	Α	A+	A++	Score
		45%	55%	65%	70%	75%	80%	85%	90%	95%	100%	
Presentation (20%)												
Format 10%												
1. Organization	N/A	1	2	3		3.5			4	4.5	5	/5
2. Clarity of argument	N/A	1	2	3		3.5			4	4.5	5	/5
A. Sum (1+2)												/10

Report Evaluation Form

Quality of Presentation 10%												
1. Flow of explanation	N/A	1	2	3		3.5			4	4.5	5	/5
2. Convincing	N/A	1	2	3		3.5			4	4.5	5	/5
B. Quality Sum (1+2)										/10		
(b) Total Mark (A+B)/2												/10
TOTAL (a+b)												/50

REMARKS (feedback for improvement):

Key for grading the report:

- A++ Excellent and of professional quality
- A+ Substantially exceed expectation
- A- to A Impressive in every aspect
- B to B+ Meets or exceeds some expectations of the project, but fulfills all requirements
- C+ to B- Meets all course requirements, but nothing beyond that
- C- to C Incomplete, it still needs more work, and analyses are shallow
- D- to D+ Marginal and inadequate.
- F- to F+ Fails to meet the standards expected

SIGNATURE OF EVALUATOR: _____

DATE: _____