Title of Paper :

Authors' Names :

Reviewer's Name :

Of the two papers that I read, I would rank this as (circle one)

- the better paper
- the poorer paper

Evaluation:

	Tend to Reject			<>				Tend to Accept		
Technical Content and	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Accuracy			5	•			,			10
Originality	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Significance	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Title/Intro/Conclusion	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Organization	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Style and Clarity	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Adequacy of References	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Adherence to Standards	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
OVERALL EVALUATION	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

Comments (Please be specific and helpful. You may also markup the copy of the paper you were given and attach to this form)