LANGSTON U NIVERSITY

Performance Management System Administrative, Managerial, and Supervisory Employees Use for Employees Who Supervise Others

PART I

Langston University's performance management process is based on the belief that employees are our most critical resource, and individual performance and development is the prime consideration in determining their value and contribution toward fulfilling teaching, research, and service goals. Therefore, the process is designed to improve job understanding and job competency; for mutual goal-setting to help motivate staff toward higher objectives; to learn about staff development needs; improve communication between management and staff, reward special meritorious job accomplishment as funding permits; identify unsatisfactory performance and counsel employees toward corrective actions.

Performance management must be viewed as a continuing process throughout the year, the formal appraisal conducted at least once a year using the performance management form. Informal meetings should be held frequently with the employee. The once-a-year performance appraisal should be a summary of earlier meetings, with a major portion of the interview devoted to a discussion of future performance planning.

PART II GUIDE TO CONDUCTING A SUCCESSFUL PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL

BEFORE

- * Schedule the forthcoming evaluation with the employee at least three weeks in advance of the session.
- * Provide the employee with a pre-appraisal worksheet to prepare for the session and explain how the performance interview will be conducted.
- * Ensure that the job description tasks and related measures are output-oriented and relate to quality, quantity, timeliness, service, etc.
- * Provide the employee with a current job description and relate specific measures of output and results established for the job.
- * Prepare for the session by reviewing all performance documentation compiled over the review period.
- * Ensure that performance will be appraised on the basis of direct measures of output and results.
- * Appraise the employee's performance using the applicable performance criteria listed on the form as a guide in the performance management process.
- * If completing Form B or C, respond first to the goals/objectives section. (Worksheets are available for this process).
- * Identify the critical or key elements of the job plus any goal setting standards relate them to the specific criteria lists that best measure the actual performance. For example, the major job function of
- "answers all inquires about admissions from students, faculty, the public, etc." relates to Interpersonal Relations and Oral and Written Communications.
- * Determine one of three degrees of performance result in each category making specific comments in the space provided.
- * Review the appraisal comments with your superior to assure general agreement regarding the employee's performance.

DURING

- * Meet with the employee on schedule and ensure a private setting without interruption.
- * Review the purpose and then plan for the appraisal interview.
- * Mutually review the job description to ensure it is accurate and current.
- * Proceed with the interview giving the employee the opportunity to respond to evaluation.
- * Discuss summary comments including strengths, areas needing improvement, and plan for development.
- * Conclude the appraisal with an overall summary of the process.
- * Plan and schedule any follow-up activities concerning performance, development, or mutual goal-setting.
- * Offer the employee the opportunity to make written comments regarding the appraisal.
- * Inform the employee when to expect the next formal evaluation.
- * Secure the employee's signature.

AFTER

- * Secure signature of next level supervisor.
- * Give a photocopy of the completed appraisal form to the employee.
- * Forward completed appraisal to the department head, Director, Vice President for review and include in the employee's official personnel file.
- * Conduct follow-up activities for performance improvement as discussed with the employee and continue ongoing appraisal each day.

For additional information regarding the Langston University Performance Management System contact the Human Resources Office, ext. 3203.

LANGSTON U NIVERSITY

Performance Management System Administrative, Managerial, and Supervisory Employees Use for Employees Who Supervise Others

EMPLOYEE'S NAME	SUPERVISOR	SOCIAL SECUE	ATE O	Z A DDD A 1	ICAT
TITLE	SUPERVISOR	D	AIEUI	APPKA	ISAL
REVIEW PERIOD FRO	OM TO	DEPARTMENT	DIVIS	ION	
IS EMPLOYEE IN PRO	BATIONARY PERIOD? _	YES _		NO	
List below mutually deter	Major Goals, Objectives, Pr rmined or predefined major predefined goals and objec	goals and objectiv	es.		
<u> </u>	evaluated on projects and as		table		<u>></u>
	rall operation of the departm		ccept		ntian its
if necessary).	riate performance level (use	additional sheets	t una	reets	ubsta emer
1. Goals/objectives/pr	rojects/assignments		Performance at unacceptable Level	Performance meets requirements	Performance substantially exceeds requirements
			Perfor Level	Perf requ	ехсе
Results achieved					
•	rojects/assignments				
	rojects/assignments				
Results achieved					
4. Goals/objectives/pi	rojects/assignments				
Results achieved					

PART III (b) PERFORMANCE CRITERIA Review and respond to the following evaluation factors making specific comments in each category. Indicate the appropriate performance level. A. JOB KNOWLEDGE . Competence in field or specialty. Consider adequacy of Practical, technical, and professional skills and knowledge	Performance at unacceptable Level	Performance meets requirements	Performance substantially exceeds requirements
for accomplishing the job.			
3. PRODUCTIVITY Quality of work. Consider the extent to which employee sets work standards and consistently achieves high quality results that relate to accuracy, neatness, thoroughness, dependability, and usefulness of results. Also consider how frequently results need to be repeated			
2. Quality of work. Consider how much acceptable work is produced in relation to reasonable expectations. Availability of adequate resources, etc.			
1. <u>Interpersonal relations:</u> Consider the effectiveness of interpersonal Relations with students, faculty, staff, visitors, patrons, users of Services, and the general public. Consider the extent to which University good will is maintained.	ıl		
2. <u>Critical Thinking and decision making:</u> Consider the extent to Which thought and analyses of facts and situations are done before Initiating action problem solving.			
3. Attitude and cooperation: Consider enthusiasm, courtesy, adaptable flexibility, general disposition and spirit of cooperation in the work environment.	bility,		_
4. <u>Initiative and resourcefulness:</u> Consider the extent to which the employee is a self-starter and can handle unforeseen difficulties successfully. Also consider the employee's ability to develop new ideas and handle usual work situations: does or does not require close supervision.			

OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT	Performance at unacceptable Level	Performance meets requirements	Performance substantially exceeds requirements
<u>Planning function:</u> Consider identification, analysis, and activity-scheduling ability for short-term and long-term contingencies.			
<u>Directive and controlling function:</u> Consider the ability to organize, coordinate, and mange human, time, financial, physical, and other resources.			
Evaluation function: Consider effectiveness of performance and quality control standards in place to measure results and follow up with corrective actions and problem-solving to bring performance in line with plans.			
Cost Management: Consider the extent to which cost-effective strategies are developed and used.			
<u>Delegation of authority and responsibility:</u> Consider the extent to which supervisors frees self of details and concentrates on leadership responsibilities.			
<u>Flexibility:</u> Consider the extent to which the necessity of operational changes has been anticipated, initiated, and affected in a timely manner.			
HUMAN RESOURCES MANAGEMENT Leadership: Consider the extent to which the supervisor influences the actions of individuals and groups to obtain desired results. Consider the possession of analytical skills Involved in observing, listening, diagnosing, and assessing situations, and the ability to predict outcomes.			
	Directive and controlling function: Consider the ability to organize, coordinate, and mange human, time, financial, physical, and other resources. Evaluation function: Consider effectiveness of performance and quality control standards in place to measure results and follow up with corrective actions and problem-solving to bring performance in line with plans. Cost Management: Consider the extent to which cost-effective strategies are developed and used. Delegation of authority and responsibility: Consider the extent to which supervisors frees self of details and concentrates on leadership responsibilities. Flexibility: Consider the extent to which the necessity of operational changes has been anticipated, initiated, and affected in a timely manner. HUMAN RESOURCES MANAGEMENT Leadership: Consider the extent to which the supervisor influences the actions of individuals and groups to obtain desired results. Consider the possession of analytical skills Involved in observing, listening, diagnosing, and assessing	OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT Planning function: Consider identification, analysis, and activity-scheduling ability for short-term and long-term contingencies. Directive and controlling function: Consider the ability to organize, coordinate, and mange human, time, financial, physical, and other resources. Evaluation function: Consider effectiveness of performance and quality control standards in place to measure results and follow up with corrective actions and problem-solving to bring performance in line with plans. Cost Management: Consider the extent to which cost-effective strategies are developed and used. Delegation of authority and responsibility: Consider the extent to which supervisors frees self of details and concentrates on leadership responsibilities. Flexibility: Consider the extent to which the necessity of operational changes has been anticipated, initiated, and affected in a timely manner. HUMAN RESOURCES MANAGEMENT Leadership: Consider the extent to which the supervisor influences the actions of individuals and groups to obtain desired results. Consider the possession of analytical skills Involved in observing, listening, diagnosing, and assessing	OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT Planning function: Consider identification, analysis, and activity-scheduling ability for short-term and long-term contingencies. Directive and controlling function: Consider the ability to organize, coordinate, and mange human, time, financial, physical, and other resources. Evaluation function: Consider effectiveness of performance and quality control standards in place to measure results and follow up with corrective actions and problem-solving to bring performance in line with plans. Cost Management: Consider the extent to which cost-effective strategies are developed and used. Delegation of authority and responsibility: Consider the extent to which supervisors frees self of details and concentrates on leadership responsibilities. Flexibility: Consider the extent to which the necessity of operational changes has been anticipated, initiated, and affected in a timely manner. HUMAN RESOURCES MANAGEMENT Leadership: Consider the extent to which the supervisor influences the actions of individuals and groups to obtain desired results. Consider the possession of analytical skills Involved in observing, listening, diagnosing, and assessing

2.	Staff Development: Consider the extent to which the supervisor focuses on and assists in the training and development of staff to	Performance at unacceptable Level	Performance meets requirements Performance substantially exceeds requirements
_	focuses on and assists in the training and development of staff to prepare them for higher level responsibilities; makes use of untapped resources: and encourages employees toward responsible self-direction and self-control to help increase operating efficien	ble	
3.	Affirmative Action: Consider the extent to which the supervisor understands and responds to the University's equal opportunity and affirmative action goals.		
4. - -	Oral and written communications: Consider the supervisor's ability to effectively communicate with users, subordinates, peers, superiors, and the public. Consider clarity, timeliness, appropriateness, effect, and outcome of communications.		
5.	Results planning/performance feedback: Consider the extent to which the supervisor encourages and initiates regular discussions with subordinates; compliments good performance and effectively evaluates subordinate results, strengths, and development opportunities.		
6.	Staffing: Consider the extent to which job structure reflects an Appropriate division of responsibilities and adaptable interrelationships.		
Lis	ART IV Additional Comments at below any additional observations not included in the Perting outstanding contributions to the department, division, o		orm, especially

	RT V PERFORMANCE OBJEC			
This section includes summary comments arrived at jointly by the employee and supervisor covering: 1. Employee's areas of strength:				
	Outline a plan for development covering specific on-the-job training needs, training and Development courses, self-development, and other approaches which will help the employee in accomplishing his/her job responsibilities. Also include specific timetables for development actions.			
Eva both	RT VI PERFORMANCE SUMM luate employee on the described job a strengths limitations and in terms consibilities.	responsibilities based on above		
	PERFORMANCE AT UNACCEPTABLE LEVEL	PEFORMANCE MEETS REQUIREMENTS	PERFORMANCE SUBSTANTIALLY EXCEEDS REQUIREMENTS	
I ac specifob not copy	knowledge that this performance apolified period and to discuss future performance with me leaders are concurrence to find the performance appraisal bear manent record of these results will be	opraisal was conducted to evaluate erformance and development play my supervisor. I further under the with the appraisal results and the required signatures.	ns. Also a current copy of my rstand that my signature does that I am entitled to receive a t is my understanding that a	
SIG	ENATURES			
Emp	oloyee	Title	Date	
	Name			
Imn	nediate Supervisor completing this			
		Name	Title	
Rev	iewed by next level supervisor		Date	

Name

Title

LANGSTON U NIVERSITY

Employee Response to Performance Management Review

have discussed with my supervisor this evaluation of my past performance. I agree/			
I feel that my performance review	was was not fair and impartial.		
If I could make changes or improvements	in my work, my job, or University policies, I would suggest		
Date	Employee		