Peer Evaluation Form

Provide a score from 1 (poor) to 5 (excellent) for each of the presentations of your colleagues. Circle clearly the desired score. Do NOT write your own name.

Presentation 1: Presenter's name			_		
A. Background was thorough	1	2	3	4	5
B. Idea of the work was clearly presented	1	2	3	4	5
C. Applications were relevant	1	2	3	4	5
D. Limitations and solutions were identified	1	2	3	4	5
E. Ability to answer questions	1	2	3	4	5
F. OVERALL	1	2	3	4	5
Presentation 2: Presenter's name			_		
A. Background was thorough	1	2	3	4	5
B. Idea of the work was clearly presented	1	2	3	4	5
C. Applications were relevant	1	2	3	4	5
D. Limitations and solutions were identified	1	2	3	4	5
E. Ability to answer questions	1	2	3	4	5
F. OVERALL	1	2	3	4	5
Presentation 3: Presenter's name			_		
A. Background was thorough	1	2	3	4	5
B. Idea of the work was clearly presented	1	2	3	4	5
C. Applications were relevant	1	2	3	4	5
D. Limitations and solutions were identified	1	2	3	4	5
E. Ability to answer questions	1	2	3	4	5
F. OVERALL	1	2	3	4	5

Presentation 4: Presenter's name			_		
A. Background was thorough	1	2	3	4	5
B. Idea of the work was clearly presented	1	2	3	4	5
C. Applications were relevant	1	2	3	4	5
D. Limitations and solutions were identified	1	2	3	4	5
E. Ability to answer questions	1	2	3	4	5
F. OVERALL	1	2	3	4	5
Presentation 5: Presenter's name			_		
A. Background was thorough	1	2	3	4	5
B. Idea of the work was clearly presented	1	2	3	4	5
C. Applications were relevant	1	2	3	4	5
D. Limitations and solutions were identified	1	2	3	4	5
E. Ability to answer questions	1	2	3	4	5
F. OVERALL	1	2	3	4	5
Presentation 6: Presenter's name			_		
A. Background was thorough	1	2	3	4	5
B. Idea of the work was clearly presented	1	2	3	4	5
C. Applications were relevant	1	2	3	4	5
D. Limitations and solutions were identified	1	2	3	4	5
E. Ability to answer questions	1	2	3	4	5
F. OVERALL	1	2	3	4	5