

Supplementary information template

To be completed by Drug and Therapeutics Committee delegate in consultation with applicant

Evidence Supporting Application

Include all relevant randomised controlled trials and/or systematic reviews (meta-analyses).
(Copy following page if more space is required.)

Notes:

1. Copies of key papers should be included with the submission.
2. Unpublished studies may be considered (reason for non-publication should be provided). For unpublished studies, sufficient detail must be provided to allow independent assessment of results.
3. If no head-to-head studies are available for drug and comparator, other studies may be considered if they are likely to assist with decision-making, eg randomised, controlled studies with arms that include the various comparators.
4. Indicate if comparators, dosing regimens and duration of trial are relevant to local practice.
5. Indicate if study population(s) are relevant to local practice.
6. Indicate if benefits are likely to extend beyond the period of the trial.
7. If post-hoc sub-group analysis is included, highlight the limitations of the analysis so that risks associated with decision-making can be assessed.

Grading for Level of Evidence*

Level I	Evidence obtained from systematic review of relevant randomised controlled trials
Level II	Evidence obtained from one or more well-designed, randomised controlled trials
Level III	Evidence obtained from well-designed, non-randomised controlled trials; or from well designed cohort, case control or interrupted time series studies
Level IV	Case series with either post-test or pre-test/post-test outcomes

* From NHMRC interim levels of evidence 2005: www.nhmrc.gov.au/publications/_files/levels_grades05.pdf

Reference number _____

(Please copy and attach additional pages as required)

Title: _____

Author(s): _____

Journal: _____

Date/Year: _____

Drug and Comparators(s): _____

Number of patients in each arm: _____

Dose regimens: _____

Duration of trial: _____

Outcome measure(s): _____

Study type:				
Meta-analysis	Yes	No		
Randomised Trial	Yes	No		
Non-Randomised Trial	Yes	No		
Case study with no controls	Yes	No		
Efficacy:				
Absolute Risk Reduction vs control			_____	
Statistically Significant (p<0.05)	Yes	No		
95% Confidence Interval			_____	
Number Needed to Treat			_____	
Evidence of clinical improvement				
	_____ % Active	vs	_____ % Control	
Safety:				
Number Needed to Harm			_____	
Evidence of safety improvement				
	_____ % Active	vs	_____ % Control	
Evidence grading*	I	II	III	IV
* See Notes				

Comments (please refer to notes)**:

** In particular, please comment on generalisability of trial data to specified hospital patient population