NOTE TO:

Diane Dandois

Glenda Jackson

FROM:

Joel Dorfman Jul Du

SUBJECT:

REIMBURSABLE AGREEMENT RELATED TO THE PEBBLE BED MODULAR

DATE: June 12, 2001

REACTOR

DPBA has received for concurrence the attached Modification 1 to our reimbursable agreement with DOE related to Pebble Bed. The agreement has been re-titled, "Advanced Gas Reactor Framework, Technology and Fuel Evaluation," and provides NRC with \$480,000, for both contract support and NRC staff costs.

Mod 1 to reimbursable agreement was originally drafted in April, 2001. At that time, both DPBA and OGC expressed concerns that the Statement of Work (SOW) defined NRC's activities as developing a regulatory framework for licensing reactors. After discussions with OGC, RES agreed to revise the SOW to focus on NRC performing a technology assessment or generic research (see attached markup which was approved by Brian Kildee, OGC). Rather than the Economy Act, the reimbursement would be authorized under NRC's cooperative research authority. The NRC accepts funds under our cooperative research authority to perform research which falls within NRC's statutory mission.

Please note that RES wishes to charge costs of the June 12-13 meeting with Exelon to the agreement. The meeting activities with Exelon appear to be licensing and regulatory in nature, and not billable to DOE. The agreement is ambiguous regarding charging costs incurred prior to signature.

If possible, I would appreciate any comments on this agreement by June 14.

cc:

Sandi Park

David Drucker

c:\wp\sum01\pebblebeddafnote.wpd

8/13

ROUTING AND CONCURRENCE SHEET

DATE: 6/11/2001

<u>INITIALS</u>

| 1. | MAITCHESON - CONCUR | Me | DATE: | 6/// | /2001 | | |
|---|----------------------|----|-------|------|-------|--|--|
| 2. | RROUGH - CONCUR | | DATE: | 6/ | /2001 | | |
| PLEASE RETURN TO M. AITCHESON, T-10 D11 | | | | | | | |
| 3. | MFARR - CONCUR | | DATE: | 6/ | /2001 | | |
| 4. | TKING - CONCUR, SIGN | | DATE: | 6/ | /2001 | | |
| | | | | | | | |

RETURN TO M. AITCHESON

SUBJECT: DOE/NRC REIMBURSABLE AGREEMENT RE: ADVANCED
GAS REACTOR TECHNOLOGY, REGULATION AND FUEL EVALUATION
(FORMERLY KNOWN AS PEBBLE BED MODULAR REACTOR (PBMR)
TECHNOLOGY AND FUEL EVALUATION

THE ORIGINAL IS IN THE MAIL AND WILL BE PLACED IN THIS PACKAGE AS SOON AS IT IS RECEIVED.

THE \$480K OF FUNDS PROVIDED UNDER THIS MODIFICATION NO. 1 WILL PAY FOR: \$25K TRAVEL, \$155K FTE, \$300K CONTRACT ASSISTANCE

ANY QUESTIONS, PLEASE CONTACT MARIANNE AITCHESON

FROM: M. AITCHESON 415-5822 EMAIL MMR

DISTR

Subj: PBMR PROJECT

J. Dorfman, OCFO/DPBA

T. King, DRAA

M. Farr, DRAA

M. Aitcheson, PMG/PMPDAS

N. Price, FMG/PMPDAS

T. Stevenson, OCFO/DAF

S. Rubin, DSARE/RES

D. Drucker, OCFO

| Washington, D.C. 20585 DOE Interagency Agreement/Amendment 2. Servi | | | 1. DOE IA Identification (ID) No.: DE-A101-01-NE-23069 | | | | | 4. TA No.: | |
|--|------------------|---------------|---|--|--------------------|------------|------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| | | | 2. Servicing Agency IA ID No. (If known): | | | | | 5. Modification No: AOO1 | |
| | | 3. DOE Pr | Procurement Request No.: 01-NE23069.002 | | | | 9.002 | | |
| 6. Name and Addre | ss of DOE Tech | nical Office: | 7. Name and Address of Servicing Agency: | | | | gency; | | |
| U.S. Department of Energy Office of Nuclear Energy, Science and Technology NE-20 19901 Germantown Road Germantown, MD 20874-1290 | | | | U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NR) One White Flint North 11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, Maryland 20852-2738 | | | • • | | |
| B. Project Title: Advanced Gas Reactor Framework, Technology and Fuel Evaluation | | | | | | | | | |
| 9. DOE Project Officer (Name, Address, Phone No.): | | | .): | | | | | | |
| Tom Müller Office of Nuclear Energy, Science and Technology NE-20 19901 Germantown Road Germantown, MD 20874-1290 301-903-7731 | | | | Thomas L. King Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555 Mail Stop: T-10 E50 | | | | | |
| 11. Project Period: | 2/23/01 -12/15/0 | 2 | | 12. Budget Period: 2/23/01 -12/15/02 | | | | | |
| 13. Scope of Work: | See Exhibit 1A | - | | | | | | | |
| 14. Deliverables/Reporting Requirements: See Exhibit 2A | | | | | | | | | |
| 15. (a) This IA is issued parsuant to the Economy Act of 1932, as amended (31 U.S.C. 1535) (b) This IA is issued to provide Financial Assistance support for Project Activities If yes, provide Statutory Authority [X] Yes [No [] Yes [X] No | | | | | | | | | |
| Funds/Obligations | | | Prev | revious Amount Amount This | | This | Amended Total | | |
| 16. DOE Amount | | | 20,000 480.000.00 | | 0.00 | 500,000.00 | | | |
| 17. DOE In-Kind Amount | | | | 0 0 | | | Û | | |
| 18. Servicing Agen | y Amount | | | 0 0 | | | 0 | | |
| 19. Servicing Agency In-Kind Amount | | | | 0 0 | | | 0 | | |
| 20. Total Project Cost | | | | 20,000.00 \$480,000.00 | | .00 | \$500,000.00 | | |
| 21 22. App Symbol | 21 R&P No. | | E FUN | DS COMN | ЩТ | ED | 77 | 28. CFA | |
| 22. App Symbol | 23. B&R No. | 24. Pollar | 20.00 | | + | 20. Object | 37 _{FP} | | |
| \$9X0224 | AF-37-40 | 200.000 | <u> </u> | CR1491 | + | 253 | NE/YA | 11685361 | |
| 89X0224 | AF-37-30 | 300,000.0 | 0 | CR1491 | + | 253 | NE/YA | 11689713 | |
| | | DDO/FC | - <u> </u> | +CED (IV) | | | | <u> </u> | |
| 7 0 | | | | NAGERINITIATOR Willia 5/1/01 32.01 | | | 32. Off | fice Code: NE-20 | |
| 29. Name 30. Signature | | 31. Date | | Date | 33. Telephone No.: | | | | |
| 3-4517 | | | | | | | | | |
| PROGRAMS OFFICIALS, We hereby certify that the funds cited in items 22-28 are being appropriately used. | | | | | | | | | |
| Shane Johnson 34. Reviewing Official's Name 35. | | | 35. Sig | Signature (Senter) | | | 36. Date /12/0 | | |
| Wade Carroll 37. Budget Official's Name 38. | | | | 3. Signatural este lawell | | | 39. Date 5/15/61 | | |

40. Type of Procurement Action (TPA) Code X1

42. Security. Will employees require security clearances for performance of this procurement?

OFFICIAL RECEIPT DATE BY

HEADQUARTERS PROCUREMENT SERVICES

41. Negotiator Code C103

Cest strope Pit-

43. Energy Policy Act. Is this procurement subject to the Energy Policy Act of 1992 (EPACT); i.e., will it directly satisfy an EPACT requirement or objective? []Yes [X] No If yes, does it involve either a research and development program or a demonstration of a commercial application? []Yes []No If yes, see the Notice related to this item. Amount of EPACT funding:______ CERTIFYING OFFICIAL, I hereby certify that the funds cited in item 24 are available. ORRESTAL FINANCIAL OPERATIONS DIVISION 47. Initiating Official/Lecal Office Use Doe IA ID No.: DE-A101-01-NE-23069 Part II - Approved Budget - DOE Contribution Plus Servicing Agency Contribution Itemization of All 48. Budget Categories Itemization of Itemization of Actions to Date This Action Previous Actions (a) Personnel \$155,000.00 \$155,000.00 (b) Fringe Benefits \$20,000.00 \$45,000.00 (c) Travel \$25,000.00 (d) Equipment (e) Supplies \$300,000 \$300,000 0 (f) Procurement/Assistance (g) Construction (h) Other (I) Total Direct Charges (j) Indirect Costs: Rate % Base \$ \$480,000.00 \$20,000.00 **5**500.000.00 (k) Total (DOE Share 100%) (Servicing Agency Share 49. Is equipment authorized to be furnished by DOE or leased, purchased, or rented with DOE funds? [] Yes [X] No

(Identify all equipment costing \$1,000 or more)

| 50. Are any of these funds being used on contracts and/or financial assistance [X]Yes []No (See item 48f) Type of Contract and/or Financial Assistance [] Grant [] Cooperative Agreement [X] Procurement (includes small purchase order) | | | | | | |
|---|-----|-----|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | |
| TBD | N/A | TBD | | | | |

Part III - Funding Methods and Billing Instructions

51. Funds-Out Agreement/Disbursement Agreement

| | Progress

[X] Reimbursement, or Request for repayment of actual costs must be itemized on OPAC or other Form previously approved by DOE's Contracting Officer and submitted to the U.S. Department of Energy, Accounts Payable Division, PO Box 500, Germantown, MD 20875-0500. Quarterly cost reports will be forwarded to the U.S. Department of Energy, Accounts Payable Division, P.O. Box 500, Germantown, MD 20875-0500.

> [] Quarterly [X] Upon Completion of Work [| Monthly

X Advance

Only available for use by Federal agencies on working capital funds or with appropriate justification of need for this type of payment method. Unexpended funds at completion of work will be returned to DOE. Quarterly cost reports will be forwarded to the U.S. Department of Energy, Accounts Payable Division, P.O. Box 500, Germantown, MD 20875-0500.

Part IV - Acceptance Conditions

DOE IA ID No. DE-A101-NE-23069

52. General Conditions

The Servicing Agency covenants and agrees that it will expeditiously initiate and complete the project for which funds have been awarded under this agreement.

Special Conditions:

- A. Patents and Technical Data. Disposition of rights to inventions made in any contract, grant, or cooperative agreement under this Agreement with any small business firm or domestic nonprofit organization will be in accordance with 35 U.S.C. 200-212. In all other contracts, grants, or cooperative agreements under this agreement, the Servicing Agency shall coordinate the disposition of rights to inventions with the DOE Patent Counsel.
- B. Issue Resolution. Unless otherwise indicated below, it is expected that programmatic guidance will be handled through discussions between the staff of the Servicing Agency's Project Officer listed on the Face Page, Item 10 and the DOE Project Officer, Face Page, item 9. Any administrative issues including billing questions, unresolved items or issues requiring a formal change to this Agreement shall be addressed to the DOE Contracting Officer, page 3 of 3, item 54.
- C. Termination. DOE may terminate this Agreement upon 30 calendar days written notice of such termination addressed to the Servicing Agency. In the event of such termination the Servicing Agency shall be reimbursed, to the extent permitted, for obligations actually incurred to the effective date of the termination and for commitments extending beyond the effective date of termination to a date not later than the date upon which the Agreement would have expired if not terminated under this paragraph, which the Servicing Agency, in the exercise of due diligence, is unable to cancel. Payments under this Agreement, including payments under this article shall not exceed the amount(s) committed under this Agreement.
- D. Other. Government estimate for the Project Period shown in Block 11 is \$ 500,000.00

PART V - OFFER AND ACCEPTANCE

Note: The agreement/mudification must be accepted by the Servicing Agency official in duplicate and one original returned to the party named in item 54. The agreement/modification must be forwarded to the address cited in Item 54 after acceptance signature.

Receipt of a written refusal or failure to return the properly executed document will result in rejection of all payment requests to the DOE's Controller's Office.

| DOE IA Awarding and Administrative Office | DOE Program Office |
|--|---|
| (for Administrative Assistance) | (for Technical Assistance) |
| 54. Organization/Address U.S. Department of Energy Office of Program Services Attn: DE-A101-01-NE-23069 1000 independence Avenue, S. W. Washington, DC 20585 | 55. Organization/Address Tom Miller, NE-20 U.S. Department of Energy 19901 Germantown Road Germantown, MD 20874 |

Advanced Gas Reactor Technology, Regulation and Fuel Evaluation

As the U.S. Government agency responsible for the development and execution of civilian nuclear energy research and development programs, the Department of Energy (DOE) seeks the assistance of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) to help assess advanced gas reactor technology and fuels to support potential future deployment of these advanced nuclear energy systems in the United States.

Phase II Activities:

1. Gas Reactor Framework (\$300k) - Conduct work, in coordination with the Department of Energy (DOE) and industry to assess the technical and safety issues associated with the technology that supports advanced high temperature gas reactors (HTGR). New advanced gas reactor concepts such as the Pebble Bed Modular Reactor (PBMR), and the Gas Turbine Modular Helium Reactor (GT-MHR) have been identified by DOE as reactor technologies for possible near-term deployment in the United States. An assessment that addresses the unique features of gas reactor technology and their associated key safety and technical issues affecting HTGRs is necessary.

Key Deliverables:

- Identification of technical and safety issues- December 2001
- Identification of resolution paths or methods September 2002
- 2. Gas Reactor Analysis Codes V&V (\$100k) assess adequacy of HTGR safety, transient and neutronics codes for modeling, data and verification.

Key Deliverable:

- Report on analysis codes to be used on HTGR designs.
- 3. Participation in International Gas Reactor Safety Activities (S60k) support NRC participation in conferences and meetings on technical and safety issues for HTGRs.

Key Deliverables:

- Conference and meeting reports.
- 4. <u>HTGR Fuel Test Program Development</u> (\$20k) Assist DOE in the development and implementation of a HTGR Fuel Qualification Program. Activities will include program planning and development, fuel irradiation experiments, tests and analysis specification, identification of performance requirements for advanced gas reactor fuels. Initial tasks involve one technical expert in the planning of the fuel qualification program.

Acceptance by the Authorizing Official on Behalf of the Servicing Agency

Thomas L. King, Director
Division of Risk Analysis and
Applications, Office of Nuclear
Regulatory Research, NRC
Typed Name and Title

57. Signature

Date

| Format 1 - WBS Format 2 - Function Format 3 - Baseline | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| 5. Frequency Codes A - As Required C- Change to Contractual Agreement Changes F - Final (end of effort) | M - Monthly O - Once After Award Q - Quarterly | S - Semi-annually X - With Proposal/Bid/Application or Y - Yearly or Upon Renewal of Agreement | |
| 6. Special Instructions (Attachments) | | , | |
| [X] Report Distribution List/Addressees [] Reporting Elements [] Due Dates | | [Analysis Thresholds [] Work Breakdown Structure [] Other | |

7. Prepared by (Signature and Date)

Results f. Helle

Tom Miller

Date 5/7/6/

Shark Johnson

Date 1/5/0/

Addressee:

Tom Miller Office of Naclear Energy, Science and Technology NE-20 19901 Germantown Road Germantown, MD 20874-1290 301-903-4517

Advanced Gas Reactor Lechnology, Rebulotion and Fuel Evaluation

As the U.S. Government agency responsible for the development and execution of civilian nuclear energy research and development programs, the Department of Energy (DOE) seeks the assistance of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) to help develop a technical and assistance of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) to help develop a technical and assistance of the U.S. advanced gas reactor technology to support potential future deployment of these advanced nuclear energy systems in the United States.

-and fuels Francwirk 1. Gas Reactor Hegulathan Acceptance DOE and Ameniork (\$300k) - Conduct work in coordination with industry, leading to the development of policy and regulatory Samowork for the licensing of high temperature gas reactors (HTGR). The existing regulations have anothed over time for application to light water receter technology. New advanced gas reactor concepts such as the Pebble Bed Modular Reactor (PBMR), and the Gas Turbine Modular Helium Reactor (GT-MHR) have been identified by DOE as reactor technologies for possible near-term deployment in the United States. A regulatory framework needs to be developed that addresses the unique features of gas reactor technology and the associated licensing poquirements. The Gas Rescript Regulatory France and tests cinclud - Identification of key policy and technical issues affecting HTGRES Roll be perfu - Identification of our ent licensing enterio that are applicable to HTGR de __ Identification of additional licensing criteria unique to HTGP design application Key Deliverables: + chaire | safety issuer - Identification of necessary HTGR policy & regulation changes - December 2001 Key Deliverables: Establish HTGP regulatory framework and policy September 2002 Imfici cation of path to perdutin 2. Gas Reactor Analysis Code V&V (\$100k) - initiate development of NRC capability. expertise and confidence with HTGR safety, transient and neutronics codes, for adequacy of modelly, data and verification. Key Deliverable: Plan for conducting independent activities to verify and wall date analysis codes to be used on HTGR designs.

essociated with

technology that supports

3. Participation in International Gas Reactor Safety Activities (S60k) - support NRC participation in conferences and meetings to establish international safety standards for HTGRs.

Key Deliverables:

- Conference and meeting reports.
- 4. HTGR Fuel Test Program Development (\$20k) Assist DOE in the development and implementation of a HTGR Fuel Qualification Program. Activities will include program planning and development, fuel irradiation experiments, tests and analysis specification, identification of performance requirements for advanced gas reactor fuels. Initial tasks involve one technical expert in the planning of the fuel qualification program.

CLD SOW