Letter of Reference

Faculty of Graduate Studies 500 University Cenrtre University of Manitoba Winnipeg, MB R3T 2N2

Note to A	pplicant:
-----------	-----------

Please type or print your name in the space below. Ask your referee to seal the reference in an envelope, sign across the sealed flap of the envelope and return it to you so that you can forward the sealed

ame of Applicant:			
	First	Middle	Last
ne Ph.D. program is a rig anagement. The program aterial quickly, and a cap articularly interested in y er ability to achieve succe his recommendation form rmat, please do so. Whe avelope and sign across to mail directly to us at the ne Ph.D. Admissions Com	orous graduate program demands a high degree pacity to communicate ideour assessment of the apess in a professional teace is provided for your connyou have completed yo he sealed flap. We reque address above. Letters imittee places a great de	e of commitment, an ability eas clearly to one's professo plicant's ability to perform hing and research career. venience. Should your prefe our reference, please insert	r a career in research and te to master academic ors and peers. We are academically and of his or er to respond in another it in an envelope, seal the ad reference to the applicant
our assistance in helping	us assess this applicant.		
n what capacity have you	know the applicant? For	how long?	
	• •	•	
/hat do you consider to b	e the applicant's primary	strengths or talents?	
n what areas do you think	the applicant could imp	rove?	
lease estimate the applica	ant's potential in a profes	ssional research and teachin	g environment following a P
	accurate index of the ap	ecords. In your opinion, is the plicant's scholastic ability? in, possibly giving considera	Yes No

Please compare the applicant to others in an appropriate peer group.

Characteristics for Rating	Outstanding		Above	Average	Below	Inadequate		
	Top 2%	Next 8%	Average Next 20%	Next 20%	Average Lower 50%	Opportunity to Observe		
 Breath of general knowledge 								
Probable creativity								
Present ability in research								
4. Potential as a researcher								
Ability to work without close supervision								
6. Intellectual ability								
7. Ability to work cooperatively with others								
8. Oral communication skills								
Written communication skills								
Overall, how would you rank this person in comparison to others you have known in a similar stage of their development and career? Among the best 2% 3% Above average Average Below Average								
Your Final Recommendation (based on your assessment of the applicant's capacity to complete the program). * I would recommend this person without reservation. * I would recommend this person with the following reservation(s):								
*I would NOT recommend this person for the following reason(s):								
Date:								
Name:			,	Signature:				
plea <u>Institution:</u>	ise print			Position:				
Address:								
City:	Province/State:				Postal/Zip Co	de:		
Discuss Normalisms ()		Care Niconalis and	()		□:I.			