

DELEGATED DECISION NOTIFICATION

REF NO ¹

10708

DEPARTMENT

CITY SERVICES DEPARTMENT AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

SUBJECT ²

PROPOSED LAY-BY IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE PROPOSED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER – CROSS GATES, HALTON AND COLTON

DECISION ³

COUNCIL FUNCTION <input type="checkbox"/>	EXECUTIVE DECISION (KEY) <input type="checkbox"/>	EXECUTIVE DECISION (MAJOR) <input type="checkbox"/>	EXECUTIVE DECISION (OTHER) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
NOT SUBJECT TO CALL IN	⁴ EXEMPT FROM CALL IN: YES / NO	⁴ EXEMPT FROM CALL IN: YES / NO	NOT SUBJECT TO CALL IN

DIRECTOR OF CITY SERVICES

The Director of City Services:

- i) approved the detailed design and implementation of a lay by outside numbers 46-50 Farm Road, Cross Gates as shown on attached drawing number TM-E-20-1_22A; and
- ii) gave authority to incur additional expenditure of £3,600 to be funded from the Traffic Management Capital Scheme number 99504. Total cost of scheme being £10,600.

DIRECTOR OF DEVELOPMENT

The Director of Development noted the contents of this report.

AFFECTED WARDS

KILLINGBECK & SEACROFT, CROSSGATES & WHINMOOR, TEMPLE NEWSAM

ADVICE SOUGHT

	Yes	No
Legal	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Finance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Personnel	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Equal Opportunities	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other (please specify)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

DECLARED OFFICER / MEMBER INTERESTS⁵

¹ This reference number will be assigned by Constitution and Corporate Governance Unit and notified to you

² A brief heading should be inserted

³ Brief details of the decision should be inserted. This note must set out the substance of the decision, options considered and the reason for deciding upon the chosen option, although care must be taken not to disclose any confidential or commercially sensitive information. Guidance on the substance of the note is available from Constitution and Corporate Governance Unit

⁴ For Key and Major decisions only. If exempt from Call In details to be provided in the report. The Call In period expires at 5.00 pm on the 5th working day after publication. Scrutiny Support will notify decision makers of matters called in by no later than 12.00 noon on the 6th day.

DISPENSATION BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE

DATE:

BACKGROUND PAPERS⁶

NONE

CONFIDENTIAL REPORT

YES NO RULE NO 10.4⁷ ()

DETAILS OF CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN (OTHER REASONS/ ORGANISATIONS CONSULTED)

	Yes	No	Date
Executive Member	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
Ward Councillors	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	_____
Chief Officers Affected	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
Others (Specify)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____

CONTACT PERSON

KATHERINE MOIR

CONTACT NO

2477560

AUTHORISED SIGNATORY⁸

W.P. Moo _____ *R.M. Cello* _____ DATE 15/08/2005

	KEY	MAJOR	OTHER
⁹ *First publication (5 day notice)			
Commencement for Call In			
Last date for Call In			
Implementation Date			18/8/05

* If key decision not on Forward Plan, the reason and need that the decision be taken are that:

⁵ No officer having a pecuniary interest in any matter should take a decision in relation to that matter. Other interests of a non-disqualifying nature should be recorded here.

⁶ A separate Index should be prepared if necessary. ALL DOCUMENTATION UPON WHICH THE DECISION WAS BASED MUST BE RETAINED AND BE READILY ACCESSIBLE SO IT CAN BE PRODUCED SHOULD THE DECISION BE CHALLENGED

⁷ Access to Information Procedure Rules

⁸ The signatory must be duly authorised by the Director to make the decision in accordance with the Department's scheme. It is not acceptable for the signature to be 'pp' for an authorised signatory. For Key Decisions only, the date of the authorised signature signifies that, at the time, the Officer was content that the decision should be taken. However, should representations be received following public availability of reports the signatory will consider the effect which such representations should have upon the final decision.

⁹ Constitution and Corporate Governance Unit will enter these dates



LEEDS
CITY COUNCIL

Design & Cost Report

Capital Scheme No: 12178

Parent Scheme No: 99504

AGENDA
ITEM NO.: 1569/2005

DCR Clearance No.

REPORT TO DIRECTOR OF CITY SERVICES AND THE DIRECTOR OF DEVELOPMENT

SUBJECT: PROPOSED LAY-BY IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE PROPOSED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER – CROSS GATES, HALTON AND COLTON

1.0 PURPOSE OF REPORT

1.1 The purpose of this report is to seek approval for the expenditure of additional monies for the construction of a parking lay-by outside numbers 46-50 Farm Road, Cross Gates, Leeds 15.

2.0 BACKGROUND

2.1 The Directors of City Services and Development approved a report on the 21 March 2005 to introduce a Traffic Regulation Order on a number of streets within Cross Gates, Killingbeck, Seacroft and Temple Newsam. However after undertaking public consultation we received objections from the residents living at numbers 46 and 48 Farm Road to the proposed “No Waiting At Any Time” restrictions outside their properties. The justification for their objection is that the proposed scheme will prevent them from parking outside their own homes.

2.2 The aim of these restrictions is to prevent vehicles parking opposite the entrance to Farm Court, sheltered accommodation for the elderly. We have received numerous complaints from both residents of the Court and the local MP regarding ambulances picking up and dropping off hospital day patients not being able to access the court because of vehicle parking opposite the side road access point.

2.3 At a recent meeting between Council Officers, a resident and a local Ward Member we were asked to investigate the possibility of constructing a lay-by to cover the three resident’s properties sited within the vicinity of the junction.

DESIGN PROPOSALS/SCHEME DESCRIPTION

- 3.1 It is proposed to introduce a parking lay-by approximately 1.8 metres wide for a length of 18 metres as shown on attached drawing number TM-E-20-1_22A. This will provide adequate off street parking facilities for the three residents affected by the “No Waiting At Any Time” restrictions and this will remove the problem of access in and out of Farm Court.

4.0 CONSULTATIONS

- 4.1 Subject to receiving the approval for the injection of funding Ward Members, the Emergency Services and Metro (WYPTE) will be reconsulted.

5.0 COMMUNITY SAFETY

- 5.1 The proposals contained within this report do not have implications under Section 17 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998.

6.0 PROGRAMME

- 6.1 It is anticipated subject to this approval that the scheme is issued in September 2005.

7.0 SCHEME DESIGN ESTIMATE

- 7.1 The additional cost of the scheme is £3,600 made of £3,000 works and £600 staff. The revised total for the scheme would be £10,600.

8.0 CAPITAL FUNDING AND CASHFLOW

- 8.1 The additional cost of £3,600 will be met from the Traffic Management Capital scheme number 99504.

9.0 RISK ASSESSMENTS

- 9.1 Trial holes have been undertaken prior to seeking this approval to remove the potential risk of affecting Statutory Undertakers apparatus. There are no other risks over and above those expected when working on the public highway, in conjunction with the proposals contained within this report.

10.0 COMPLIANCE WITH COUNCIL POLICIES

- 10.1 Ethnic minorities, women and disabled People: There are no specific implications for ethnic minorities, women and disabled people.

- 10.2 Environmental Policy: The proposals contained within this report are in accordance with Aims 6 and 7 of the Policy in that the proposals will aid to “reduce the impact of traffic in the city by changes to the road system” and “develop a safe, healthy local environment which provides the best quality of life for Leeds residents”.

10.3.1.1 Local Transport Plan: The proposals contained within this report are in accordance with Strategy 9:16 management of moving traffic and on street parking through the introduction of a Traffic Regulation Order.

11.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

DIRECTOR OF CITY SERVICES

11.1 The Director of City Services is requested to:

i) approve the detailed design and implementation of a lay by outside numbers 46-50 Farm Road, Cross Gates as shown on attached drawing number TM-E-20-1_22A; and

ii) give authority to incur additional expenditure of £3,600 to be funded from the Traffic Management Capital Scheme number 99504. Total cost of scheme being £10,600.

DIRECTOR OF DEVELOPMENT

11.2 The Director of Development is requested to note the contents of this report.

1. CURRENT APPROVAL FUNDING (£000'S) INTEGRATED TRANSPORT PACKAGE 99609

<u>CPRH</u>		TOTAL	ACTUAL TO 31.3.05	2005/06	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009 ON
Parent Balance		686.5		200.0	299.1	187.4		

2. CURRENT FORECAST OF EXPENDITURE (£000'S)

Gross Expenditure by CPRH SCHEME NO:		TOTAL	ACTUAL TO 31.3.05	2005/06	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009 ON
Previous Approvals :		166.5		111.3	55.2			
This Approval :	Staff (6) Works (3)	0.6 3.0			0.6 3.0			
Balance		516.4		88.7	240.3	187.4		
Total =	B	686.5		200.0	299.1	187.4		
Less Income *								
Total Net Cost	C	686.5		200.0	299.1	187.4		
Less 100% SCE(R)								
GENERAL RESOURCE REQUIRED	D	686.5		200.0	299.1	187.4		

* FOR EXAMPLE : Grants/Contributions/Operating Leasing

3. REVENUE IMPLICATIONS OF THIS APPROVAL (£)

Code <u>27/294</u>		Latest Estimated Revenue Effect			
		2005/06	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09
Employees					
Running Costs					
Capital Financing					
Income					
Net Service Cost	E				

REMARKS

4. REVISED CASH FLOW IN ICS FOR CHILD SCHEME NO: 12178

<u>CPRH</u>		TOTAL	ACTUAL TO 31.3.05	2005/06	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009 ON
Staff (6)		4.6		4.6				
Works (3)		6.0		6.0				
Total Expenditure	A	10.6		10.6				

5. REVISED CASH FLOW IN ICS FOR PARENT SCHEME NO: 99609

<u>CPRH</u>		TOTAL	ACTUAL TO 31.3.05	2005/06	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009 ON
Parent Balance		516.4		88.7	240.3	187.4		