EvaSys	FNWI: standard evaluation form courses	vividforms Powered by Electric Paper

[SURVEY] [COURSEID]

[ORGANIZATION] [PERIOD]

Mark as shown: ☐☒☐☐☐

Correction: ☐■☐☒☐

1. General questions

1.1 Mark your master programme

☐ Astronomy ☐ Chemistry ☐ Earth Sciences

☐ Forensic Science ☐ Mathematics ☐ Mathematical Physics

☐ Physics ☐ Other

1.2 Are you a registered student at UvA or VU (main study)? ☐ UvA ☐ VU

2. Course content

2.1 I found the level (degree of challenge) of this course to be too low ☐ ☐ ☐ too high

		strongly disagree				strongly agree	n.a.
2.2	The learning objectives were clear to me	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
2.3	The importance of this subject was made abundantly clear to me	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
2.4	The course linked up well with my previous knowledge	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
2.5	The scientific level of this course is satisfactory	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
2.6	This course stimulated me to think critically and helped me to develop my powers of analysis	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
2.7	The educational methods were suitable for this course	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
2.8	I have learned a lot from this course	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
2.9	The information on this course contained in the (electronic) course catalogue accurately reflects the actual course content	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

3. Lecturer(s)

		strongly disagree				strongly agree	n.a.
3.1	The lecturer(s) taught the course in a gradual and systematic way	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
3.2	The lecturer(s) encouraged me to study the course topics in depth	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
3.3	The lecturer(s) set a high intellectual standard for the students	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
3.4	The lecturer encouraged active contributions by students	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
3.5	The feedback on the work I completed was very useful to me	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
3.6	There was ample opportunity to communicate with te lecturer(s) (directly, per e-mail or Blackboard)	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
3.7	The lecturers English speaking and writing skills were good	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

4. About lectures and tutorials

		strongly disagree				strongly agree	n.a.
4.1	The quality of the lectures was good	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
4.2	The quality of the tutorials was good	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
4.3	The quality of the assignments was good	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
4.4	The level of the tutorials / assignments was	too low ☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	too high ☐	n.a. ☐

SAMPLE

5. Study material

		strongly disagree				strongly agree	n.a.
5.1	The quality of the written study material was good	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
5.2	The quality of the Blackboard site was good	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

6. Examination

		strongly disagree				strongly agree	n.a.
6.1	It was clear to me what was expected on the examination	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
6.2	The examination of this course was adequate	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
6.3	The assignments / questions on the exam were clear and unambiguous	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

7. Study load / effort required

		strongly disagree				strongly agree	n.a.
7.1	I attended the lectures regularly	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
7.2	The total study load of this course was in proportion to the number of credits allocated	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

8. Final questions

8.1 I spent an average of ... hours per week on this course (lectures/tutorials and self-study)

☐ 1-2 ☐ 3-5 ☐ 6-10
☐ 11-15 ☐ 16-20 ☐ 21-25
☐ 26-30 ☐ 31-40 ☐ > 40

8.2 On a scale of 1 - 10, I rate this course as a

1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5 ☐ 6 ☐ 7 ☐ 8 ☐ 9 ☐ 10 ☐

(1 = unacceptable, 6 = satisfactory, 10 = excellent)

8.3 What are the strong points of this course?

8.4 How could this course be improved?

SAMPLE