## CANCAS Derieux Award Poster Competition Rubric and Evaluation Form for Judges

Presenter(s): Session: Poster #:

| Presentation   | 1 | 2 | 3 |
|--|---|---|---|
| The title is specific and informative.   |   |   |   |
| The poster format is organized and easy to follow.                                 |   |   |   |
| The text is written in clear English with proper grammar.                          |   |   |   |
| The poster is visually appealing, with quality graphics and photos.                |   |   |   |
| Appropriate information is incorporated in each section of the poster.             |   |   |   |
| The text font is appropriate size and easy to read.                                |   |   |   |
| Methodology  |   |   |   |
| The poster demonstrates the scientific method in the design, method, data          |   |   |   |
| analysis, and conclusions drawn.   |   |   |   |
| Methodology is clearly and completely explained.                                   |   |   |   |
| Data Analysis  |   |   |   |
| The poster explores the significance and limitations of the data.                  |   |   |   |
| The results are clearly presented in appropriate forms.                            |   |   |   |
| Figures and tables are organized, appropriate in size, and are easy to understand, |   |   |   |
| with appropriate captions or titles.   |   |   |   |
| Inconsistent results and counterevidence are considered and addressed.             |   |   |   |
| The conclusions are reasonable for the data gathered.                              |   |   |   |
| Poster Integrity   |   |   |   |
| The hypothesis and objectives are clearly presented.                               |   |   |   |
| The rationale for the project and appropriate literature are included.             |   |   |   |
| The discussion addresses the major implications of the findings.                   |   |   |   |
| All sources are cited correctly in the text where appropriate and the Literature   |   |   |   |
| Cited is complete and uses correct format.   |   |   |   |
| Information in consistently presented in every part of the poster.                 |   |   |   |
| Original and critical thought is evident.  |   |   |   |
| The poster stimulates thinking and suggests future research.                       |   |   |   |
| Presenter(s)   |   |   |   |
| The presenter(s) is/are confident and professional.                                |   |   |   |
| The presenter(s) is/are knowledgeable about the information in the poster.         |   |   |   |
| The presenter(s) answered questions appropriately.                                 |   |   |   |
| Total Score  |   |   |   |

1 = Acceptable, 2 = Good, 3 = Excellent

Presenter(s):

Institution:

Please provide a summary written evaluation of the poster presentation which can be shared with the student presenter(s):