03/31/13

Read the General Directions. This form is for reporting completed projects (or completed phases of projects), not planned projects. For multi-year projects, complete a separate form for each year. You must include a map of the project. See the *Oregon Aquatic Habitat Restoration and Enhancement Guide* for descriptions of restoration treatments. Call the number below if you have questions.

1) DATE: <u>11/6/06</u>

2) This report is an UPDATE for a multi-year project Yes No

Restoration Project Participant and Funding Information and Total Cost for Implementation

3) PARTICIPANTS AND FUNDING INFORMATION: Fill in the appropriate boxes. Record information for **you** and the **landowner**. Under '**organization name or grant program**', list project participants other than you and the landowner (e.g. OWEB, watershed councils, local, state, or federal agencies, SWCDs, conservation or sporting groups, job or volunteer programs, other private landowners). For projects funded by OWEB or ODFW R & E grants, the **grant number** is required. Report grant numbers for other grant programs where known. Record each participant's actual **cash expenditures** and/or **inkind contributions** (estimated value of *donated* materials, labor & equipment) to the project. *Use a second sheet if all participants do not fit on this page*.

your organization i	name	your name	phone number	cash	inkind	
Tualatin River Watershed Council		April Olbrich	503-846-4810	\$	\$	
your e-mail addre	ess:	twrc@easystreet.com	twrc@easystreet.com			
landowner nam	e	contact person	phone number	cash	inkind	
Bateman Living Trust		Kathy Bateman	503-359-9199	\$	\$	
organization name or	grant number	contact person	phone number	cash	inkind	
grant program	(if applicable)					
Bateman Consulting		Dave Bateman	503-394-2051	\$	\$2562.00	
Bateman Logging Ltd.		Steve Bateman	250-212-0839	\$539.37	\$4560.00	
Paul Johnson		Paul Johnson	503-880-3487	\$	\$8755.00	
ODFW		Bernadette Graham	971-673-6033	\$	\$2031.00	
		Hudson				
OWEB	13-06-009	Bev Goodreau	503-986-0187	\$9995.00	\$	
				\$	\$	
4) TOTAL COST: This should equal the sum of all contributions as well as the sum of restoration		e sum of restoration	total cash	total inkind		
activities reported in sections A-G of the form. Do not include costs for monitoring on this			ring on this page.	\$10,534.37	\$17,908.00	

Restoration Project Location - Attach a project location map. *Highlight* treatment area(s) and *label* activities.

5)	STREAM NAME: Bateman Creek	Subbasin Name: <u>Tualatin River</u>
	TRIBUTARY OF: Gales Creek	* For subbasin name, enter 4 th field HUC name (preferred) or main river body name
6)	TOWNSHIP <u>2 North</u> RANGE <u>5 West</u> S	EC <u>26 & 26 D</u> COUNTY: <u>Washington</u>
7)	DOMINANT LANDUSE TYPE: S forest subscription of the second	range/pasture cropland wetland urban industrial/commercial idential other (specify)
Re	estoration Project Information	
8)	PROJECT NAME: Bateman Creek Culvert Repla	<u>cement</u>
9)	PROJECT DATES: Start (mo)4 (yr)2006	Completion (mo) <u>10</u> (yr) <u>2006</u> (<u>do not</u> report planned projects)
10)	SPECIES: Does this project intend to benefit spe If YES: Which ones? <u>native cutthroat and winter</u>	·
11)) PROJECT SITE SELECTION: How was restorat Watershed Assessment/Action Plan Name <u>Gales Creek Watershed Assessment</u>	ion project selected/prioritized? (check one box & answer associated questions) Conducted by <u>Tualatin River Watershed Council</u> Year <u>1998</u>
		entified, and <i>why</i> project <i>location</i> and <i>activity</i> were chosen?
		intrited, and why project location and activity were chosen?
12)	2) Will the EFFECTIVENESS of the restoration pro	oject be MONITORED? Yes No If YES, fill out Section H

Send to: Bobbi Riggers, OWEB, 775 Summer St NE, Ste 360, Salem, OR 97301-1290 ph 503-986-0059 fax 503-986-0199 e-mail: Bobbi.Riggers@state.or.us -Attach a Project Map-

Current forms are available for download at: <u>http://www.oregon.gov/OWEB/MONITOR/OWRI.shtml</u> or you may contact Bobbi Riggers for a copy.

The Oregon Watershed Restoration Reporting Form 2005 03/31/13

	Section A: INSTREAM Activity					
	nnel activities designed to improve aquatic habitat conditions. For Fish Passage Improvements, go to Section					
F. Answer questions about permits, goals, cost, and treatment miles. In the table, check each appropriate project activity box and fill in all details requested for that activity. Leave blank any questions that do not apply to your project. If project activity is not listed, describe						
t under "Other". If this form is being used to comply with conditions of the Portland District Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) Regional General						
	Permit (RGP) No. 2000-001 for placement of large wood or boulders, please submit cross section and plan views or refer to the RGP for additional					
	as of the completed work are encouraged for projects under the RGP. Other types of authorizations or permits may be the soft of the					
project activity.						
DSL Permit Number:	or ODF Notification Number:					
*Is this project covere	ed under Corps RGP no. 2000-001 for placement of large wood log or boulders? See See See See See See See See See Se					
1. PROJECT GOAL	S: to improve/increase stream					
structure & comple						
	bdplain rearing habitat summer habitat fish passage					
	increase pools streambank stabilization other					
2. COST: Cash \$	Inkind \$ 3. TOTAL MILES of stream treated: miles					
ACTIVITY	DESCRIPTION of Treatment					
	key pieces = logs at least two times bankfull stream width (1.5 times if rootwad attached) and meet					
Large Wood	diameter, stream size, and slope requirements outlined in the ODF/ODFW Large Wood Placement Guide)					
Placement (Logs <u>not</u> anchored with						
cable, boulders, rebar, etc.	avg # of key pieces praced source of logs					
-allowed to set up naturally	dimensions of key pieces (list range if necessary)					
or wedged against streambank or riparian	log length ft log diameter in					
trees)	*How many pieces of wood placed were at least 33 feet long AND 24" in diameter?					
log placement	stream characteristics where logs were placed (list range if necessary)					
associated with forestry operation (ODF21)	bankfull width ft gradient % bankfull depthft					
	method of placement: other details:					
	# of boulders placed av size cu yds_source of boulders					
Boulder Placement (not anchored)	bankfull widthft gradient% bankfull depthft method of boulder placement					
	-					
Anchored	# of anchored structures					
Structures	structure materials: logs rootwads boulders other anchored with: rock/boulders cable rebar other					
Engineered	full-spanning weirs # materials used deflectors # materials used					
Structures	deflectors # materials used 'V' structures # materials used					
	side channels: a) created/excavated:# and length ft					
Off-Channel	b) reconnected to stream:# and lengthft					
Habitat	□ alcoves created: a)# with or b)# without tributary/spring input □ off-channel ponds created: a)# with or b)# without tributary/spring input					
☐ Instream	Priority date Rate (cfs) Type of Acquisition Stream Reach/Point Term (years)					
Water Right						
Transfers/Leases						
Other (specify)	Describe and quantify activity:					

The Oregon Watershed Restoration Reporting Form 2005 03/31/13

	Section B: RIPA	RIAN Activity
appropriate project activity box an	nd fill in all details requested for that act	estions about cost and treatment miles. In the table, check each tivity. Leave blank any questions that do not apply to your project
If project activity is not listed, des	scribe it under "Other". Mark and label	l clearly on a map the location of each treament area.
1. PROJECT GOALS: future LWD recruitmen future stream shading other goals		zation/protection Irun-off contaminant input tream sedimentation Ilivestock access to stream
2. COST: Cash \$	Inkind \$ 3. Total	linear stream MILES treated: miles
ACTIVITY		CRIPTION of Treatment fence distance from high water mark
Riparian Planting conifer hardwood (for hardwood conversion, go to Activity ODF 8 below)	length planted linear stream mi total riparian acres planted acress stream sides treatedonetwo	es
□ Riparian Fencing [for other fencing (e.g. pasture, cross-fencing) go to Section D]	length fenced linear stream mile setback ft (list range if necessary total riparian acres protected av stream sides treated □one □two	y) bankfull width ft
□ Other (specify)	Describe and quantify activity (e.g. linear	stream miles and/or acres treated):
unit. For each Treatment A Treatm ODF62 = no harvest in RM	Area, check (X) the measure applied and nent Areas, attach another Section B an (A; ODF19 = max 25% harvest of exces	2, 3 for separate stream treatment areas within each harvest I answer all questions in that row. If there are more than 3
<i>Treatment Area 1</i> ODF 062 019 020 22 0a 0b 0c	stream size □sm □med □large stream type □N □F stream sides treated □one □two	trees retained along miles of stream average width of leave tree area per side ft leave tree area acres
<i>Treatment Area 2</i> ODF 62 19 20 22 a b c	stream size □sm □med □large stream type □N □F stream sides treated □one □two	trees retained along miles of stream average width of leave tree area per side ft leave tree area acres
<i>Treatment Area 3</i> ODF 62 19 20 22 a b c	stream size □sm □med □large stream type □N □F stream sides treated □one □two	trees retained along miles of stream average width of leave tree area per side ft leave tree area acres
ODF 8: Riparian Conifer Restoration (formerly hardwood conversion)	stream size□sm □med □large stream type □N □F	(in conversion blocks only) conifer restoration along miles of stream acres of conifer restoration acres

03/31/13

Section C: WETLAND or ESTUARY Activity Instructions: Answer questions about permits, goals, project site, land/wetland type before treatment, and cost. In the table, fill in the row(s) that best describes your project. If project activity is not listed, describe it under "Other activity". Mark and label clearly on a map the location of each treatment area. or ODF Notification Number: DSL Permit Number: 1. PROJECT GOALS: to increase water to stream during low flows storage capacity of wetland net area of wetland connection to adjacent natural area the number of wetland types at site vegetation to filter runoff vegetation to provide shade (i.e., meadow, forest, open water) vegetation for flood control fish habitat: *specify* rearing, winter, vegetation for food, cover or nesting summer, etc. other 2. Is project site protected by a CONSERVATION EASEMENT? Yes No 3. Project site is CONNECTED TO: stream or river lake or reservoir other fresh waters ocean or estuary no other water body 4. Land/wetland type in project area BEFORE TREATMENT: non-wetland grass/herb meadow wetland open water wetland (>6ft. deep) agricultural wetland shrub or forest wetland 5. COST: Cash \$ _____ Inkind \$ _____ **ACTIVITY** (conditions after treatment) **DESCRIPTION of Treatment** acres of filled or drained wetland returned to: grass/herb meadow wetland shrub or forest wetland open water wetland (>6ft. deep) acres of non-wetland created into: grass/herb meadow wetland shrub or forest wetland open water wetland (>6ft. deep) acres of existing wetland improved: grass/herb meadow wetland shrub or forest wetland open water wetland (>6ft. deep) **Other activity** - Describe and quantify activity (*e.g. acres treated*):

03/31/13

Section D: UPLAND, GRAZING, and IRRIGATION MANAGEMENT

Instructions: Report upland, grazing, and irrigation projects designed to reduce erosion, improve water quality, increase stream flow, promote native riparian vegetation growth, and other watershed benefits. Check (x) project goals and fill in project costs. In the table, check (x) the management category on the left. Fill in the type(s) and units of conservation practices applied. If project activity is not listed, describe it under "Other". Mark and label clearly on a map the location of the project activity.

1. PROJECT GOALS:	to increase or improve	to decrease
	upslope soil stability	erosion/stream sedimentation
	streambank stability	run-off contaminant input to stream
	LWD recruitment to stream	stream temperature
	future shading to stream	livestock access to stream
	native plant species composition	
	upland water storage capacity	other
	stream flow by cu ft/sec	
		_

2. COST: Cash \$_____ Inkind \$_____ 3. TOTAL acres treated: _____ acres

MANAGEMENT CATEGORY	TYPE of System or Practice Applied	UNITS System Applie			
	type 1:	# of water	developments		
Grazing Management:	type 2:	# of water	developments		
off-channel livestock watering	type 3:	# of water	developments		
watering	type 4:	# of water	# of water developments		
Other grazing	type 1:		acres		
management practices	type 2:		acres		
report riparian fencing to restrict livestock stream	type 3:		acres		
access in Section B	type 4:		acres		
	type 1:		acres		
Irrigation systems	type 2:		acres		
for improved water conservation	type 3:	acres			
	type 1:		acres		
Erosion control systems/practices	type 2: acres				
systems/practices	type 3:		acres		
	type 1:		acres		
Upland Vegetation	type 2:		acres		
Management (e.g. juniper control, etc.)	type 3:		acres		
	type 1:	miles	acres		
Conservation buffers	type 2:	miles	acres		
	type 3:	miles	acres		
Other (specify)	Describe and quantify activity (<i>e.g. acres treated</i>):				

03/31/13

Section E: ROAD Activity

Instructions: Report projects designed to decrease risk of road failure and reduce chronic sediment input from existing roads (not new roads). For Fish Passage Improvements, go to Section F. Do not report any repairs required by the Oregon Department of Forestry, new road construction, or routine road maintenance including: surface grading, berm removal, spot rocking, durable rocking for wet weather road use, essential ditch cleaning, culvert cleaning, or water bars. Check (x) project goals and fill in project costs. Under 'Improvement Actions', check each appropriate activity box and fill in values that apply. Do not double count activities. If project activity is not listed, describe it under "Other activities". Mark clearly on a map the length of road or location on the road where improvement work was completed. A map is not necessary for road inventories. 1 Station = 100 ft

ODF Notification Number:

1. PROJECT GOALS:	to increase or improve	to decrease
	upslope stability	erosion/stream sedimentation
	road/upslope drainage	run-off contaminant input to stream
	flood/slide repair	road access road density
other		washout/diversion potential at stream crossings

Cash \$_____ In-kind \$ 2. COST:

IMPROVEMENT ACTIONS: (only include unreported road work accomplished for the year)

- **1. Road Inventory** = miles of road surveyed using ODF Road Hazard Inventory Protocol or equivalent For projects with one landowner, summarize road inventories by 4th or 5th field watersheds (e.g., Siletz, McKenzie, N Fork John Day).
- 2. Peak Flow Passage Improvements at Stream Crossings (for Fish Passage improvements, go to Section F)
 - a) _____ # of log fills/culverts removed, not replaced (if reported in fish passage section, do not repeat here)
 - b) _____# of structures replaced to meet 50+ year flow requirements (if reported in fish passage section, do not repeat)
 - c) # of structures modified by improving inlet condition (if reported in fish passage section, do <u>not</u> repeat here)
 - TOTAL # of Stream Crossings Improved for Peak Flow Passage (Do Not Double Count)

3. Surface Drainage Improvements (does not include water bars)

- a) # of permanent cross-drains added above stream crossings
- b) _____# of culverts added at locations other than above stream crossings
- c) # of existing culverts with outlet erosion protection added

TOTAL # of Non-Stream Crossings Improved for Surface Drainage (Do Not Double Count)

- d) # of stations of durable rocking (do not report durable rocking required by Forest Practices Act Wet Weather Road Use Rules)
- e) # of stations of rocking down-cutting ditch

TOTAL # of Stations Improved by Rocking for Surface Drainage (Do Not Double Count)

4. □ Sidecast/Landslides

- a) _____ # of stations pulled back and stabilizedb) _____ # of large landslides stabilized

5. Road Relocation or Vacating

- a) _____ # of stations obliterated, decommissioned, or vacated as per OAR 629-625-650
- b) _____ # of stations effectively closed to public use *(do not duplicate 5.a)*
- c) _____ # of stations relocated outside RMA or stream banks
- d) # of stations relocated to reduce washout potential *(*do not duplicate 4.b or 5.c*)*

6. Grass Seeding

- a) # of miles of grass seeding and mulching
- 7.
 Other Activities:

05/51/15
Section F: FISH PASSAGE Improvements
Instructions: This Section should be used for all Fish Passage Improvement projects that address a migration barrier problem. <i>Only report projects that provide both juvenile and adult passage</i> . Answer questions about permits, target fish species, miles of habitat made accessible, and cost. Under 'Project Activities', check each appropriate activity box and fill in values that apply. If project activity is not listed, describe it under "Other activities". Mark and label clearly on a map the location of the fish passage project.
DSL Permit Number: or ODF Notification Number: 2006-531-20877
FISH PASSAGE INFORMATION:
1. Target Fish Species: Coho Steelhead Chinook Cutthroat Other (specify)
2. Have the target fish species historically inhabited the area upstream of the barrier(s)? \square Yes \square No
 Fish habitat extended due to this fish passage project (If you do not have this information, consult local ODFW office) miles of habitat opened that were previously <i>inaccessible</i> for both adults and juveniles <u>0.5</u> miles of habitat opened that were previously <i>inaccessible</i> for juveniles, <i>accessible</i> for adults miles of habitat that were previously <i>accessible</i> for both juveniles and adults- access was improved
4. COST: Cash \$ <u>10,534.37</u> In-kind \$ <u>17,908</u>
PROJECT ACTIVITIES:
1. 🗆 Road/Stream Crossings Improved for Juvenile and Adult Fish Passage
a)# of culverts/structures removed and not replaced
b) <u>Two</u> # of culverts/structures replaced with bridge
c) # of culverts/structures replaced with open bottom arch culverts
d) # of culverts/structures replaced with culverts placed embedded or flat
e)# of culverts/structures replaced with weir/baffle culverts
f)# of culverts/structures retrofitted [e.g., adding roughness (weirs, baffles, etc.) into existing culverts]
g) # of culverts with rock or log weirs installed below outlet
TOTAL # of Road/Stream Crossings Improved for Fish Passage <u>One</u> (Do Not Double Count!)
2. 🗌 Other Fish Passage Improvements (fish ladders, tidegate replacements, push-up dams retired, etc.)
a) # of culverts/structures installed to allow side channel access
b)# of fish ladders installed
c) # of fish ladders improved
d) # of push-up dams permanently removed; replaced with
e) # of fish screens installed on irrigation diversions
f)# of(type of diversion) modified with(type of modification).
3. Additional Details: <u>Two adjacent 36" culverts had created a partial fish passage barrier</u> . <u>These culverts were</u>
partially crushed and rusting. The two culverts were removed and replaced with a full spanning bridge. The new
bridge will provide unimpeded fish passage for both adult and juvenile salmonids, as well as natural debris flow
through the road crossing. ODOT recently completed a fish passage improvement project on Bateman Creek, just

th upstream of its confluence with Gales Creek. These two barrier removals will complement each other and allow fish access to upstream habitat.

4. Other Activities: _____

03/31/13

Section G: Urban Impact Reduction Activity

Instructions: Report projects designed to reduce erosion, improve water quality, and enhance aquatic habitat. *For Riparian restoration activities, go to Section B. For Fish Passage Improvements, go to Section F.* Under "Project Activities", check (x) the activity on the left and enter the relevant information that quantifies the activities and the significance (% urban area or % watershed affected). If project activity is not listed, describe the project on the blank spaces provided or under "Other Projects". Costs for construction where required by code should not be included.

TOTAL COST for Urban Activities Listed Below: Cash \$ ____ Inkind \$ ____

PROJECT ACTIVITIES:

1. Water Quality Projects: % Urban Activity Number or Area Cost (please label units) **Area Affected** Bioswales # Cash \$ Inkind \$ **Wet Detention Facility** # Inkind \$ Cash \$ Storm & Sanitary Sewer Separation Cash \$ Inkind \$ linear feet □ Street sweeping Cash \$ Inkind \$ miles **Catch Basin Cleaning** # Cash \$ Inkind \$ **Pesticide Use Reduction** Inkind \$ acres Cash \$ Other Cash \$ Inkind \$ Other Cash \$ Inkind \$ Other Cash \$ _____ Inkind \$

2. Water Quanity Projects:

Activity	Number or Area (please label units)	% Watershed Area Affected		Cost
□Off Channel Flood Storage			Cash \$	Inkind \$
Detention Facility			Cash \$	Inkind \$
□Other			Cash \$	Inkind \$
□Other			Cash \$	Inkind \$

3. Other Projects:

□Other

Send to: Bobbi Riggers, OWEB, 775 Summer St NE, Ste 360, Salem, OR 97301-1290 ph 503-986-0059 fax 503-986-0199 e-mail: Bobbi.Riggers@state.or.us -Attach a Project Map-

Cash \$

Inkind \$

03/31/13				
Other				
			Cash \$	Inkind \$
□Other				
			Cash \$	Inkind \$

03/31/13

Section H: Project Monitoring Activity

Use this section to describe the type of monitoring used to evaluate the progress and effectiveness of your project. Fill out all questions in the top section. Please omit monitoring costs from cover sheet totals and instead include them under this section. In the table, check (X) the boxes that apply, identify the monitoring methods or protocols used, and the frequency and duration of monitoring before and after the project was implemented. (*example 1: frequency = once per year, duration = 20 years; example 2: frequency = 2 times per month, duration = 3 years; example 3: frequency = once every five years, duration = 25 years).*

Monitoring Objectives: Implementation monitoring, oversight of project impelmentation; fish passage through visual inspection and periodic fish surveys.

Monitoring Implemented by Which Organization(s): ODFW

Best Contact Person for Monitoring Information (with phone number): Bernadette Graham-Hudson, 971-673-6033

Monitoring Funded by Which Organization(s): ODFW

Monitoring Cost per Year: \$500 Total Budgeted Monitoring Cost: \$1500 Amount Spent to Date: \$0

Monitoring	Monitoring		Pre-Treatment		Post-Treatment	
Туре	Method/Protocol Used	Frequency	Duration	Frequency	Duration	
Physical Measures						
🔲 instream habitat						
-channel morphology						
-substrate						
-woody debris						
-other						
⊠ riparian vegetation	survival of planted vegetation			2x per year	3 years	
upland vegetation						
stream flow						
Biological Measures						
adult fish sampling	visual surveys; electrofishing			1x per year	3 yrs	
⊠ juvenile fish sampling	electrofishing			1x per year	3 yrs	
macroinvertebrates						
other						
Water Quality Measures						
suspended sediment						
dissolved oxygen						
Chemistry						
fecal coliform						
other						
Other Measures						
fish passage effectiveness	visual inspection			1x per year	3 years	
slope stability						
project inspection	visual inspection			1x	1 year	
	Î.					

03/31/13

Briefly describe results to date: <u>The project was completed as designed</u>, and both fish passage and debris flow are currently unimpeded through the project reach. The structure and stream channel have performed as expected through the first high water event. Grass seed that was spread for erosion control has been effective.