

School Accountability Report Card

Reported Using Data from the 2010–11 School Year

Published During 2011–12

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

I. Data and Access

Ed-Data Partnership Web Site

Ed-Data is a partnership of the CDE, EdSource, and the Fiscal Crisis and Management Assistance Team (FCMAT) that provides extensive financial, demographic, and performance information about California's public kindergarten through grade twelve school districts and schools.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

II. About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2011–12)

School		District	
School Name	Pegasus High	District Name	East Side Union High
Street	1776 Educational Park Dr.	Phone Number	(408) 347-5000
City, State, Zip	San Jose, CA, 95133-1703	Web Site	www.esuhdsd.org
Phone Number	(408) 928-9597	Superintendent	Dan Moser
Principal	Grettel Castro-Stanley	E-mail Address	moserd@esuhsd.org
E-mail Address	castrostanleyg@esuhsd.org	CDS Code	43694274330353

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2010–11)

Welcome to Pegasus High School where our qualified staff is committed to serving students. Our staff values working as a team with parents and their student to help them achieve academically in order to pursue their graduation goal. Our staff is committed to designing learning experiences that will engage students and foster each student's individual strength.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2010–11)

At the time of the initial enrollment (orientation), a parent is required to attend a conference with the Coordinator or a Homeroom Teacher to discuss the expectations of all parties involved (parent, student and staff). The student's Personal Learning Plan is discussed with the student and parent to identify the specific needs that will have to be addressed in order for the student to reach his/her graduation goal. At the beginning of each semester, teachers hold a conference to again discuss the needs of the students using the PLP.

Back-to-School Night is held in September. Parents schedule the first parent/teacher conference.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2010–11)

Grade Level	Number of Students	Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 9	0	Grade 11	40
Grade 10	0	Grade 12	74
		Total Enrollment	114

Student Enrollment by Subgroup (School Year 2010–11)

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	5.3%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0%
Asian	6.1%
Filipino	2.6%
Hispanic or Latino	72.8%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	7.0%
White	5.3%
Two or More Races	0.9%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	51.8%
English Learners	40.4%
Students with Disabilities	1.8%

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

Subject	Avg. Class Size	2008–09 Number of Classes*			Avg. Class Size	2009–10 Number of Classes*			Avg. Class Size	2010–11 Number of Classes*		
		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+
English	12.0	6	1	0	15.4	7	1	15.4	17.4	7	1	0
Mathematics	19.9	3	2	0	19.8	5	1	19.8	22.5	2	2	0
Science	13.0	2	0	0	19.3	4		19.3	22.3	1	3	0
Social Science	14.6	6	0	1	19.4	6	2	19.4	16.8	8	0	0

* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

III. School Climate

School Safety Plan (School Year 2010–11)

Pegasus High School is located on the campus of Independence High School, therefore the entire discipline and safety team of Independence works in conjunction with Pegasus. The East Side Union High School District believes that providing a safe learning environment is critical to student success. The following actions have been taken to create safe schools:

- Creation of an Office of Safety to coordinate the district's multi-disciplinary team and supervise police on campus.
- Development of District and School Safety Plans containing emergency directories, action plans, responsibilities, duties and procedures for all emergencies.
- Enforcement of a closed campus. Students are not allowed to leave without permission.
- Development of Safe School Campus Initiative that includes members from the San Jose Police Department, Park/Recreation and Neighborhood Service, Probation, and all Associate Principals for Administration and the District's Office of Safety. This team is prepared to mobilize in the event of a critical incident or crisis. Placement of off-duty San Jose police officers on each campus during the school day for added protection.
- Posting of unacceptable behaviors and consequences in each school classrooms and in the student handbooks.
- Requirement of all staff and students to wear identification badges.
- Establishment of Healthy Start/Student Support Services on campuses to provide support services to students and their families.
- Implementation of Student Attendance Review Board (SARB) to deal with truant students.
- Implementation of earthquake, fire, and lock-down drills (Code-Red) at each school.
- Development and implementation of a model critical response training program for all schools.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School 2008–09	School 2009–10	School 2010–11	District 2008–09	District 2009–10	District 2010–11
Suspensions	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.9	12.5	12.4
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.1

IV. School Facilities

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2011–12)

Overview

The District makes every effort to ensure that all schools are clean, safe, and functional. To assist in this effort, the district uses a facility survey instrument developed by the State of California Office of Public School Construction. The

results of this survey are available at the school office and at the district office.

Cleaning Process and Schedule

The district’s Board of Trustees has adopted cleaning standards for all schools in the district. The Leadership Team works daily with the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school.

Deferred Maintenance Budget

The district participates in the State School Deferred Maintenance Program, which provides state matching funds on a dollar-for-dollar basis, to assist school districts with expenditures for major repair or replacement of existing school building components. Typically, this includes roofing, plumbing, heating, air conditioning, electrical systems, interior or exterior painting, and floors systems.

Age of School Buildings

The main school campus has been in existence since 1976.

Maintenance Projects

During the summer of 2007 building exteriors were painted and new roofing installed.

Modernization

30 laptop computers with a mobile cart and a wireless hub have been purchased, and are used on a regular basis in the classrooms.

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2011–12)

Item Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Gas Leaks	x			
Mechanical Systems	x			
Windows/Doors/Gates (interior and exterior)	90%	10%		One door needs replacement. Will replace summer 2010. Interior walls need painting. Will paint summer 2011
Interior Surfaces (walls, floors, and ceilings)		x		Floor needs replacement. Will replace when funds are available.
Hazardous Materials (interior and exterior)	x			
Structural Damage	x			
Fire Safety	x			
Electrical (interior and exterior)	x			
Pest/Vermin Infestation	x			We do pest control as needed
Drinking Fountains (inside and outside)		x		Repair drinking fountains as needed
Restrooms	x			
Sewer	x			
Playground/School Grounds	x			
Other				
Overall Rating	x			

V. Teachers

Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School 2008–09	School 2009–10	School 2010–11	District 2010–11
With Full Credential	5	6	6	1051
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	29
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	0

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2009–10	2010–11	2011–12
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	1	1
Total Teacher Misassignments*	0	1	1
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2010–11)

The Federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), also known as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE *Improving Teacher and Principal Quality* Web page at: <http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/>

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	100.00%	0.00%
All Schools in District	96.64%	3.36%
High-Poverty Schools in District	95.73%	4.27%

Low-Poverty Schools in District	98.94%	1.06%
--	--------	-------

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

VI. Support Staff

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2010–11)

Title	Number of FTE* Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	0	
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0	
Library Media Teacher (librarian)	0	
Library Media Services Staff (paraprofessional)	0	
Psychologist	0	
Social Worker	0	
Nurse	0	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0	
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	0	
Other	0	

Note: Cells shaded in black do not require data.

* One Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time.

VII. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2011–12)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instruction materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Year and month in which data were collected: September 2011

Core curriculum area and textbook in use by course	Publisher	Year Published	Percent of Pupils Who Lack Their Own Assigned Textbooks, Instructional Materials or Science Lab Equipment	Most Recent SBE or Local Governing Agency Approved Textbooks and Instructional Materials
ENGLISH/LANGUAGE ARTS				
English 1 – “The Language of Literature Ninth Grade”	McDougal Littell	2002	0	YES
English 2 – “The Language of Literature” Grade 10	McDougal Littell	2002	0	YES
MATH				
Algebra I – “Algebra 1”	McDougal Littell	2007	0	YES
Geometry – “Geometry”	McDougal Littell	2007	0	YES
Algebra II – “Algebra 2”	McDougal Littell	2007	0	YES
SCIENCE				
Integrated Science 1 – “Spectrum Physical Approach/Science/Explorations”	Holt	2001, 03, 04	0	YES
Biology – “Biology: Principles and Explorations”	Holt	1998	0	YES
SOCIAL SCIENCE				
US History – “The American Vision”	Glencoe	2006	0	YES
American Government – “Magruder’s American Government”	Prentice Hall	1997	0	YES
American Government – “We the People”	Center for Civic Education	2002	0	YES
Curriculum Area	Quality, Currency, and Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials		Percent of Pupils Who Lack Their Own Assigned Textbooks, Instructional Materials or Science Lab Equipment	Most Recent SBE or Local Governing Agency Approved Textbooks and Instructional Materials
FOREIGN LANGUAGE	Textbooks and Instructional Materials in use are		0	YES

	standards aligned and officially adopted		
HEALTH SCIENCES	Textbooks and Instructional Materials in use are standards aligned and officially adopted	0	YES
VISUAL AND PERFORMING ARTS	Textbooks and Instructional Materials in use are standards aligned and officially adopted	0	YES
SCIENCE LABORATORY EQUIPMENT	Science labs are adequately equipped	0	YES

VIII. School Finances

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2009–10)

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental / Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic / Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$7,843	\$3,387	\$4,456	\$68,938
District			\$5,403	\$72,832
Percent Difference – School Site and District			-21.2%	-5.6%
State			\$5,455	\$70,570
Percent Difference – School Site and State			-22.4%	-2.4%

Note: Cells shaded in black do not require data.

Supplemental/Restricted expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted. **Basic/unrestricted** expenditures are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the *CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending* Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/>. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the *CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits* Web page at

<http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: <http://www.ed-data.org>.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2010–11)

Pegasus High School implemented three supplemental programs for its students. The first program is an after school tutoring program for students needing assistance to successfully pass the California High School Exit Exam. Tutoring classes are provided for both English Language Arts and Math by credentialed teachers in those subject areas. Our other programs are offered as Wednesday evening and Saturday morning intervention for students needing academic support as well as those needing to recover missed instructional minutes.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2009–10)

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$48,434	\$42,954
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$79,527	\$69,905
Highest Teacher Salary	\$98,141	\$89,464
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$0	
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$0	\$121,722
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$124,214	\$128,348
Superintendent Salary	\$218,172	\$205,119
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	40.00%	37.00%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	4.00%	5.00%

Note: For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

IX. Student Performance

Standardized Testing and Reporting Program

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including:

- **California Standards Tests (CSTs)**, which include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and nine through eleven.
- **California Modified Assessment (CMA)**, an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for grades three through eleven; mathematics for grades three through seven, Algebra I, and Geometry; and science in grades five and eight, and Life Science in grade ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations.
- **California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA)**, includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

The assessments under the STAR Program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels. For detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, see the CDE STAR Results Web site at <http://star.cde.ca.gov>.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students – Three-Year Comparison

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	2008–09	2009–10	2010–11	2008–09	2009–10	2010–11	2008–09	2009–10	2010–11
English-Language Arts	7%	7%	14%	47%	48%	49%	49%	52%	54%
Mathematics	0%	0%	3%	26%	27%	30%	46%	48%	50%
Science	0%	0%	0%	44%	46%	50%	50%	54%	57%
History-Social Science	7%	7%	15%	39%	39%	43%	41%	44%	48%

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group – Most Recent Year

Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
	English- Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History- Social Science

All Students in the LEA	49%	30%	50%	43%
All Students at the School	14%	3%	0%	15%
Male	16%	6%	0%	22%
Female	11%	0%	0%	7%
Black or African American	0%	0%	0%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian	0%	0%	0%	0%
Filipino	0%	0%	0%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	8%	2%	0%	10%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
White	0%	0%	0%	0%
Two or More Races	0%	0%	0%	0%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	6%	0%	0%	12%
English Learners	0%	0%	0%	0%
Students with Disabilities				
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California High School Exit Examination

The California High School Exit Examination (CAHSEE) is primarily used as a graduation requirement. However, the grade ten results of this exam are also used to establish the percentages of students at three proficiency levels (not proficient, proficient, or advanced) in ELA and mathematics to compute AYP designations required by the federal ESEA, also known as NCLB.

For detailed information regarding CAHSEE results, see the CDE CAHSEE Web site at <http://cahsee.cde.ca.gov/>.

California High School Exit Examination Results for All Grade Ten Students – Three-Year Comparison (if applicable)

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced								
	School			District			State		
	2008–09	2009–10	2010–11	2008–09	2009–10	2010–11	2008–09	2009–10	2010–11
English-Language Arts				52%	55%	55%	52%	54%	59%
Mathematics				59%	58%	61%	53%	54%	56%

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California High School Exit Examination Grade Ten Results by Student Group – Most Recent Year (if applicable)

Group	English–Language Arts			Mathematics		
	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced
All Students in the LEA	45%	24%	31%	39%	34%	27%
All Students at the School						
Male						
Female						
Black or African American						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Asian						
Filipino						
Hispanic or Latino						
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander						
White						
Two or More Races						

Socioeconomically Disadvantaged						
English Learners						
Students with Disabilities						
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services						

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

X. Accountability

Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. For detailed information about the API, see the CDE *API Web page* at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/>.

Academic Performance Index Ranks – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools' API ranks. The **statewide API rank** ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state.

The **similar schools API rank** reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2008	2009	2010
Statewide	B	B	B
Similar Schools	B	B	B

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison

Group	Actual API Change 2008–09	Actual API Change 2009–10	Actual API Change 2010–11
All Students at the School	-132	125	31
Black or African American			
American Indian or Alaska Native			
Asian			
Filipino			
Hispanic or Latino			
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			
White			
Two or More Races	N/D		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged			
English Learners			
Students with Disabilities			

Note: "N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information.

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – 2011 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the number of students included in the API and the 2011 Growth API at the school, LEA, and state level.

Group	2011 Growth API					
	Number of Students	School	Number of Students	LEA	Number of Students	State
All Students at the School	28	687	16,659	749	4,683,676	778
Black or African American	2		567	692	317,856	696

American Indian or Alaska Native	0		65	716	33,774	733
Asian	0		5,348	860	398,869	898
Filipino	0		1,600	797	123,245	859
Hispanic or Latino	23	671	7,361	653	2,406,749	729
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0		133	709	26,953	764
White	2		1,446	801	1,258,831	845
Two or More Races	1		98	778	76,766	836
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	6		3,833	670	2,731,843	726
English Learners	8		6,229	652	1,521,844	707
Students with Disabilities	0		1,246	462	521,815	595

Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal ESEA requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state’s standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state’s standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

For detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, see the CDE *AYP Web page* at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/>.

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2010–11)

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall	No	No
Met Participation Rate - English-Language Arts	Yes	No
Met Participation Rate - Mathematics	Yes	No
Met Percent Proficient - English-Language Arts	No	No

Met Percent Proficient - Mathematics	No	No
Met API Criteria	N/A	Yes
Met Graduation Rate	Yes	Yes

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2011–12)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE *PI Status Determinations Web* page: <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp>.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	Not in PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		2004-2005
Year in Program Improvement		Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		12
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		63.2%

Note: Cells shaded in black do not require data.

XI. School Completion and Postsecondary Preparation

Admission Requirements for California’s Public Universities

University of California

Admission requirements for the University of California (UC) follow guidelines set forth in the Master Plan, which requires that the top one-eighth of the state’s high school graduates, as well as those transfer students who have successfully completed specified college course work, be eligible for admission to the UC. These requirements are designed to ensure that all eligible students are adequately prepared for University-level work.

For general admissions requirements, please visit the *UC Admissions Information Web* page at <http://www.universityofcalifornia.edu/admissions/>. (Outside source)

California State University

Eligibility for admission to the California State University (CSU) is determined by three factors:

- Specific high school courses

- Grades in specified courses and test scores
- Graduation from high school

Some campuses have higher standards for particular majors or students who live outside the local campus area. Because of the number of students who apply, a few campuses have higher standards (supplementary admission criteria) for all applicants. Most CSU campuses have local admission guarantee policies for students who graduate or transfer from high schools and colleges that are historically served by a CSU campus in that region. For admission, application, and fee information see the *CSU Web page at <http://www.calstate.edu/admission/admission.shtml>*. (Outside source)

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate

Indicator	School			District			State		
	2007–08	2008–09	2009–10	2007–08	2008–09	2009–10	2007–08	2008–09	2009–10
Dropout Rate (1-year)	24.8	15.0	19.6	5.2	5.6	4.9	4.9	5.7	4.6
Graduation Rate	77.60	81.04	80.47	77.61	81.04	80.47	80.21	78.59	80.44

Note: The National Center for Education Statistics graduation rate as reported in AYP is provided in this table.

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements

This table displays, by student group, the percent of students who began the 2010–11 school year in grade twelve and were a part of the school’s most recent graduating class, meeting all state and local graduation requirements for grade twelve completion, including having passed both the ELA and mathematics portions of the CAHSEE or received a local waiver or state exemption.

Group	Graduating Class of 2011		
	School	District	State
All Students	32%	75%	N/D
Black or African American	50%	72%	N/D
American Indian or Alaska Native	N/D	74%	N/D
Asian	38%	89%	N/D
Filipino	50%	84%	N/D
Hispanic or Latino	31%	63%	N/D
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	17%	69%	N/D
White	33%	80%	N/D

Two or More Races	N/D	93%	N/D
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	35%	69%	N/D
English Learners	32%	43%	N/D
Students with Disabilities	0%	54%	N/D

Note: "N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report.

Career Technical Education Programs (School Year 2010–11)

Pegasus High School does not have any CTE programs offered.

Career Technical Education Participation (School Year 2010–11)

Measure	CTE Program Participation
Number of pupils participating in CTE	0
Percent of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma	0
Percent of CTE courses sequenced or articulated between the school and institutions of postsecondary education	0

Courses for University of California and/or California State University Admission

UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
2010-11 Students Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	72.1%
2009-10 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	0.0%

Advanced Placement Courses (School Year 2010–11)

Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered*	Percent of Students In AP Courses
Computer Science	0	
English	0	
Fine and Performing Arts	0	
Foreign Language	0	
Mathematics	0	
Science	0	

Social Science	0	
All courses	0	0.0%

Note: Cells shaded in black do not require data. *Where there are student course enrollments.

XII. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

Professional Development

This section provides information on the annual number of school days dedicated to staff development for the most recent three-year period.

Professional development opportunities for staff members are multifaceted and clearly and consistently linked to the state’s standards, district goals, the school’s core values, and occur during the school year and summer break. Our school has a coherent, comprehensive plan for professional development that is data driven and directly linked to teaching and learning. Not only do teachers and staff participate in staff development opportunities at the school, but they also take advantage of multiple professional development opportunities at the District. In addition, many teachers take professional growth classes at local colleges and universities and attend workshops offered by the Santa Clara County Office of Education. BTSA and new teacher orientation meetings support new instructors. The school has created and successfully implemented a collaboration model for professional development. School wide and departmental meetings are held regularly so that teachers can continue to work on professional development to support school-wide efforts to align curriculum with rigorous state content standards as well as to provide instructional support for literacy and differentiation to assure the achievement of all students.

To ensure a cycle of continuous improvement, professional development is personalized to address the needs of all subject-area teachers, staff, and administrators. Not only do professional development activities for teachers reflect a best practices approach, but they also align with the California Standards for the Teaching Profession. Teachers and staff participate in professional development that is aligned with their individual fields and district plans at multiple levels. At the school level, professional development is structured to have a generalized focus (e.g., standards-based instruction), and specific facets of the professional development program (e.g., specific instructional strategies) are personalized to address the specific content area dynamics and needs. For example, in English, teachers are examining student work to ascertain effective standards-based instructional strategies, while in Physical Education, teachers are reviewing the *FitnessGram* data to establish effective instruction strategies for physical fitness instruction. The district also offers a multitude of professional development opportunities to broaden teachers’ knowledge, enhance their classroom management skills, and augment their repertoire of best practices instructional strategies.