#### Chart of Timekeepers / Rates / Time Spent

Invoice Date	Service Date	Name	Title	Hours	Rat	e/Hour	Am	ount
10/13/2009		Thomas L Brocato	Principal	5.90	\$	270. <b>0</b> 0	\$	1,593.00
10/13/2009	•	Holly N Paxton	Paralegal	4.40	\$	100.00	\$	440.00
8/17/2010	•	Thomas L Brocato	Principal	28.00	,	270.00	\$	7,560.00
8/17/2010	•	Chris L Brewster	Principal	1.30	-	225.00	\$	292.50
8/17/2010	•	Melissa A Long	Associate	20.20		175.00	\$	3,535.00
8/17/2010	•	Holly N Paxton	Paralegal	8.20		100.00	\$	820.00
6/14/2010	-	Thomas L Brocato	Principal	28.30		270.00	\$	7,641.00
6/14/2010	•	Chris L Brewster	Principal	8.40	•	225.00	\$	1,890.00
6/14/2010	•	Melissa A Long	Associate	25.80	•	175.00	\$	4,515.00
6/14/2010	•	Barbara O Kimmell	Paralegal	6.30		110.00	\$	693.00
6/14/2010	•	Holly N Paxton	Paralegal	13.60	•	100.00	\$	1,360.00
6/14/2010	•	Law Clerk	Law Clerk	12.40	•	90.00	\$	1,116.00
• •	•	Thomas L Brocato	Principal	6.40		270.00	\$	1,728.00
7/15/2010			Associate	13.30		175.00	Ş	2,327.50
7/15/2010		Melissa A Long		13.30	•	175.00	\$	2,527.50
7/15/2010		Barbara O Kimmell	Paralegal	4.50	•	100.00	\$	450.00
7/15/2010		Holly N Paxton	Paralegal	71.30		90.00	\$	6,417.00
7/15/2010		Law Clerk	Law Clerk	1.00	ې \$		\$	0,417.00
7/15/2010		Paralegal Assistant	Paralegal Asst	4.30		- 270.00	\$ \$	1,161.00
8/13/2010		Thomas L Brocato	Principal		-		\$ \$	210.00
8/13/2010		Melissa A Long	Associate	1.20		175.00	•	80.00
8/13/2010		Holly N Paxton	Paralegal	0.80	-	100.00	\$	
9/15/2010	•	Thomas L Brocato	Principal	9.00	-	270.00	\$	2,430.00
9/15/2010	•	Melissa A Long	Associate	5.50		175.00	\$	962.50
9/15/2010	-	Holly N Paxton	Paralegal	6.00		100.00	\$	600.00
10/13/2010	Sep-10	Thomas L Brocato	Principal	20.50		270.00	\$	5,535.00
10/13/2010	Sep-10	) Melissa A Long	Associate	10.70		175.00	\$	1,872.50
10/13/2010	Sep-10	Daniel M Gonzales	Firm	2.60	-	150.00	\$	390.00
10/13/2010	) Sep-10	) Daniel M Gonzales	Firm	21.90		-	\$	-
10/13/2010	) Sep-10	) Holly N Paxton	Paralegal	0.90		100.00	\$	90.00
11/12/2010	) Oct-10	) Thomas L Brocato	Principal	10.10		270.00	\$	2,727.00
11/12/2010	) Oct-10	) Melissa A Long	Associate	3.40	\$	175.00	\$	595.00
11/12/2010	) Oct-10	) Melissa A Long	Associate	3.40	\$	-	\$	-
11/12/2010	) Oct-10	) Daniel M Gonzales	Associate	18.30	\$	150.00	\$	2,745.00
11/12/2010	) Oct-10	) Holly N Paxton	Paralegal	5.40	\$	100.00	\$	540.00
11/12/2010	) Oct-10	) Clarence L Johnson	Technical Asst	2.30	\$	150.00	\$	345.00
12/12/2010	) Nov-10	) Thomas L Brocato	Principal	3.60	\$	270.00	\$	972.00
12/12/2010	) Nov-10	) Melissa A Long	Associate	3.70	\$	175.00	\$	647.50
12/12/2010	) Nov-10	) Holly N Paxton	Paralegal	2.90	\$	100.00	\$	290.00
1/17/2011		) Thomas L Brocato	Principal	2.20	\$	270.00	\$	594.00
1/17/2011	L Dec-10	) Melissa A Long	Associate	5.60	\$	175.00	\$	980.00
1/17/2011	L Dec-10	) Holly N Paxton	Paralegal	0.80	\$	100.00	\$	80.00
3/16/2011	L Feb-11	L Thomas L Brocato	Principal	18.80	\$	295.00	\$	5,546.00

3/16/2011	Feb-11 Melissa A	Long Associate	24.40	•	175.00	\$	4,270.00
3/16/2011	Feb-11 Melissa A	Long Associate	3.00	-	-	\$	-
3/16/2011	Feb-11 Holly N Pa	xton Paralegal	0.60	\$	100.00	\$	60.00
4/14/2011	Mar-11 Thomas L	Brocato Principal	12.30	\$	295.00	\$	3,628.50
4/14/2011	Mar-11 Melissa A	Long Associate	23.60	\$	175.00	\$	4,130.00
4/14/2011	Mar-11 Melissa A	-	5.70	\$	-	\$	-
4/14/2011	Mar-11 Holly N Pa	•	5.90	-	100.00	\$	590.00
4/14/2011	Mar-11 Holly N Pa	-	0.90		-	\$	-
4/14/2011	Mar-11 Law Clerk	Law Clerk	7.50		90.00	\$	675.00
5/13/2011	Apr-11 Thomas L		14.10	•	295.00	\$	4,159.50
	•	•	3.40	•	175.00	\$	595.00
5/13/2011	Apr-11 Melissa A	-		\$	-	\$	999.00
5/13/2011	Apr-11 Melissa A	- ,	5.60				- 50.00
5/13/2011	Apr-11 Holly N Pa				100.00	\$	
6/17/2011	May-11 Thomas L	•	16.80	\$	295.00	\$	4,956.00
6/17/2011	May-11 Eileen L M		12.90		185.00	\$	2,386.50
6/17/2011	May-11 Melissa A	•	11.60		175.00	\$	2,030.00
6/17/2011	May-11 Holly N Pa	oxton Paralegal	4.20		100.00	\$	420.00
7/18/2011	Jun-11 Thomas L	Brocato Principal	38.30	\$	295.00	\$	11,298.50
7/18/2011	Jun-11 Melissa A	Long Associate	25.70	\$	175.00	\$	4,497.50
7/18/2011	Jun-11 Holly N Pa	oxton Paralegal	11.90	\$	100.00	\$	1,190.00
7/18/2011	Jun-11 Clarence l	Johnson Technical Asst	10.80	\$	160.00	\$	1,728.00
7/18/2011	Jun-11 Law Clerk	Law Clerk	13.90	\$	90.00	\$	1,251.00
8/10/2011	Jul-11 Thomas L	Brocato Principal	7.90	\$	295.00	\$	2,330.50
8/10/2011	Jul-11 Melissa A	Long Associate	14.20	\$	175.00	\$	2,485.00
8/10/2011	Jul-11 Holly N Pa	•	0.30	\$	100.00	\$	30.00
8/10/2011	Jul-11 Clarence I	_	4.70		160.00	\$	752.00
9/19/2011	Aug-11 Thomas L				295.00	\$	13,275.00
9/19/2011	Aug-11 Cathleen	•	2.30		225.00	\$	517.50
9/19/2011	Aug-11 Eileen L N		2.30		185.00	\$	425.50
9/19/2011	Aug-11 Melissa A		60.70	•	175.00	\$	10,622.50
9/19/2011 9/19/2011	Aug-11 Holly N Pa	•	15.30		100.00	Ş	1,530.00
9/19/2011 9/19/2011	Aug-11 Clarence I	-	5.00	-	160.00	\$	800.00
	-		37.70		295.00	\$	11,121.50
10/11/2011	Sep-11 Thomas L		11.00		235.00	\$	2,475.00
10/11/2011	Sep-11 Chris L Bro	-				\$	8,050.00
10/11/2011	Sep-11 Melissa A	•	46.00		175.00		
10/11/2011	Sep-11 Barbara C	-	2.30		100.00	\$	230.00
10/11/2011	Sep-11 Holly N Pa	=	8.40		100.00	\$	840.00
10/11/2011	Sep-11 Holly N Pa	-	1.30		-	\$	-
10/11/2011	Sep-11 Clarence			•	160.00	\$	1,328.00
11/15/2011	Oct-11 Thomas L	•	38.30		295.00	\$	11,298.50
11/15/2011	Oct-11 Melissa A	-	18.10		175.00	\$	3,167.50
11/15/2011	Oct-11 Holly N Pa	-	1.30	-	100.00	\$	130.00
11/15/2011	Oct-11 Clarence	L Johnson Technical Asst			160.00	\$	288.00
12/14/2011	Nov-11 Thomas L		44.10	\$	295.00	\$	13,009.50
12/14/2011	Nov-11 Thomas L	Brocato Principal	2.20	\$	-	\$	-
12/14/2011	Nov-11 Chris L Br	ewster Principal	2.50	\$	225.00	\$	562.50
12/14/2011	Nov-11 Eileen L N	IcPhee Associate	0.90	\$	185.00	\$	166.50

12/14/2011		. Melissa A Long	Associate	28.50	•	175.00	\$ 4,987.50
12/14/2011		. Holly N Paxton	Paralegal	16.70		100.00	\$ 1,670.00
12/14/2011		. Clarence L Johnson	Technical Asst	4.10	•	160.00	\$ 656.00
1/12/2012		. Thomas L Brocato	Principal	36.60		295.00	\$ 10,797.00
1/12/2012		. Chris L Brewster	Principal	2.40	•	225.00	\$ 540.00
1/12/2012	Dec-11	. Eileen L McPhee	Associate	0.70	\$	185.00	\$ 129.50
1/12/2012	Dec-11	. Melissa A Long	Associate	55.80	\$	175.00	\$ 9,765.00
1/12/2012	Dec-11	. Richard A Dyer	Policy Analyst	8.00	\$	140.00	\$ 1,120.00
1/12/2012	Dec-11	. Holly N Paxton	Paralegal	6.10	\$	100.00	\$ 610.00
1/12/2012	Dec-11	. Clarence L Johnson	<b>Technical Asst</b>	1.80	\$	160.00	\$ 288.00
1/12/2012	Dec-11	. Paralegal Assistant	Paralegal Asst	6.50	\$	30.00	\$ 195.00
2/9/2012	Jan-12	Thomas L Brocato	Principal	64.00	\$	295.00	\$ 18,880.00
2/9/2012	Jan-12	Chris L Brewster	Principal	8.40	\$	225.00	\$ 1,890.00
2/9/2012	Jan-12	Melissa A Long	Associate	44.70	\$	175.00	\$ 7,822.50
2/9/2012	Jan-12	Richard A Dyer	Policy Analyst	1.00	\$	140.00	\$ 140.00
2/9/2012	Jan-12	Barbara O Kimmell	Paralegal	11.00	\$	100.00	\$ 1,100.00
2/9/2012	Jan-12	Holly N Paxton	Paralegal	41.30	\$	100.00	\$ 4,130.00
2/9/2012	Jan-12	Clarence L Johnson	<b>Technical Asst</b>	3.00	\$	160.00	\$ 480.00
3/14/2012	Feb-12	Thomas L Brocato	Principal	66.20	\$	295.00	\$ 19,529.00
3/14/2012	Feb-12	Thomas L Brocato	Principal	7.60	\$	-	\$ -
3/14/2012	Feb-12	Chris L Brewster	Principal	0.60	\$	225.00	\$ 135.00
3/14/2012	Feb-12	Melissa A Long	Associate	37.30	\$	175.00	\$ 6,527.50
3/14/2012	Feb-12	Holly N Paxton	Paralegal	15.10	\$	100.00	\$ 1,510.00
3/14/2012	Feb-12	Clarence L Johnson	<b>Technical Asst</b>	5.10	\$	160.00	\$ 816.00
4/6/2012	Mar-12	Thomas L Brocato	Principal	143.50	\$	295.00	\$ 42,332.50
4/6/2012	Mar-12	Melissa A Long	Associate	55.90	\$	175.00	\$ 9,782.50
4/6/2012	Mar-12	Holly N Paxton	Paralegal	40.70	\$	100.00	\$ 4,070.00
4/6/2012	Mar-12	Clarence L Johnson	Technical Asst	9.50	\$	160.00	\$ 1,520.00
4/6/2012	Mar-12	Paralegal Assistant	Paralegal Asst	17.20	\$	30.00	\$ 516.00
5/10/2012	Apr-12	Thomas L Brocato	Principal	101.10	\$	295.00	\$ 29,824.50
5/10/2012	Apr-12	Chris L Brewster	Principal	0.30	\$	225.00	\$ 67.50
5/10/2012	Apr-12	Melissa A Long	Associate	41.10	\$	175.00	\$ 7,192.50
5/10/2012	Apr-12	2 Daniel M Gonzales	Associate	2.40	\$	175.00	\$ 420.00
5/10/2012	Apr-12	Richard A Dyer	Policy Analyst	0.60	\$	140.00	\$ 84.00
5/10/2012	Apr-12	Holly N Paxton	Paralegal	4.90	\$	100.00	\$ 490.00
5/10/2012	Apr-12	2 Clarence L Johnson	Technical Asst	9.60	\$	160.00	\$ 1,536.00
6/13/2012	May-12	Thomas L Brocato	Principal	155.60	\$	295.00	\$ 45,902.00
6/13/2012	May-12	Chris L Brewster	Principal	3.80	\$	225.00	\$ 855.00
6/13/2012	May-12	Amy M Emerson	Associate	0.20	\$	-	\$ -
6/13/2012	May-12	Eileen L McPhee	Associate	1.40	\$	185.00	\$ 259.00
6/13/2012	May-12	Melissa A Long	Associate	50.40	\$	175.00	\$ 8,820.00
6/13/2012	May-12	Richard A Dyer	Policy Analyst	0.40	\$	-	\$ -
6/13/2012	May-12	Holly N Paxton	Paralegal	4.30	\$	100.00	\$ 430.00
6/13/2012	May-12	Clarence L Johnson	<b>Technical Asst</b>	17.40	\$	160.00	\$ 2,784.00
7/11/2012	Jun-12	e Georgia N Crump	Principal	0.80	\$	-	\$ -
7/11/2012	Jun-12	Thomas L Brocato	Principal	110.90	\$	295.00	\$ 32,715.50
7/11/2012	Jun-12	Chris L Brewster	Principal	1.60	\$	225.00	\$ 360.00

.

•

7/11/2012	Jun-12 Amy M Emerson	Associate	1.60	\$	190.00	\$ 304.00
7/11/2012	Jun-12 Eileen L McPhee	Associate	6.80	\$	185.00	\$ 1,258.00
7/11/2012	Jun-12 Melissa A Long	Associate	78.70	\$	175.00	\$ 13,772.50
7/11/2012	Jun-12 Barbara O Kimmell	Paralegal	0.90	\$	-	\$ -
7/11/2012	Jun-12 Holly N Paxton	Paralegal	15.40	\$	100.00	\$ 1,540.00
7/11/2012	Jun-12 Paula L McCormick	Paralegal	1.10	\$	-	\$ -
7/11/2012	Jun-12 Clarence L Johnson	<b>Technical Asst</b>	0.90	\$	160.00	\$ 144.00
8/13/2012	Jul-12 Thomas L Brocato	Principal	111.80	\$	295.00	\$ 32,981.00
8/13/2012	Jul-12 Eileen L McPhee	Associate	9.20	\$	185.00	\$ 1,702.00
8/13/2012	Jul-12 Melissa A Long	Associate	105.70	\$	175.00	\$ 18,497.50
8/13/2012	Jul-12 Holly N Paxton	Paralegal	3.70	\$	100.00	\$ 370.00
9/17/2012	Aug-12 R Lambeth Townsend	Principal	0.50	\$	-	\$ -
9/17/2012	Aug-12 Thomas L Brocato	Principal	206.80	\$	315.00	\$ 65,142.00
9/17/2012	Aug-12 Chris L Brewster	Principal	48.70	\$	275.00	\$ 13,392.50
9/17/2012	Aug-12 Eileen L McPhee	Associate	0.50	\$	185.00	\$ 92.50
9/17/2012	Aug-12 Melissa A Long	Associate	118.10	\$	225.00	\$ 26,572.50
9/17/2012	Aug-12 Barbara O Kimmell	Paralegal	1.50	\$	-	\$ -
9/17/2012	Aug-12 Holly N Paxton	Paralegal	17.30	\$	110.00	\$ 1,903.00
9/17/2012	Aug-12 Clarence L Johnson	<b>Technical Asst</b>	7.00	\$	170.00	\$ 1,190.00
9/17/2012	Aug-12 Paralegal Assistant	Paralegal Asst	6.30	\$	-	\$ -
10/16/2012	Sep-12 Thomas L Brocato	Principal	207.40	\$	315.00	\$ 65,331.00
10/16/2012	Sep-12 Thomas L Brocato	Principal	1.00	\$	-	\$ -
10/16/2012	Sep-12 Chris L Brewster	Principal	134.60	\$	275.00	\$ 37,015.00
10/16/2012	Sep-12 Melissa A Long	Associate	152.90	\$	225.00	\$ 34,402.50
10/16/2012	Sep-12 J Joyce Beasley	Contract Atty	24.90	\$	270.00	\$ 6,723.00
10/16/2012	Sep-12 Barbara O Kimmell	Paralegal	0.60	\$	-	\$ -
10/16/2012	Sep-12 Holly N Paxton	Paralegal	36.50	\$	110.00	\$ 4,015.00
10/16/2012	Sep-12 Clarence L Johnson	Technical Asst	10.60	\$	170.00	\$ 1,802.00
				Tot	al Fees	\$ 816,950.00

.

#### PUC DOCKET NO. 40627

PETITION BY HOMEOWNERS UNITED FOR RATE FAIRNESS TO REVIEW AUSTIN RATE ORDINANCE NO. 20120607-055

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF TEXAS



#### DIRECT TESTIMONY

#### OF

#### LANE KOLLEN

#### ON BEHALF OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN D/B/A AUSTIN ENERGY

**NOVEMBER 1, 2012** 

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

#### Page

I.	INTRODUCTION	.3
II.	PURPOSE AND SUMMARY	.4
III.	STANDARD OF REVIEW	.7
IV.	CONCLUSION	19

#### **EXHIBITS**

LK-1	Resume/Expert Testimony Appearances
LK-2	Schedule of Rate Case Expenses
LK-3	SAIC Task Order A-1, including a revision and change order
LK-4	SAIC Task Order A-2, including change order
LK-5	SAIC Task Order B-1, including change order
LK-6	J. Stowe & Co. Task Orders C-1 through C-7
LK-7	Austin Energy Contract Monitoring Guidelines
LK-8	Affidavit of Adrianne Brandt

1		I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>							
2	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, OCCUPATION AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.							
3	A.	My name is Lane Kollen. I am a Vice President and Principal of J. Kennedy and							
4		Associates, Inc., an economic consulting firm specializing in utility ratemaking and							
5		planning issues. My business address is 570 Colonial Park Drive, Suite 305, Roswell,							
6		Georgia 30075.							
7	Q.	HAVE YOU PROVIDED AN EXHIBIT WHICH DETAILS YOUR							
8		EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE?							
9	А.	Yes. I provide this information in Exhibit LK-1 to my testimony.							
10	Q.	HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY							
11		COMMISSION OF TEXAS ("PUC" OR "COMMISSION")?							
12	A.	Yes. I have testified on numerous issues in various proceedings before the							
13		Commission, including rate case expenses. I testified on rate case expenses in Docket							
14		Nos. 38306, <sup>1</sup> 39504, <sup>2</sup> 38339, <sup>3</sup> and 36530. <sup>4</sup> I testified on revenue requirement issues in							
15		Docket Nos. 38929 and 35717, the two most recent Oncor Electric Delivery							
16		Company, LLC base rate proceedings; Docket No. 38339, the most recent							
17		CenterPoint Energy Houston Electric, LLC rate proceeding; Docket Nos. 33309 and							
18		33310, the most recent AEP Texas Central Company and AEP Texas North Company							

Texas-New Mexico Power Company's Request for Approval of Advance Metering System (AMS) Deployment and AMS Surcharge, Docket No. 38306.

Remand of Docket No. 29526 (Application of CenterPoint Energy Houston Electric LLC, Reliant Energy Houston Electric LLC, Reliant Energy Retail Services, LLC and Texas Genco, LP to Determine Stranded Costs and Other True-up Balances Pursuant to PURA §39.262), Docket No. 39504.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Application of CenterPoint Energy Houston Electric, LLC for Authority to Change Rates, Docket No. 38339.

Application of Oncor Electric Delivery Company LLC for Rate Case Expenses Related to PUC Docket No. 35717, Docket No. 36530.

rate proceedings; and in Docket No. 40020, the pending Lone Star Transmission,
 LLC rate proceeding. In addition, I testified before the Commission on stranded
 costs, securitization, and revenue requirement issues in other proceedings. My
 testimonies and expert appearances are listed by docket number in Exhibit LK-1.

### 5 Q. ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU PROVIDING TESTIMONY IN THIS 6 PROCEEDING?

- 7 A. I am offering testimony on behalf of the City of Austin ("COA"), doing business as
  8 Austin Energy ("AE").
- 9

#### PURPOSE AND SUMMARY

#### 10 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?

II.

11 A. The purpose of my testimony is to address the amount and reasonableness of the 12 actual rate case expenses incurred by AE for consulting assistance incurred for the 13 preparation and support of AE's request in this proceeding. AE's legal expenses 14 associated with the rate review are addressed in the direct testimony of COA witness 15 Matthew Henry.

#### 16 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR RATE CASE EXPENSE TESTIMONY.

A. I recommend that the Commission authorize AE to recover the actual reasonable rate
case expenses for consulting assistance in this proceeding consistent with PURA
§ 36.061(b)(2).<sup>5</sup> These expenses total \$1.956 million to-date. This total includes
expenses incurred through July 2012, except for one firm, which includes August
20 Either I or AE will update the amounts for each firm and in total through the

4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Public Utility Regulatory Act, TEX. UTIL. CODE ANN. § 36.061(b)(2) (West 2007 & Supp. 2012) ("PURA").

pendency of this proceeding as additional actual amounts are available. Austin
Energy seeks to recover rate case expenses incurred prior to the notice of appeal on
August 3, 2012 from all customers, those within the COA boundaries and those in the
surrounding unincorporated service area. Austin Energy seeks to recover rate case
expenses incurred on and after August 3, 2012 from only customers in the portions of
AE's service area who are affected by this appeal.
The following table summarizes AE's request.

#### Table 1 Summary of AE Rate Case Expenses for **Consulting Firms and Related Expenses** Beck/SAIC \$1,298,699.49 J. Stowe & Company \$326,034.38 Volatility Managers, LLC \$176.379.85 Sirius Computer Solutions \$79,280.00 Resource Insight, Inc. \$57,807.50 NHRG Technical Services \$6,123.75 Resolved \$4,726.25 Speedy Gonzales Printing, Inc. \$4,129.75 Management Applications \$2,149.88 Public Utility Brokers \$700.00

 Total Consulting
 \$1,955,786.53

 In addition to the preceding summary table, I have attached Exhibit LK-2

(\$244.32)

Disallowance

8 In addition to the preceding summary table, I have attached Exhibit LK-2 9 which provides a more detailed schedule of the actual rate case expenses incurred 10 through July 2012, except for one firm that provided an invoice for time worked in 11 August.

PUC DOCKET NO. 40627

# 1Q.PLEASE DESCRIBE THE ACTIVITIES YOU UNDERTOOK IN2PREPARATION OF YOUR TESTIMONY.

3 I reviewed AE's Task Orders, which describe the services that the consulting firms A. 4 were retained to perform. I also reviewed AE's invoice audit and approval process 5 and confirmed that AE followed this process before it paid the consulting firms' 6 invoices. In addition, I interviewed Mr. Grant Rabon of SAIC and Mr. Jack Stowe of 7 J. Stowe & Company to obtain an understanding of the scope of the assistance each 8 firm provided to AE, their management of and approach to the case, staffing levels 9 and assignments, hourly billing rates, expenses, and other aspects of the case. 10 Further, I interviewed Mr. Thomas Brocato, the principal attorney from Lloyd, 11 Gosselink, Rochelle and Townsend, P.C. ("Lloyd Gosselink"), which was responsible 12 for retaining consulting firms in addition to those that were retained directly by AE. 13 to obtain an understanding of the assistance each consulting firm provided and other 14 aspects of the case.

Finally, I personally performed a detailed review of the actual invoices from each consulting firm. I based my recommendation on the following findings and conclusions resulting from my review:

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

the consulting firms properly documented the hours worked and services provided on their invoices;

consistent with the scope of services set forth in the AE Task Orders;

the consulting firms' staffing on the project was reasonable and

- the consulting firms' hourly rates are within the range of reasonable rates;
  - the consulting firms did not charge more than 12 hours per day, except in four instances, two of which were adequately explained and justified, the other two of which were not and resulted in excessive charges of \$231.25 which should be disallowed;

PUC DOCKET NO. 40627

- the consulting firms did not charge AE for first-class airfare, luxury
   hotels, expensive meals or alcoholic beverages, valet parking, or
   entertainment; although one firm charged \$13.07 more than the \$25.00
   per meal generally allowed, which I recommend be disallowed; and
  - the consulting firms' other charges to AE were based on their cost without additional markup. Mileage for personal use of automobiles was at or less than the Internal Revenue Service-approved mileage rates.

9

5

6

7

8

#### III. STANDARD OF REVIEW

#### 10 Q. WHAT IS THE RELEVANT STATUTORY PROVISION REGARDING THE

#### 11 **RECOVERY OF A UTILITY'S RATE CASE EXPENSES?**

A. PURA § 36.061(b)(2) allows utilities to recover their reasonable rate case expenses
incurred in the development and prosecution of a rate case, including appeals.

# 14 Q. WHAT STANDARD MUST BE MET FOR RECOVERY OF RATE CASE 15 EXPENSES BY THE UTILITY?

16 The rate case expenses must be "reasonable," according to the Third Court of Appeals A. in City of El Paso v. Public Utility Commission of Texas.<sup>6</sup> In that decision, the court 17 18 cited the Commission's position that "its determination of reasonableness is 19 analogous to the trial court's determination of the reasonableness of attorney's fees 20 and costs of litigation and includes consideration of factors like: (1) time and labor 21 required; (2) nature and complexities of the case; (3) amount of money or value of 22 property or interest at stake; (4) extent of responsibilities the attorney assumes; 23 (5) whether the attorney loses other employment because of the undertaking; and (6) benefits to the client from the services."<sup>7</sup> In its decision, the court also found that 24

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> City of El Paso v. Public Utility Commission of Texas, 916 S.W.2d 515, 522 (Tex. App.—Austin 1995, writ dism'd).

Id. at 522.

the Commission "may consider other factors in addition to or in place of the Smith &
 Lamm factors . . . including, but not limited to, the nature and complexity of the two
 prior docket cases, the responsibilities attorneys and consultants assumed, and the
 amount of money charged for attorney and consultant services."<sup>8</sup>

# <sup>5</sup> Q. HAS THE COMMISSION HISTORICALLY APPLIED VARIOUS TESTS TO <sup>6</sup> ASSESS WHETHER A UTILITY'S RATE CASE EXPENSES ARE <sup>7</sup> REASONABLE?

8 A. Yes. The Commission historically has applied various tests or guidelines to assess 9 whether a utility's rate case expenses are reasonable. These tests require the utility to 10 establish that the services were necessary, that the number of hours billed for the 11 services they received were reasonable, that the hourly rates were reasonable, and that 12 the related expenses, such as travel expenses, were reasonable. More specifically, the 13 Commission historically has applied the following tests:

- invoice charges are limited to expenses for services provided on a particular proceeding and payment may not have been provided by other sources;
  - the allowable hours billed by each consultant or attorney generally are limited to 12 hours per day;
  - the hourly rates must be the same or less than the rates charged other clients for similar services unless there is a legitimate reason to deviate;
- the hourly rates should include overhead expenses, except for out-ofpocket expenses such as travel, lodging, meals, mileage for use of personal automobiles, long-distance telephone charges, copying and courier fees;
- the invoices must document all charges for hourly fees and related
   the invoices must document all charges for hourly fees and related
   the charges for professional fees must include, for each

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> *Id.* at 522-523.

1 2 3 4		person billing on the case: the date services were provided, a description of the services provided, and the number of hours spent to provide those services. The charges for related expenses, such as travel, also must be documented;
5 6		• the charges for travel may not include expenses for first-class airfare, luxury hotels, alcoholic beverages, or entertainment; and
7		• the charges for individual meals generally should not exceed \$25.
8		I applied each of these tests in my review of the utility's invoices to conclude
9		that AE's consultant rate case expenses were reasonable and to recommend that they
10		be recovered from customers.
11	Q.	DOES COMMISSION PRECEDENT REQUIRE THE DISALLOWANCE OF
12		ANY EXPENSE THAT FAILS TO COMPLY WITH ANY OF THE TESTS
13		HISTORICALLY APPLIED BY THE COMMISSION?
14	A.	Not necessarily. If there is an expense item that contravenes or appears to contravene
15		any one of these criteria, it is appropriate to obtain additional information to
16		determine whether the expense item in question was in fact reasonable or not. I have
17		done so for the few minor exceptions to the Commission's historic tests. I believe that
18		the exceptions are reasonable given the circumstances, except for certain minor meal
19		expenses.
20	Q.	BASED ON YOUR REVIEW OF THE TASK ORDERS, INVOICES, AND
21		DISCUSSIONS WITH AE STAFF AND THE PRINCIPAL OUTSIDE
22		ATTORNEY FOR AE, DO THE CHARGES INCURRED TO DATE BY AE IN
23		THIS CASE MEET ALL OF THE ABOVE STANDARDS?
24	A.	Yes, with only a few minor exceptions. None of the exceptions are material, but I
25		nevertheless recommend a disallowance of \$13.07.

PUC DOCKET NO. 40627

9

# Q. PLEASE IDENTIFY THE CONSULTING FIRMS THAT PROVIDED SERVICES TO AE IN THIS PROCEEDING.

A. The primary consultant in this proceeding for AE was and is R.W. Beck, which is
now SAIC. SAIC was responsible for Task A, which is entitled: *Prepare Cost of Service and Rate Design*. Task A was further subdivided into Tasks A-1 and A-2.
The scope of work, tasks, deliverables, and amount for Task A-1 was set forth in a
contract between AE and SAIC identified as Task Order A-1. I have attached a copy
of Task Order A-1, including a revision and change order, as Exhibit LK-3.

9 The scope of work set forth in Task Order A-1 includes the development and 10 presentation of AE's rate case. The tasks include strategy formation, cost of service, 11 rate design, public involvement and comment, rate case preparation, support, expert 12 testimony, overseeing and integrating the work of other consultants, settlement and 13 negotiation assistance, and assisting counsel throughout the process.

SAIC also was responsible for Task A-2. The scope of work, tasks,
deliverables, and amount for Task A-2 was set forth in a contract between AE and
SAIC identified as Task Order A-2. I have attached a copy of Task Order A-2,
including a change order, as Exhibit LK-4.

18The scope of work set forth in Task Order A-2 includes the development and19presentation of a transmission and distribution ("T&D") loss study. The tasks include20data collection, summary and analysis of results from engineering models and21determination of estimated T&D technical losses, preparation of T&D loss summary22report, and preparation of expert testimony.

In addition, SAIC was responsible for Task B-1. The scope of work, tasks,
deliverables, and amount for Task B-1 was set forth in a contract between AE and

10

PUC DOCKET NO. 40627

1 SAIC identified as Task Order B-1. I have attached a copy of Task Order B-1, 2 including two change orders, as Exhibit LK-5. SAIC retained Group Solutions RJW 3 as a subcontractor to complete Task B-1 and included the subcontractor's invoices as 4 reimbursable expenses on its invoices to AE. Group Solutions RJW created and 5 maintained a stakeholder input database, coordinated Public Involvement Meetings, 6 and developed and maintained transcripts and summaries of the meetings.

7 The scope of work set forth in Task Order B-1 includes the development and 8 implementation of the Public Involvement Communication Plan and securing 9 stakeholder engagement. The tasks include working with the AE staff to develop a 10 communication plan, engage and monitor the media, respond to media coverage and 11 inquiries; develop, maintain, and update the Rate Review website and other web-12 based communication tools; develop and review educational materials; training; and 13 stakeholder communications, meetings, and outreach.

Another consultant used by AE in this proceeding was J.W. Stowe and Co.
("JWS"). JWS was responsible for Task C, which is entitled: *Financial Consultant*.
The scope of work, tasks, deliverables, and amount for each of these Tasks was set
forth in a series of contracts between AE and JWS identified as Task Orders C-1
through C-7. I have attached a copy of these Task Orders as Exhibit LK-6.

19Another consultant retained by AE was Volatility Managers, LLC20("Volatility"). Volatility provided services as a Residential Rate Advisor for the early21stages of the proceeding, including assessing the impact of rates and cost allocation22on residential customers.

23The other consultants retained by AE include Sirius Computer Solutions,24NHRG Technical Services ("NHRG"), and Management Applications. Sirius

11

PUC DOCKET NO. 40627

provided computer support, including website development. NHRG provided
 computer programming support. Management Applications assisted the Residential
 Rate Advisor contract (i.e. Volatility Managers). They evaluated certain revenue
 requirement proposals and prepared a report for the Electric Utility Commission
 ("EUC") examining the application of Probability of Dispatch methodology by AE in
 the new nodal ERCOT market.

Finally, AE retained Lloyd Gosselink as outside counsel to provide legal 7 services throughout the proceeding, including the appeal to the Commission. I do not 8 9 address Lloyd Gosselink's charges, except to the extent that their charges include fees 10 and related expenses for various consulting firms. On behalf of AE, Lloyd Gosselink retained Resource Insight, ReSolved Energy Consulting, LLC, and Public Utility 11 12 Brokers to provide consulting services. Resource Insight provided consumer 13 advocate consultant services. ReSolved Energy provided testimony by expert 14 witness, Karl Nalepa, on general fund transfers. Public Utility Brokers provided 15 market price comparisons. Most recently, Lloyd Gosselink also retained my firm, J. Kennedy and Associates, Inc., to provide consulting services. My firm was retained 16 to review AE's consultant rate case expenses, assess whether they are reasonable, and 17 18 provide expert testimony before the Commission regarding recovery from customers.

# PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR REVIEW OF THE INVOICES FOR SERVICES FOR WHICH AE SEEKS REIMBURSEMENT.

A. I reviewed the invoices submitted to and paid by AE for consulting services rendered
 through July 2012 and for one firm through August 2012. Those invoices provide for
 each billing period a description of the services and activities performed by each
 consultant or other professional, the number of hours for the services, and the hourly

12

PUC DOCKET NO. 40627

rates for each person. The invoices also provide itemized detail for related expenses,
 including travel and other expenses.

# Q. WERE YOU ABLE TO CONFIRM THAT THE WORK PERFORMED BY EACH CONSULTING FIRM WAS RELATED TO THIS PROCEEDING AND/OR THE APPEAL TO THE COMMISSION AND THAT THE SERVICES WERE NECESSARY AND REASONABLE?

7 Yes. I personally interviewed representatives of SAIC and JWS to assess the scope A. of their work, tasks they performed, staffing approaches, deliverables, hourly billing 8 9 rates, and other aspects of the case. I was able to conclude that the work performed by SAIC and JWS was related to this proceeding and/or the appeal to the 10 11 Commission, and that such services were necessary and reasonable for the conduct of 12 a rate case and the appeal to the Commission, that the staffing levels and personnel 13 were appropriate and consistent with the requirements of the case, and that the hourly billing rates were reasonable given the nature of the work and the experience and skill 14 15 required.

16 In addition to the interviews, I reviewed the invoices submitted by each of the consulting firms. The invoices documented their activities, hours spent on the various 17 18 activities, hourly billing rates, and expenses. The invoices were sufficiently detailed 19 for me to assess the consulting firm's activities and to conclude that the work performed by each firm was related to this proceeding and/or the appeal to the 20 Commission, that the services were consistent with the work required to perform the 21 specific tasks for which each firm was retained, and that the services were necessary 22 and reasonable for the conduct of a rate case and the appeal to the Commission. 23

13

PUC DOCKET NO. 40627

1 Finally, prior to my review of the invoices, the AE staff also reviewed and 2 approved all invoices submitted by the consulting firms and by Lloyd Gosselink for 3 the consulting firms that it retained on behalf of AE. The AE staff's review and 4 approval process is a normal aspect of its management of a rate case and its contract 5 administration. The AE staff followed its internal Contract Monitoring Guidelines, a 6 copy of which I have attached as Exhibit LK-7. I have also attached as Exhibit LK-8 7 an affidavit from AE employee Adrianne Brandt attesting to the procedures followed 8 by AE.

9 The AE staff worked closely with the consulting firms and the law firm and 10 was extremely familiar with the scope of each firm's activities and their performance 11 as the proceeding progressed. The AE staff review resulted in disallowances of 12 certain expenses submitted by the consulting firms, which resulted in revised invoices 13 and are not included in AE's request in this proceeding.

#### 14 Q. ARE THE HOURLY RATES REASONABLE BASED ON THE 15 QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE OF THE **CONSULTANTS** 16 COMPARED TO THOSE CHARGED BY OTHER ATTORNEYS AND 17 **CONSULTANTS WITH SIMILAR EXPERIENCE?**

A. Yes. In my opinion, the rates charged by AE's consultants are competitive in the
market in which the firms are located and are comparable to or lower than the rates
charged by other consultants with similar experience in this geographic area for this
type of regulatory work before the PUC. I have reviewed rate case expenses
submitted for reimbursement by utilities and intervenors in numerous proceedings
before the Commission. The hourly rates charged by the consulting firms in this
proceeding generally are lower for comparable services than those charged by other

consulting firms that provided services to utilities in other rate proceedings before the
 Commission.

3 In my experience, the hourly rate for each individual for any particular matter 4 is based upon consideration of such things as the length of the relationship with the 5 client, the nature of the work, the experience of the individual, the status of the client, 6 and the current and anticipated workload. Each of the consultants retained by AE has 7 extensive experience working on regulatory projects for AE and/or other clients in the 8 regulated utility practice area for a number of years. Austin Energy prepared and 9 presented a full case on multiple complex issues requiring significant effort and the 10 work needed to be performed in some instances within relatively compressed timeframes. The most experienced consultants charged the highest hourly rates. 11 12 Those consultants and other professionals with less experience charged 13 correspondingly lower hourly rates.

# 14 Q. YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED THAT THERE ARE CERTAIN ISSUES 15 RELATED TO FEES AND BILLINGS THAT ARE SUBJECT TO SPECIAL 16 SCRUTINY. DID YOU FIND ANY INSTANCES IN WHICH A 17 CONSULTANT OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL BILLED IN EXCESS OF 12 18 HOURS OR MORE IN ANY ONE DAY?

A. Yes. One consultant employed by Group Solutions RJW billed 14 hours in one day.
 This was due to an unusual circumstance involving a day-long public meeting with
 various stakeholders. The consultant was charged with numerous logistical and other
 activities that required her to begin the day early and end the day late. I do not
 recommend a disallowance because the hours were justified given the circumstances.

15

PUC DOCKET NO. 40627

1 Another consultant employed by Volatility charged 12.5 hours one day, 12.75 2 hours on another day, and 13.0 hours on yet other day. One of the days in question 3 involved a lengthy public hearing held by City Council that did not conclude until late 4 at night. I recommend disallowing 1.25 hours in excess of 12 hours for the other two 5 days. The disallowance should be \$231.25 (i.e. 1.25 hours times \$185 per hour).

# 6 Q. DID YOU FIND ANY INSTANCES IN WHICH AE WAS CHARGED MORE 7 THAN ONCE FOR A SERVICE?

8 A. No. I reviewed all invoices for services provided by the consulting firms and did not
9 identify any instances of double-billing.

# 10 Q. DID THE CONSULTING FIRMS PROPERLY DOCUMENT THEIR 11 EXPENSES?

12 A. Yes. I reviewed each invoice and the expenses charged by each consulting firm, 13 including those retained by Lloyd Gosselink. The invoices included sufficiently 14 detailed documentation for expenses incurred to review the expenses for compliance 15 with the Commission's tests. In any proceeding before a ratemaking agency, 16 including appeals to the Commission, the utility's consultants incur various out-of-17 pocket expenses related to the services provided. These expenses include travel 18 expenses, such as airfare, hotel, and meals, courier or messenger services, long 19 distance calls, reproduction of documents and other materials, and postage, among 20 others.

PUC DOCKET NO. 40627

1	Q.	WERE THE EXPENSES REVIEWED RELATED TO THE SERVICES							
2		PROVIDED?							
3	A.	Yes. The expenses were related to the services provided based on the description of							
4		the services provided by each firm for the corresponding dates.							
5	Q.	DID YOU IDENTIFY ANY INSTANCES IN WHICH AE WAS CHARGED							
6		MORE THAN ONCE FOR AN OUT-OF-POCKET EXPENDITURE?							
7	Α.	No.							
8	Q.	DID YOU IDENTIFY ANY INSTANCES IN WHICH NON-COMMERCIAL							
9		AIRCRAFT OR FIRST-CLASS AIR TRAVEL WAS USED OR CHARGED							
10		TO AE?							
11	A.	No.							
12	Q.	DID YOU IDENTIFY ANY INSTANCES IN WHICH LUXURY ITEMS SUCH							
13		AS LIMOUSINE SERVICE, SPORTING EVENTS, ALCOHOLIC DRINKS,							
14		HOTEL MOVIES, OR OTHER ENTERTAINMENT WAS CHARGED TO							
15		AE?							
16	A.	No. I did not identify any instances of luxury items or services charged to AE by the							
17		consulting firms.							
18	Q.	DID YOU IDENTIFY ANY INSTANCES IN WHICH THE COST OF A MEAL							
19		EXCEEDED \$25.00 PER PERSON?							
20	A.	Yes. SAIC consultants charged per diem amounts of \$6.00-\$8.00 for breakfast,							
21		\$9.00-\$12.00 for lunch, and \$20.00-\$27.00 for dinner. SAIC imposes lower per							
22		diems on the first and last days of travel; hence the range shown on various invoices.							
23		Due to SAIC's lower per diems on the first and last days of travel, the average per							
	PUC DO	DCKET NO. 40627 DIRECT TESTIMONY 17 OF LANE KOLLEN							

.

diem amount charged for dinners was less than \$25.00. In addition, the per diem
 amounts were less than federal guidelines. Consequently, I do not recommend any
 disallowance of the per diem amounts.

In addition, there were three instances where a consultant from Resource Insight, Inc., one of the consulting firms retained by Lloyd Gosselink, charged more than \$25.00 per meal. The charges were \$26.57, \$27.00, and \$34.50. The amounts in excess of \$25.00 per meal were immaterial. Nevertheless, I recommend that the excess amounts summing to \$13.07 be disallowed.

### 9 Q. DID YOU UNDERTAKE ANY FURTHER REVIEW OF OUT-OF-POCKET 10 EXPENSES?

11 A. Yes. I examined the expenses to determine whether the incurrence of any expense
12 was unnecessary.

#### 13 Q. DID YOU FIND ANY INSTANCES OF UNNECESSARY EXPENSE?

A. No. To the contrary, I noticed that none of the consulting firms charged for longdistance telephone calls or internal copies. In addition, I was somewhat surprised at
the minimal charges for courier services and other expenses that normally are
associated with rate cases. In my interview with JWS, Mr. Stowe confirmed that on
this project, they did not charge AE for these out-of-pocket expenses.

1		IV. <u>CONCLUSION</u>						
2	Q.	PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR CONCLUSIONS AND						
3		RECOMMENDATIONS CONCERNING AE'S REQUEST FOR RECOVERY						
4		OF RATE CASE EXPENSES.						
5	A.	I conducted a thorough review of AE's consultant rate case expenses and conclude						
6		that they were reasonable and necessary given the complexity of issues, the scope of						
7		services provided, and the amount of revenues at stake in this proceeding. The						
8		Commission should allow AE to recover the entirety of the consulting expenses that it						
9		incurred, except for the \$13.07 that I recommend be disallowed.						
10		The consulting firms' fees and expenses meet the Commission's various tests,						
11		with only a few minor exceptions. The instances when more than 12 hours were						
12		charged during a day were justified under the circumstances. The instances where						
13		certain per diem meal charges exceeded the \$25.00 threshold were offset by per diem						
14		meal charges that were less than the \$25.00 threshold and thus, meet the						
15		Commission's test in the aggregate. The instances where individual actual meal						
16		charges exceeded the \$25.00 threshold are immaterial, but nevertheless should be						
17		disallowed.						
18		In addition, I recommend that the Commission allow AE to update its						
19		expenses through the pendency of this proceeding as additional amounts actually are						
20		incurred by AE.						
21		Finally, I recommend that the Commission allow AE to recover its expenses						
22		prior to August 3, 2012 from all of its customers and those incurred on and after that						
23		date be recovered only from its customers outside the Austin city limits.						

19

PUC DOCKET NO. 40627

#### 1 Q. DOES THIS COMPLETE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?

2 A. Yes.

.

PUC DOCKET NO. 40627

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF LANE KOLLEN .

#### **EDUCATION**

University of Toledo, BBA Accounting

University of Toledo, MBA

Luther Rice University, MA

#### PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATIONS

Certified Public Accountant (CPA)

Certified Management Accountant (CMA)

#### PROFESSIONAL AFFILIATIONS

American Institute of Certified Public Accountants

Georgia Society of Certified Public Accountants

Institute of Management Accountants

Mr. Kollen has more than thirty years of utility industry experience in the financial, rate, tax, and planning areas. He specializes in revenue requirements analyses, taxes, evaluation of rate and financial impacts of traditional and nontraditional ratemaking, utility mergers/acquisition and diversification. Mr. Kollen has expertise in proprietary and nonproprietary software systems used by utilities for budgeting, rate case support and strategic and financial planning.

J. KENNEDY AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

#### **EXPERIENCE**

#### 1986 to Present:

**J. Kennedy and Associates, Inc.:** Vice President and Principal. Responsible for utility stranded cost analysis, revenue requirements analysis, cash flow projections and solvency, financial and cash effects of traditional and nontraditional ratemaking, and research, speaking and writing on the effects of tax law changes. Testimony before Connecticut, Florida, Georgia, Indiana, Louisiana, Kentucky, Maine, Maryland, Minnesota, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Texas, West Virginia and Wisconsin state regulatory commissions and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

1983 to 1986:

#### Energy Management Associates: Lead Consultant.

Consulting in the areas of strategic and financial planning, traditional and nontraditional ratemaking, rate case support and testimony, diversification and generation expansion planning. Directed consulting and software development projects utilizing PROSCREEN II and ACUMEN proprietary software products. Utilized ACUMEN detailed corporate simulation system, PROSCREEN II strategic planning system and other custom developed software to support utility rate case filings including test year revenue requirements, rate base, operating income and pro-forma adjustments. Also utilized these software products for revenue simulation, budget preparation and cost-of-service analyses.

1976 to 1983:

#### The Toledo Edison Company: Planning Supervisor.

Responsible for financial planning activities including generation expansion planning, capital and expense budgeting, evaluation of tax law changes, rate case strategy and support and computerized financial modeling using proprietary and nonproprietary software products. Directed the modeling and evaluation of planning alternatives including:

Rate phase-ins. Construction project cancellations and write-offs. Construction project delays. Capacity swaps. Financing alternatives. Competitive pricing for off-system sales. Sale/leasebacks.

J. KENNEDY AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

#### **CLIENTS SERVED**

#### **Industrial Companies and Groups**

Air Products and Chemicals, Inc. Airco Industrial Gases Alcan Aluminum Armco Advanced Materials Co. Armco Steel Bethlehem Steel **Connecticut Industrial Energy Consumers** ELCON Enron Gas Pipeline Company Florida Industrial Power Users Group Gallatin Steel General Electric Company **GPU Industrial Intervenors** Indiana Industrial Group Industrial Consumers for Fair Utility Rates - Indiana Industrial Energy Consumers - Ohio Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc. Kimberly-Clark Company

Lehigh Valley Power Committee Maryland Industrial Group Multiple Intervenors (New York) National Southwire North Carolina Industrial **Energy Consumers** Occidental Chemical Corporation Ohio Energy Group **Ohio Industrial Energy Consumers** Ohio Manufacturers Association Philadelphia Area Industrial Energy Users Group **PSI Industrial Group** Smith Cogeneration Taconite Intervenors (Minnesota) West Penn Power Industrial Intervenors West Virginia Energy Users Group Westvaco Corporation

#### Regulatory Commissions and Government Agencies

Cities in Texas-New Mexico Power Company's Service Territory Cities in AEP Texas Central Company's Service Territory Cities in AEP Texas North Company's Service Territory Georgia Public Service Commission Staff Kentucky Attorney General's Office, Division of Consumer Protection Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff Maine Office of Public Advocate New York State Energy Office Office of Public Utility Counsel (Texas)

J. KENNEDY AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

#### **Utilities**

Allegheny Power System Atlantic City Electric Company Carolina Power & Light Company Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company Delmarva Power & Light Company Duquesne Light Company General Public Utilities Georgia Power Company Middle South Services Nevada Power Company Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation Otter Tail Power Company Pacific Gas & Electric Company Public Service Electric & Gas Public Service of Oklahoma Rochester Gas and Electric Savannah Electric & Power Company Seminole Electric Cooperative Southern California Edison Talquin Electric Cooperative Tampa Electric Texas Utilities Toledo Edison Company

J. KENNEDY AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

Date	Case	Jurisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
10/86	U-17282 Interim	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities	Cash revenue requirements financial solvency.
11/86	U-17282 Interim Rebuttal	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities	Cash revenue requirements financial solvency.
12/86	9613	KY	Attorney General Div. of Consumer Protection	Big Rivers Electric Corp.	Revenue requirements accounting adjustments financial workout plan.
1/87	U-17282 Interim	LA 19th Judicial District Ct.	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities	Cash revenue requirements, financial solvency.
3/87	General Order 236	WV	West Virginia Energy Users' Group	Monongahela Power Co.	Tax Reform Act of 1986.
4/87	U-17282 Prudence	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities	Prudence of River Bend 1, economic analyses, cancellation studies.
4/87	M-100 Sub 113	NC	North Carolina Industrial Energy Consumers	Duke Power Co.	Tax Reform Act of 1986.
5/87	86-524-E-SC	wv	West Virginia Energy Users' Group	Monongahela Power Co.	Revenue requirements, Tax Reform Act of 1986.
5/87	U-17282 Case In Chief	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities	Revenue requirements, River Bend 1 phase-in plan, financial solvency.
7 <i>1</i> 87	U-17282 Case In Chief Surrebuttal	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities	Revenue requirements, River Bend 1 phase-in plan, financial solvency.
7/87	U-17282 Prudence Surrebuttal	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities	Prudence of River Bend 1, economic analyses, cancellation studies.
7/87	86-524 E-SC Rebuttal	WV	West Virginia Energy Users' Group	Monongahela Power Co.	Revenue requirements, Tax Reform Act of 1986.
8/87	9885	KY	Attomey General Div. of Consumer Protection	Big Rivers Electric Corp.	Financial workout plan.
8/87	E-015/GR-87-223	MN	Taconite Intervenors	Minnesota Power & Light Co.	Revenue requirements, O&M expense, Tax Reform Act of 1986.
10/87	870220-EI	FL	Occidental Chemical Corp.	Florida Power Corp.	Revenue requirements, O&M expense, Tax Reform Act of 1986.
11/87	87-07-01	СТ	Connecticut Industrial Energy Consumers	Connecticut Light & Power Co.	Tax Reform Act of 1986.
1/88	U-17282	LA 19th Judicial District Ct.	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Gulf States Utilities	Revenue requirements, River Bend 1 phase-in plan, rate of return.
2/88	9934	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers	Louisville Gas & Electric Co.	Economics of Trimble County, completion.
2/88	10064	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers	Louisville Gas & Electric Co.	Revenue requirements, O&M expense, capital structure, excess deferred income taxes.

Date	Case	Jurisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
5/88	10217	KY	Alcan Aluminum National Southwire	Big Rivers Electric Corp.	Financial workout plan.
5/88	M-87017-1C001	PA	GPU Industrial Intervenors	Metropolitan Edison Co.	Nonutility generator deferred cost recovery.
5/88	M-87017-2C005	PA	GPU Industrial Intervenors	Pennsylvania Electric Co.	Nonutility generator deferred cost recovery.
6/88	U-17282	LA 19th Judiciał District Ct.	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Gulf States Utilities	Prudence of River Bend 1 economic analyses, cancellation studies, financial modeling.
7/88	M-87017-1C001 Rebuttal	PA	GPU Industrial Intervenors	Metropolitan Edison Co.	Nonutility generator deferred cost recovery, SFAS No. 92.
7/88	M-87017-2C005 Rebuttal	PA	GPU Industrial Intervenors	Pennsylvania Electric Co.	Nonutility generator deferred cost recovery, SFAS No. 92.
9/88	88-05-25	СТ	Connecticut Industrial Energy Consumers	Connecticut Light & Power Co.	Excess deferred taxes, O&M expenses.
9/88	10064 Rehearing	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers	Louisville Gas & Electric Co.	Premature retirements, interest expense.
10/88	88-170-EL-AIR	OH	Ohio Industrial Energy Consumers	Cleveland Electric Illuminating Co.	Revenue requirements, phase-in, excess deferred taxes, O&M expenses, financial considerations, working capital.
10/88	88-171-EL-AIR	ОН	Ohio Industrial Energy Consumers	Toledo Edison Co.	Revenue requirements, phase-in, excess deferred taxes, O&M expenses, financial considerations, working capital.
10/88	8800-355-EI	FL	Florida Industrial Power Users' Group	Florida Power &. Light Co.	Tax Reform Act of 1986, tax expenses, O&M expenses, pension expense (SFAS No. 87).
10/88	3780-U	GA	Georgia Public Service Commission Staff	Atlanta Gas Light Co.	Pension expense (SFAS No. 87).
11/88	U-17282 Remand	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities	Rate base exclusion plan (SFAS No. 71).
12/88	U-17970	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	AT&T Communications of South Central States	Pension expense (SFAS No. 87).
12/88	U-17949 Rebuttal	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	South Central Bell	Compensated absences (SFAS No. 43), pension expense (SFAS No. 87), Part 32, income tax normalization.
2/89	U-17282 Phase II	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities	Revenue requirements, phase-in of River Bend 1, recovery of canceled plant.
6/89	881602-EU 890326-EU	FL	Talquin Electric Cooperative	Talquin/City of Tallahassee	Economic analyses, incremental cost-of-service, average customer rates.
7/89	U-17970	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	AT&T Communications of South Central States	Pension expense (SFAS No. 87), compensated absences (SFAS No. 43), Part 32.

Date	Case	Jurisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
8/89	8555	тх	Occidental Chemical Corp.	Houston Lighting & Power Co.	Cancellation cost recovery, tax expense, revenue requirements.
8/89	3840-U	GA	Georgia Public Service Commission Staff	Georgia Power Co.	Promotional practices, advertising, economic development.
9/89	U-17282 Phase II Deta <del>i</del> led	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities	Revenue requirements, detailed investigation.
10/89	8880	ΤХ	Enron Gas Pipeline	Texas-New Mexico Power Co.	Deferred accounting treatment, sale/leaseback.
10/89	8928	ТΧ	Enron Gas Pipeline	Texas-New Mexico Power Co.	Revenue requirements, imputed capital structure, cash working capital.
10/89	R-891364	PA	Philadelphia Area Industrial Energy Users Group	Philadelphia Electric Co.	Revenue requirements.
11/89 12/89	R-891364 Surrebuttal (2 Filings)	PA	Philadelphia Area Industrial Energy Users Group	Philadelphia Electric Co.	Revenue requirements, sale/leaseback.
1/90	U-17282 Phase II Detailed Rebuttal	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities	Revenue requirements, detailed investigation.
1/90	U-17282 Phase III	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities	Phase-in of River Bend 1, deregulated asset plan.
3/90	890319-EI	FL	Florida Industrial Power Users Group	Florida Power & Light Co.	O&M expenses, Tax Reform Act of 1986.
4/90	890319-El Rebuttal	FL	Florida Industrial Power Users Group	Florida Power & Light Co.	O&M expenses, Tax Reform Act of 1986.
4/90	U-17282	LA 19th Judicial District Ct.	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Gulf States Utilities	Fuel clause, gain on sale of utility assets.
9/90	90-158	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers	Louisville Gas & Electric Co.	Revenue requirements, post-test year additions, forecasted test year.
12/90	U-17282 Phase IV	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities	Revenue requirements.
3/91	29327, et. al.	NY	Multiple Intervenors	Niagara Mohawk Power Corp.	Incentive regulation.
5/91	9945	ТΧ	Office of Public Utility Counsel of Texas	El Paso Electric Co.	Financial modeling, economic analyses, prudence of Palo Verde 3.
9/91	P-910511 P-910512	PA	Allegheny Ludium Corp., Armco Advanced Materials Co., The West Penn Power Industrial Users' Group	West Penn Power Co.	Recovery of CAAA costs, least cost financing.

Date	Case	Jurisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
9/91	91-231-E-NC	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Monongahela Power Co.	Recovery of CAAA costs, least cost financing.
11/91	U-17282	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities	Asset impairment, deregulated asset plan, revenue requirements.
12/91	91-410-EL-AIR	ОН	Air Products and Chemicals, Inc., Armco Steel Co., General Electric Co., Industrial Energy Consumers	Cincinnati Gas & Electric Co.	Revenue requirements, phase-in plan.
12/91	PUC Docket 10200	тх	Office of Public Utility Counsel of Texas	Texas-New Mexico Power Co.	Financial integrity, strategic planning, declined business affiliations.
5/92	910890-EI	FL	Occidental Chemical Corp.	Florida Power Corp.	Revenue requirements, O&M expense, pension expense, OPEB expense, fossil dismantling, nuclear decommissioning.
8/92	R-00922314	PA	GPU Industrial Intervenors	Metropolitan Edison Co.	Incentive regulation, performance rewards, purchased power risk, OPEB expense.
9/92	92-043	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Consumers	Generic Proceeding	OPEB expense.
9/92	920324-EI	FL	Florida Industrial Power Users' Group	Tampa Electric Co.	OPEB expense.
9/92	39348	IN	Indiana Industrial Group	Generic Proceeding	OPEB expense.
9/92	910840-PU	FL	Florida Industrial Power Users' Group	Generic Proceeding	OPEB expense.
9/92	39314	IN	Industrial Consumers for Fair Utility Rates	Indiana Michigan Power Co.	OPEB expense.
11/92	U-19904	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities /Entergy Corp.	Merger.
11/92	8649	MD	Westvaco Corp., Eastalco Aluminum Co.	Potomac Edison Co.	OPEB expense.
11/92	92-1715-AU-COI	ОН	Ohio Manufacturers Association	Generic Proceeding	OPEB expense.
12/92	R-00922378	PA	Armco Advanced Materials Co., The WPP Industrial Intervenors	West Penn Power Co.	Incentive regulation, performance rewards, purchased power risk, OPEB expense.
12/92	U-19949	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	South Central Bell	Affiliate transactions, cost allocations, merger.
12/92	R-00922479	PA	Philadelphia Area Industriał Energy Users' Group	Philadelphia Electric Co.	OPEB expense.
1/93	8487	MD	Maryland Industrial Group	Baltimore Gas & Electric Co., Bethlehem Steel Corp.	OPEB expense, deferred fuel, CWIP in rate base.

Date	Case	Jurisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
1/93	39498	IN	PSI Industrial Group	PSI Energy, Inc.	Refunds due to over-collection of taxes on Marble Hill cancellation.
3/93	92-11-11	СТ	Connecticut Industrial Energy Consumers	Connecticut Light & Power Co	OPEB expense.
3/93	U-19904 (Surrebuttal)	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities /Entergy Corp.	Merger.
3/93	93-01-EL-EFC	OH	Ohio Industrial Energy Consumers	Ohio Power Co.	Affiliate transactions, fuel.
3/93	EC92-21000 ER92-806-000	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities /Entergy Corp.	Merger.
4/93	92-1464-EL-AIR	ОН	Air Products Armco Steel Industrial Energy Consumers	Cincinnati Gas & Electric Co.	Revenue requirements, phase-in plan.
4/93	EC92-21000 ER92-806-000 (Rebuttal)	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Gulf States Utilities /Entergy Corp.	Merger.
9/93	93-113	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers	Kentucky Utilities	Fuel clause and coal contract refund.
9/93	92-490, 92-490A, 90-360-C	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers and Kentucky Attorney General	Big Rivers Electric Corp.	Disallowances and restitution for excessive fuel costs, illegal and improper payments, recovery of mine closure costs.
10/93	U-17735	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Cajun Electric Power Cooperative	Revenue requirements, debt restructuring agreement, River Bend cost recovery.
1/94	U-20647	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities Co.	Audit and investigation into fuel clause costs.
4/94	U-20647 (Surrebuttai)	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities Co.	Nuclear and fossil unit performance, fuel costs, fuel clause principles and guidelines.
5/94	U-20178	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Louisiana Power & Light Co.	Planning and quantification issues of least cost integrated resource plan.
9/94	U-19904 Initial Post-Merger Earnings Review	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities Co.	River Bend phase-in plan, deregulated asset plan, capital structure, other revenue requirement issues.
9/94	U-17735	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Cajun Electric Power Cooperative	G&T cooperative ratemaking policies, exclusion of River Bend, other revenue requirement issues.
10/94	3905-U	GA	Georgia Public Service Commission Staff	Southern Bell Telephone Co.	Incentive rate plan, earnings review.
10/94	5258-U	GA	Georgia Public Service Commission Staff	Southern Bell Telephone Co.	Alternative regulation, cost allocation.
11/94	U-19904 Initial Post-Merger Earnings Review (Rebuttal)	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities Co.	River Bend phase-in plan, deregulated asset plan, capital structure, other revenue requirement issues.

Date	Case	Jurisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
11/94	U-17735 (Rebuttal)	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Cajun Electric Power Cooperative	G&T cooperative ratemaking policy, exclusion of River Bend, other revenue requirement issues.
4/95	R-00943271	PA	PP&L Industrial Customer Alliance	Pennsylvania Power & Light Co.	Revenue requirements. Fossil dismantling, nuclear decommissioning.
6/95	3905-U Rebuttal	GA	Georgia Public Service Commission	Southern Bell Telephone Co.	Incentive regulation, affiliate transactions, revenue requirements, rate refund.
6/95	U-19904 (Direct)	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities Co.	Gas, coal, nuclear fuel costs, contract prudence, base/fuel realignment.
10/95	95-02614	TN	Tennessee Office of the Attorney General Consumer Advocate	BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.	Affiliate transactions.
10/95	U-21485 (Direct)	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities Co.	Nuclear O&M, River Bend phase-in plan, base/fuel realignment, NOL and AttMin asset deferred taxes, other revenue requirement issues.
11/95	U-19904 (Surrebuttal)	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities Co. Division	Gas, coal, nuclear fuel costs, contract prudence, base/fuel realignment.
11/95 12/95	U-21485 (Supplemental Direct) U-21485	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities Co.	Nuclear O&M, River Bend phase-in plan, base/fuel realignment, NOL and AltMin asset deferred taxes, other revenue requirement issues.
	(Surrebuttal)				
1/96	95-299-EL-AIR 95-300-EL-AIR	ОН	Industrial Energy Consumers	The Toledo Edison Co., The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Co.	Competition, asset write-offs and revaluation, O&M expense, other revenue requirement issues.
2/96	PUC Docket 14965	тх	Office of Public Utility Counsel	Central Power & Light	Nuclear decommissioning.
5/96	95-485-LCS	NM	City of Las Cruces	El Paso Electric Co.	Stranded cost recovery, municipalization.
7/96	8725	MD	The Maryland Industrial Group and Redland Genstar, Inc.	Baltimore Gas & Electric Co., Potomac Electric Power Co., and Constellation Energy Corp.	Merger savings, tracking mechanism, earnings sharing plan, revenue requirement issues.
9/96 11/96	U-22092 U-22092 (Surrebuttal)	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	River Bend phase-in plan, base/fuel realignment, NOL and AltMin asset deferred taxes, other revenue requirement issues, allocation of regulated/nonregulated costs.
10/96	96-327	КY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Big Rivers Electric Corp.	Environmental surcharge recoverable costs.
2/97	R-00973877	PA	Philadelphia Area Industrial Energy Users Group	PECO Energy Co.	Stranded cost recovery, regulatory assets and liabilities, intangible transition charge, revenue requirements.
3/97	96-489	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Kentucky Power Co.	Environmental surcharge recoverable costs, system agreements, allowance inventory, jurisdictional allocation.

Date	Case	Jurisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
6/97	TO-97-397	MO	MCI Telecommunications Corp., Inc., MCImetro Access Transmission Services, Inc.	Southwestern Bell Telephone Co.	Price cap regulation, revenue requirements, rate of return.
6/97	R-00973953	PA	Philadelphia Area Industrial Energy Users Group	PECO Energy Co.	Restructuring, deregulation, stranded costs, regulatory assets, liabilities, nuclear and fossil decommissioning.
7 <i>1</i> 97	R-00973954	PA	PP&L Industrial Customer Alliance	Pennsylvania Power & Light Co.	Restructuring, deregulation, stranded costs, regulatory assets, liabilities, nuclear and fossil decommissioning.
7 <i>1</i> 97	U-22092	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Depreciation rates and methodologies, River Bend phase-in plan.
8/97	97-300	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Louisville Gas & Electric Co., Kentucky Utilities Co.	Merger policy, cost savings, surcredit sharing mechanism, revenue requirements, rate of return.
8/97	R-00973954 (Surrebuttal)	PA	PP&L Industrial Customer Alliance	Pennsylvania Power & Light Co.	Restructuring, deregulation, stranded costs, regulatory assets, liabilities, nuclear and fossil decommissioning.
10/97	97-204	КY	Alcan Aluminum Corp. Southwire Co.	Big Rivers Electric Corp.	Restructuring, revenue requirements, reasonableness.
10/97	R-974008	PA	Metropolitan Edison Industrial Users Group	Metropolitan Edison Co.	Restructuring, deregulation, stranded costs, regulatory assets, liabilities, nuclear and fossil decommissioning, revenue requirements.
10/97	R-974009	PA	Penelec Industrial Customer Alliance	Pennsylvania Electric Co.	Restructuring, deregulation, stranded costs, regulatory assets, liabilities, nuclear and fossil decommissioning, revenue requirements.
11/97	97-204 (Rebuttal)	KY	Alcan Aluminum Corp. Southwire Co.	Big Rivers Electric Corp.	Restructuring, revenue requirements, reasonableness of rates, cost allocation.
11/97	U-22491	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Allocation of regulated and nonregulated costs, other revenue requirement issues.
11/97	R-00973953 (Surrebuttal)	PA	Philadelphia Area Industrial Energy Users Group	PECO Energy Co.	Restructuring, deregulation, stranded costs, regulatory assets, liabilities, nuclear and fossil decommissioning.
11/97	R-973981	PA	West Penn Power Industrial Intervenors	West Penn Power Co.	Restructuring, deregulation, stranded costs, regulatory assets, liabilities, fossil decommissioning, revenue requirements, securitization.
11/97	R-974104	PA	Duquesne Industrial Intervenors	Duquesne Light Co.	Restructuring, deregulation, stranded costs, regulatory assets, liabilities, nuclear and fossil decommissioning, revenue requirements, securitization.
12/97	R-973981 (Surrebuttal)	PA	West Penn Power Industrial Intervenors	West Penn Power Co.	Restructuring, deregulation, stranded costs, regulatory assets, liabilities, fossil decommissioning, revenue requirements.

Date	Case	Jurisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
12/97	R-974104 (Surrebuttal)	PA	Duquesne Industrial Intervenors	Duquesne Light Co.	Restructuring, deregulation, stranded costs, regulatory assets, liabilities, nuclear and fossil decommissioning, revenue requirements, securitization.
1/98	U-22491 (Surrebuttal)	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Allocation of regulated and nonregulated costs, other revenue requirement issues.
2/98	8774	MD	Westvaco	Potomac Edison Co.	Merger of Duquesne, AE, customer safeguards, savings sharing.
3/98	U-22092 (Allocated Stranded Cost Issues)	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Restructuring, stranded costs, regulatory assets, securitization, regulatory mitigation.
3/98	8390-U	GA	Georgia Natural Gas Group, Georgia Textile Manufacturers Assoc.	Atlanta Gas Light Co.	Restructuring, unbundling, stranded costs, incentive regulation, revenue requirements.
3/98	U-22092 (Allocated Stranded Cost Issues) (Surrebuttal)	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Restructuring, stranded costs, regulatory assets, securitization, regulatory mitigation.
10/98	97-596	ME	Maine Office of the Public Advocate	Bangor Hydro- Electric Co.	Restructuring, unbundling, stranded costs, T&D revenue requirements.
10/98	9355-U	GA	Georgia Public Service Commission Adversary Staff	Georgia Power Co.	Affiliate transactions.
10/98	U-17735	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Cajun Electric Power Cooperative	G&T cooperative ratemaking policy, other revenue requirement issues.
11/98	U-23327	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	SWEPCO, CSW and AEP	Merger policy, savings sharing mechanism, affiliate transaction conditions.
12/98	U-23358 (Direct)	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Allocation of regulated and nonregulated costs, tax issues, and other revenue requirement issues.
12/98	98-577	ME	Maine Office of Public Advocate	Maine Public Service Co.	Restructuring, unbundling, stranded cost, T&D revenue requirements.
1/99	98-10-07	СТ	Connecticut Industrial Energy Consumers	United Illuminating Co.	Stranded costs, investment tax credits, accumulated deferred income taxes, excess deferred income taxes.
3/99	U-23358 (Surrebuttal)	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Allocation of regulated and nonregulated costs, tax issues, and other revenue requirement issues
3/99	98-474	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Louisville Gas and Electric Co.	Revenue requirements, alternative forms of regulation.
3/99	98-426	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Kentucky Utilities Co.	Revenue requirements, alternative forms of regulation.
3/99	99-082	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Louisville Gas and Electric Co.	Revenue requirements.

Date	Case	Jurisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
3/99	99-083	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Kentucky Utilities Co.	Revenue requirements.
4/99	U-23358 (Supplemental Surrebuttal)	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Allocation of regulated and nonregulated costs, tax issues, and other revenue requirement issues.
4/99	99-03-04	СТ	Connecticut Industrial Energy Consumers	United Illuminating Co.	Regulatory assets and liabilities, stranded costs, recovery mechanisms.
4/99	99-02-05	Ct	Connecticut Industrial Utility Customers	Connecticut Light and Power Co.	Regulatory assets and liabilities, stranded costs, recovery mechanisms.
5/99	98-426 99-082 (Additional Direct)	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Louisville Gas and Electric Co.	Revenue requirements.
5/99	98-474 99-083 (Additional Direct)	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Kentucky Utilities Co.	Revenue requirements.
5/99	98-426 98-474 (Response to Amended Applications)	КY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Louisville Gas and Electric Co., Kentucky Utilities Co.	Alternative regulation.
6/99	97-596	ME	Maine Office of Public Advocate	Bangor Hydro- Electric Co.	Request for accounting order regarding electric industry restructuring costs.
6/9 <del>9</del>	U-23358	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Affiliate transactions, cost allocations.
7/9 <del>9</del>	99-03-35	СТ	Connecticut Industrial Energy Consumers	United Illuminating Co.	Stranded costs, regulatory assets, tax effects of asset divestiture.
7/99	U-23327	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Southwestern Electric Power Co., Central and South West Corp, American Electric Power Co.	Merger Settlement and Stipulation.
7 <b>/99</b>	97-596 Surrebuttal	ME	Maine Office of Public Advocate	Bangor Hydro- Electric Co.	Restructuring, unbundling, stranded cost, T&D revenue requirements.
7/99	98-0452-E-GI	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Monongahela Power, Potomac Edison, Appalachian Power, Wheeling Power	Regulatory assets and liabilities.
8/99	98-577 Surrebuttal	ME	Maine Office of Public Advocate	Maine Public Service Co.	Restructuring, unbundling, stranded costs, T&D revenue requirements.
8/99	98-426 99-082 Rebuttal	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Louisville Gas and Electric Co.	Revenue requirements.

Date	Case	Jurisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
8/99	98-474 98-083 Rebuttal	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Kentucky Utilities Co.	Revenue requirements.
8/99	98-0452-E-GI Rebuttal	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Monongahela Power, Potomac Edison, Appalachian Power, Wheeling Power	Regulatory assets and liabilities.
10/99	U-24182 Direct	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Allocation of regulated and nonregulated costs, affiliate transactions, tax issues, and other revenue requirement issues.
11/99	PUC Docket 21527	тх	The Dallas-Fort Worth Hospital Council and Coalition of Independent Colleges and Universities	TXU Electric	Restructuring, stranded costs, taxes, securitization.
11/99	U-23358 Surrebuttal Affiliate Transactions Review	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Service company affiliate transaction costs.
01/00	U-24182 Surrebuttal	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Allocation of regulated and nonregulated costs, affiliate transactions, tax issues, and other revenue requirement issues.
04/00	99-1212-EL-ETP 99-1213-EL-ATA 99-1214-EL-AAM	ОН	Greater Cleveland Growth Association	First Energy (Cleveland Electric Illuminating, Toledo Edison)	Historical review, stranded costs, regulatory assets, liabilities.
05/00	2000-107	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Kentucky Power Co.	ECR surcharge roll-in to base rates.
05/00	U-24182 Supplemental Direct	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Affiliate expense proforma adjustments.
05/00	A-110550F0147	PA	Philadelphia Area Industrial Energy Users Group	PECO Energy	Merger between PECO and Unicom.
05/00	99-1658-EL-ETP	ОН	AK Steel Corp.	Cincinnati Gas & Electric Co.	Regulatory transition costs, including regulatory assets and liabilities, SFAS 109, ADIT, EDIT, ITC.
07/00	PUC Docket 22344	тх	The Dallas-Fort Worth Hospital Council and The Coalition of Independent Colleges and Universities	Statewide Generic Proceeding	Escalation of O&M expenses for unbundled T&D revenue requirements in projected test year.
07/00	U-21453	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	SWEPCO	Stranded costs, regulatory assets and liabilities.
08/00	U-24064	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	CLECO	Affiliate transaction pricing ratemaking principles, subsidization of nonregulated affiliates, ratemaking adjustments.

Date	Case	Jurisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
10/00	SOAH Docket 473-00-1015 PUC Docket 22350	ТХ	The Dallas-Fort Worth Hospital Council and The Coalition of Independent Colleges and Universities	TXU Electric Co.	Restructuring, T&D revenue requirements, mitigation, regulatory assets and liabilities.
10/00	R-00974104 Affidavit	PA	Duquesne Industrial Intervenors	Duquesne Light Co.	Final accounting for stranded costs, including treatment of auction proceeds, taxes, capital costs, switchback costs, and excess pension funding.
11/00	P-00001837 R-00974008 P-00001838 R-00974009	PA	Metropolitan Edison Industrial Users Group Penelec Industrial Customer Alliance	Metropolitan Edison Co., Pennsylvania Electric Co.	Final accounting for stranded costs, including treatment of auction proceeds, taxes, regulatory assets and liabilities, transaction costs.
12/00	U-21453, U-20925, U-22092 (Subdocket C) Surrebuttał	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	SWEPCO	Stranded costs, regulatory assets.
01/01	U-24993 Direct	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, inc.	Allocation of regulated and nonregulated costs, tax issues, and other revenue requirement issues.
01/01	U-21453, U-20925, U-22092 (Subdocket B) Surrebuttal	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Industry restructuring, business separation plan, organization structure, hold harmless conditions, financing.
01/01	Case No. 2000-386	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Louisville Gas & Electric Co.	Recovery of environmental costs, surcharge mechanism.
01/01	Case No. 2000-439	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Kentucky Utilities Co.	Recovery of environmental costs, surcharge mechanism.
02/01	A-110300F0095 A-110400F0040	PA	Met-Ed Industrial Users Group, Penelec Industrial Customer Alliance	GPU, Inc. FirstEnergy Corp.	Merger, savings, reliability.
03/01	P-00001860 P-00001861	PA	Met-Ed Industrial Users Group, Penelec Industrial Customer Alliance	Metropolitan Edison Co., Pennsylvania Electric Co.	Recovery of costs due to provider of last resort obligation.
04/01	U-21453, U-20925, U-22092 (Subdocket B) Settlement Term Sheet	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Business separation plan: settlement agreement on overall plan structure.
04/01	U-21453, U-20925, U-22092 (Subdocket B) Contested Issues	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Business separation plan: agreements, hold harmless conditions, separations methodology.

.

# Expert Testimony Appearances of Lane Kollen as of September 2012

Date	Case	Jurisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
05/01	U-21453, U-20925, U-22092 (Subdocket B) Contested Issues Transmission and Distribution Rebuttal	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Business separation plan: agreements, hold harmless conditions, separations methodology.
07/01	U-21453, U-20925, U-22092 (Subdocket B) Transmission and Distribution Term Sheet	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Business separation plan: settlement agreement or T&D issues, agreements necessary to implement T&D separations, hold harmless conditions, separations methodology.
10/01	14000-U	GA	Georgia Public Service Commission Adversary Staff	Georgia Power Company	Revenue requirements, Rate Plan, fuel clause recovery.
11/01	14311-U Direct Panel with Bolin Killings	GA	Georgia Public Service Commission Adversary Staff	Atlanta Gas Light Co	Revenue requirements, revenue forecast, O&M expense, depreciation, plant additions, cash workin capital.
11/01	U-25687 Direct	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Revenue requirements, capital structure, allocation of regulated and nonregulated costs, River Bend uprate.
02/02	PUC Docket 25230	тх	The Dallas-Fort Worth Hospital Council and the Coalition of Independent Colleges and Universities	TXU Electric	Stipulation. Regulatory assets, securitization financing.
02/02	U-25687 Surrebuttal	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Revenue requirements, corporate franchise tax, conversion to LLC, River Bend uprate.
03/02	14311-U Rebuttal Panel with Bolin Killings	GA	Georgia Public Service Commission Adversary Staff	Atlanta Gas Light Co.	Revenue requirements, earnings sharing plan, service quality standards.
03/02	14311-U Rebuttal Panel with Michelle L. Thebert	GA	Georgia Public Service Commission Adversary Staff	Atlanta Gas Light Co.	Revenue requirements, revenue forecast, O&M expense, depreciation, plant additions, cash working capital.
03/02	001148-EI	FL	South Florida Hospital and Healthcare Assoc.	Florida Power & Light Co.	Revenue requirements. Nuclear life extension, storm damage accruals and reserve, capital structure, O&M expense.
04/02	U-25687 (Suppl. Surrebuttal)	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Revenue requirements, corporate franchise tax, conversion to LLC, River Bend uprate.
04/02	U-21453, U-20925 U-22092 (Subdocket C)	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	SWEPCO	Business separation plan, T&D Term Sheet, separations methodologies, hold harmless conditions.

.

.

.

Date	Case	Jurisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
08/02	EL01-88-000	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc. and the Entergy Operating Companies	System Agreement, production cost equalization, tariffs.
08/02	U-25888	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc. and Entergy Louisiana, Inc.	System Agreement, production cost disparities, prudence.
09/02	2002-00224 2002-00225	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utilities Customers, Inc.	Kentucky Utilities Co., Louisville Gas & Electric Co.	Line losses and fuel clause recovery associated with off-system sales.
11/02	2002-00146 2002-00147	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utilities Customers, Inc.	Kentucky Utilities Co., Louisville Gas & Electric Co.	Environmental compliance costs and surcharge recovery.
01/03	2002-00169	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utilities Customers, Inc.	Kentucky Power Co.	Environmental compliance costs and surcharge recovery.
04/03	2002-00429 2002-00430	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utilities Customers, Inc.	Kentucky Utilities Co., Louisville Gas & Electric Co.	Extension of merger surcredit, flaws in Companies' studies.
04/03	U-26527	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Revenue requirements, corporate franchise tax, conversion to LLC, capital structure, post-test year adjustments.
06/03	EL01-88-000 Rebuttal	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc. and the Entergy Operating Companies	System Agreement, production cost equalization, tariffs.
06/03	2003-00068	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers	Kentucky Utilities Co.	Environmental cost recovery, correction of base rate error.
11/03	ER03-753-000	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc. and the Entergy Operating Companies	Unit power purchases and sale cost-based tariff pursuant to System Agreement.
11/03	ER03-583-000, ER03-583-001, ER03-583-002	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc., the Entergy Operating	Unit power purchases and sale agreements, contractual provisions, projected costs, levelized rates, and formula rates.
	ER03-681-000, ER03-681-001			Companies, EWO Marketing, L.P, and Entergy Power, Inc.	
	ER03-682-000, ER03-682-001, ER03-682-002			Energy Forwar, inc.	
	ER03-744-000, ER03-744-001 (Consolidated)				
12/03	U-26527 Surrebuttal	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Revenue requirements, corporate franchise tax, conversion to LLC, capital structure, post-test year adjustments.

Date	Case	Jurisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
12/03	2003-0334 2003-0335	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Kentucky Utilities Co., Louisville Gas & Electric Co.	Earnings Sharing Mechanism.
12/03	U-27136	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Louisiana, Inc.	Purchased power contracts between affiliates, terms and conditions.
03/04	U-26527 Supplemental Surrebuttal	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Revenue requirements, corporate franchise tax, conversion to LLC, capital structure, post-test year adjustments.
03/04	2003-00433	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Louisville Gas & Electric Co.	Revenue requirements, depreciation rates, O&M expense, deferrals and amortization, earnings sharing mechanism, merger surcredit, VDT surcredit.
03/04	2003-00434	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Kentucky Utilities Co.	Revenue requirements, depreciation rates, O&M expense, deferrals and amortization, earnings sharing mechanism, merger surcredit, VDT surcredit.
03/04	SOAH Docket 473-04-2459 PUC Docket 29206	тх	Cities Served by Texas- New Mexico Power Co.	Texas-New Mexico Power Co.	Stranded costs true-up, including valuation issues, ITC, ADIT, excess earnings.
05/04	04-169-EL-UNC	OH	Ohio Energy Group, Inc.	Columbus Southem Power Co. & Ohio Power Co.	Rate stabilization plan, deferrals, T&D rate increases, earnings.
06/04	SOAH Docket 473-04-4555 PUC Docket 29526	тх	Houston Council for Health and Education	CenterPoint Energy Houston Electric	Stranded costs true-up, including valuation issues, ITC, EDIT, excess mitigation credits, capacity auction true-up revenues, interest.
08/04	SOAH Docket 473-04-4555 PUC Docket 29526 (Suppl Direct)	тх	Houston Council for Health and Education	CenterPoint Energy Houston Electric	Interest on stranded cost pursuant to Texas Supreme Court remand.
09/04	U-23327 Subdocket B	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	SWEPCO	Fuel and purchased power expenses recoverable through fuel adjustment clause, trading activities, compliance with terms of various LPSC Orders.
10/04	U-23327 Subdocket A	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	SWEPCO	Revenue requirements.
12/04	Case Nos. 2004-00321, 2004-00372	KY	Gallatin Steel Co.	East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc., Big Sandy Recc, et al.	Environmental cost recovery, qualified costs, TIER requirements, cost allocation.
01/05	30485	тх	Houston Council for Health and Education	CenterPoint Energy Houston Electric, LLC	Stranded cost true-up including regulatory Central Co. assets and liabilities, ITC, EDIT, capacity auction, proceeds, excess mitigation credits, retrospective and prospective ADIT.
02/05	18638-U	GA	Georgia Public Service Commission Adversary Staff	Atlanta Gas Light Co.	Revenue requirements.

Date	Case	Jurisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
02/05	18638-U Panel with Tony Wackerly	GA	Georgia Public Service Commission Adversary Staff	Atlanta Gas Light Co.	Comprehensive rate plan, pipeline replacement program surcharge, performance based rate plan.
02/05	18638-U Panel with Michelle Thebert	GA	Georgia Public Service Commission Adversary Staff	Atlanta Gas Light Co.	Energy conservation, economic development, and tariff issues.
03/05	Case Nos. 2004-00426, 2004-00421	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Kentucky Utilities Co., Louisville Gas & Electric	Environmental cost recovery, Jobs Creation Act of 2004 and §199 deduction, excess common equity ratio, deferral and amortization of nonrecurring O&M expense.
06/05	2005-00068	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Kentucky Power Co.	Environmental cost recovery, Jobs Creation Act of 2004 and §199 deduction, margins on allowances used for AEP system sales.
06/05	050045-EI	FL	South Florida Hospital and Healithcare Assoc.	Florida Power & Light Co.	Storm damage expense and reserve, RTO costs, O&M expense projections, return on equity performance incentive, capital structure, selective second phase post-test year rate increase.
08/05	31056	тх	Alliance for Valley Healthcare	AEP Texas Central Co.	Stranded cost true-up including regulatory assets and liabilities, ITC, EDIT, capacity auction, proceeds, excess mitigation credits, retrospective and prospective ADIT.
09/05	20298-U	GA	Georgia Public Service Commission Adversary Staff	Atmos Energy Corp.	Revenue requirements, roll-in of surcharges, cost recovery through surcharge, reporting requirements.
09/05	20298-U Panel with Victoria Taylor	GA	Georgia Public Service Commission Adversary Staff	Atmos Energy Corp.	Affiliate transactions, cost allocations, capitalization, cost of debt.
10/05	04-42	DE	Delaware Public Service Commission Staff	Artesian Water Co.	Allocation of tax net operating losses between regulated and unregulated.
11/05	2005-00351 2005-00352	KΥ	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Kentucky Utilities Co., Louisville Gas & Electric	Workforce Separation Program cost recovery and shared savings through VDT surcredit.
01/06	2005-00341	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Kentucky Power Co.	System Sales Clause Rider, Environmental Cost Recovery Rider. Net Congestion Rider, Storm damage, vegetation management program, depreciation, off-system sales, maintenance normalization, pension and OPEB.
03/06	PUC Docket 31994	ТΧ	Citues	Texas-New Mexico Power Co.	Stranded cost recovery through competition transition or change.
05/06	31994 Supplemental	тх	Cities	Texas-New Mexico Power Co.	Retrospective ADFIT, prospective ADFIT.
03/06	U-21453, U-20925, U-22092	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Jurisdictional separation plan.

Date	Case	Jurisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
03/06	NOPR Reg 104385-OR	IRS	Alliance for Valley Health Care and Houston Council for Health Education	AEP Texas Central Company and CenterPoint Energy Houston Electric	Proposed Regulations affecting flow- through to ratepayers of excess deferred income taxes and investment tax credits on generation plant that is sold or deregulated.
04/06	U-25116	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Louisiana, Inc.	2002-2004 Audit of Fuel Adjustment Clause Filings. Affiliate transactions.
07/06	R-00061366, Et. al.	PA	Met-Ed Ind. Users Group Pennsylvania Ind. Customer Alliance	Metropolitan Edison Co., Pennsylvania Electric Co.	Recovery of NUG-related stranded costs, government mandated programs costs, storm damage costs.
07/06	U-23327	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Southwestem Electric Power Co.	Revenue requirements, formula rate plan, banking proposal.
08/06	U-21453, U-20925, U-22092 (Subdocket J)	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Jurisdictional separation plan.
11/06	05CVH03-3375 Franklin County Court Affidavit	OH	Various Taxing Authorities (Non-Utility Proceeding)	State of Ohio Department of Revenue	Accounting for nuclear fuel assemblies as manufactured equipment and capitalized plant.
12/06	U-23327 Subdocket A Reply Testimony	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Southwestern Electric Power Co.	Revenue requirements, formula rate plan, banking proposal.
03/07	U-29764	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc., Entergy Louisiana, LLC	Jurisdictional allocation of Entergy System Agreement equalization remedy receipts.
03/07	PUC Docket 33309	TX	Cities	AEP Texas Central Co.	Revenue requirements, including functionalization of transmission and distribution costs.
03/07	PUC Docket 33310	ТХ	Cities	AEP Texas North Co.	Revenue requirements, including functionalization of transmission and distribution costs.
03/07	2006-00472	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	East Kentucky Power Cooperative	Interim rate increase, RUS loan covenants, credit facility requirements, financial condition.
03/07	U-29157	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Cleco Power, LLC	Permanent (Phase II) storm damage cost recovery.
04/07	U-29764 Supplemental and Rebuttal	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc., Entergy Louisiana, LLC	Jurisdictional allocation of Entergy System Agreement equalization remedy receipts.
04/07	ER07-682-000 Affidavit	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc. and the Entergy Operating Companies	Allocation of intangible and general plant and A&G expenses to production and state income tax effects on equalization remedy receipts.
04/07	ER07-684-000 Affidavit	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc. and the Entergy Operating Companies	Fuel hedging costs and compliance with FERC USOA.

Date	Case	Jurisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
05/07	ER07-682-000 Affidavit	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc. and the Entergy Operating Companies	Allocation of intangible and general plant and A&G expenses to production and account 924 effects on MSS-3 equalization remedy payments and receipts.
06/07	U-29764	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Louisiana, LLC, Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Show cause for violating LPSC Order on fuel hedging costs.
07/07	2006-00472	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	East Kentucky Power Cooperative	Revenue requirements, post-test year adjustments, TIER, surcharge revenues and costs, financial need.
<b>07/</b> 07	ER07-956-000 Affidavit	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc.	Storm damage costs related to Hurricanes Katrina and Rita and effects of MSS-3 equalization payments and receipts.
10/07	05-UR-103 Direct	WI	Wisconsin Industrial Energy Group	Wisconsin Electric Power Company, Wisconsin Gas, LLC	Revenue requirements, carrying charges on CWIP, amortization and return on regulatory assets, working capital, incentive compensation, use of rate base in lieu of capitalization, quantification and use of Point Beach sale proceeds.
10/07	05-UR-103 Surrebuttai	WI	Wisconsin Industrial Energy Group	Wisconsin Electric Power Company, Wisconsin Gas, LLC	Revenue requirements, carrying charges on CWIP, amortization and return on regulatory assets, working capital, incentive compensation, use of rate base in lieu of capitalization, quantification and use of Point Beach sale proceeds.
10/07	25060-U Direct	GA	Georgia Public Service Commission Public Interest Adversary Staff	Georgia Power Company	Affiliate costs, incentive compensation, consolidated income taxes, §199 deduction.
11/07	06-0033-E-CN Direct	wv	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Appalachian Power Company	IGCC surcharge during construction period and post-in-service date.
11/07	ER07-682-000 Direct	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc. and the Entergy Operating Companies	Functionalization and allocation of intangible and general plant and A&G expenses.
01/08	ER07-682-000 Cross-Answering	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc. and the Entergy Operating Companies	Functionalization and allocation of intangible and general plant and A&G expenses.
01/08	07-551-EL-AIR Direct	ОН	Ohio Energy Group, Inc.	Ohio Edison Company, Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, Toledo Edison Company	Revenue requirements.
02/08	ER07-956-000 Direct	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc. and the Entergy Operating Companies	Functionalization of expenses in account 923; storm damage expense and accounts 924, 228.1, 182.3, 254 and 407.3; tax NOL carrybacks in accounts 165 and 236; ADIT; nuclear service lives and effect on depreciation and decommissioning.

Date	Case	Jurisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
03/08	ER07-956-000 Cross-Answering	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc. and the Entergy Operating Companies	Functionalization of expenses in account 923; storm damage expense and accounts 924, 228.1, 182.3, 254 and 407.3; tax NOL carrybacks in accounts 165 and 236; ADIT; nuclear service lives and effect on depreciation and decommissioning.
04/08	2007-00562, 2007-00563	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Kentucky Utilities Co., Louisville Gas and Electric Co.	Merger surcredit.
04/08	26837 Direct Panel with Thomas K. Bond, Cynthia Johnson, and Michelle Thebert	GA	Georgia Public Service Commission Staff	SCANA Energy Marketing, Inc.	Rule Nisi complaint.
05/08	26837 Rebuttal Panel with Thomas K. Bond, Cynthia Johnson, and Michelle Thebert	GA	Georgia Public Service Commission Staff	SCANA Energy Marketing, Inc.	Rule Nisi complaint.
05/08	26837 Supplemental Rebuttal Panel with Thomas K. Bond, Cynthia Johnson, and Michelle Thebert	GA	Georgia Public Service Commission Staff	SCANA Energy Marketing, Inc.	Rule Nisi complaint.
06/08	2008-00115	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.	Environmental surcharge recoveries, including costs recovered in existing rates, TIER.
07 <i>1</i> 08	27163 Direct	GA	Georgia Public Service Commission Public Interest Advocacy Staff	Atmos Energy Corp.	Revenue requirements, including projected test year rate base and expenses.
07/08	27163 Panel with Victoria Taylor	GA	Georgia Public Service Commission Public Interest Advocacy Staff	Atmos Energy Corp.	Affiliate transactions and division cost allocations, capital structure, cost of debt.
08/08	6680-CE-170 Direct	WI	Wisconsin Industrial Energy Group, Inc.	Wisconsin Power and Light Company	Nelson Dewey 3 or Colombia 3 fixed financial parameters.
08/08	6680-UR-116 Direct	WI	Wisconsin Industrial Energy Group, Inc.	Wisconsin Power and Light Company	CWIP in rate base, labor expenses, pension expense, financing, capital structure, decoupling.
08/08	6680-UR-116 Rebuttal	WI	Wisconsin Industrial Energy Group, Inc.	Wisconsin Power and Light Company	Capital structure.

Date	Case	Jurisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
08/08	6690-UR-119 Direct	WI	Wisconsin Industrial Energy Group, Inc.	Wisconsin Public Service Corp.	Prudence of Weston 3 outage, incentive compensation, Crane Creek Wind Farm incremental revenue requirement, capital structure.
09/08	6690-UR-119 Surrebuttal	WI	Wisconsin Industrial Energy Group, Inc.	Wisconsin Public Service Corp.	Prudence of Weston 3 outage, Section 199 deduction.
09/08	08-935-EL-SSO, 08-918-EL-SSO	ОН	Ohio Energy Group, Inc.	First Energy	Standard service offer rates pursuant to electric security plan, significantly excessive earnings test.
10/08	08-917-EL-SSO	ОН	Ohio Energy Group, Inc.	AEP	Standard service offer rates pursuant to electric security plan, significantly excessive earnings test.
10/08	2007-564, 2007-565, 2008-251 2008-252	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Louisville Gas and Electric Co., Kentucky Utilities Company	Revenue forecast, affiliate costs, depreciation expenses, federal and state income tax expense, capitalization, cost of debt.
11/08	EL08-51	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc.	Spindletop gas storage facilities, regulatory asset and bandwidth remedy.
11/08	35717	тх	Cities Served by Oncor Delivery Company	Oncor Delivery Company	Recovery of old meter costs, asset ADFIT, cash working capital, recovery of prior year restructuring costs, levelized recovery of storm damage costs, prospective storm damage accrual, consolidated tax savings adjustment.
12/08	27800	GA	Georgia Public Service Commission	Georgia Power Company	AFUDC versus CWIP in rate base, mirror CWIP, certification cost, use of short term debt and trust preferred financing, CWIP recovery, regulatory incentive.
01/09	ER08-1056	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc.	Entergy System Agreement bandwidth remedy calculations, including depreciation expense, ADIT, capital structure.
01/09	ER08-1056 Supplemental Direct	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc.	Blytheville leased turbines; accumulated depreciation.
02/09	EL08-51 Rebuttal	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc.	Spindletop gas storage facilities regulatory asset and bandwidth remedy.
02/09	2008-00409 Direct	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.	Revenue requirements.
03/09	ER08-1056 Answering	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc.	Entergy System Agreement bandwidth remedy calculations, including depreciation expense, ADIT, capital structure.
03/09	U-21453, U-20925 U-22092 (Subdocket J)	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, LLC	Violation of EGSI separation order, ETI and EGSL separation accounting, Spindletop regulatory asset.
04/09	U-21453, U-20925 U-22092 (Subdocket J) Rebuttal	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, LLC	Violation of EGSI separation order, ETI and EGSL separation accounting, Spindletop regulatory asset.

Date	Case	Jurisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
04/09	2009-00040 Direct-Interim (Oral)	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Big Rivers Electric Corp.	Emergency interim rate increase; cash requirements.
04/0 <del>9</del>	PUC Docket 36530	тх	State Office of Administrative Hearings	Oncor Electric Delivery Company, LLC	Rate case expenses.
05/09	ER08-1056 Rebuttal	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc.	Entergy System Agreement bandwidth remedy calculations, including depreciation expense, ADIT, capital structure.
06/09	2009-00040 Direct- Permanent	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Big Rivers Electric Corp.	Revenue requirements, TIER, cash flow.
07/09	080677-EI	FL	South Florida Hospital and Healthcare Association	Florida Power & Light Company	Multiple test years, GBRA rider, forecast assumptions, revenue requirement, O&M expense, depreciation expense, Economic Stimulus Bill, capital structure.
08/09	U-21453, U-20925, U-22092 (Subdocket J) Supplemental Rebuttal	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, LLC	Violation of EGSI separation order, ETI and EGSL separation accounting, Spindletop regulatory asset.
08/09	8516 and 29950	GA	Georgia Public Service Commission Staff	Atlanta Gas Light Company	Modification of PRP surcharge to include infrastructure costs.
09/09	05-UR-104 Direct and Surrebuttal	WI	Wisconsin Industrial Energy Group	Wisconsin Electric Power Company	Revenue requirements, incentive compensation, depreciation, deferral mitigation, capital structure, cost of debt.
09/09	09AL-299E	со	CF&I Steel, Rocky Mountain Steel Mills LP, Climax Molybdenum Company	Public Service Company of Colorado	Forecasted test year, historic test year, proforma adjustments for major plant additions, tax depreciation.
09/09	6680-UR-117 Direct and Surrebuttal	WI	Wisconsin Industrial Energy Group	Wisconsin Power and Light Company	Revenue requirements, CWIP in rate base, deferral mitigation, payroll, capacity shutdowns, regulatory assets, rate of return.
10/09	09A-415E	CO	Cripple Creek & Victor Gold Mining Company, et al.	Black Hills/CO Electric Utility Company	Cost prudence, cost sharing mechanism.
10/09	EL09-50 Direct	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc.	Waterford 3 sale/leaseback accumulated deferred income taxes, Entergy System Agreement bandwidth remedy calculations.
10/09	2009-00329	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Louisville Gas and Electric Company, Kentucky Utilities Company	Trimble County 2 depreciation rates.
12/09	PUE-2009-00030	VA	Old Dominion Committee for Fair Utility Rates	Appalachian Power Company	Return on equity incentive.

Date	Case	Jurisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
12/09	ER09-1224 Direct	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc.	Hypothetical versus actual costs, out of period costs, Spindletop deferred capital costs, Waterford 3 sale/leaseback ADIT.
01/10	ER09-1224 Cross-Answering	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc.	Hypothetical versus actual costs, out of period costs, Spindletop deferred capital costs, Waterford 3 sale/leaseback ADIT.
01/10	EL09-50 Rebuttal	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc.	Waterford 3 sale/leaseback accumulated deferred income taxes, Entergy System Agreement bandwidth remedy calculations.
02/10	ER09-1224 Final	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc.	Hypothetical versus actual costs, out of period costs, Spindletop deferred capital costs, Waterford 3 sale/leaseback ADIT.
02/10	30442 Wackerly-Kollen Panel	GA	Georgia Public Service Commission Staff	Atmos Energy Corporation	Revenue requirement issues.
02/10	30442 McBride-Kollen Panel	GA	Georgia Public Service Commission Staff	Atmos Energy Corporation	Affiliate/division transactions, cost allocation, capital structure.
02/10	2009-00353	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Louisville Gas and Electric Company, Kentucky Utilities Company	Ratemaking recovery of wind power purchased power agreements.
03/10	2009-00545	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Kentucky Power Company	Ratemaking recovery of wind power purchased power agreement.
03/10	E015/GR-09-1151	MN	Large Power Interveners	Minnesota Power	Revenue requirement issues, cost overruns on environmental retrofit project.
03/10	EL10-55	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc. and the Entergy Operating Companies	Depreciation expense and effects on System Agreement tariffs.
04/10	2009-00459	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Kentucky Power Company	Revenue requirement issues.
04/10	2009-00458, 2009-00459	КY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Kentucky Utilities Company, Louisville Gas and Electric Company	Revenue requirement issues.
08/10	31647	GA	Georgia Public Service Commission Staff	Atlanta Gas Light Company	Revenue requirement and synergy savings issues.
08/10	31647 Wackerly-Kollen Panel	GA	Georgia Public Service Commission Staff	Atlanta Gas Light Company	Affiliate transaction and Customer First program issues.
08/10	2010-00204	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Louisville Gas and Electric Company, Kentucky Utilities Company	PPL acquisition of E.ON U.S. (LG&E and KU) conditions, acquisition savings, sharing deferral mechanism.

Date	Case	Jurisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
09/10	38339 Direct and Cross-Rebuttal	тх	Gulf Coast Coalition of Cities	CenterPoint Energy Houston Electric	Revenue requirement issues, including consolidated tax savings adjustment, incentive compensation FIN 48; AMS surcharge including roll-in to base rates; rate case expenses.
09/10	EL10-55	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc. and the Entergy Operating Companies	Depreciation rates and expense input effects on System Agreement tariffs.
09/10	2010-00167	KY	Gallatin Steel	East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.	Revenue requirements.
09/10	U-23327 Subdocket E Direct	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	SWEPCO	Fuel audit: S02 allowance expense, variable O&M expense, off-system sales margin sharing.
11/10	U-23327 Rebuttal	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	SWEPCO	Fuel audit: S02 allowance expense, variable O&M expense, off-system sales margin sharing.
09/10	U-31351	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	SWEPCO and Valley Electric Membership Cooperative	Sale of Valley assets to SWEPCO and dissolution of Valley.
10/10	10-1261-EL-UNC	ОН	Ohio OCC, Ohio Manufacturers Association, Ohio Energy Group, Ohio Hospital Association, Appalachian Peace and Justice Network	Columbus Southern Power Company	Significantly excessive earnings test.
10/10	10-0713-E-PC	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Monongahela Power Company, the Potomac Edison Power Company	Merger of First Energy and Allegheny Energy.
10/10	U-23327 Subdocket F Direct	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	SWEPCO	AFUDC adjustments in Formula Rate Plan.
11/10	EL10-55 Rebuttal	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc. and the Entergy Operating Companies	Depreciation rates and expense input effects on System Agreement tariffs.
12/10	ER10-1350 Direct	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc. and the Entergy Operating Companies	Waterford 3 lease amortization, ADIT, and fuel inventory effects on System Agreement tariffs.
01/11	ER10-1350 Cross-Answering	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc. and the Entergy Operating Companies	Waterford 3 lease amortization, ADIT, and fuel inventory effects on System Agreement tariffs.
03/11 04/11	ER10-2001 Direct Cross-Answering	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc. and Entergy Arkansas, Inc.	EAI depreciation rates.